


REPORT

Starch Granule Yields from Open-Air Metates Unaffected by Environmental Contamination

Stefania L. Wilks¹ , Samantha A. Paredes², and Lisbeth A. Louderback³

¹Department of Anthropology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA, ²Natural History Museum of Utah, Department of Geography, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA, and ³Natural History Museum of Utah, Department of Anthropology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA

Corresponding author: Stefania L. Wilks; Email: stefania.wilks@anthro.utah.edu

(Received 12 April 2023; revised 1 September 2023; accepted 15 November 2023)

Abstract

The morphological characteristics of starch granules preserved on ancient ground stone tools can reveal which plant species were processed and consumed and even infer tool function. Bedrock metates are commonly associated with the processing of localized seasonal resources, providing potential evidence for past human lifeways, including foods collected and processed, social gatherings, settlement patterns, land investment, and territorial behavior. In contrast to ground stone artifacts preserved in a buried context that have been studied extensively, limited starch research has been conducted on the potential for environmental contamination of open-air bedrock mortars and metates exposed to natural erosional elements. This project examines the residue samples of bedrock metates from three archaeological sites in southern Oregon in the United States to compare the starch yields of extraneous material cleaned from the grinding surface to those extracted from interstitial matrices deeper within the bedrock. Significantly greater number of starch granules were recovered from deep within the cracks and crevices than from the surface. Our study suggests that environmental contamination can be managed if separate surface and control samples are collected and analyzed in conjunction with the interstitial samples. Examining the potential for environmental contamination on exposed grinding surfaces is crucial for confidence in starch granule results and improving our understanding of human dietary behavior.

Resumen

Las características morfológicas de los granos de almidón que se han conservado en antiguos artefactos de piedra para moler pueden mostrar cuales especies de plantas eran utilizadas para su consumo y producción, así como la función de la herramienta. El uso de los metates de piedra madre suelen asociarse con el proceso y uso de recursos naturales de la zona y la temporada, y son evidencia del comportamiento humano del pasado, de los alimentos recolectados y procesados, de las relaciones sociales y modelos de asentamiento, del uso de la tierra y alteración del territorio. A diferencia de los artefactos de piedra madre conservados bajo tierra, las investigaciones sobre el almidón hallado en los metates de piedra madre expuestos al aire libre y que han sido afectados por la contaminación ambiental y la erosión, han sido muy limitadas. Este proyecto examina las muestras de residuos encontrados en metates de piedra madre de tres sitios arqueológicos en el sur de Oregón, en los Estados Unidos de América, el cual compara el rendimiento de almidón de material externo obtenido de la superficie del metate con los materiales extraídos de fuentes intersticiales de la parte profunda de la piedra. El resultado mostró la recuperación de una mayor cantidad de gránulos de almidón obtenido de las grietas y hendiduras en comparación a los obtenidos en la superficie. Nuestro estudio propone que el efecto de la contaminación ambiental puede ser mejor interpretado si las muestras intersticiales y las hechas en la superficie de los artefactos se colectan y analizan de manera conjunta. Es crucial examinar el grado de contaminación ambiental en la superficie de las piedras de moler con el fin de aumentar la credibilidad en los resultados de los gránulos de almidón y mejorar nuestro entendimiento sobre el comportamiento alimenticio de los humanos.

Keywords: starch granule analysis; environmental contamination; airborne starch; field-collection protocol; bedrock metates; archaeological starch; northern Great Basin

Palabras clave: análisis de gránulos de almidón; contaminación ambiental; almidón atmosférico; protocolo de recolección en campo de estudio; metates de piedra madre; almidón arqueológico; Gran Cuenca septentrional

Green plants sequester solar energy as starch granules in seeds, fruits, roots, tubers, and other storage organs. The granules are composed of covalently bonded glucose polymers that are insoluble in water and can persist in the archaeological record for long periods of time. They have been recovered from soil sediments, pottery fragments, dental calculus, basketry, and stone tools (Torrence and Barton 2006; Copeland and Hardy 2018). The morphological characteristics of starch granules can distinguish among source plant taxa and provide valuable clues for interpreting human diets in the ancient past. For example, granules preserved on ancient ground stone tools can reveal which plant species were processed and consumed and can even infer tool function (Fullagar 2006; Liu et al 2014). Although starch residues on buried artifacts have revealed patterns of past lifeways and human diet, very limited starch research has been conducted on open-air bedrock mortars and metates.

Bedrock metates (Figure 1) are among the most common features in the archaeological record and have the potential to provide evidence for past human lifeways, including foods collected and processed, social gatherings, settlement patterns, land investment, and territorial behavior (Fulkerson and Tushingham 2021; Henry 2020; Lynch 2021; O'Connell et al. 1991; Stevens et al. 2019; Tinsley et al. 2021; Wisely 2016). Some of the earliest documented bedrock metates are at Natufian sites in the Levant region of the Mediterranean between about 15,500 and 11,500 cal BP (Nadel et al. 2012). Those features come in a variety of types and probably served different functions, including food processing, storage containers, pounding surfaces, and ceremonial or ritual totems (Nadel et al. 2012; Piperno et al 2004).

Bedrock metates are commonly associated with the processing of localized seasonal resources (Adams 2014; Buonasera 2013). For example, bedrock mortars and pestles are often linked to acorn processing in California (Kroeber 1925). In central Nevada, flat, oval slicks or depressions on boulders and rockshelter ledges were used to process pine nuts from nearby pinyon woodlands (Tinsley et al. 2021). Bedrock metates are found in a variety of locations and settings in western North America, yet they remain little understood in archaeological contexts (Figure 2). We believe, however, that they hold much potential to illuminate past behavior between humans and their environment.

In contrast to ground stone artifacts preserved in a buried context, bedrock mortars and metates are features exposed to erosional and depositional processes. Wind and rain may potentially alter bedrock surfaces both physically and chemically, which can affect the depositional sequence and preservation of starch granules within the milling stone surface. Postdepositional taphonomy and environmental contamination may therefore confound interpretations and must be addressed and controlled for in such archaeological studies (Copeland and Hardy 2018; García-Granero 2020). This project examines the potential for environmental contamination on the surfaces of bedrock metates from three sites in southern Oregon. We compare starch granule yield of extraneous material cleaned from the grinding surface to granule yield extracted from interstitials deeper within the bedrock.

Methods

Bedrock Metates

A total of 58 bedrock surfaces from Warner Valley in southern Oregon were sampled for surface and interstitial starch; 28 from Barry Spring, 24 from Long Lake, and 6 from Corral Lake (Figure 3; Supplemental Table 1). These bedrock features occur on basalt (fine-grained volcanic) rims situated around the edges of dried sink-lake beds. These basalt rims contain petroglyph panels with thousands of individual design elements (Cannon and Ricks 2007). Milling surfaces and the associated rock art show varying degrees of patina, a characteristic that researchers believe reflects the long-lasting use of the site for seasonal plant gathering and processing over the last 14,000 years (Cannon and Ricks 1999, 2007, 2014; Middleton et al. 2014; Ricks 1995).




Figure 1. (a) Mono women prepare acorns on a bedrock mortar station (Nellie T. McGraw Hedgpeth, 1904–1905; courtesy of Phoebe Hurst Museum); (b) San Ygnacio woman grinding acorns with a metate (Edward O. Davis Collection, 1911; courtesy of San Diego History Center).




Figure 2. Bedrock milling surfaces in (a) southern California (Burton et al. 2017), (b) south-central Nevada (Tinsley et al. 2021), (c) southeastern Utah (Pavlik et al. 2022), and (d) southern Oregon (Loudersback et al. 2022). (Color online)

Starch Granule Analysis

Surface and Interstitial Samples

The bedrock metates sampled in this project are located in open-air settings. All loose sediment found on the features is assumed to be comprised of material that came into contact with the milling surface




Figure 3. (a) Samples from three Late Pleistocene/Early Holocene sites in Warner Valley in southern Oregon were used in this study, (b) exposed bedrock metates near Long Lake Playa (photo courtesy of Stefania L. Wilks), (c) samples were extracted by authors Wilks and Louderback according to field-collection protocol (photo courtesy of Carolyn Temple). (Color online)

after final use. These **surface** samples were collected on the same surface prior to and separately from the **interstitial** plant residues (e.g., starch, pollen, phytoliths) lodged deep in the cracks and crevices of the metate surfaces.

Starch Sample Collection in the Field

Consumable supplies, such as disposable nitrile gloves, ultrasonicating brush heads, syringes, and test tubes, were only used once to prevent cross-contamination during sampling in the field and processing in the laboratory. First, any loose sediment on bedrock metate surfaces was cleaned with a sterile brush before sampling to remove any obvious debris. Next, 50 ml of distilled water (DH_2O) was applied while using a sonicating toothbrush for approximately five minutes to clean loose material from around the surface (Figure 4a). The DH_2O solution was transferred to a 50 ml test tube labeled as “surface extract” with the associated site and feature numbers (Figure 4b). This was repeated until the sampled material was clear. Once the surface was cleaned of extraneous material, about 50 ml of a 2.5% solution of sodium hexametaphosphate (Na-Hex) was added to the bedrock surface to deflocculate residue that was embedded more deeply in the stone’s interstitial matrix. The solution was left to soak for approximately one hour. The area was again thoroughly sonicated with about 50 ml of DH_2O for five minutes. The residual serum was transferred with a syringe to a 50 ml tube and labeled as “interstitial extract”; presumably it contained archaeological residue (Figure 4d).

Control Samples

Thirteen control samples (one from Corral Lake, six from Barry Spring, and six from Long Lake) were taken from a noncultural surface of bedrock stone approximately 10 m from the sampled bedrock metate and thus presumably away from human processing activity. These control samples were collected and processed in the same way as the milling surface and interstitial samples. The purpose is to compare the control sample residue to the surface and archaeological residues extracted from the bedrock metate. Although noncultural stone surfaces in the vicinity of bedrock metates may have been contaminated with starch from associated plant materials, this source would have insignificant levels of starch granules when compared to starch granules pressed into the cracks and crevices on the surfaces of features and artifacts during cultural activities. Control samples



Figure 4. (a) Separate sterile-tipped ultrasonic toothbrush heads and DH_2O were used to collect all starch residue samples; (b) the first sample collected was labeled as *surface extract*; (c) a deflocculant was applied and the area was again sonicated; (d) the archaeological sample was labeled as *interstitial extract* (photographs [a] and [b] courtesy of Stefania L. Wilks; [c] and [d] courtesy of Carolyn Temple). (Color online)

were labeled in the field as they related to features and numbered consecutively as they were processed in the laboratory.

Laboratory Analysis

Samples extracted in the field were transported to the Archaeobotany Lab at the Natural History Museum of Utah and processed for starch analysis according to lab protocol (Louderback et al. 2015). Each sample was sieved through a 125 μm mesh Endecott sieve into a beaker using deionized water (DiH_2O). Sample solution more than 125 μm was discarded, while the rinsed sample solution <125 μm was retained, transferred to a 50 ml test tube, and centrifuged for three minutes at 3,000 RPM. The supernatant was discarded, and the sample pellet was transferred to a 15 ml test tube and resuspended with DiH_2O , mixed with a vortex, and centrifuged for three minutes at 3,000 RPM.

After the supernatant was discarded, approximately 7 ml of Lithium heteropolytungstate (LST: specific gravity 2.35) was added to the sample and then centrifuged for 15 minutes at 1,000 RPM. Using a pipette, starch residues were removed from the top 1–2 mm layer of the heavy liquid and then transferred to a 15 ml test tube. To remove residual heavy liquid, each sample was rinsed two to three times with about 10 ml of DiH_2O and centrifuged for three minutes at 3,000 RPM. The sample was then decanted and resuspended with around 7 ml of Acetone, mixed with a vortex, and centrifuged for three minutes at 3,000 RPM. All the samples were then decanted for a final time and left to dry overnight, uncapped but covered by a paper towel. Samples were rehydrated with 50% DiH_2O and 50% glycerol and mounted on a glass slide for microscopic observation. Each slide was scanned in its entirety with a Zeiss Axioscope 2 microscope. Starch granules were counted and photographed with a Zeiss AxioCam MRc5 (60N-C 1" 1.0 \times) under 400 \times magnification using Zen software (version 3.1). In this study, we compared the yields of starch granules between surface and interstitial samples; therefore, no taxonomic identifications were reported (see Wilks et al. 2024).

Table 1. Total Number of Starch Granules Observed in Metate Surface, Metate Interstitial, and Control Samples from the Three Archaeological Sites.

Site	Total Starch Granule Yield		
	Surface	Interstitial	Control
Corral Lake (<i>N</i> = 6, 6, 1)	0	126	0
Long Lake (<i>N</i> = 24, 24, 6)	2	172	4
Barry Spring (<i>N</i> = 28, 28, 6)	0	346	2
Totals	2	644	6

Note: Sample sizes for each datum are indicated in parentheses.

Results

Starch Granule Analysis

Surface and Interstitial Samples. Two (3%) of the 58 surface samples yielded a total of two starch granules, whereas 44 (76%) of the 58 interstitial samples yielded between 1 and 115 granules, for a total of 644 granules (Table 1). A significantly greater number of starch granules were recovered from deep within the cracks and crevices than from the surface.

Control Samples. Control samples associated with each site yielded significantly fewer starch granules than the interstitial samples overall (Table 1). Two of six control samples from Barry Spring yielded only two starch granules, and two of six control samples from Long Lake yielded a total of four starch granules. Control samples were almost always taken on a noncultural rock surface that was adjacent to (but not on the same surface as) the cultural bedrock metates. There was one exception, however: one of the control samples from Long Lake was collected on an unworked bedrock surface adjacent to five milling surfaces. This sample produced three starch granules of the same plant taxon represented in the interstitial and surface samples (Wilks et al. 2024). Given that we have evidence for the processing of the same plant taxon on those specific bedrock metates, it is not surprising that surfaces in the vicinity may have been contaminated with starch from associated plant materials.

Discussion

This study presents a novel method for collecting starch samples from open-air bedrock metate features. Unlike wind-dispersed pollen, starch granules are released from plant parts by mechanical forces during grinding and pounding on rock surfaces. Collecting surface samples separately from interstitial samples ensures that starch granules recovered from metates have been embedded deep in cracks and crevices by human processing. Collecting control samples from noncultural stone surfaces adjacent to bedrock metates provides a measure of environmental deposition for comparison to granules from archaeological samples. Our study suggests that environmental contamination can be managed if separate surface and control samples are collected and analyzed in conjunction with the interstitial samples. Such practices increase confidence in starch residue results and therefore improve our understanding of past human dietary behavior.

This study also found that open-air metate surfaces contained lichen tissues that subsequently introduced fungi and bacteria into the samples. As a result, we suggest that such contamination caused enzymatic damage to the starch samples in the form of surficial pitting, loss of birefringence due to hydrolyzation of amylose by amylase, and other kinds of damage (see Figure 5; Blazek and Gilbert 2010; Haslam 2004; Hutschenreuther et al. 2017). Damage to starch samples could be remediated by processing samples immediately on return from the field or by refrigeration.

Starch contamination can occur in a variety of contexts, including field collection (Dozier 2016; Fullagar 2006; Hart 2011; Laurence et al. 2011; Ma et al. 2017; Mercader et al. 2017; Washburn et al. 2014) and laboratory processing (Barton 2007; Crowther et al. 2014; Louderback et al. 2015; Torrence and Barton 2015). Current research provides information and protocols on how best to minimize starch




Figure 5. Evidence of potential enzymatic damage to starch residues caused by fungal contamination includes surficial pitting and loss of birefringence in polarized lighting: (a) undamaged *Lomatium* spp. starch granule; (b) damaged *Lomatium* spp.; (c) undamaged Triticeae (wild rye); (d) damaged Triticeae starch granule. (Color online)

contamination both in the laboratory (Crowther et al. 2014; Torrence and Barton 2015) and in the field (Fullagar 2006; Mercader et al. 2017). However, most of those studies deal with artifacts in a buried context (Hart 2011; Mercader et al. 2017) or in museum collections (Barton 2007; Louderback et al. 2015). For example, Hart (2011) examined ceramic artifacts exhumed from plowed fields and compared plant microfossil (starch and phytoliths) yields from sediments adhering to the artifacts to those from the surrounding soil. He concluded that environmental contamination is low because plant microfossils from the surrounding environment do not transfer into the interstices of ceramic artifacts.

Ground stone artifacts and bedrock milling features exposed to open-air environments are subject to erosion and deposition that could affect starch grain yields (Dozier 2016; Laurence et al. 2011; Mercader et al. 2017). But as in Hart (2011), we find little evidence for this because deeply embedded starch appears to be intransient unless vigorously extracted and atmospheric transfer is essentially negligible. Examining the depositional sequence of starch granules on open-air metate surfaces and on noncultural surfaces is crucial to understanding starch taphonomy and increasing overall confidence in archaeological starch research.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Bill Cannon and Carolyn Temple for generously giving their time and expert guidance in the field. In addition, this paper benefited greatly from the detailed critique of Juan Jose Garcia-Granero and an anonymous reviewer, thank you.

Funding Statement. This work was supported by the Lakeview Bureau of Land Management under Cooperative Agreement L20AS00005, and a University of Utah Undergraduate Research Opportunity Grant awarded to Samantha A. Paredes and Stefania L. Wilks.

Data Availability Statement. Starch granule measurements, descriptions, and images are available on Dryad digital repository (<https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.tjq2bw52>).

Competing Interests. The authors declare none.

References Cited

- Adams, Jenny L. 2014. *Ground Stone Analysis: A Technological Approach*. 2nd ed. University of Utah Press, Salt Lake City.
- Barton, Huw J. 2007. Starch Residues on Museum Artefacts: Implications for Determining Tool Use. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 34:1752–1762.
- Blazek, Jaroslav and Elliot P. Gilbert. 2010. Effect of Enzymatic Hydrolysis on Native Starch Granule Structure. *Biomacromolecules* 11(12):3275–3289.

- Buonasera, Tammy Y. 2013. More than Acorns and Small Seeds: A Diachronic Analysis of Mortuary Associated Ground Stone from the South San Francisco Bay Area. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 32:190–211.
- Burton, Margie M., Jenny L. Adams, Mark Willis, and Dani Nadel. 2017. Boulders, Outcrops, Caves: Documenting Cultural Use of Landscape Features in the San Diego Region of California. *Quaternary International* 439(1):5–24.
- Cannon, William J., and Mary F. Ricks. 1999. Rock Art as an Indicator of Site Age in the Northern Great Basin. Paper presented at the 64th Annual Meeting of the Society for American Archaeology, Chicago, Illinois.
- Cannon, William J., and Mary F. Ricks. 2007. Contexts in the Analysis of Rock Art: Settlement and Rock Art in the Warner Valley Area, Oregon. In *Great Basin Rock Art: Archaeological Perspectives*, edited by Angus R. Quinlan, pp. 107–125. University of Nevada Press, Reno.
- Cannon, William J., and Mary F. Ricks. 2014. Were Roots on the Menu 14,000 Years Ago? Presentation to the Great Basin Archaeological Conference, Boise, Idaho.
- Copeland, Les, and Karen Hardy. 2018. Archaeological Starch. *Agronomy* 8(1):4.
- Crowther, Alison, Michael Haslam, Nikki Oakden, Dale Walde, and Julio Mercader. 2014. Documenting Contamination in Ancient Starch Laboratories. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 49:90–104.
- Dozier, Crystal A. 2016. Airborne Starch Dispersal from Stone Grinding: Experimental Results and Implications. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 8:112–115.
- Fulkerson, Tiffany, and Shannon Tushingham. 2021. Geophyte Processing, Storage, and Women's Decision-Making in Hunter-Gatherer Societies: An Archaeological Case Study from Western North America. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 38:3244–3253.
- Fullagar, Richard. 2006. Starch on Artifacts. In *Ancient Starch Research*, edited by Robin Torrence and Huw Barton, pp. 217–224. Left Coast Press, Walnut Creek, California.
- García-Granero, Juan José. 2020. Starch Taphonomy, Equifinality, and the Importance of Context: Some Notes on the Identification of Food Processing through Starch Grain Analysis. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 124:105267.
- Hart, Thomas C. 2011. Evaluating the Usefulness of Phytoliths and Starch Grains Found on Survey Artifacts. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 38:3244–3253.
- Haslam, Michael. 2004. The Decomposition of Starch Grains in Soils: Implications for Archaeological Residue Analyses. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 31:1715–1734.
- Henry, Amanda G. 2020. Starch Granules as Markers of Diet and Behavior. In *Handbook for the Analysis of Micro-particles in Archaeological Samples: Interdisciplinary Contributions to Archaeology*, edited by Amanda Henry, pp. 97–116. Springer, Cham, Switzerland.
- Hutschenreuther, Antje, Jörg Watzke, Simone Schmidt, Thomas Büdel, and Amanda G. Henry. 2017. Archaeological Implications of the Digestion of Starches by Soil Bacteria: Interaction among Starches Leads to Differential Preservation. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 15:95–108.
- Kroeber, Alfred L. 1925. *Handbook of the Indians of California*. Bureau of American Ethnology Bulletin 78. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC.
- Laurence, Andrew R., Alston V. Thoms, Vaughn M. Bryant, and Cassandra McDonough. 2011. Airborne Starch Granules as a Potential Contamination Source at Archaeological Sites. *Journal of Ethnobiology* 31:213–232.
- Liu, Li., Sai Ma, and Jianxin Cui. 2014. Identification of Starch Granules Using a Two-Step Identification Method. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 52:421–427.
- Louderback, Lisbeth A., Judith Field, and Joel Janetski. 2015. Curation Practices and Extraction Methods in Relation to Starch Grain Yields from Ground Stone Artifacts. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 4:535–540.
- Louderback, Lisbeth A., Stefania Wilks, and Heidi Simper. 2022. *Paleoindian Geophyte Use: Starch Granule Analysis of Bedrock Metates in Warner Valley, Oregon*. Report on file, BLM Lakeview District Office, Lakeview, Oregon.
- Lynch, Elizabeth. 2021. *Ground in Stone: Landscape, Social Identity, and Ritual Space on the High Plains*. Lexington Books, Blue Ridge Summit, Pennsylvania.
- Ma, Zhikun, Chi Zhang, Quan Li, Linda Perry, and Xiayoyan Yang. 2017. Understanding the Possible Contamination of Ancient Starch Residues by Adjacent Sediments and Modern Plants in Northern China. *Sustainability* 9:752–762.
- Mercader, Julio, Matthew Abtoso, Enrique Baquedano, Robert W. Bird, Fernando Diez-Martin, Manuel Dominguez-Rodrigo, Julien Favreau, et al. 2017. Starch Contamination Landscapes in Field Archaeology: Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania. *Boreas* 46:918–934.
- Middleton, Emily S., Geoffrey Smith, William J. Cannon, and Mary F. Ricks. 2014. Paleoindian Rock Art: Establishing the Antiquity of Great Basin Carved Abstract Petroglyphs in the Northern Great Basin. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 43(1):21–30.
- Nadel, Dani, Dolores R. Piperno, Holst, Irene, Ainit Snir, and Ehud Weiss. 2012. New Evidence for the Processing of Wild Cereal Grains at Ohalo II, a 23,000-Year-Old Campsite on the Shore of the Sea of Galilee, Israel. *Antiquity* 86:990–1003.
- O'Connell, James F., Kristen Hawkes, and Nick Blurton-Jones. 1991. Distribution of Activities at the Hadza Base Camps: Implications for Analyses of Archaeological Site Structure. In *The Interpretation of Archaeological Spatial Patterning*, edited by Ellen M. Kroll and T. Douglas Price, pp. 61–76. Plenum, New York.
- Pavlik, Bruce B., Lisbeth A. Louderback, Brian Coddling, Kenneth B. Vernon, Heidi M. Simper, Weston McCool, and Stefania L. Wilks. 2022. *Archaeo-Ecosystems of the Four-Corners: Ethnobotanical Surveys of the Puebloan Sites, San Juan County, Utah, Project Year 3*. Report on file, USDI BLM, Monticello, Utah.
- Piperno, Dolores R., Ehud Weiss, Irene Holst, and Dani Nadel. 2004. Processing of Wild Cereal Grains in the Upper Paleolithic Revealed by Starch Grain Analysis. *Nature* 430:670–673.

- Ricks, Mary F. 1995. A Survey and Analysis of Prehistoric Rock Art of the Warner Valley Region, Lake County, Oregon. PhD dissertation, Department of Anthropology and System Science, Portland State University, Portland, Oregon.
- Stevens, Nathan E., Adrian R. Whitaker, and Jeffrey S. Rosenthal. 2019. Bedrock Mortars as Indicators of Territorial Behavior in the Sierra Nevada. *Quaternary International* 518:57–68.
- Tinsley, Dayna, Lisbeth A. Louderback, Bruce M. Pavlik, Margaret Baker, Taylor Townsend, Kaley Tucker, and Stefania Wilks. 2021. *Testing the Pinyon Premise: Archaeobotanical Analyses of Sediments, Bedrock Milling Features, and Ground Stone Artifacts from West-Central Lincoln County, Nevada*. Report on file, Bureau of Land Management, Ely District/Caliente Field Offices, Caliente, Nevada.
- Torrence, Robin, and Huw Barton. 2006. *Ancient Starch Research*. Left Coast Press, Walnut Creek, California.
- Torrence, Robin, and Huw Barton. 2015. Cooking up Recipes for Ancient Starch: Assessing Current Methodologies and Looking to the Future. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 56:194–201.
- Washburn, William N., Petia Shipkova, and Mary Ann Pelleymounter. 2014. Chemical Analysis of Cacao Residues in Archaeological Ceramics from North America: Considerations of Contamination, Sample Size, and Systematic Controls. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 50(1):191–207.
- Wilks, Stefania L., Lisbeth A. Louderback, Heidi M. Simper, and William J. Cannon. 2024. Geophyte Starch Granules on Bedrock Metates in the Uplands of Warner Valley, Oregon. *American Antiquity*, in press.
- Wisely, Justin. 2016. Starch Grain Analysis of Bedrock Mortars in the Sierra Nevada Mountains: Experimental Studies to Determine Their Function. Master's thesis, Department of Anthropology, California State University, Chico.