Differential effects of low-dose resveratrol on adiposity and hepatic steatosis in diet-induced obese mice
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Abstract
Consumption of a high-fat diet (HFD) enriched in saturated fat induces excessive weight gain due to adiposity, which can lead to metabolic complications, as well as increased risk of fatty liver disease and CVD. The present study investigated the underlying mechanism and dose–response effects of resveratrol (RV) on obesity, hepatic steatosis and dyslipidaemia in mice fed a HFD. Male C57BL/6J mice were fed a normal diet or a HFD (20 % fat, w/w) combined with 0·005 or 0·02 % (w/w) RV for 10 weeks. As expected, mice fed a HFD developed obesity, as shown by increased body weight gain, visceral fat, hepatic fat and plasma cholesterol. RV significantly reduced visceral fat and plasma NEFA. In the liver of HFD-fed mice, RV significantly reduced TAG and cholesterol, as well as lipid droplet number and size. A low dose of RV (0·005 %) appeared to be more effective than a higher dose of RV (0·02 %) for suppressing adiposity and hepatic steatosis development with a significant decrease in body weight gain, plasma TAG and total cholesterol levels. These changes were seemingly attributable to a suppression of the fatty acid (FA) synthase, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, and phosphatidate phosphohydrolase and/or an activation of FA oxidation in the liver and epididymal adipose tissue. In conclusion, daily consumption of a low dose of RV is effective for protecting against diet-induced obesity, hepatic steatosis and dyslipidaemia in HFD-fed mice.
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Obesity is characterised by an increase in white adipose tissue (WAT) mass, which can result from an excess of food intake relative to energy expenditure. Obesity is also associated with hepatic steatosis and hyperlipidaemia, indicated by excessive accumulation of fat in the liver and excess fat in circulation(1). Central adiposity due to accumulation of visceral adipose tissue is linked to significantly increased risk of hepatic steatosis(2). Most dietary approaches for obesity prevention or treatment attempt to limit consumption of a diet rich in saturated fat(4).

In contrast, an increase in fruit and vegetable intake can help decrease obesity, which may be attributable to their low-energy density, fibre content or phenolic compound enrichment(5,6).

Resveratrol (RV, 3,5,4'-trihydroxystilbene) is one of the natural polyphenolic compounds mainly found in grape skin. RV is well known for its cardioprotective(7), anti-cancer(8), anti-inflammatory, antioxidant(9) and phyto-oestrogenic(10) properties. Some studies have suggested that RV may also have beneficial effects on obesity. For example, RV appears to inhibit the proliferation of pre-adipocytes in vitro(11), and hence may suppress the production of new fat cells. In addition, RV appears to inhibit lipogenesis as well as lipid accumulation(12) in human liver cells and mature adipocytes(13,14).

While the evidence from cellular studies suggests that RV may suppress the production of new fat cells and prevent fat accumulation in liver cells and adipocytes, the effects of RV on obesity and steatosis in vivo have been far more equivocal(15–20).

Several in vivo studies reported that RV protected against diet-induced obesity(15,16) and hepatic steatosis(17) in murine models. For example, body weight gain and fat accumulation were suppressed in mice fed a high-fat diet (HFD) with high dietary RV intake (400 mg/kg body weight per d) over 15 weeks. In these HFD-fed mice, RV up-regulated the silent
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information regulating 2 homologue 1 (SIRT1) gene, which is a key regulator of energy and metabolic homeostasis. However, in other studies, RV was reported to have minimal or no effect on obesity and hepatic steatosis. In obese Zucker rats, RV supplementation (10 mg/kg body weight per d) over 8 weeks failed to reduce body weight, although RV reduced adipose tissue mass. Similarly in mice, RV (22.4 mg/kg body weight per d) supplementation for 15 months had no effect on body weight, although RV appeared to mimic the effects of energy restriction. The lack of consensus on the in vivo effect of RV on diet-induced obesity and hepatic steatosis may have been due to varying doses, diet and duration in previous studies. Importantly, the potential mechanism underlying the anti-obesity and hepatoprotective effects of RV in vivo still remains unclear. In particular, few studies have determined the activities of enzymes involved in lipogenesis and fatty acid (FA) oxidation in the liver and adipose tissue of RV-supplemented, diet-induced obese mice.

Accordingly, we first determined whether RV (0.005 or 0.02% in diet) had any dose-dependent effects on body weight gain, visceral adiposity, hepatic steatosis and dyslipidaemia in HFD-fed mice, a well-established murine model of diet-induced obesity. Secondly, we examined lipid metabolic enzyme activity in the liver and WAT to further elucidate potential underlying mechanisms responsible for the effects of RV on diet-induced obesity.

**Experimental methods**

**Animals and diets**

A total of forty male C57BL/6j mice (4 weeks old) were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory. The animals were maintained in a room with controlled temperature (20–25°C) and lighting (alternating 12 h periods of light and dark) and fed a pelleted commercial non-purified diet for 1 week after arrival. The mice were then randomly divided into four groups (n = 10) and fed the respective experimental diets for 10 weeks, as shown in Table 1. Normal diet (ND) control (ND, American Institute of Nutrition (AIN)-76 semisynthetic diet), HFD control (HFD, 20% fat based on the AIN-76 diet plus 1% cholesterol, w/w), 0.02% RV (HFD + high RV, 0.02% RV with HFD, w/w, Sigma Chemical Company) and 0.005% RV (HFD + low RV, w/w, 0.005% RV with HFD, w/w). The HFD was formulated to provide 20% of the total energy from fat, by replacing carbohydrate energy with lard and maize oil, and had the same amount of vitamins and minerals per kJ as the ND. The mice had free access to food and distilled water during the experimental period. Food intake and body weight were measured daily. All animal procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee for animal studies at Kyungpook National University, Republic of Korea.

**Sampling**

At the end of the experimental period, the mice were anaesthetised with ketamine after a 12 h fast. Blood samples were collected in heparinised tubes from the inferior vena cava and stored at −70°C before analysis of plasma biomarkers. The liver and visceral fat depots (epididymal, perirenal, mesentery and retroperitoneum) were removed, rinsed with a physiological saline solution, weighed and immediately stored at −70°C.

**Lipid analyses**

Plasma NEFA were measured using a commercial assay kit (NEFA-Wako; Wako Pure Chemical Industries). Plasma TAG

---

**Table 1. Composition of experimental diets (% of diet, w/w)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>ND</th>
<th>HFD + 0 RV</th>
<th>HFD + high RV</th>
<th>HFD + low RV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Casein</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL-Met</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>36-98</td>
<td>36-995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIN-mineral*</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>4-2</td>
<td>4-2</td>
<td>4-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIN-vitamin†</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choline bitartrate</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize starch</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lard</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize oil</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV</td>
<td>0-02</td>
<td>0-005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (%)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet (kJ/100 g)</td>
<td>1611-42</td>
<td>1893-52</td>
<td>1893-19</td>
<td>1893-44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy from fat (%)</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>39.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND, normal diet; HFD, high-fat diet; RV, resveratrol; HFD + 0 RV, HFD; HFD + high RV, HFD supplemented with 0.02% RV; HFD + low RV, HFD supplemented with 0.005% RV; AIN, American Institute of Nutrition.

*AIN-76 mineral mixture: calcium phosphate 500 g/kg, NaCl 74 g/kg, potassium citrate 2220 g/kg, potassium sulphate 52 g/kg, magnesium oxide 24 g/kg, manganese carbonate 3.5 g/kg, ferric citrate 6 g/kg, zinc carbonate 1.6 g/kg, cupric carbonate 0.3 g/kg, potassium iodate 0.01 g/kg, sodium selenite 0.01 g/kg, chromium potassium sulphate 0.55 g/kg, sucrose 118.03 g/kg.

†AIN-76 vitamin mixture: thiamin HCl 0.6 g/kg, riboflavin 0.6 g/kg, pyridoxine HCl 0.7 g/kg, niacin 3 g/kg, calcium pantothenate 1 g/kg, folic acid 0.2 g/kg, biotin 0.02 g/kg, vitamin B12, vitamin A (500 000 IU/g), vitamin D3 (400 000 IU/g), vitamin E acetate (500 IU/g), 10 g/kg, menadione sodium bisulphite 0.08 g/kg, sucrose 981.15 g/kg.
and total cholesterol were measured using commercial kits (Asan Pharmaceutical), based on the lipase–glycerol phosphate oxidase method and cholesterol oxidase method, respectively. Plasma apoB was also determined using a commercial kit (Nitto Boseki).

Hepatic lipids were extracted using the method of Folch et al. The dried lipid residues were dissolved in 1 ml of ethanol for cholesterol and TAG assays. Triton X-100 and sodium cholate solution were added to 200 ml of the dissolved lipid solution to produce a final concentration of 3 mM. The hepatic cholesterol and TAG levels were analysed with the same commercial kit as used in the plasma analysis (Asan Pharmaceutical).

**Lipid-regulating enzyme activities**

To measure lipid-regulating enzyme activities in the liver and epididymal WAT, enzyme sources were prepared according to the method reported by Hulcher & Oleson. FA synthase (FAS) activity was determined by a spectrophotometric assay according to the methods of Carl et al.; one unit of FAS activity represented the oxidation of 1 nmol of NADPH per min at 30°C. The glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) activity was determined using the method of Pitkänen et al., based on the reduction of 1 nmol NADP per min at 25°C measured at 340 nm using a spectrophotometer. Phosphatidate phosphohydrolase (PAP) activity was measured using the method of Walton & Possmayer and PAP activity was expressed as nmol/min per mg protein. FA β-oxidation was measured spectrophotometrically by monitoring the reduction of NAD to NADH in the presence of palmitoyl-CoA as described by Lazarow. The amount of protein in enzyme sources was determined by the Bradford method using bovine serum albumin as the standard.

**Histopathological analysis**

Liver and epididymal WAT were removed from the mice and fixed in a buffer solution of 10% formalin. All fixed tissues were processed routinely for paraffin embedding and 4 μm sections were prepared and stained with haematoxylin and eosin. Stained areas were viewed using an optical microscope (Zeiss Axioscope) with a magnifying power of £200 and epididymal adipocyte size was measured by using Motic Images Plus 2.0ML (Motic).

**Statistical analysis**

All data were presented as the mean and standard error. Statistical analysis was performed using software SPSS (version 11.0, a,b,c Mean values with unlike letters are significantly different among groups (P < 0.05).
SPSS, Inc.). Statistical differences between ND and HFD results were determined using Student’s t test. One-way ANOVA was performed to compare HFD groups with or without RV and Tukey’s post hoc test was performed when significant differences were identified between the groups at \( P<0.05 \).

Results

Effects of resveratrol on body weight and food intake in diet-induced obese mice

Food intake was significantly suppressed in mice fed a HFD alone compared to ND-fed mice, and daily energy intake was not different between the two groups (Fig. 1). However, body weight was significantly greater in the mice fed a HFD alone compared to ND-fed mice after 6 weeks. In HFD-fed mice, supplementation of RV at a low dose (0.005%) significantly suppressed body weight gain after 3 weeks, but the higher dose of RV (0.02%) was surprisingly not effective (Fig. 1). Neither food intake nor energy intake was affected by RV supplementation, whereas food efficiency ratio was significantly lower in the low-dose (0.005%) RV group, compared to the HFD control group (Fig. 1).

Effects of resveratrol on fat accumulation in diet-induced obese mice

HFD feeding resulted in a significant increase in total visceral WAT weight, including the epididymal, perirenal, retroperitoneal and mesentery depots compared to ND (Fig. 1). RV intake had differential effects on visceral adipose depots. Perirenal and mesentery adipose depots were significantly reduced in HFD-fed mice supplemented with either high-dose or low-dose RV (Fig. 1). Only the low dose of RV (0.005%) effectively reduced the weight of the epididymal and retroperitoneal adipose depots in HFD-fed mice (Fig. 1). Hence, overall total visceral adipose weight was significantly lowered by RV supplementation, although the low dose appeared to be more effective than the higher dose (Fig. 1). Morphological observations also indicated that epididymal adipocyte size was smaller in the RV-supplemented mice than in the HFD control mice (Fig. 2(B) and (C)).

Effect of resveratrol on plasma and hepatic lipid levels in diet-induced obese mice

Next, we determined if there was any evidence of a dose-dependent effect of RV on plasma and hepatic lipid levels.
Table 2. Effects of resveratrol (RV) supplementation on plasma and hepatic lipid levels in C57BL/6J mice fed a high-fat diet (HFD) (Mean values with their standard errors)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ND</th>
<th>HFD+0 RV</th>
<th>HFD+high RV</th>
<th>HFD+low RV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma lipids (mmol/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEFA</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.12&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.13&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol</td>
<td>3.47&lt;sup&gt;***&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4.81&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol</td>
<td>0.83&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>3.18&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoB:apoA-I</td>
<td>0.13&lt;sup&gt;***&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.21&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic lipids (μmol/g)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG</td>
<td>10.83&lt;sup&gt;***&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>21.89&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td>2.51&lt;sup&gt;***&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>14.64&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND, normal diet; HFD+0 RV, HFD; HFD+high RV, HFD supplemented with 0.02% RV; HFD+low RV, HFD supplemented with 0.005% RV; Al, atherogenic index.

<sup>a,b,c</sup> Mean values with unlike superscript letters are significantly different (P<0.05).

Mean values were significantly different for ND from those of HFD: *P<0.05, **P<0.001.

†AI = (total cholesterol – HDL-cholesterol)/HDL-cholesterol.

Effect of resveratrol on lipid-regulating enzyme activities in liver of diet-induced obese mice

To further examine the mechanism via which RV suppresses lipid accumulation in the liver, we determined the activity of hepatic lipid-regulating enzymes. The activity of hepatic PAP, which is a rate-limiting enzyme in TAG synthesis, was elevated in mice fed the HFD alone compared to ND-fed mice. We observed no changes in de novo lipogenic FAS and G6PD activities in the liver of mice fed the HFD alone. However, FA oxidation was significantly augmented in the mice fed the HFD alone compared to ND-fed mice (Table 3). In contrast, the activity of PAP was significantly suppressed by RV in the liver of HFD-fed mice without affecting FA oxidation (Table 3). Furthermore, 0.02% RV in the diet significantly decreased hepatic FAS activity by 43% compared to the HFD alone (Table 3).

Effect of resveratrol on activities of enzymes involved in lipogenesis and fatty acid oxidation in epididymal white adipose tissue of diet-induced obese mice

We next examined the activities of enzymes that can regulate lipid accumulation in epididymal WAT. C57BL/6J mice fed the HFD alone showed a significant increase of lipogenic enzyme PAP activity in epididymal WAT compared to ND-fed mice, although no change in β-oxidation was observed in HFD-fed mice (Table 3). In contrast, RV significantly decreased FAS and PAP activities in epididymal WAT compared to the HFD-alone group (Table 3). Furthermore, mice fed a low dose of RV (0.005%) showed a significant decrease in G6PD activity and a significant increase in FA β-oxidation in epididymal WAT compared to the mice fed the HFD alone (Table 3).

Discussion

A chronic HFD is a major contributing factor underlying the development of obesity in humans. Currently, there is widespread interest in natural compounds that can suppress diet-induced obesity. In the present study, we first determined whether RV exerted any dose-dependent effect on weight gain, hepatic steatosis or dyslipidaemia. Next, we determined whether RV affected lipid-regulating enzyme activity in the liver or adipose tissue. We used C57BL/6J mice which are prone to the development of obesity when fed a HFD.
Effects of resveratrol in obese mice

Table 3. Effects of resveratrol (RV) supplementation on lipid-regulating enzyme activities in the liver and adipose tissue of C57BL/6J mice fed a high-fat diet (HFD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ND</th>
<th>HFD+0 RV</th>
<th>HFD+high RV</th>
<th>HFD+low RV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SE</td>
<td>Mean ± SE</td>
<td>Mean ± SE</td>
<td>Mean ± SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liver</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAS (nmol/min per mg protein)</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.22a</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.02b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6PD (nmol/min per mg protein)</td>
<td>4.18 ± 0.67</td>
<td>3.46a</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.26b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAP (nmol/min per mg protein)</td>
<td>17.62 ± 0.54</td>
<td>22.98a</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>19.56b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Oxidation (nmol/min per mg protein)</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.07</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>3.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adipose tissue</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAS (nmol/min per mg protein)</td>
<td>42.50 ± 3.21</td>
<td>37.17a</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>23.65b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6PD (nmol/min per mg protein)</td>
<td>27.10 ± 1.78</td>
<td>32.33a</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>26.59b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAP (nmol/min per mg protein)</td>
<td>251.64b± 50.49</td>
<td>351.96a</td>
<td>16.99</td>
<td>248.27b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Oxidation (nmol/min per mg protein)</td>
<td>123.37 ±63.30</td>
<td>141.93a</td>
<td>10.34</td>
<td>98.95b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND, normal diet; HFD+0 RV, HFD; HFD+high RV, HFD supplemented with 0.02 % RV; HFD+low RV, HFD supplemented with 0.005 % RV; FAS, fatty acid synthase; G6PD, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase; PAP, phosphatidate phosphohydrolase.

*a, b Mean values with unlike superscript letters are significantly different (P<0.05).

**Mean values were significantly different for ND from those of HFD: *P<0.05, **P<0.01.

developing similar characteristics as human obesity, compared to genetically obese animals (21, 22, 34). We found that RV protected against diet-induced obesity, but observed unexpectedly no dose–response effects.

As others have shown (21, 22, 34), the HFD resulted in a marked increase of body weight gain due to increased adiposity, despite significantly decreased food intake. RV significantly suppressed adiposity in HFD-fed mice, due to lower visceral WAT weight. Consistent with these results, we observed that epididymal WAT size was smaller in HFD-fed mice supplemented with RV. RV supplemented at a lower dose was more effective than the higher dose at suppressing visceral fat accumulation. Furthermore, RV at a low dose, but not a high dose, significantly suppressed body weight gain compared to HFD control mice. Past animal studies have been equivocal, with some studies reporting that RV suppresses body weight gain and fat accumulation in HFD-fed mice (15, 16), and rats (17), while several studies have reported that RV has no effect on body weight gain (18–20). The discrepancies between past studies may be attributable to differences in diet composition, duration of diet, dosage and experimental animals used. Few past studies have examined any dose–response effects of RV in HFD-fed animals. One previous study in rats fed a hyperenergetic diet containing different doses (6, 30, 60 mg/kg body weight per d) of RV for 6 weeks reported no dose–response effects on adipose weight (35). Importantly, our study compared two doses of RV, both below 0.02 %, equivalent to 2 mg/kg body weight per d. Our findings suggest that RV may be an effective anti-obesity agent, reducing body weight and fat accumulation in response to a HFD, and that 0.005 % RV may be an adequate amount for suppressing body weight gain and adiposity, although a response study with lower doses of RV is further needed to determine the minimum effective dose.

Next, we examined potential mechanisms underlying the body weight and adiposity-suppressing effect of RV. RV did not lead to decreased food intake, because no significant difference in food intake was observed in the HFD-fed mice regardless of RV administration. Many studies have reported that dietary fat led to augmentation of lipogenic enzyme activity (36, 37), although contradictory findings have been reported (38). WAT is a major site of de novo FA synthesis, and the FA synthesised in WAT can be either re-esterified to TAG within WAT or oxidised via mitochondrial β-oxidation along with FA from the diet. FA plays a central role in de novo lipogenesis by catalysing the synthesis of saturated long-chain FA from acetyl-CoA, malonyl-CoA and NADPH. G6PD is also a lipogenic enzyme involved in supplying NADPH for the biosynthesis of FA. In the present study, no changes in FAS or G6PD activity as well as FA β-oxidation activity were observed in epididymal WAT of ND-fed mice compared to mice fed a HFD alone. However, we observed a significant increase in PAP activity, a rate-limiting enzyme in TAG synthesis in epididymal WAT. These findings indicate that HFD does not promote de novo FA synthesis and fat oxidation in adipose tissue. The increased epididymal WAT mass in HFD-fed mice may be attributable to increased esterification of NEFA provided by the diet. Interestingly, in HFD-fed mice, RV administration significantly inhibited the activity of de novo lipogenic enzymes including FAS and G6PD as well as PAP in epididymal WAT. Again we observed no dose–response effect, and 0.005 % RV significantly augmented FA β-oxidation in epididymal WAT, which may help explain the lower visceral adiposity compared to 0.02 % RV-supplemented mice. Our findings are consistent with a previous study that reported suppression of FAS gene expression and protein level by RV in epididymal WAT of HFD-fed mice (39). Furthermore, RV has been reported to inhibit de novo lipogenesis in parallel with the down-regulation of lipogenic gene expression in human adipocytes (33). Taken together, the current evidence indicates that the suppression of adiposity by RV may be due to the inhibition of de novo FA synthesis or stimulation of FA oxidation in WAT.

Another possible mechanism by which dietary RV ameliorates adiposity may be due to the activation of lipolysis. Lipolysis is one of the important metabolic pathways regulating TAG accumulation in WAT and two major enzymes, hormone-sensitive lipase and adipose TAG lipase, are involved.
in adipose TAG hydrolysis. Rayalam et al.\(^{(40)}\) have shown that RV down-regulated the expression of hormone-sensitive lipase as well as lipogenic gene in WAT, indicating that RV may alter fat mass by directly affecting the biochemical pathways involved in adipogenesis. In contrast, a recent study reported that RV induced the release of NEFA but not glycerol in 3T3 L1 cells and up-regulated adipose TAG lipase mRNA and protein expression without affecting hormone-sensitive lipase\(^{(41)}\). Furthermore, NEFA release was increased by RV in epididymal WAT of wild-type and hormone-sensitive lipase knockout mice, but no changes were observed in epididymal WAT of adipose TAG lipase knockout mice, suggesting that adipose TAG lipase seems to be the main target for the lipolytic effect of RV\(^{(41)}\).

Fat accumulation in adipose tissue is closely associated with the development of hepatic steatosis, which is an excessive accumulation of fat in the liver. Several mechanisms may lead to a hepatic steatosis: (1) increased NEFA supply due to increased lipolysis from WAT and/or increased intake of dietary fat, (2) increased de novo hepatic lipogenesis, (3) decreased fatty oxidation in the liver and (4) decreased hepatic VLDL–TAG secretion\(^{(42)}\). Excessive consumption of dietary fat, especially SFA, leads to an excess accumulation of TAG in the liver\(^{(32,33)}\). We also observed that the HFD led to a significant increase of hepatic TAG content as well as plasma NEFA level in HFD-fed mice. The suppression of hepatic lipogenesis by RV was linked to decreased activities of hepatic de novo lipogenic FAS as well as PAP without affecting FA oxidation. The low dose of RV appeared to be more effective for suppressing hepatic steatosis, similar to body weight and fat. Taken together, these findings suggest that the beneficial effect of RV on hepatic steatosis is mediated, at least in part, by decreased activities of hepatic de novo lipogenic FAS as well as PAP without affecting FA oxidation. The low dose of RV may account in part for the decrease in hepatic TAG content in mice supplemented with RV, since

![Fig. 3. Summary of the effects of resveratrol supplementation on lipid metabolism in the liver and adipose tissue.](https://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn)
hepatic TAG accumulation during insulin resistance occurs as a result of the increase in the supply of circulating NEFA to the liver as well as through an increased endogenous lipogenesis(42).

In the present study, we observed that the HFD resulted in an increased plasma apoB/apoA-I ratio, as well as total cholesterol concentration mostly due to increased HDL-cholesterol concentrations. The increase in total cholesterol and HDL-cholesterol is a common feature of most mouse strains fed a HFD(43). Plasma apoB or apoA-I concentrations independently or together as a ratio (apoB/apoA-I) are reported to predict cardiovascular risk more accurately than lipid levels(48). Previously we reported that long-term RV supplementation over 20 weeks significantly decreased plasma total cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol levels in apoE knockout mice fed an ND, whereas we observed that 0.005% RV normalised the HFD-mediated increase of plasma total cholesterol and apoB/apoA-I ratio, whereas the beneficial effects were not observed in HFD-fed mice supplemented with 0.02% RV. Zern et al.(50) reported that the grape polyphenol failed to improve plasma total cholesterol level, although hepatic cholesterol metabolism was altered based on evidence of lower hepatic acyl-CoA:cholesterol acytransferase (ACAT) activity, a key cholesterol-regulating enzyme involved in the esterification and absorption of cholesterol(51). Furthermore, grape polyphenol was suggested to decrease the secretion of hepatic LDL-cholesterol reducing cholesterol accumulation in the arterial wall(51). Consistent with this study, we found that both lower and higher RV significantly decreased hepatic cholesterol content by 47 and 61%, respectively, as compared to the HFD-alone group. Although we did not determine hepatic 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl (HMG)-CoA reductase activity and faecal bile acid levels in this study, the inhibition of hepatic HMG-CoA reductase activity or the increase of faecal bile acids excretion may be related to the plasma and hepatic cholesterol-lowering effect of RV. In our previous study, we demonstrated that RV led to decreased cholesterol level in plasma as well as the liver by suppressing the activity of hepatic HMG-CoA reductase, a rate-limiting enzyme for cholesterol synthesis, in apoE-deficient mice(49). On the other hand, Zhu et al.(52) reported that RV significantly lowered cholesterol levels in the serum and liver of HFD-fed rats and these effects resulted from the enhanced excretion of bile acids into faeces. Another possibility is an inhibition of pancreatic bile salt-dependent lipase by RV(53). In rat pancreatic AR4-2J cells, RV inhibited the secretion and activity of bile salt-dependent lipase which is synthesised in pancreatic cells and involved in duodenal hydrolysis of lipid esters and absorption of non-esterified cholesterol.

Overall, our findings suggest that lower doses of RV may have more beneficial effects on adiposity, hepatic steatosis and hyperlipidaemia in HFD-fed mice compared to higher doses of RV. Currently, it is unclear why RV has a reverse dose–response effect, but it may explain why previous studies on the in vivo effects of RV have been equivocal. One possibility suggested in a recent review is that RV at high doses can exhibit pro-oxidant properties(54). Oxidative stress closely correlates with fat accumulation in humans and mice(55) and reactive oxygen species lead to mitochondrial dysfunction associated with obesity and obesity-related metabolic disease(56). Considerable evidence supports that high doses of polyphenols can potentially cause adverse metabolic effects through pro-oxidative effects(57). In fact, several recent papers indicate that high doses of RV in vivo can lead to adverse physiological effects. Dudley et al.(58) reported that at lower doses (2.5 or 5 mg/kg body weight per d), RV protected against heart ischaemia by inducing a survival signal causing the up-regulation of anti-apoptotic and redox proteins, while at higher doses (>25 mg/kg body weight per d) RV potentiated a death signal causing the down-regulation of redox proteins and up-regulation of pro-apoptotic proteins. Consistently, RV protected the heart when administered at relatively low doses between 2.5 and 10 mg/kg body weight(59). In addition, high doses of trans-RV in hypercholesterolaemic rabbits have been reported to cause atherosclerotic lesions while lower doses of RV were found to be protective(60).

In conclusion, the present findings suggest that dietary RV may be an effective anti-obesity agent and also protect against atherosclerosis when supplemented at low doses. RV decreases adiposity and hepatic steatosis in diet-induced obese mice and these effects appear to be mediated via regulation of lipid metabolism-related enzyme activity in the liver and adipose tissue, as summarised in Fig. 3. Low-dose RV was more beneficial for suppressing visceral adiposity and hepatic steatosis, along with protecting against dyslipidaemia in HFD-fed mice. In future studies, it will be important to establish whether varying doses of RV in humans consuming a HFD can provide any protection against hepatic steatosis, dyslipidaemia and visceral adipose tissue accumulation.
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