## MORPHOLOGY AND POWER OF RADIO SOURCES

P.A.G. Scheuer Mullard Radio Astronomy Observatory, Cavendish Laboratory, Cambridge, U.K.

I want to make two points:

1. Observations suggest that the hot-spots move about either because the beam precesses (e.g. Ekers et al. 1978; Lonsdale & Morrison 1980) or more discontinuously, as in sources like 3C351 that have multiple hotspots (Laing 1981). The natural interpretation is that the hot-spot at the end of the beam slides over the inner surface of a 'cavity' filled with very hot dilute ex-hot-spot material (Scheuer 1974), extending the cavity at various places at different times. Such a 'dentist's drill' model has various consequences:

(i) The mean speed (length/age) at which the cavity elongates may be considerably smaller than the instantaneous speed V of the hot-spot, estimated in the customary way from pressure balance:

 $\frac{1}{3}(\frac{\text{minimum energy density for}}{\text{observed radio emission}}) = (\text{external density}) \times \mathbb{V}^2$ 

(ii) Remark (i) raises the possibility that giant radio sources have become so long because their jets are unusually constant in direction. NGC6251, which is over 2 Mpc long, has a jet whose direction has not wavered by more than a few degrees in  $\gtrsim 10^7$  years (Saunders et al. 1981).

(iii) We should not be surprised by sources whose hot-spots lie some way behind the extreme ends of the source; this may merely mean that the beam at present impinges on one side of the 'cavity'. 3C285 is a typical example; a more persuasive case is the Np component of 3C219 (Perley et al. 1980).

2. Most of the radio emission of most really powerful radio sources comes from their hot spots. Contrariwise, straightforward equipartition calculations on models lead to at least as much emission from the 'cavity' as from the hot-spots (Scheuer 1974). While there are several possible explanations, one in particular is suggested by Laing's (1981)

163

D. S. Heeschen and C. M. Wade (eds.), Extragalactic Radio Sources, 163–165. Copyright © 1982 by the IAU.

extensive data on 40 sources. He lists the synchrotron lifetimes in the hot-spots for electrons radiating at 15 GHz, and while these exceed the light crossing times, they do so by only one or two orders of mag-One expects flow through the hot spots at some fairly small nitude. fraction of the speed of light; the synchrotron loss cutoff in the hotspot is then expected to appear in the mm or infra-red region. Expansion of the hot-spot material into the cavity shifts the loss cutoff to a much lower frequency. If the expansion is adiabatic (certainly an oversimplification) the ratio of loss cutoff frequencies is equal to the ratio of energy densities. We cannot use this relationship directly in the more interesting cases, as we cannot estimate the energy density (or even the size) of a 'cavity' that we cannot observe. However, we can estimate the cutoff frequency within the hot-spots (for some assumed streaming speed), and Figure 1 shows that the most powerful hot-spots have loss cutoff frequencies in the 10-100 GHz range, implying cutoff frequencies in the 'cavity' that could well be below 1 GHz.

The predictions of this model differ from those for synchrotron loss within the 'cavity' in that the latter gives a progressively shorter tail to each hot spot at higher frequencies, while the model sketched here can abolish the whole of the radio bridge. This model also accounts for the strong correlation found by Jenkins & McEllin (1977) between the power of a radio source and its 'compactness', i.e. the fraction of its flux density originating in hot-spots, for the synchrotron loss cutoff depends more strongly on the power of the hot-spot than on its size.



Figure 1. Compactness versus synchrotron loss cutoff on the hot-spots. Compactness is defined, following Jenkins & McEllin, as the fraction of flux within two 15 kpc regions, and measured by taking the point source flux equivalent to the maxima on a map convolved to a circular beam 15 kpc FWHP (H = 50,  $\Omega$  = 0). The synchrotron loss cutoff  $v_{loss}$  here

## MORPHOLOGY AND POWER OF RADIO SOURCES

means the frequency at which the emission is expected to fall to 0.1 of the power law extrapolation of the radio observations, assuming equipartition, and a flow speed v = 0.25 c through a hotspot of observed radius r and radio power P;  $v_{10SS} \propto P^{-6/7} r^{4/7} v^{-2}$ .

The sources form a very incomplete sample at present, and are mostly taken from Laing (1981).

The source at low  $v_{loss}$  which apparently violates the otherwise strong correlation is 3C280.1, which has a hot-spot on one side of the nucleus, but a long jet on the other.

## REFERENCES

Ekers, R.D., Fanti, R., Lari, C. & Parma, P.: 1978. Nature 276, 588.
Jenkins, C.J. & McEllin, M.: 1977. Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc., 180, 219.
Laing, R.A.: 1981. Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc., 195, 261.
Lonsdale, C.J. & Morison, I.: 1980. Nature, 288, 66.
Perley, R.A., Bridle, A.H., Willis, A.G. & Fomalont, E.B.: 1980.
Astr. J., 85, 499.
Saunders, R., Baldwin, J.E., Pooley, G.G. & Warner, P.J.: (in press).
Scheuer, P.A.G.: 1974. Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc., 166, 513.