

A NOTE ON SUMS OF PRIMES

BY

ANDREW GRANVILLE

ABSTRACT. Under the assumption of the prime k -tuplets conjecture we show that it is possible to construct an infinite sequence of integers, such that the average of any two is prime.

Recently Pomerance, Sárközy and Stewart [2] constructed sets of integers A and B for which every element of $A + B$ is prime; and a set of odd integers A for which $\frac{1}{2}(a + a')$ is prime for any $a \neq a'$ in A . These sets were chosen from $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ so as to make them as large as possible. A natural question that arises is whether we can construct infinite sets with these properties, and we do this here under the assumption of Hardy and Littlewood's

PRIME k -TUPLETS CONJECTURE. *Suppose that $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k$ are integers such that each $(a_j, b_j) = 1$ and, for each prime $p \leq k$, there exists an integer x for which none of $a_1x + b_1, \dots, a_kx + b_k$ are divisible by p . Then there are arbitrarily large integers x for which each of $a_1x + b_1, \dots, a_kx + b_k$ is prime.*

Actually we will prove a considerable (though technical) generalization of the above questions:

THEOREM. *Let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N be given positive integers and suppose that the prime k -tuplets conjecture is true. We can construct infinite sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N of distinct odd prime numbers such that every element of the set $\frac{1}{d}\{c_1A_1 + \dots + c_NA_N\}$ is prime, where $g = \gcd(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N)$ and $d = \gcd(2g, c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_N)$.*

REMARK: The set $\{c_1A_1 + \dots + c_NA_N\}$ is defined to be the set whose elements are the sum of any c_1 elements of A_1 , any c_2 elements of A_2, \dots , and any c_N elements of A_N . Note that the element $c_1a_1 + \dots + c_Na_N$ of $c_1A_1 + \dots + c_NA_N$ must be divisible by d .

By taking $N = 2, c_1 = 1, c_2 = 2, A = A_1$ and $B = \{2a : a \in A_2\}$ in the Theorem above, we have constructed infinite sets of integers A and B for which every element of $A + B$ is prime. By taking $N = 1$ and $c_1 = 2$ we have constructed an infinite set of integers A for which $\frac{1}{2}(a + a')$ is prime for any $a, a' \in A$.

Before the Theorem we prove

LEMMA. *For any given $B > 0$ we can find, under the same hypothesis as in the Theorem, distinct primes a_1, \dots, a_N , each greater than B , such that $\frac{1}{d}(c_1a_1 + \dots + c_Na_N)$ is prime.*

PROOF. Without loss of generality we may assume that $g = 1$. Let D be the product of the odd primes dividing $c_1c_2 \dots c_N$. We shall choose integers r_1, \dots, r_N which satisfy the following congruences: $r_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for each prime $p = q$ dividing D , and also

Received February 16, 1990.

AMS subject classification: 10J15.

©Canadian Mathematical Society 1990.

for $p = 4$ and $q = 2$, for each i , unless p divides $c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_N$ and i is the smallest index for which q does not divide c_i , in which case we take $r_i \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. Such integers exist because of the Chinese Remainder Theorem.

We now choose a_2, \dots, a_N to be distinct primes, greater than B , with each $a_i \equiv r_i \pmod{4D}$, which is certainly possible by Dirichlet's Theorem for primes in arithmetic progressions. We are thus left with having to find an arbitrarily large integer x such that both $a_1 = 4Dx + r_1$ and $2Dc_0x + e$ are prime, where $c_0 = 2c_1/d$ and $e = (c_1r_1 + c_2a_2 + \dots + c_Na_N)/d$. However, by the choice of the r_i 's, we know that both r_1 and e are coprime with $2Dc_0$ and so, by the prime k -tuplets conjecture, arbitrarily large such x exist.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM: By construction. The first elements of each set are given by taking $B = e^{c_1+c_2+\dots+c_N}$ in the Lemma. We then continue to construct the sets by adding one new prime to each set in turn, starting $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N, A_1, \dots$ etc.

We now show how to select a suitable prime p to add to the set F_j , once we have already constructed the subsets F_1, F_2, \dots, F_N of A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N in this way:

Suppose that q was the last prime added to F_j and let m be the product of the primes $< q/2$. We shall be choosing p of the form $p = q + mx$ for some sufficiently large choice of x , so that p is larger than any prime previously chosen and also larger than $3c_j \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i + 1)^{c_i}$, where a_i is the cardinality of F_i .

Let $G_j = F_j \cup \{p\}$ and $G_i = F_i$ otherwise. An element of $\frac{1}{d} \{c_1G_1 + \dots + c_NG_N\}$ that contains p in its sum can be seen to be equal to an element of $\frac{1}{d} \{c_1F_1 + \dots + c_NF_N\}$ that contains q in its sum plus some integer multiple of mx/d : Therefore it can be written in the form

$$(*) \qquad r + tmx/d$$

for some t in the range $1 \leq t \leq c_j$, where r is a prime, with $r > q/2$. By definition $(r, tm/d) = 1$, and so each such integer (*) is free of prime factors less than $q/2$.

There are $k - 1 \leq c_j \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{c_i} (< q/3)$ such elements (*), and none of them is divisible by any prime $\leq k$ when we take $x = 0$. Therefore, by the prime k -tuplets conjecture, there are infinitely many integers x such that $q + mx$ and all of the integers (*) are prime. Hence, by choosing a sufficiently large such integer x , we can ensure that p is a suitable element to be added to F_j .

REFERENCES

1. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, *Some problems of Partitio Numerorum III: On the expression of a number as a sum of primes*, Acta Math. **44** (1922) 1–70.
2. C. Pomerance, A. Sárközy, C. L. Stewart, *On divisors of sums of integers III*, Pacific J. Math. **133** (1988) 363–379.

*Department of Mathematics
University of Toronto
Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A1*

Current address:

*School of Mathematics
Institute for Advanced Study
Princeton, New Jersey 08540,
U. S. A.*