J. Lambek and L. Moser

(received February 26, 1959)

In this note we use the method of generating functions to show that there is a unique way of splitting the non-negative integers into two classes in such a way that the sums of pairs of distinct integers will be the same (with same multiplicities) for both classes. We prove a similar theorem for products of positive integers and consider some related problems.

Suppose then that the non-negative integers are split into two classes A and B with

A: $0 = a_1 < a_2 < ...$ B: $b_1 < b_2 < ...$

Consider the corresponding generating functions

$$\begin{split} A &\longleftrightarrow f(x) = \sum_{i} x^{a_{i}}, \quad |x| < 1. \\ B &\longleftrightarrow g(x) = \sum_{j} x^{b_{j}}, \quad |x| < 1. \end{split}$$

Since every non-negative integer belongs to exactly one class we have

(1)
$$f(x) + g(x) = 1/(1-x)$$
.

The coefficient of x^n in $f^2(x) - f(x^2)$ will be twice the number of ways in which n can be represented as the sum of two a's.

* These results were obtained at the Kingston Summer Research Institute of the Canadian Mathematical Congress.

Can. Math. Bull., Vol. 2, No. 2, May 1959

Thus if the sums of the a's two at a time are to be the same as the sums of the b's two at a time we will have

(2)
$$f^2(x) - f(x^2) = g^2(x) - g(x^2)$$
.

If we let

(3)
$$h(x) = f(x) - g(x)$$

then (2) can be written in the form

(4)
$${f(x) + g(x)}h(x) = h(x^2)$$

Now from (4) and (1) we obtain

(5)
$$h(x)/h(x^2) = 1-x$$

Throughout we have |x| < 1 so that $h(x^{2^k}) \rightarrow h(0)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Further, since $a_1 = 0$ and h(0) = 1, iteration of (5) yields

(6)
$$h(x) = (1-x)(1-x^2)(1-x^4)(1-x^8) \dots$$

Thus from (1), (3) and (6) we have

(7)
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{(1-x)} + \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1-x^{2^k}) \right].$$

From (7) it is easy to determine which integers belong to A. Since every integer has a unique representation as a sum of distinct powers of 2 we have

(8)
$$1/(1 - x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 + x^{2^k}),$$

while

(9)
$$\overline{\prod}_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2^k}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{\epsilon(n)} x^n,$$

where $\mathcal{E}(n)$ is the number of summands in the decomposition of n into distinct powers of 2, i.e. the sum of the digits in the binary representation of n.

Combining (7), (8) and (9) we find that A consists precisely of those integers for which $\mathcal{E}(n)$ is even. Thus the sequences A and B are

A : 0, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 12, 15, ..., B : 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14, ... We note that the classes A and B can also be constructed recursively as follows: 0 is in A and 1 in B and if

$$2^k \le x \le 2^{k+1}$$

then x and x - 2^k are in opposite classes

It is easy to see that our decomposition also leads to a unique decomposition of any arithmetic progression $\{a + nb\}$, n = 0, 1, 2, ... into two classes having the same sums in pairs. Indeed we have only to put a + nb into the same class as was previously assigned to n.

Let us next investigate the possibility of splitting the finite set of integers 0, 1, 2, ..., m-l into two classes in such a way that the sums in pairs in both classes are the same. Using the same definitions for f(x), g(x) and h(x) as before and following a similar procedure we obtain

(10)
$$f(x) + g(x) = 1 + x + x^2 + ... + x^{m-1} = (1-x^m)/(1-x)$$

and

(11)
$$h(x) = \frac{1-x}{1-x^{m}} \cdot \frac{1-x^{2}}{1-x^{2m} 1-x^{4m}} \cdot \cdots \cdot$$

Clearly h(x) is a polynomial if and only if $m = 2^k$, $k \ge 0$. In this case we have

(12)
$$h(x) = (1-x)(1-x^2) \dots (1-x^{2k-1}),$$

with the understanding that this product is 1 when k = 0, and

(13)
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[(1-x^{2^k})/(1-x) + (1-x)(1-x^2) \dots (1-x^{2^{k-1}}) \right].$$

Thus the integers 0, 1, 2, ..., m-l can be split in the required manner if and only if $m = 2^k$ and in this case the split must be in accordance with the same rule as was used for the integers 0, 1, 2, ...

We next consider a corresponding problem for products instead of sums. We will show that there is a unique way of splitting the positive integers into two classes in such a way that products of pairs of distinct integers from either class occur with the same multiplicities. Suppose then that the positive integers are split into C and D with C: $1 = c_1 < c_2 < \dots$, D: $d_1 < d_2 < \dots$.

This time we define the corresponding Dirichlet series

$$C \leftrightarrow F(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i^{-s} \quad (R1(s) > 1)$$
$$D \leftrightarrow G(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d_i^{-s} \quad (R1(s) > 1) .$$

Here we have

and

(14)
$$F(s) + G(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s} = \zeta(s)$$
.

The condition that the products of pairs of distinct c's are the same as products of pairs of distinct d's becomes

(15)
$$F^2(s) - F(2s) = G^2(s) - G(2s)$$
.

Hence if we let

(16)
$$H(s) = F(s) - G(s)$$

then

(17)
$$H(s)/H(2s) = (\zeta(s))^{-1}$$

Iterating (17) and using $H(\infty) = 0$ we obtain

(18)
$$H(s) = [\zeta(s) \zeta(2s) \zeta(4s) \zeta(8s) \dots]^{-1}.$$

If we now use the well known Euler identity

(19)
$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p} (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$$
 (R1s > 1),

where the product runs over all primes, we obtain

(20)
$$H(s) = \overline{\prod_{p}}(1 - p^{-s})(1 - p^{-2s})(1 - p^{-4s})(1 - p^{-8s}) \dots$$

= $\overline{\prod_{p}}(1 + \alpha(p)/p^{s} + \alpha(p^{2})/p^{2s} + \alpha(p^{3})/p^{3s} + \dots) = \sum_{n} \alpha(n)/n^{s},$

where $\alpha(n)$ is the multiplicative function determined by

(21)
$$\alpha(\mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{r}}) = (-1)\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{r})$$

88

and

$$\propto (p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \dots p_k^{r_k}) = \propto (p_1^{r_1}) \propto (p_2^{r_2}) \dots \propto (p_k^{r_k}) .$$

We are thus led to the following rule for the required classification:

$$n = p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \cdots p_k^{r_k}$$

lies in the first class if and only if the total number of binary digits required to represent the set of numbers r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k is even. The classification begins

It is easy to see that no finite set 1, 2, ..., n can be split into two classes so that the products two at a time are the same for both classes, if $n \ge 3$. Indeed, if $n \ge 3$, a consideration of the position of the largest four numbers n-3, n-2, n-1 and n already leads to this conclusion. Thus, if n is in the same class as n-1 or n-2 then the product. of the largest numbers in this class is larger than any product in the second class. On the other hand, if n-1 and n-2 are in the same class, then their product is larger than that of the largest numbers which could be in the other class, namely n and n-3. The case n=3 is easily verified independently.

In conclusion we note that the determination of all sets of numbers which have a given set of numbers as sums of pairs appears to be a difficult problem. In particular, in a paper* of J.L. Selfridge and E. Straus which treats a number of related problems, it is conjectured that no three distinct sets of numbers can have the same sum of distinct pairs.

McGill University University of Alberta

* On the determination of numbers by their sums of a fixed order, Pacific J. of Math. 8 (1958), pp. 845-856.