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ABSTRACT: Background: P53 expression and increased MIB-1 proliferation index have been shown to correlate with invasive behavior in pituitary adenomas. The purpose of this study was to determine whether these indices could be used to predict a higher likelihood of recurrence in clinically nonfunctional pituitary adenomas and thus guide adjuvant therapy. Methods: Fifty-one clinically nonfunctional pituitary adenomas were selected from the database at the Vancouver Hospital and Health Sciences Center between the years 1990-1998. Included were 32 nonrecurrent and 19 recurrent adenomas. Results: The mean initial labelling index for p53 in nonrecurrent tumours was 0.38% (0.1-1.58%), while it was 0.46% (0.3-6.5%) for recurrent adenomas. The mean initial MIB-1 index for nonrecurrent tumours was 1.63% (0.08-9.36%), while for recurrent tumours it was 1.92% (0-7.76%). The percentage of p53 positive adenomas was 66% for nonrecurrent adenomas and 68% for recurrent tumours. None of the differences in the labelling indices between the recurrent and nonrecurrent groups was statistically significant. Conclusions: The results demonstrate no statistical difference in the p53 or MIB-1 labelling indices between recurrent and nonrecurrent nonfunctional pituitary adenomas. Concern should be raised in attaching too much clinical significance to these labelling indices, especially with respect to p53 as a predictor of the clinical behavior of nonfunctional pituitary adenomas.

Mutations in the p53 gene are the most commonly identified genomic alterations in human cancers. For example, patients with the Li-Fraumeni cancer syndrome have germ-line mutations in the p53 gene and have a significant risk of developing breast carcinomas, sarcomas, and other neoplasms at an early age. p53 has been studied in a wide variety of human neoplasms, including breast cancer, colonic carcinomas, Hodgkin’s disease, and hepatic neoplasms, as well as in central nervous system tumors. These findings suggest that mutations in the p53 gene may play a role in the development of these malignancies.
system tumours such as meningiomas, gliomas, and pituitary adenomas.

The wild-type p53 gene is located on the short arm of chromosome 17 and functions as a cell cycle regulator. p53 is involved in arresting the cell cycle in the G1 phase of growth, in the initiation of DNA repair, in the induction of apoptosis, and in the promotion of cellular differentiation. The p53 protein product in its wild-type form has a short half-life and is difficult to detect through standard immunohistochemical techniques, while the mutated forms are more stable, have a longer half-life, and are detectable with standard techniques in immunohistochemistry.

METHODS

Patients

The Vancouver Hospital and Health Sciences Centre database was searched for patients with clinically nonfunctional pituitary adenomas between the years 1990-1998. During this time period there were 25 recurrent nonfunctional adenomas within the group of 245 patients with nonfunctional adenomas. There were no malignant tumours identified amongst the total group of adenomas. A group consisting of 32 nonfunctional nonrecurrent adenomas was randomly selected by a computer from the database. The patients’ clinical records were then reviewed for details of operative and adjuvant therapies, results of imaging, time to recurrence, and status of the tumour at last follow-up. There were six patients with known recurrent tumours whose initial pathology/immunohistochemistry was unavailable for review as it could not be retrieved from another center (n=5) or our own centre (n=1), thus the recurrent (n=19) and nonrecurrent (n=32) populations included a total of 51 patients.

For the purposes of this study, an invasive adenoma was defined as one with involvement of the cavernous sinus, erosion through the clivus or into the middle cranial fossa, or invasion of the sphenoid sinus with breached dura. All patients were routinely followed, and a recurrent tumour was defined as growth of residual disease where dormancy had previously been demonstrated on follow-up imaging or regrowth of tumour where none had been visualized previously on routine postoperative imaging.

A nonfunctional adenoma was defined as one producing no clinically evident syndrome such as Cushing’s Syndrome or acromegaly as well as no measurable elevation of thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH), luteinizing hormone (LH), follicle stimulating hormone (FSH), growth hormone (GH), insulin growth factor 1 (IGF-1), or cortisol. However, patients were included who had mild elevations in the prolactin level (PRL) (generally <100ng/ml) secondary to the ‘stalk effect’.

Immunohistochemistry

Tumour samples were routinely fixed in formalin, dehydrated, and embedded in paraffin. Sections (3 μm thickness) were mounted onto Silane-coated glass slides. Immunohistochemistry was then carried out using an automated avidin-biotin system (Ventana ES, Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ) employing MIB-1 monoclonal antibody diluted 1:200 (Immunotech, France) or p53 antibody diluted 1:400 (Dako, Glastrop, Denmark).

All p53 and MIB-1 counts were performed by one of the authors (SH) in blinded fashion. Non-overlapping high power fields of the densest areas of positivity were photographed on a microscope (40X magnification) using a high-resolution digital camera, imported into a computer, and counted on a large monitor. The fields were counted until at least 1000 cells had been tallied and the labelling index was reported as the percentage of positively labelled cells. Although the intensity of immunostaining varied, all cells demonstrating definite nuclear positivity were counted, in keeping with counting techniques described by other authors (Figure).
Table 1: Epidemiological characteristics of three groups of adenomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Nonrecurrent</th>
<th>Nonrecurrent (no radiation)</th>
<th>Recurrent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean years)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: Female</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Size (mean mm)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Radiotherapy (initial)</td>
<td>12 (38%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Invasive</td>
<td>14 (44%)</td>
<td>4 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-op residual (initial)</td>
<td>24 (75%)</td>
<td>12 (60%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Follow-up (mean years)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>range (years)</td>
<td>0.3-9.1</td>
<td>0.3-8.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Labelling characteristics of recurrent and non-recurrent adenomas at the time of the first surgery (the total non-recurrent group and the subgroup without initial radiotherapy)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Nonrecurrent</th>
<th>Nonrecurrent (no radiation)</th>
<th>Recurrent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p53 Positive</td>
<td>21 (66%)</td>
<td>11 (55%)</td>
<td>13 (68%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p53 Index (mean)*</td>
<td>0.38%</td>
<td>0.28%</td>
<td>0.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIB-1 Index (mean)*</td>
<td>1.63%</td>
<td>1.89%</td>
<td>1.92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up (mean years)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range (years)</td>
<td>0.3-9.1</td>
<td>0.3-8.8</td>
<td>1.4-13.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Differences in the labelling indices were not statistically significant.

Statistical analysis

Analysis of the data was performed utilizing a Mann-Whitney test for independent samples. The level of statistical significance was considered to be less than 0.05 in all cases. No correction for multiple comparisons was performed as there were no comparisons that yielded a statistically significant difference.

RESULTS

Recurrent versus nonrecurrent adenomas

There were 32 nonrecurrent and 19 recurrent nonfunctional adenomas for which the initial pathology was available for review and immunohistochemical staining (Table 1). None of the differences in the labelling indices between the recurrent and nonrecurrent groups was statistically significant (Table 2).

As 12 patients (38%) in the nonrecurrent group underwent radiotherapy as initial adjuvant therapy, at the discretion of the treating surgeon, and none of the recurrent group had done so, patients who did not receive radiotherapy in the nonrecurrent group were analyzed separately (Table 1). Exclusion of the radiated group did not alter the results and again there was no statistically significant difference between the labelling indices. As well, there was no substantial difference between the three groups described above in terms of patient age, sex, tumour size, invasiveness, or presence of postoperative residual.

Hormonal immunohistochemistry

Despite the absence of a clinically definable hypersecretory syndrome in all patients, many of the tumours stained positive by immunohistochemistry for some hormones. In no case was there strong, diffuse positivity for pituitary hormones and particular patterns of mild focal staining were evident: 1) positivity for TSH, FSH, and LH, always with all three in combination; 2) positivity for GH and PRL in combination; 3) isolated positivity for ACTH; or uncommonly, 4) combinations of the above. Testing for α-subunit was not performed.

DISCUSSION

Although most pituitary adenomas are histologically benign, their behavior can be more aggressive, with multiple recurrences requiring multiple therapeutic strategies for tumour control. If it were possible to predict which pituitary adenomas were more biologically aggressive and thus more likely to recur, adjuvant therapy could be utilized to treat those patients who harboured the more aggressive adenomas and withheld if the tumour was predicted to behave more indolently. Unfortunately, routine histology (hematoxylin and eosin) is not useful in identifying higher grade neoplasms which may require adjuvant therapy. It has previously been shown that p53 and MIB-1 levels seem to correlate with invasiveness in pituitary adenomas, but no particularly good correlation with clinical behavior occurs from patient to patient.

Previous studies

A recent study of functional and nonfunctional tumours found mean MIB-1 labelling indices of 1.37%, 4.66%, and 11.91% for noninvasive adenomas, invasive adenomas, and pituitary carcinomas, respectively. Invasiveness was defined by radiographic and intraoperative findings. A threshold labelling index of 3% was established to differentiate noninvasive adenomas from potentially invasive ones. The MIB-1 labelling index of nonfunctional adenomas was lower (2.06%) than functional adenomas (3.25%). Unfortunately, ‘invasive’ is a subjective descriptor with several definitions and thus the reported proportion of adenomas that are invasive varies widely between 5-67%, depending on the study. However, it has been shown that invasive features do correlate with poorer rates of tumour control and more aggressive biologic behavior. This applies mainly to functional adenomas, and the relationship is not as clear for nonfunctional adenomas. A recent study found that the MIB-1 labelling index was not predictive of tumour recurrence for nonfunctional adenomas, however, invasiveness was correlated with increased likelihood of tumour recurrence. Knosp et al found that MIB-1 rates correlated with dural invasiveness, but it has been shown that dural invasion alone is not predictive of a more aggressive...
tumour. Buchfelder et al found a positive relationship between invasiveness and the MIB-1 labelling index, but offered no data to support its usefulness in a clinical situation. Other studies have failed to find a positive correlation between invasion and increased MIB-1 labelling indices.

In another study examining the relationship between p53 and invasiveness for various functional and nonfunctional tumours, it was found that for noninvasive and invasive adenomas and for pituitary carcinomas, the rates of p53 positivity were 0%, 15.2%, and 100%, respectively. In that study it appeared to be absolute p53 positivity rather than the extent of positivity that was important, as the p53 labelling index of 1.8% was similar for both invasive adenomas and pituitary carcinomas. Thus, it was implied that a single positive cell for p53 could significantly predict the biologic behavior of the whole adenoma. The rate of p53 positivity varies widely throughout the literature, depending on what type of adenoma is being examined, from 0% for noninvasive adenomas to 88% for pituitary carcinomas.

Buckley et al found six of 26 nonfunctional invasive adenomas were p53 positive, while none of 33 noninvasive adenomas were positive. Gandour-Edwards et al could not identify any p53 positive tumours in six invasive or in six noninvasive nonfunctional adenomas.

**Recurrent versus nonrecurrent adenomas**

There was no statistical difference for p53 labelling index, absolute p53 positivity, or MIB-1 labelling index between nonrecurrent and recurrent adenomas. A similar result for MIB-1 labelling index had been found previously but the clinical usefulness of p53 has not been previously examined in detail in the English literature. The mean follow-up for nonrecurrent adenomas is less than that for recurrent adenomas (3.7 and 5.5 years, respectively), however the mean time to recurrence is 2.4 years in this study with only three of 19 patients recurring at over 3.7 years. Thus, the longer follow-up in the recurrent group likely indicates a more complicated management protocol, although this may also be a confounding factor as tumours that are followed longer may be more likely to recur.

**Hormonal immunohistochemistry**

Although there were particular patterns of hormonal staining in these clinically nonfunctional adenomas, it is clear that no particular hormonal type is overly represented in the recurrent group when compared with the nonrecurrent group.

**Variability of labelling indices**

It is not certain what biological event is being measured with p53 immunohistochemical techniques. In a study of 29 adenomas which included 15 noninvasive and nonfunctioning tumours, Levy et al could not identify p53 mutations using genetic analysis to screen the usual locations in any of the tumours despite five being positive for p53 by immunohistochemical techniques. He and other authors have concluded that the immunohistochemical detection of p53 has no correlation with the presence of p53 mutations. Clayton has stated that “… it is clear that abnormalities in this protein, which is so frequently involved in pathogenesis (50% of common tumours), has little part to play in the majority of pituitary tumours.”

It is possible either that p53 immunohistochemistry detects abnormalities in the p53 protein unrelated to p53 genetic mutations or that genetic mutations occur at higher frequency than previously expected at other locations not screened in these genetic analyses. If disturbances in p53 regulation unrelated to mutation are being detected by immunohistochemistry, then this may still be important in adenoma pathogenesis. If, however, a mutation resulted in loss of p53 function, then it would be possible that significant dysfunction in p53 regulation could be present and yet not be detected by immunohistochemistry. Overexpression of wild-type p53 (in reaction to neoplasia) may also lead to its detection by immunohistochemistry. Given these reservations and given the results of the current study, caution should be raised about the clinical value of conventional p53 immunohistochemistry in nonfunctional pituitary adenomas.

The results reported here demonstrate no statistical difference, and certainly no clinically useful predictive difference, in the p53 or MIB-1 labelling indices between recurrent and nonrecurrent functional pituitary adenomas. Concern should be raised in placing too much clinical emphasis on these labelling indices, especially with respect to p53.
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