

COMPLETION OF NORMED ALGEBRAS OF POLYNOMIALS

H. G. DALES AND J. P. McCLURE

(Received 7 September 1973; revised 24 December 1974)

Communicated by J. B. Miller

Let \mathcal{P} be the algebra of polynomials in one indeterminate x over the complex field \mathbb{C} . Suppose $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on \mathcal{P} such that the coefficient functionals $c_j: \sum \alpha_j x^j \rightarrow \alpha_j$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) are all continuous with respect to $\|\cdot\|$, and let $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the set of characters on \mathcal{P} which are $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous. Then K is compact, $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ is connected, and $0 \in K$. Let A be the completion of \mathcal{P} with respect to $\|\cdot\|$. Then A is a singly generated Banach algebra, with space of characters (homeomorphic with) K . The functionals c_j have unique extensions to bounded linear functionals on A , and the map $a \rightarrow \sum c_j(a)x^j$ ($a \in A$) is a homomorphism from A onto an algebra of formal power series with coefficients in \mathbb{C} . We say that A is an algebra of power series if this homomorphism is one-to-one, that is if $a \in A$ and $a \neq 0$ imply $c_j(a) \neq 0$ for some j .

We are interested in the relationship between the propositions (S): A is semi-simple, and (P): A is an algebra of power series. Loy (1974; Theorem 5) has proved that if $0 \in K^\circ$ (the interior of K), then (P) implies (S). With the further conditions that K° is connected and dense in K , it is easy to see that (S) and (P) are equivalent (Theorem 2). Examples show that without the given restrictions on K , (S) does not imply (P), and without the condition $0 \in K^\circ$, (P) does not imply (S). The equivalence between (S) and (P) has a generalization to the case of a projective tensor product $B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$, where B is a commutative Banach algebra with identity and \mathcal{P} is suitably normed (Theorem 5). For a discussion of tensor products of Banach algebras, and in particular of the question of semi-simplicity of $B \hat{\otimes} A$ when B and A are semi-simple, see Gelbaum's paper (1962).

1

EXAMPLES. (a) Let K be a compact set in \mathbb{C} with $0 \in K$ and $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ connected. If A is the completion of \mathcal{P} with respect to $|\cdot|_K$ (supremum norm

over K), then A is the algebra of functions continuous on K and analytic on K° , and A is semi-simple.

(i) If the coordinate functionals c_0 and c_1 are $|\cdot|_K$ -continuous on \mathcal{P} , then $0 \in K^\circ$. This follows from Theorem 3.4.13, Section 2.3, and Corollary 1.6.7 of Browder's book (1969), since c_1 is a point derivation at c_0 on A . Thus if $0 \notin K^\circ$, A cannot be an algebra of power series in the sense described. On the other hand, if $0 \in K^\circ$, Cauchy's inequalities show that all the c_j are $|\cdot|_K$ -continuous on \mathcal{P} .

(ii) Now assume $0 \in K^\circ$. If K° is not dense in K , then there are continuous functions on K , not vanishing identically but vanishing on K° . Since such a function f is in A and has $c_j(f) = 0$ for all j , A is not an algebra of power series.

(iii) If K° is not connected, then A need not be an algebra of power series; for instance if K consists of two disjoint closed discs, A is not an algebra of power series.

On the other hand, it is possible to have K° not connected and A an algebra of power series. For example, let K be the "cornucopia", Gamelin (1969; page 152), translated so that 0 is in the interior of the spiral.

(b). The first of the above examples is somewhat unsatisfactory, in that the given completion of \mathcal{P} fails to be an algebra of power series because not all the c_j are continuous. We now give an example of a set K with $0 \in K \setminus K^\circ$, and a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathcal{P} , such that $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous characters on \mathcal{P} are just the points of K , all the c_j are $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous, and (S) holds but (P) fails for the completion of \mathcal{P} with respect to $\|\cdot\|$.

Let K be a closed disc with positive radius and containing 0 as a boundary point, and let $\{M_k : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that:

$$(i) \quad M_0 = 1 \text{ and } M_k / (M_r M_{k-r}) \geq \binom{k}{r} \text{ for } r = 0, 1, \dots, k;$$

$$(ii) \quad (M_k / k!)^{1/k} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let $D^\infty(K)$ denote the algebra of infinitely differentiable functions on K , and define

$$A = \{f \in D^\infty(K) : \|f\| = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |f^{(k)}|_K / M_k < \infty\}.$$

Then A is a Banach function algebra on K , Dales and Davie (1973); Theorem 1.6). Clearly $\mathcal{P} \subset A$, and the following lemma implies that the $\|\cdot\|$ -completion of \mathcal{P} is A .

LEMMA. *With the above notation, \mathcal{P} is dense in A .*

PROOF. To simplify notation, we suppose temporarily that K is the closed unit disc. Fix $f \in A$. First note that, given $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{M_k} \sup \{|f^{(k)}(z) - f^{(k)}(w)| : |z - w| < \delta\} < \epsilon.$$

The n 'th Césaro mean of the Taylor series for f is $\sigma_n = f * K_n$, where $\{K_n\}$ is Fejér's kernel. Thus, writing $f(t)$ for $f(e^{it})$,

$$(\sigma_n - f)(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_n(s) [f(t - s) - f(t)] ds,$$

so that, for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_n - f\| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{M_k} \sup_t \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |K_n(s)| |f^{(k)}(t - s) - f^{(k)}(t)| ds \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{M_k} \sup_t \sup_{|s| \leq \delta} |f^{(k)}(t - s) - f^{(k)}(t)| \\ &\quad + 2\|f\| \sup_{|s| \geq \delta} |K_n(s)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (1) and the standard properties of $\{K_n\}$, $\sigma_n \rightarrow f$ in A . Since $\sigma_n \in \mathcal{P}$, the lemma follows.

We now return to the construction of the example (in particular, we are once again assuming that 0 is a boundary point of K). Clearly, the functionals c_j are all $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous on \mathcal{P} , and because of (ii), it follows from Theorem 1.9 of Dales and Davie (1973) that each character on A is evaluation at some point of K . Now, an algebra of infinitely differentiable functions on a plane set is *quasi-analytic* if, for each point x in the set and each function f in the algebra,

$$(2) \quad f^{(k)}(x) = 0 \text{ for } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \text{ implies } f = 0$$

(cf. Dales and Davie (1973); Definition 1.10). If f belongs to the algebra A , then $c_k(f) = f^{(k)}(0)/k!$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Since (2) holds for all $x \in K$ and all $f \in A$ if and only if it holds for $x = 0$ and all $f \in A$, we see that A satisfies (P) if and only if A is quasi-analytic.

Theorem 1 of Korenbljum (1965) states that the class $\mathcal{D}\{M_k\} = \{f \in D(K) : \text{there is a number } C_f \text{ such that } |f^{(k)}|_K \leq C_f M_k \text{ for } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is quasi-analytic if and only if $\sum 1/\beta_k = \infty$, where $\beta_k = \inf\{(\sqrt{M_n})^{1/n} : n \geq k\}$. It follows that, if we take $M_k = (k!)^\alpha$ with $\alpha > 1$ (so that (i) and (ii) hold), then A is quasi-analytic if and only if $\alpha \leq 2$. Therefore, by choosing $M_k = (k!)^\alpha$ with $\alpha > 2$, we obtain the required example.

We note incidentally that, if we choose $\{M_k\}$ so that A is quasi-analytic, we have an example of a Banach algebra of power series which is semi-simple and

also has $0 \notin K^0$, where K is the spectrum of the indeterminate. This appears to answer a question of Loy (1974)—see the sentence immediately preceding Theorem 7.

(c) If the condition $0 \in K^0$ does not hold, then (P) does not imply (S): if $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers with $\alpha_n^{1/n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then the algebra $K\langle \alpha_n \rangle$ discussed in Rickart (1960; A.2.12) is an appropriate example.

2

THEOREM. *Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on \mathcal{P} , and suppose that the set K of $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous characters on \mathcal{P} satisfies $0 \in K^0$, K^0 is connected, and K^0 is dense in K . If A is the completion of \mathcal{P} with respect to $\|\cdot\|$, then A is semi-simple if and only if A is an algebra of power series.*

PROOF. It is easy to see that the Gelfand transform a^\wedge of $a \in A$ is analytic at 0, that a Gelfand transform is completely determined by its Taylor series at 0, and that the Taylor coefficients at 0 of a^\wedge are just the numbers $c_j(a)$. The theorem follows from these observations.

The following example gives a norm on \mathcal{P} such that the set of continuous characters satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2, and the completion of \mathcal{P} is not semi-simple. Let K be the closed unit disc, and norm \mathcal{P} by $\|p\| = |p|_K + |p'(1)|$. Let A_0 be the disc algebra, and make the Banach space direct sum $A_1 = A_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}$ into a Banach algebra by defining

$$(f, \lambda)(g, \mu) = (fg, f(1)\mu + g(1)\lambda).$$

Then the completion of \mathcal{P} with respect to $\|\cdot\|$ can be identified with the closure in A_1 of $\mathcal{P}_1 = \{(p, p'(1)) : p \in \mathcal{P}\}$. Since the linear functional $p \rightarrow p'(1)$ is not $|\cdot|_K$ -continuous on \mathcal{P} , the closure of \mathcal{P}_1 contains $(0, 1)$, and therefore is all of A_1 . In particular, the $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous characters on \mathcal{P} are just the points of K , and the completion of \mathcal{P} is not semi-simple.

This example has also been found by Loy (1974a).

Now let B be a commutative algebra with identity. Since the monomials $\{x^n : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ are a basis for \mathcal{P} , every element of $B \otimes \mathcal{P}$ has a unique representation as a finite sum $\sum b_i \otimes x^i$ ($b_i \in B$), so that there are well-defined linear coefficient mappings $\gamma_j : B \otimes \mathcal{P} \rightarrow B$, where $\gamma_j(\sum b_i \otimes x^i) = b_j$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). If we identify \mathcal{P} with the subalgebra $1 \otimes \mathcal{P}$ of $B \otimes \mathcal{P}$, then $\gamma_j|_{\mathcal{P}} = c_j$ for all j . If B is a Banach algebra, and \mathcal{P} is given a norm which makes \mathcal{P} a normed algebra, then the projective tensor product norm on $B \otimes \mathcal{P}$ is given by

$$\|u\|_p = \inf \{\sum \|b_i\| \|p_i\| : b_i \in B, p_i \in \mathcal{P}, u = \sum b_i \otimes p_i\} \text{ for } u \in B \otimes \mathcal{P}$$

and $B \otimes \mathcal{P}$ is a normed algebra with respect to $\|\cdot\|_p$. By saying that the completion $B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$ of $B \otimes \mathcal{P}$ is an algebra of power series with coefficients in B , we mean that all the γ_i are $\|\cdot\|_p$ -continuous, and that their unique continuous extensions to $B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$ separate the points of $B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$.

3

LEMMA. γ_i is continuous on $B \otimes \mathcal{P}$ if and only if c_i is continuous on \mathcal{P} .

PROOF. Since $\|\cdot\|_p$ restricts to the original norm on \mathcal{P} , and $\gamma_i|_{\mathcal{P}} = c_i$, the necessity is clear. Conversely, suppose c_i is continuous on \mathcal{P} . For each linear functional λ on B , there is a well-defined linear mapping $h(\lambda): B \otimes \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ defined by $h(\lambda)(\sum b_i \otimes p_i) = \sum \lambda(b_i)p_i$. Since

$$\|h(\lambda)(\sum b_i \otimes p_i)\| \leq \sum |\lambda(b_i)| \|p_i\|, \lambda$$

continuous implies $(h\lambda)$ continuous and $\|h(\lambda)\| \leq \|\lambda\|$. Since $\lambda(\gamma_i(u)) = c_i(h(\lambda)(u))$, and $\|\gamma_i(u)\| = \sup\{|\lambda(\gamma_i(u))|: \|\lambda\| \leq 1\}$, c_i continuous implies γ_i continuous (actually, $\|\gamma_i\| = \|c_i\|$).

We now assume that the c_i are all continuous on \mathcal{P} , and again write A for the completion of \mathcal{P} . If Φ is the space of characters on B , and K is the space of continuous characters on \mathcal{P} , then the space of characters on $B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$ is $\Phi \times K$.

4

THEOREM. (i) If $B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$ is semi-simple and A is an algebra of power series, then $B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$ is an algebra of power series with coefficients in B .

(ii) If $B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$ is an algebra of power series with coefficients in B , and if B and A are semi-simple, then $B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$ is semi-simple.

PROOF. (i) First, the assumption that A is an algebra of power series implies that all the c_i are continuous on \mathcal{P} , so by Lemma 3 the γ_i are continuous on $B \otimes \mathcal{P}$. If $0 \neq u \in B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$, then there are $\phi \in \Phi$, $\zeta \in K$ such that $u \wedge (\phi, \zeta) \neq 0$. If $\gamma_j(u) = 0$ for all j , then $\phi(\gamma_j(u)) = 0$, and therefore $c_j(h(\phi)(u)) = 0$ for all j ($h(\phi)$ is defined in the proof of Lemma 3). Since A is an algebra of power series, this implies $h(\phi)(u) = 0$. But $h(\phi)(u) \wedge (\zeta) = u \wedge (\phi, \zeta) \neq 0$, a contradiction.

(ii) Suppose $u \in B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$ and $u \wedge (\phi, \zeta) = 0$ for all $(\phi, \zeta) \in \Phi \times K$. Then $h(\phi)(u) \wedge (\zeta) = 0$ for all $(\phi, \zeta) \in \Phi \times K$. Since A is semi-simple, it follows that $h(\phi)(u) = 0$ for all $\phi \in \Phi$. Therefore $\phi(\gamma_j(u)) = c_j(h(\phi)(u)) = 0$ for all $\phi \in \Phi$ and all j . Since B is semi-simple, this implies $\gamma_j(u) = 0$ for all j , and therefore $u = 0$, since $B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$ is assumed to be an algebra of power series with coefficients in B . Thus $B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$ is semi-simple, and the proof is complete.

By combining Theorems 2 and 4, we obtain the following generalization of Theorem 2 for the algebra $B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$.

5

THEOREM. *Let B be a commutative Banach algebra with identity, and let \mathcal{P} be normed so that the set K of continuous characters on \mathcal{P} satisfies $0 \in K^0$, K^0 is connected, and K^0 is dense in K . Then $B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$ is semi-simple if and only if B is semi-simple and $B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$ is an algebra of power series with coefficients in B .*

PROOF. Since $0 \in K^0$, the coefficient functionals c_j are continuous on \mathcal{P} , so by Lemma 3, the coefficient mappings γ_j are $\|\cdot\|_p$ -continuous on $B \otimes \mathcal{P}$.

If $B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$ is semi-simple, then A (the completion of \mathcal{P}) is semi-simple, since A is the closure of \mathcal{P} in $B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$. By Theorem 2, A is an algebra of power series, so by Theorem 4(i), $B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$ is an algebra of power series with coefficients in B .

If $B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$ is an algebra of power series with coefficients in B , then $c_j = \gamma_j|_A$ for all j implies that A is an algebra of power series, so by Theorem 2, A is semi-simple. If also B is semi-simple, then Theorem 4(ii) implies that $B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$ is semi-simple.

To conclude, we indicate two ways in which the above results can be extended. First, let n be a positive integer, replace \mathcal{P} by the algebra \mathcal{P}_n of polynomials in n commuting indeterminates over \mathbb{C} , consider the obvious coefficient functionals c_j (and γ_j) indexed by multi-indices $j = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$, and make the obvious definition of algebra of power series in n indeterminates (over B). Then the set of $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous characters on \mathcal{P}_n is a compact, polynomially convex set in \mathbb{C}^n , and the results from Theorem 2 to Theorem 5 remain true.

Secondly, let N denote the least cross norm (or injective norm) on $B \otimes \mathcal{P}$:

$$N(\sum b_i \otimes p_i) = \sup \{ |\sum \lambda(b_i) \mu(p_i)| : \lambda \in B^*, \mu \in P^* \}.$$

(Here we have written E^* for the closed unit ball in the dual of a normed space E .) Let ν be any algebra norm on $B \otimes \mathcal{P}$ which is at least as strong as N , and which is equivalent to the given norms on B and \mathcal{P} (identified with $B \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes \mathcal{P}$ respectively). Then Lemma 3 remains valid if the projective norm is replaced by ν (we are indebted to the referee for that observation and for suggesting this line of extension). Moreover, the space of ν -continuous characters on $B \otimes \mathcal{P}$ is still $\Phi \times K$, and Theorem 4 and 5 hold with $B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$ replaced by the completion of $B \otimes \mathcal{P}$ with respect to ν ; there are no formal changes in the proofs.

Finally, it is a pleasure to record our gratitude to the referee for his careful reading of the original version of this article.

References

- A. Browder (1969), *Introduction to function algebras* (Benjamin, New York, 1969).
- H. G. Dales and A. M. Davie (1973), 'Quasi-analytic Banach function algebras', *J. Functional Analysis* **13**, 28–50.
- T. W. Gamelin (1969), *Uniform algebras* (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1969).
- B. R. Gelbaum (1962), 'Tensor products and related questions', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **103**, 525–548.
- B. I. Korenbljum (1965), 'Quasianalytic classes of functions in a circle', *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* **164**, 36–39 and *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **6**, 1155–1158.
- R. J. Loy (1974), 'Banach algebras of power series', *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **17**, 263–273.
- R. J. Loy (1974a), 'Commutative Banach algebras with non-unique complete norm topology', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **10**, 409–420.
- C. E. Rickart (1960), *General theory of Banach algebras* (Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960).

School of Mathematics
University of Leeds
Leeds LS2 9JT
England.

Department of Mathematics and Astronomy
University of Manitoba
Winnipeg, Canada.