ON INJECTIVES IN SOME VARIETIES OF OCKHAM ALGEBRAS

by TERESA ALMADA†

(Received 28 April, 1992)

0. Introduction. The study of bounded distributive lattices endowed with an additional dual homomorphic operation began with a paper by J. Berman [3]. Subsequently these algebras were called distributive Ockham lattices and an order-topological duality theory for them was developed by A. Urquhart [12]. In [9], M. S. Goldberg extended this theory and described the injective algebras in the subvarieties of the variety \mathcal{O} of distributive Ockham algebras which are generated by a single subdirectly irreducible algebra. The aim here is to investigate some elementary properties of injective algebras in join reducible members of the lattice of subvarieties of $K_{n,1}$ and to give a complete description of injective algebras in the subvariety of the Ockham subvariety defined by the identity $x \wedge f^{2n}(x) = x$.

1. Preliminaries. A distributive Ockham algebra is an algebra $(A, \land, \lor, f, 0, 1)$ of type (2, 2, 1, 0, 0) such that $(A, \land, \lor, 0, 1)$ is a bounded distributive lattice and f is a unary operation defined on A such that, for all $x, y \in A$, $f(x \land y) = f(x) \lor f(y)$, $f(x \lor y) = f(x) \land f(y)$, f(0) = 1, f(1) = 0; i.e., f is a dual endomorphism of the lattice $(A, \land, \lor, 0, 1)$. The class of all distributive Ockham algebras is a variety henceforth denoted by \mathcal{O} . Let $f^0(x) = x$, $f^{n+1}(x) = f(f^n(x))$, for all $n \ge 0$. For $n \ge 1$, $m \ge 0$ the subvariety of \mathcal{O} defined by the identity $f^{2n+m}(x) = f^m(x)$ is denoted by $K_{n,m}$ and the subvariety of \mathcal{O} defined by the identity $f^{2n}(x) \land x = x$ is denoted by $K_{n,0}$. For each $n \ge 1$, the proper inclusions $K_{n,0} \subset K_{n,0}^{\leq n} \subset K_{n,1}$ hold; see [10].

Let K be a class of similar algebras. An algebra $I \in K$ is said to be (weak) injective in K if, for any algebra $A \in K$, any (onto) homomorphism from any subalgebra of A to I can be extended to a homomorphism from A to I. We say that I is a retract of $A \in K$ if there exist homomorphisms $r: A \to I$ and $s: I \to A$ such that $r \circ s = id_I$; also I is an absolute subretract in K if its is a retract of each of its extensions in K. As usual, Si(K) denotes the set consisting of precisely one algebra in K and H(K) denotes the class of all homomorphic images of members of K. Let S(K), P(K) and $P_s(K)$ be the classes consisting of all isomorphic copies of subalgebras, direct products and subdirect products of members of K, respectively. Also let V(K) denote the variety generated by K.

We recall that every retract of injective algebra in K is injective in K([1]).

Let K be a class of algebras and for each $A \in K$, let $\Theta_A(a, b)$ denote the principal congruence of A collapsing a pair $a, b \in A$. The trivial and the universal congruences are denoted by Δ and ∇ , respectively. A simplicity formula for K is a $\exists \forall$ conjunction of equations

$$\sigma(u,v) = (\exists x)(\forall y) \left\{ \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} p_i(x, y, u, v) = q_i(x, y, u, v) \right\}$$

such that, for each $A \in K$, $\sigma(u, v)$ holds in $A \Leftrightarrow \Theta_A(u, v) \in \{\Delta, \nabla\}$.

† Research Supported by Instituto Nacional de Investigação, Científica, Portugal.

Glasgow Math. J. 35 (1993) 345-351.

B. A. Davey and H. Werner [7, Theorem 1.3], described the injective algebras by using Boolean powers in those congruence-distributive varieties for which there exists a simplicity formula for the maximal s.i. algebras.

Since Goldberg [9, p. 200], has shown that there exists a simplicity formula for the class of all finite subdirectly irreducible algebras in \mathcal{O} , we conclude from Theorem 1.3 that the injectives in each member V of the lattice of subvarieties of $K_{n,1}$ are completely determined once the injective s.i. algebras in V are known.

2. Injectives in the subvarieties of $K_{n,1}$. For integers $n > m \ge 0$, $S_{n,m}$ will denote the Ockham space (\mathbf{n}, γ_m) consisting of the directly ordered set $\mathbf{n} = \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ and the map $\gamma_m: \mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$ defined by $\gamma_m(p) = p + 1$ whenever $0 \ge p < n-1$, and $\gamma_m(n-1) = m$. Let $L_{n,m}$ be the dual algebra of the Ockham space $S_{n,m}$. In [12, Theorem 13, b] it is shown that $K_{n,1} = SP(L_{2n+1,1})$ and $K_{n,0} = SP(L_{2n,0})$ and in [9, 2.9] it is shown that $L_{n,m}$ is s.i.

PROPOSITION 2.1. If $X \in Si(K_{n,1})$ and $V = V(\{X\})$, then a non-trivial algebra $A \in V$ is s.i. if and only if $A \in S(\{X\})$. In particular, the non-trivial s.i. algebras of $K_{n,1}$ are, up to isomorphism, the subalgebras of $L_{2n+1,1}$.

Proof. We observe that X is finite, [3, Theorem 7]. Using the result in [9, 2.5], we have $Si(V) = HS(\{X\})$. Let Z be a homomorphic image of a subalgebra Y of X. From [10, Lemma 3] we have $Z \cong Y/\Theta$, for some congruence $\Theta \in \{\Delta, Ker f, \nabla\}$. Hence Z must be isomorphic either to Y or f(Y) or the trivial algebra. \Box

In [9], M. S. Goldberg described the injective algebras in any subvariety of \mathcal{O} generated by a single finite s.i. algebra in \mathcal{O} . That part of his theorem which is of particular relevance in this note may be stated as in [2, Theorem 3]. Thus we conclude that, under the conditions of 2.1 the algebra X is injective in V.

The notion of a reflective subcategory of a category can be found in [1, Def. 18.1, p. 27].

Let $\mathcal{X}_{n,1}$ be the equational category whose class of objects is $K_{n,1}$ and let $\mathcal{X}_{n,0}$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{X}_{n,1}$ whose class of objects is $K_{n,0}$.

PROPOSITION 2.2. There exists a reflector $R: \mathcal{X}_{n,1} \to \mathcal{X}_{n,0}$ which preserves monomorphisms.

Proof. For each $A \in Ob \mathcal{H}_{n,1}$, let $R(A) = f(A) = \{f^{2n}(x) \mid x \in A\}$ and $\Phi_R(A): A \to R(A), x \to f^{2n}(x)$. Obviously, $\Phi_R(A)$ is a $K_{n,1}$ -morphism. Let $h: A \to B$ be a $K_{n,1}$ -morphism, where $A \in Ob \mathcal{H}_{n,1}$ and $B \in Ob \mathcal{H}_{n,0}$. It is easily seen that there is a unique $\mathcal{H}_{n,0}$ -morphism $\bar{h} = h|_{R(A)}: R(A) \to B$ such that $\bar{h} \circ \Phi_R(A) = h$. By [1, Theorem 2, p. 28], the assignment $A \to R(A)$ can be extended to a reflector $R: \mathcal{H}_{n,1} \to \mathcal{H}_{n,0}$ where, for each $h: A \to A', R(h)$ is the only $\mathcal{H}_{n,0}$ -morphism such that $R(h) \circ \Phi_R(A) = \Phi_R(A') \circ h$. Now, suppose that $h: A \to A'$ is a $\mathcal{H}_{n,1}$ -monomorphism and hence one-one $(\mathcal{H}_{n,1}$ is an equational category) and let $a, b \in R(A)$ such that R(h)(a) = R(h)(b). Then

$$\begin{aligned} R(h)(a) &= R(h)(b) \Leftrightarrow f^{2n}(R(h)(a)) = f^{2n}(R(h)(b)) \Leftrightarrow R(h)(f^{2n}(a)) = R(h)(f^{2n}(b)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow R(h) \circ \Phi_R(A)(a) = R(h) \circ \Phi_R(A)(b) \Leftrightarrow \Phi_R(A')(h(a)) = \Phi_R(A')(h(b)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow f^{2n}(h(a)) = f^{2n}(h(b)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow h(f^{2n}(a)) = h(f^{2n}(b)) \Leftrightarrow h(a) = h(b). \end{aligned}$$

Hence a = b and thus R(h) is one-one. \Box

VARIETIES OF OCKHAM ALGEBRAS

By [1, Theorem 6, p. 30] we can deduce the following result.

PROPOSITION 2.3. If I is injective in $K_{n,0}$, then I is injective in $K_{n,1}$.

REMARK. For each $A \in K_{n,1}$, R(A) = f(A) is a retract of A, since $\Phi_R(A)$ is a retraction.

Henceforth X_i , i = 1, ..., m, $(m \ge 1)$ will denote subdirectly irreducible algebras in $K_{n,1}$ such that, for all $i, j \in \{1, ..., m\}$, $i \ne j$, $X_i \notin S(\{X\})$; moreover, let $V_i = V(\{X_i\})$ and $V = V(\{X_1, ..., X_m\}) = V_1 \lor ... \lor V_m$. As a consequence of Jónsson's lemma, namely that $Si(V_1 \lor ... \lor V_m) = Si(V_1) \cup ... \cup Si(V_m)$, we have that a non trivial algebra $A \in V$ is s.i. if and only if $A \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m S(\{X_i\})$. Hence, for each $1 \le i \le m$, X_i is a maximal subdirectly irreducible algebra both in V_i and in V.

PROPOSITION 2.4. Assume that $A, I \in Si(V)$ are non-trivial algebras. If I is injective in V, then

(i) either $A \in S(\{I\})$ or $f(A) \in S(\{I\})$;

(ii) if X_i is simple, for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, then $I \cong X_i$;

(iii) the number of fixed points of f in A is less than or equal to the number of fixed points of f in I.

Proof. Let B be a two element Boolean algebra. Note that B is a subalgebra both of A and I. Thus there exist a homomorphism $h: A \to I$ such that $h \circ id_{B,A} = id_{B,I}$, where $id_{B,I}$ and $id_{B,A}$ are the inclusion homomorphisms.

(i) By [10, Lemma 3], ker $h \in \{\Delta, \text{Ker } f\}$. Hence either $A \in S(\{l\})$ or $f(A) \in S(\{l\})$.

(ii) If X_i is simple then $X_i = f(X_i)$. Therefore $X_i \cong I$, since X_i is a maximal subdirectly irreducible algebra in V.

(iii) This follows immediately from (i). \Box

COROLLARY 2.5. If X_i and X_j are simple algebras, with $i, j \in \{1, ..., m\}$, $i \neq j$, then the trivial algebra is the only s.i. algebra in V which is injective in V.

PROPOSITION 2.6. Suppose that $L_{2n,0} \cong X_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Up to isomorphism $L_{2n,0}$ is the only non-trivial s.i. algebra in V which is injective in V.

Proof. We recall that $K_{n,0} = V(\{L_{2n,0}\})$ and $L_{2n,0}$ is a simple algebra [9, 2.9(i)]. Furthermore if A is a simple algebra in V, then A = f(A) and $A \in K_{n,0}$. As $L_{2n,0}$ is injective in $K_{n,0}$ we conclude by 2.3 that $L_{2n,0}$ is injective in $K_{n,1}$. Hence $L_{2n,0}$ is injective in V. According to 2.4(ii) the proof is complete. \Box

In [6, Lemma 2.9] it is shown that if I is a s.i. algebra which is weak injective in a congruence distributive variety generated by a finite set Y of finite algebras then $I \in H(Y)$.

PROPOSITION 2.7. (i) If $I \in Si(V)$ is a non-trivial algebra which is injective in V, then there exists $j \in \{1, ..., m\}$ such that either $I \cong X_j$ or $I \cong f(X_j)$.

(ii) X_i and $f(X_i)$ are the only non-trivial algebras in Si(V_i) which are injective in V_i .

Proof. Part (i) follows from [6, Lemma 2.9], [10, Lemma 3] and the fact that every injective in V is a weak injective in V. As X_j is injective in V_j , $f(X_j)$ is injective in V_j , since $f(X_i)$ is a retract of X_j . \Box

PROPOSITION 2.8. If Y is a non-simple algebra such that $Y \in S(\{X_i\}) \cap S(\{X_j\})$, for some $i, j \in \{1, ..., m\}$, $i \neq j$, then X_i and X_j are not injective in V.

Proof. Let $Y \in S(\{X_i\}) \cap S(\{X_i\})$ and suppose that Y is not simple. Then by [10, Lemma 3] there exists $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ such that $y_1 \neq y_2$ and $f(y_1) = f(y_2)$. Let $\{l, k\} = \{i, j\}$ and $h: X_i \to X_k$ be a homomorphism. As X_i is a maximal subdirectly irreducible algebra and $X_i \notin X_k$, we have Ker h = Ker f and hence $h(y_1) = h(y_2)$. Thus the inclusion homomorphism id_{Y,X_k}: Y \to X_k cannot be extended to a homomorphism from X_i to X_k ; hence X_k is not injective in V. \Box

3. Injectives in the subvarieties of $K_{2,0}^{\leq}$. The subdirectly irreducible algebras in $K_{2,0}^{\leq}$ were described by M. Ramalho and M. Sequeira [10]. We adopt their notation for these algebras, namely $\{T, B, S, KK_1, K_2, K_3, M, M_1, A, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5, C, C_1\}$ is a set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of the s.i. algebras in $K_{2,0}^{\leq}$. Up to isomorphism the algebras T, B, K, M, A and C are the simple algebras in $K_{2,0}^{\leq}$. We observe that the algebra C is isomorphic to the algebra $L_{4,0}$ introduced in section 2. We have $K_{2,0}^{\leq} = SP(\{C_1\})$, so that C_1 and C are the only non-trivial s.i. algebras in $K_{2,0}^{\leq}$ which are injective in $K_{2,0}^{\leq}$, according to 2.7(ii).

By 2.6, C is the only non-trivial s.i. algebra in $K_{2,0}^{\leq}$ which is injective in any proper subvariety of $K_{2,0}^{\leq}$ containing C.

The lattice Λ ($K_{2,0}^{\leq}$) of subvarieties of $K_{2,0}^{\leq}$ was studied by M. Sequeira [11]. The injective algebras in each subvariety of the variety $\mathbf{M}_{1} = V(\{M_{1}\})$ were described by R. Beazer [2].

For each subvariety V of the variety $K_{2,0}^{\leq}$ the set of all non-trivial s.i. algebras in V which are injective in V is denoted by Inj(Si(V)).

PROPOSITION 3.1. The trivial algebra is the only subdirectly irreducible algebra which is injective in each of the following subvarieties of $K_{2,0}^{\leq}$.

(i) $\mathbf{M} \vee \mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{S} \vee \mathbf{M} \vee \mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{K}_2 \vee \mathbf{M} \vee \mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{K}_1 \vee \mathbf{M} \vee \mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{S} \vee \mathbf{K}_1 \vee \mathbf{M} \vee \mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{K}_1 \vee \mathbf{K}_2 \vee \mathbf{M} \vee \mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{K}_3 \vee \mathbf{M} \vee \mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{K}_2 \vee \mathbf{K}_3 \vee \mathbf{M} \vee \mathbf{A}$.

 $\begin{array}{l} (ii) \ M \lor A_1, \ M \lor A_2, \ M \lor A_3, \ M \lor A_4, \ M \lor A_5, \ M \lor A_2 \lor A_3, \ M \lor A_4 \lor A_5, \ S \lor \\ M \lor A_1, \ S \lor M \lor A_2, \ S \lor M \lor A_3, \ S \lor M \lor A_2 \lor A_3, \ K_2 \lor M \lor A_1, \ K_2 \lor M \lor A_2, \ K_2 \lor \\ M \lor A_3, \ K_2 \lor M \lor A_2 \lor A_3, \ K_1 \lor M \lor A_4, \ M \lor A_1 \lor A_4, \ M \lor A_2 \lor A_3, \ M \lor A_2 \lor A_4, \\ M \lor A_3 \lor A_4, \ M \lor A_2 \lor A_3 \lor A_4, \ K_3 \lor M \lor A_1, \ K_3 \lor M \lor A_2, \ K_3 \lor M \lor A_3, \ K_3 \lor M \lor A_2, \\ A_2 \lor A_3, \ K_2 \lor K_3 \lor M \lor A_1, \ K_2 \lor K_3 \lor M \lor A_2, \ K_3 \lor M \lor A_3, \ K_2 \lor K_3 \lor M \lor A_2 \lor A_3, \\ A_2 \lor A_3, \ K_2 \lor M \lor A_5, \ K_3 \lor M \lor A_4, \ K_3 \lor M \lor A_1 \lor A_4, \ K_3 \lor M \lor A_2 \lor A_4, \ K_3 \lor M \lor A_3 \lor A_4, \\ \end{array}$

(iii) $M_1 \vee A$.

Proof. Part (i) follows from 2.5, since M and A are simple algebras. Let V be one of the subvarieties listed in (ii). Let $I \in Si(V)$ be a non-trivial algebra and suppose that I is injective in V. From 2.4(ii), we have that $I \cong M$ and, by 2.4(i), $f(A_i) = A$ is a subalgebra of M, for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Part (iii) follows from 2.4(ii) and 2.4(iii). \Box

COROLLARY 3.2. There are no non-trivial s.i. injective algebras in each of the following subvarieties of $K_{2,0}^{\leq}$: $\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \mathbf{A}_1$, $\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \mathbf{A}_2$, $\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \mathbf{A}_3$, $\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \mathbf{A}_4$, $\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \mathbf{A}_5$, $\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \mathbf{A}_2 \vee \mathbf{A}_3$, $\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \mathbf{A}_1 \vee \mathbf{A}_4$, $\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \mathbf{A}_2 \vee \mathbf{A}_3$, $\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \mathbf{A}_2 \vee \mathbf{A}_3 \vee \mathbf{A}_4$, $\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \mathbf{A}_3 \vee \mathbf{A}_4$, $\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \mathbf{A}_2 \vee \mathbf{A}_3 \vee \mathbf{A}_4$, $\mathbf{M}_2 \vee \mathbf{A}_3 \vee \mathbf{A}_4 \vee \mathbf{A}_4 \vee \mathbf{A}_5$.

Proof. We consider the subvariety $M_1 \vee A_1$. From 2.7(i) we have

$$\text{Inj}(\text{Si}(\mathbf{M}_1 \lor \mathbf{A}_1)) \subseteq \{M_1, M = f(M_1), A_1, A = f(A_1)\}.$$

Suppose that A_1 is injective in $\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \mathbf{A}_1$. Since A is a retract of A_1 , A is injective in $\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \mathbf{A}_1$. Therefore A is injective in $\mathbf{M} \vee \mathbf{A}_1$, a contradiction. Similarly M_1 is not injective in $\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \mathbf{A}_1$. Clearly, M and A are not injective in $\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \mathbf{A}_1$ since they are not injective in $\mathbf{M} \vee \mathbf{A}_1$. The proof is similar for any of the other varieties. \Box

We recall from [7, 12.(ii)] the following result.

PROPOSITION 3.3. Let X be a finite set of finite algebras and assume that K = SP(X) is congruence-distributive. If $I \in X$ and every subalgebra of I is either subdirectly irreducible or weak injective in K, then I is injective in K if and only if I is injective in X.

PROPOSITION 3.4. The algebra A is the only non-trivial s.i. algebra which is injective in $S \lor A$, $K_2 \lor A$, $K_1 \lor A$, $K_3 \lor A$, $S \lor K_1 \lor A$, $K_1 \lor K_2 \lor A$ and $K_2 \lor K_3 \lor A$.

Proof. Let V be one of the subvarieties listed. From 2.4(ii), we know that, if $l \in Si(V)$ is non-trivial and injective in V, then $l \cong A$. According to 3.3 it suffices to show that A is injective in Si(V). For each $X \in Si(V)$ with $X \neq A$, there is exactly one homomorphism h from X to A, which is defined in the following way: if $X \in \{K, B\}$, h is the inclusion; if X = S, h(a) = 1; if $X = K_2$, h(a) = k and h(b) = 1; if $X = K_3$, h(a) = h(c) = k, h(b) = 1; if $X = K_1$, h(a) = h(b) = k. Thus it is easily verified that A is injective in Si(V). \Box

PROPOSITION 3.5. The algebra A is the only non-trivial s.i. algebra which is injective in the following subvarieties of $K_{2,0}^{\leq}$:

(i) $A_2 \vee A_3$, $A_4 \vee A_5$.

(ii) $S \vee A_2 \vee A_3$, $K_2 \vee A_2 \vee A_3$, $K_3 \vee A_2 \vee A_3$, $K_1 \vee K_2 \vee A_2 \vee A_3$, $K_2 \vee K_3 \vee A_2 \vee A_3$.

Proof. Part (i) follows from the 2.7(i), 2.8 and the fact that A is injective in A_2 , A_3 , A_4 and A_5 . We consider $V = \mathbf{S} \vee \mathbf{A}_2 \vee \mathbf{A}_3$. From 2.7(i), we have that $\text{Inj}(\text{Si}(V)) \subseteq \{B, S, A_2, A_3, A\}$. By 2.8, A_2 and A_3 are not injective in V. If B was injective in V, then $A = f(A_2)$ would be a subalgebra of B. Hence B is not injective in V. Similarly, S is not injective in V. For the other subvarieties, the proof is analogous. \Box

PROPOSITION 3.6. The non-trivial s.i. algebras which are injective in V are, respectively,

(i) A_1 and A if $V \in \{\mathbf{S} \lor \mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{K}_2 \lor \mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{K}_3 \lor \mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{K}_2 \lor \mathbf{K}_3 \lor \mathbf{A}_1\}$, (ii) A_2 and A if $V \in \{\mathbf{S} \lor \mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{K}_2 \lor \mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{K}_3 \lor \mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{K}_2 \lor \mathbf{K}_3 \lor \mathbf{A}_2\}$,

- (iii) A_3 and A if $V \in \{\mathbf{S} \lor \mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{K}_2 \lor \mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{K}_3 \lor \mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{K}_2 \lor \mathbf{K}_3 \lor \mathbf{A}_3\},\$
- (iv) A_4 and A if $V \in \{\mathbf{K}_1 \lor \mathbf{A}_4, \mathbf{K}_3 \lor \mathbf{A}_4\},\$
- (v) A_5 and A if $V = \mathbf{K_2} \vee \mathbf{A_5}$.

Proof. We shall only prove (i). Similar reasoning can be used in (ii)-(v). That A_1 is injective in each of the subvarieties indicated follows from the facts that A_1 is injective in A_1 and that, for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, there is exactly one homomorphism h from each $X \in S(K_i)$ to A_1 and $h(X) \in S(\{A\})$ (defined as in 3.4). Now A is injective since A is a retract of A_1 . By 2.4(i) we conclude that S and B are not injective in $S \vee A_1$. The same holds for K and K_2 in $K_2 \vee A_1$; also for K and K_3 in $K_3 \vee A_1$ and for K, K_2 , K_3 in $K_2 \vee K_3 \vee A_1$. According to 2.7(i) the proof is complete. \Box

PROPOSITION 3.7. The non-trivial s.i. algebras which are injective in V are, respectively,

(i) A_4 , A_1 and A if $V = \mathbf{A_1} \vee \mathbf{A_4}$,

(ii) A_4 , A_2 and A if $V = \mathbf{A_2} \vee \mathbf{A_4}$,

(iii) A_4 , A_3 and A if $V = \mathbf{A_3} \vee \mathbf{A_4}$.

Proof. We recall that an algebra $X \in Si(K_{n,1})$ is injective in $V(\{X\})$ and that f(X) is a retract of X. (i) From 2.7(i) we have that $\text{Inj}(\text{Si}(\mathbf{A_1} \vee \mathbf{A_4}) \subseteq \{A_1, A_4, A = f(A_1) = A_1\}$ $f(A_4)$. To prove that A_1 is injective in $A_1 \vee A_4$ it suffices to show that any homomorphism from any subalgebra of A_4 to A_1 can be extended to a homomorphism from A_4 to A_1 . Up to isomorphism, the subalgebras of A_4 are B, S, K_2 , K, A, A_4 . For each $X \in$ $\{B, S, K, K_2\}$, there is exactly one homomorphism h from X to A_1 (defined in 3.4), thus the homomorphism $\Phi: A_4 \rightarrow A_1$ defined by $\Phi(x) = f^4(x)$ extends h. We observe that $\Phi(A_4) = A$ and $\Phi \mid A = id_4$. If $h: A \to A_1$ is a homomorphism than $h \circ \Phi$ extends h. Similarly, we conclude that A_4 is injective in $A_1 \vee A_4$. (ii) The injectivity of A_2 in $A_2 \vee$ A_4 follows as the injectivity of A_1 in $A_1 \vee A_4$. To show that A_4 is injective in $A_2 \vee A_4$ it suffices to show that, for $X \in \{B, K, K_1, A, A_1\}$, any homomorphism $h: X \to A_4$ can be extended to a homomorphism $\bar{h}: A_2 \rightarrow A_4$. If $X \in \{B, K, K_1, A\}$ \bar{h} is defined as in (i). If $h: A_1 \to A_4$ then \bar{h} is defined by $\bar{h}(y) = h(b)$. (iii) That A_3 is injective in $A_3 \lor A_4$ follows as in (i). To show that A_4 is injective in $A_3 \vee A_4$ it suffices to show that, for $X \in \{B, K, K_1, A, A_1\}$, any homomorphism $h: X \to A_4$, can be extended to a homomorphism $\bar{h}: A_3 \rightarrow A_4$. If $X \in \{B, K, K_1, A\}$, \bar{h} is defined as in (i) and if $X = A_1$, \bar{h} is defined by $\bar{h}(s) = h(d)$.

COROLLARY 3.8. The non-trivial s.i. algebras which are injective in V are

(i) A_4 , A_1 and A if $V = \mathbf{K}_3 \vee \mathbf{A}_1 \vee \mathbf{A}_4$,

(ii) A_4 , A_2 and A if $V = \mathbf{K}_3 \vee \mathbf{A}_2 \vee \mathbf{A}_4$,

(iii) A_4 , A_3 and A if $V = \mathbf{K}_3 \vee \mathbf{A}_3 \vee \mathbf{A}_4$.

Proof. (i) Follows from 3.7(i) and 3.6(i), (iv). Also (ii) follows from 3.7(ii) and 3.6(ii), (iv) while (iii) follows from 3.7(iii) and 3.6(iii), (iv). \Box

PROPOSITION 3.9. The algebras A_4 , A are the only non-trivial s.i. algebras which are injective in $A_2 \vee A_3 \vee A_4$ and $K_3 \vee A_2 \vee A_3 \vee A_4$.

Proof. From 3.7 we have that A_2 , A_3 are not injective. That A_4 is injective in $A_2 \vee A_3 \vee A_4$ and in $K_3 \vee A_2 \vee A_3 \vee A_4$ follows from 3.7(ii), (iii) and 3.8. \Box

REFERENCES

1. R. Balbes and P. Dwinger, Distributive lattices (University of Missouri Press, 1974).

2. R. Beazer, Injectives in some small varieties of Ockham algebras, *Glasgow Math. J.* 25 (1984), 183-191.

3. J. Berman, Distributive lattices with an additional unary operation, *Aequationes Math.* **16** (1977), 165–171.

4. T. S. Blyth and J. C. Varlet, On a common abstraction of de Morgan algebras and Stone algebras, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **94** (1983), 301–308.

5. R. Cignoli, Injective De Morgan and Kleene algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 47 (1975), 269–278.

6. B. A. Davey, Weak injectivity and congruence extension in congruence-distributive equational classes, *Canad. J. Math.* XXIX (3) (1977), 449-459.

7. B. A. Davey and H. Werner, Injectivity and Boolean powers, Math. Z. 166 (1979), 205-223.

8. A. Day, Injectivity in equational classes of algebras, Canad. J. Math. XXIV(2) (1972), 209-220.

9. M. S. Goldberg, Distributive Ockham algebras: free algebras and injectivity, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 24 (1981), 161-203.

10. M. Ramalho and M. Sequeira, On generalized MS-algebras, Portugal. Math. 44(3) (1987), 315-328.

11. M. Sequeira, Varieties of Ockham algebras satisfying $x \le f^4(x)$, Portugal. Math. 45(4) (1988), 369-391.

12. A. Urquhart, Distributive lattices with a dual homomorphic operation, *Studia Logica* 38 (1979), 201-209.

DEP. DE MATEMATICA

FAC. CIÊNCIAS DE LISBOA

R. ERNESTO DE VASCONCELOS, BLOCO C1

1700 LISBOA, PORTUGAL