

## ORDER PRESERVING MAPS ON HERMITIAN MATRICES

PETER ŠEMRL  and AHMED RAMZI SOUROUR

(Received 7 September 2010; accepted 15 February 2013; first published online 7 June 2013)

Communicated by M. Cowling

### Abstract

We prove that a continuous map  $\phi$  defined on the set of all  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrices preserving order in both directions is up to a translation a congruence transformation or a congruence transformation composed with the transposition.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 15B48; secondary 15B57.

*Keywords and phrases*: order preserving map, Hermitian matrix.

### 1. Introduction and statement of the main result

Let  $H_n$  denote the space of all  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrices. This set is a poset with the usual partial order defined by  $A \leq B$  if  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \leq \langle Bx, x \rangle$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . In other words,  $A \leq B$  if and only if  $B - A$  is a positive semidefinite matrix.

One can find in the literature hundreds of papers dealing with linear maps preserving order, most of them treating the infinite-dimensional case. Recently, a first result on order preserving maps in the absence of the linearity assumption has been obtained. We say that a map  $\phi : H_n \rightarrow H_n$  preserves order in both directions if for every pair  $A, B \in H_n$  we have

$$A \leq B \iff \phi(A) \leq \phi(B). \quad (1.1)$$

When studying such maps there is no loss of generality in assuming that  $\phi(0) = 0$ . Indeed, if  $\phi$  preserves order in both directions, then the same is true for the map  $A \mapsto \phi(A) - \phi(0)$ ,  $A \in H_n$ . Quite surprisingly, every bijective map  $\phi : H_n \rightarrow H_n$  preserving order in both directions and satisfying  $\phi(0) = 0$  must be a congruence transformation, possibly composed with the transposition. More precisely, the following result was proved by Molnár [3].

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $\phi : H_n \rightarrow H_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , be a bijective map satisfying (1.1) and  $\phi(0) = 0$ . Then there exists an invertible  $n \times n$  complex matrix  $T$  such that either*

$$\phi(A) = TAT^*$$

for every  $A \in H_n$ , or

$$\phi(A) = TA'T^*$$

for every  $A \in H_n$ .

It is a remarkable fact that after a harmless normalization  $\phi(0) = 0$  the real-linear character of  $\phi$  is not an assumption but a conclusion. This result was motivated by some problems in mathematical physics. It was proved in [3] in a more general infinite-dimensional setting. An interested reader can find more information on the background of this problem in [4]. The original proof by Molnár [3] depends heavily on some deep results from functional analysis. An elementary self-contained proof can be found in [5].

It is the aim of this note to prove that in the presence of the continuity assumption we can get the above result without the bijectivity assumption.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $\phi : H_n \rightarrow H_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , be a continuous map satisfying (1.1) and  $\phi(0) = 0$ . Then there exists an invertible  $n \times n$  complex matrix  $T$  such that either*

$$\phi(A) = TAT^*$$

for every  $A \in H_n$ , or

$$\phi(A) = TA'T^*$$

for every  $A \in H_n$ .

There is an essential difference between the above theorems. Namely, Theorem 1.2 cannot be extended to the infinite-dimensional case. Indeed, if  $H$  is an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, then  $H$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $H \oplus H$  and hence  $S(H)$ , the set of all self-adjoint bounded linear operators on  $H$ , may be identified with  $S(H \oplus H)$ . Elements of  $S(H \oplus H)$  can be represented as  $2 \times 2$  operator matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $A, C : H \rightarrow H$  are bounded self-adjoint linear operators and  $B : H \rightarrow H$  is a bounded linear operator. Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be any continuous increasing function satisfying  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $x \in H$ ,  $R \in S(H)$  a positive operator, and define  $\phi : S(H) \rightarrow S(H) \equiv S(H \oplus H)$  by

$$\phi(A) = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & f(\langle Ax, x \rangle)R \end{bmatrix}, \quad A \in S(H).$$

It is easy to see that  $\phi$  is continuous and preserves order in both directions. Moreover,  $\phi(0) = 0$ . However,  $\phi$  is not linear in general.

In Theorem 1.2 the assumption that  $\phi$  preserves order in both directions cannot be weakened to the assumption that  $\phi$  preserves order in one direction only. Namely, even the structure of real-linear maps  $\phi : H_n \rightarrow H_n$  satisfying  $A \leq B \Rightarrow \phi(A) \leq \phi(B)$  is not well understood (of course, linear maps are automatically continuous and satisfy  $\phi(0) = 0$ ). However, we do not know whether the same conclusion as in Theorem 1.2 holds without the continuity assumption.

### 2. Proof

Two matrices  $A, B \in H_n$  are said to be adjacent if  $\text{rank}(A - B) = 1$ . The main tool in the proof of our main result is the following characterization of adjacency preserving maps on  $H_n$  [2].

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $\phi : H_n \rightarrow H_n, n \geq 2$ , be a map such that  $\phi(A)$  and  $\phi(B)$  are adjacent whenever  $A$  and  $B$  are adjacent,  $A, B \in H_n$ . Suppose that  $\phi(0) = 0$ . Then one of the following holds.*

- *There exists a rank-one matrix  $R \in H_n$  and a function  $\rho : H_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$\phi(A) = \rho(A)R, \quad A \in H_n.$$

- *There exist  $c \in \{-1, 1\}$  and an invertible  $n \times n$  complex matrix  $T$  such that either*

$$\phi(A) = cTAT^*$$

*for every  $A \in H_n$ , or*

$$\phi(A) = cTA^tT^*$$

*for every  $A \in H_n$ .*

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2.** In the proof we will identify  $n \times n$  matrices with linear operators acting on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

It is easy to check that  $\phi$  is injective. Indeed, if  $\phi(A) = \phi(B)$  then  $A \leq B$  and  $B \leq A$ , and therefore,  $A = B$ .

Our next goal is to prove that for each  $A \geq 0$  we have  $\text{rank } \phi(A) = \text{rank } A$ . We will first prove that  $\text{rank } \phi(A) \geq \text{rank } A$ . Let  $\text{rank } A = p$  and  $\text{rank } \phi(A) = q$ . Then there exist invertible  $n \times n$  complex matrices  $T$  and  $S$  such that

$$TAT^* = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S\phi(A)S^* = \begin{bmatrix} I_q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $I_p$  and  $I_q$  are the  $p \times p$  identity matrix and the  $q \times q$  identity matrix, respectively.

Denote by  $U$  the set of all positive invertible Hermitian  $p \times p$  matrices  $B$  such that  $I_p - B$  is positive and invertible. This is an open subset of  $H_p$ . The map  $\psi$  defined by

$$\psi(B) = S\phi\left(T^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} (T^{-1})^*\right)S^*$$

is obviously an injective continuous order preserving map from  $U$  into  $H_n$ . Our next aim is to show that the image of  $\psi$  is included in the set of Hermitian matrices of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $*$  stands for any  $q \times q$  Hermitian matrix. Toward this end, we observe that  $\psi(0) = 0$  and by the choice of  $S$  and  $T$ , we have  $\psi(I_p) = J_q$ , where  $J_q = \begin{bmatrix} I_q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . If  $B \in U$ , then  $0 \leq B \leq I_p$  and hence  $0 \leq \psi(B) \leq J_q$ . If we write  $C := \psi(B)$  as  $\begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $C_{11}$  is a  $q \times q$  matrix, then the inequalities  $0 \leq C \leq J_q$  easily imply first that  $C_{22} = 0$  and then that also  $C_{12} = 0$  and  $C_{21} = 0$ . This proves that  $\psi$  maps the set  $U$  into  $H_q \oplus 0$ . Now, by the invariance of domain theorem [1, p. 344], we have  $q \geq p$ , as desired.

Thus, we know that  $\text{rank } \phi(A) \geq \text{rank } A$  for each  $A \geq 0$ . In particular,  $\text{rank } \phi(A) = \text{rank } A$  whenever  $\text{rank } A = n$ . We continue inductively. Assume that  $\text{rank } \phi(B) = \text{rank } B$  whenever  $B \geq 0$  and  $\text{rank } B = n, n-1, \dots, k+1$ . Let  $A \geq 0$  and  $\text{rank } A = k$ ,  $1 \leq k < n$ . We want to prove that  $\text{rank } \phi(A) = k$ . Assume that this is not true. Then  $\text{rank } \phi(A) = q > k$ . We can find  $B \in H_n$  of rank  $q$  such that  $A \leq B$ . It follows that  $0 \leq \phi(A) \leq \phi(B)$ . Both  $\phi(A)$  and  $\phi(B)$  are of rank  $q$  and, consequently,  $\text{Im } \phi(A) = \text{Im } \phi(B)$ . Moreover, as  $0 \leq \phi(tB) \leq \phi(B)$  for every real  $t$ ,  $0 < t < 1$ , and since  $\text{rank } \phi(tB) = q$ ,  $0 < t < 1$ , we have also  $\text{Im } \phi(A) = \text{Im } \phi(tB)$ ,  $0 < t < 1$ . By continuity,  $\phi(tB)$  tends to zero as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , and thus, we can find a positive real number  $t$  such that  $\phi(tB) \leq \phi(A)$  implying that  $0 \leq tB \leq A$ , which is impossible as  $\text{rank } tB > \text{rank } A$ .

Thus, we know now that  $\text{rank } \phi(A) = \text{rank } A$  for every  $A \geq 0$ . In the same way we see that  $\text{rank } \phi(A) = \text{rank } A$  for every  $A \leq 0$ .

Let  $A$  be any Hermitian matrix of rank one. Then  $A = sP$  for some nonzero real number  $s$  and some projection of rank one. It follows that either  $A \geq 0$  or  $A \leq 0$ . Consequently,  $\text{rank } \phi(A) = 1$  for every  $A \in H_n$  of rank one.

Let  $A, B \in H_n$  be adjacent. The map  $\psi : H_n \rightarrow H_n$  defined by

$$\psi(C) = \phi(C + A) - \phi(A), \quad C \in H_n,$$

is a continuous map preserving order in both directions and satisfying  $\psi(0) = 0$ . By the previous step it maps rank-one matrices into rank-one matrices. In particular,  $\psi(B - A)$  is of rank one and this yields that  $\phi(A)$  and  $\phi(B)$  are adjacent.

As  $\phi$  preserves adjacency we can apply Theorem 2.1. By the invariance domain theorem we see that the first possibility cannot occur. So, we have one of the remaining two possibilities. Since  $\phi$  preserves order we necessarily have  $c = 1$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] W. Fulton, *Algebraic Topology: A First Course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 153 (Springer, New York, NY, 1995).
- [2] W.-l. Huang and P. Šemrl, 'Adjacency preserving maps on Hermitian matrices', *Canad. J. Math.* **60** (2008), 1050–1066.
- [3] L. Molnár, 'Order-automorphisms of the set of bounded observables', *J. Math. Phys.* **42** (2001), 5904–5909.
- [4] L. Molnár, *Selected Preserver Problems on Algebraic Structures of Linear Operators and on Function Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1895 (Springer, Berlin, 2007).
- [5] P. Šemrl, 'Comparability preserving maps on bounded observables', *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **62** (2008), 441–454.

PETER ŠEMRL, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana,  
Jadranska 19, SI-1000, Slovenia  
e-mail: [peter.semrl@fmf.uni-lj.si](mailto:peter.semrl@fmf.uni-lj.si)

AHMED RAMZI SOUROUR, Department of Mathematics and Statistics,  
University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada V8P 5C2  
e-mail: [sourour@uvic.ca](mailto:sourour@uvic.ca)