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Let $A$ be a complex Banach algebra with unit 1 satisfying $\|1\|=1$. An element $u$ in $A$ is said to be unitary if it is invertible and $\|u\|=\left\|u^{-1}\right\|=1$. An element $h$ in $A$ is said to be hermitian if $\|\exp (i t h)\|=1$ for all real $t$; that is, $\exp (i t h)$ is unitary for all real $t$. Suppose that $J$ is a closed two-sided ideal and $\pi: A \rightarrow A / J$ is the quotient mapping. It is easy to see that if $x$ in $A$ is hermitian (resp. unitary), then so is $\pi(x)$ in $A / J$. We consider the following general question which is the converse of the above statement: given a hermitian (resp. unitary) element $y$ in $A / J$, can we find a hermitian (resp. unitary) element $x$ in A such that $\pi(x)=y$ ? (The author has learned that this question, in a more restrictive form, was raised by F. F. Bonsall and that some special cases were investigated; see [1], [2].) In the present note, we give a partial answer to this question under the assumption that $A$ is finite dimensional.

For notation and terminology, we follow the book by Bonsall and Duncan [3]. We shall always assume that $A$ is finite dimensional.

Note that there exists an idempotent $e$ in $A$ which is minimal with respect to the property that $\|e\|=1$ and $1-e \in J$; that is, if $f$ is an idempotent such that $e f=f e=f,\|f\|=1$ and $1-f \in J$, then $e=f$. Also note that $e A e$ is a Banach algebra with $e$ as its unit, $e A e+J=A$ and an element $h$ in $e A e$ is a hermitian element in the algebra $e A e$ if and only if $\|\exp (i t h) e\|=1$ for all real $t$.

Theorem A. If $h$ is a hermitian element in $A / J$, then there is a hermitian element $\tilde{h}$ in eAe such that $\pi(\tilde{h})=h$.

Theorem B. If $u$ is a unitary element in $A / J$, then there exists a unitary element $\tilde{u}$ in eAe such that $\pi(\tilde{u})=u$.

To prove these theorems, we need some technical lemmas. First we note that, since $A$ is finite dimensional, for $x \in A$, the spectrum $\operatorname{Sp}(x)$ is a finite set. For $\lambda \in \operatorname{Sp}(x)$, we shall write $e(\lambda, x)$, or simply $e_{\lambda}$ if this does not cause confusion, for the idempotent

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\partial D_{\lambda}}(\zeta-x)^{-1} d \zeta
$$

where $D_{\lambda}$ is a closed disc with $\lambda$ as its center and $D_{\lambda} \cap \operatorname{Sp}(x)=\{\lambda\}$.
Lemma 1. If $x \in A$ and $\lambda \in \operatorname{Sp}(x) \backslash \operatorname{Sp}(\pi(x))$, then $e_{\lambda} \in J$.
Proof. Since $\lambda \notin \operatorname{Sp}(\pi(x))$, we have

$$
\pi\left(e_{\lambda}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\partial D_{\lambda}}(\zeta-\pi(x))^{-1} d \zeta=e(\lambda, \pi(x))=0
$$

Therefore $e_{\lambda} \in J$.
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Lemma 2. If $x \in A,\|x\|=1, \lambda \in \operatorname{Sp}(x)$ and $|\lambda|=1$, then $e_{\lambda} x=x e_{\lambda}=\lambda e_{\lambda}$ and $\left\|e_{\lambda}\right\|=1$.
Proof. Consider the left regular representation $\Lambda: A \rightarrow B L(A)$ defined by $\Lambda(a) z=$ az. Let $T=\Lambda(x)$. Then $\operatorname{Sp}(T)=\operatorname{Sp}(x)$. Let $P_{\lambda}$ be the spectral projection $e(\lambda, T)$. Then it is easy to show that $\Lambda\left(e_{\lambda}\right)=P_{\lambda}$. Since $\|T\|=1$ and $|\lambda|=1$, it follows from [4] that the range of $P_{\lambda}$ is the eigenspace $\{w \in A: T w=\lambda w\}$ and $\left\|P_{\lambda}\right\| \leq 1$. Hence $\left\|e_{\lambda}\right\|=1$. From $T P_{\lambda}=\lambda P_{\lambda}$ and the fact that $\Lambda$ is one-one, we have $x e_{\lambda}=\lambda e_{\lambda}$.

Proof of Theorem A. For each real number $t$, let

$$
K_{t}=\{x \in e A e:\|x\|=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \pi(x)=\exp (i t h)\} .
$$

Note that $K_{t}$ is non-empty for each real $t$. (In fact, let $y \in A$ be such that $\pi(y)=\exp (i t h)$. Then

$$
1=\|\exp (i t h)\|=\inf \{\|y+z\|: z \in J\} .
$$

By a compactness argument, we see that there exists some $z \in J$ such that $\|y+z\|=1$. Now one can check that $e(y+z) e \in K_{t}$.) It is straightforward to verify that $K_{s} K_{t} \subseteq K_{s+t}$ and that the graph of the set-valued mapping $t \rightarrow K_{t}$ given by

$$
\left\{(t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times A: x \in K_{t}\right\}
$$

is closed.
Let $x \in K_{0}$. Then $\pi(x)=1$. Consider the idempotent $e_{1}=e(1, x)$. By Lemma 2, $x e_{1}=e_{1}$. Hence $e e_{1}=e x e_{1}=x e_{1}=e_{1}$. In the same way, we obtain $e_{1} e=e_{1}$. On the other hand, by Lemma $1,1-e_{1} \in J$ and, by Lemma $2,\left\|e_{1}\right\|=1$. The minimality of $e$ implies $e_{1}=e$. Thus, it follows that $x=x e=x e_{1}=e_{1}=e$. In other words, $K_{0}$ is the singleton $\{e\}$. From the relation $K_{t} K_{-t} \subseteq K_{0}=\{e\}$ we can show that each $K_{t}$ is a singleton, say $K_{t}=\left\{x_{t}\right\}$. From the fact that the graph of $t \rightarrow K_{t}$ is closed it follows that $t \rightarrow x_{t}$ is continuous. Thus we obtain a one-parameter group $\left\{x_{\mathrm{t}}\right\}$ of unitary elements in $e A e$ with $\pi\left(x_{t}\right)=\exp (i t h)$. Let $\tilde{h}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left[\left(x_{t}-e\right) / i t\right]$. Then $\tilde{h}$ is a hermitian element in $e A e$ and $\pi(\tilde{h})=h$.

Proof of Theorem B. Let $x$ be an element in $A$ such that $\|x\|=1$ and $\pi(x)=u$. For $\lambda \in \operatorname{Sp}(x)$, we write $e_{\lambda}$ for the idempotent $e(\lambda, x)$. Since $u$ is unitary, $\operatorname{Sp}(u)$ is contained in the unit circle. Hence, by Lemma 1 , if $\lambda \in \operatorname{Sp}(x)$ and $|\lambda|<1$, then $e_{\lambda} \in J$. Let $F=$ $\operatorname{Sp}(x) \cap\{\lambda:|\lambda|=1\}$ and $e_{F}=\sum_{\lambda \in F} e_{\lambda}$. Then $1-e_{F} \in J$ and, by Lemma 2,

$$
x=\sum_{\lambda \in F} \lambda e_{\lambda}+z
$$

where $z=x\left(1-e_{F}\right) \in J$, has spectral radius less than 1 . Choose an increasing sequence $\left\{n_{k}\right\}$ of positive integers such that
(1) $m_{k}=n_{k+1}-n_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and
(2) for all $\lambda \in F, \lambda^{n_{k}} \rightarrow \mu_{\lambda}$ for some $\mu_{\lambda}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Then $x^{m_{k}+1}=\sum_{\lambda \in F} \lambda^{n_{k+1}-n_{k}} e_{\lambda}+z^{m_{k}}$ which tends to $e_{F}=\sum_{\lambda \in F} e_{\lambda}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\left\|x^{m_{k}}\right\| \leq 1$ for all $k$, we have $\left\|e_{F}\right\|=1$. Note that $x^{n_{k+1}}$ and $x^{n_{k-1}}$ tend to $\tilde{u}=\sum \lambda e_{\lambda}$ and $\tilde{v}=\sum \bar{\lambda} e_{\lambda}$ respectively. Hence we obtain $\|\tilde{u}\| \leq 1,\|\tilde{v}\| \leq 1$. Obviously $\tilde{u} \tilde{v}=\tilde{v} \tilde{u}=e_{F}$. Hence $\tilde{u}$ is a unitary element in $e_{F} A e_{F}$ with $1-e_{F} \in J$ and $\pi(\tilde{u})=u$. Here the conclusion is slightly different from the statement of the theorem. This can be adjusted by choosing $x$ at the beginning that satisfies the additional condition $x \in e A e$, from which we can deduce $e=e_{\mathrm{F}}$. The proof is complete.

Remarks. In Theorem $A$, the assumption that $A$ is finite dimensional can be replaced by a weaker one that $J$ is finite dimensional with a modified proof.
2. From the proof of Theorem $B$ it follows that if $A$ is a finite dimensional algebra and $x$ is an element in $A$ with its spectrum contained in the unit circle and $\|x\|=1$, then $x$ is unitary.
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