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#### Abstract

For two given graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, the planar Ramsey number $P R\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right)$ is the smallest integer $N$ such that every planar graph $G$ on $N$ vertices either contains $G_{1}$, or its complement contains $G_{2}$. Let $C_{4}$ be a quadrilateral, $T_{n}$ a tree of order $n \geq 3$ with maximum degree $k$, and $K_{1, k}$ a star of order $k+1$. We show that $P R\left(C_{4}, T_{n}\right)=\max \left\{n+1, P R\left(C_{4}, K_{1, k}\right)\right\}$. Combining this with a result of Chen et al. ['All quadrilateralwheel planar Ramsey numbers', Graphs Combin. 33 (2017), 335-346] yields exact values of all the quadrilateral-tree planar Ramsey numbers.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, all graphs are simple and finite. Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ be a graph. The numbers of vertices and edges in $G$ are called the order and size of $G$, respectively. The neighbourhood $N_{G}(v)$ of a vertex $v$ is the set of vertices adjacent to $v$ and the degree $d_{G}(v)$ of $v$ is $\left|N_{G}(v)\right|$. Let $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ be the maximum degree and minimum degree of $G$, respectively. A vertex of degree 1 is also said to be a leaf, and a leaf adjacent to $v$ is also called a leaf neighbour of $v$. The complement of $G$ is denoted by $\bar{G}$. Let $K_{1, n-1}$, $P_{n}, C_{n}$ and $K_{n}$ be a star, a path, a cycle and a complete graph of order $n$, respectively. ( $C_{4}$ is also called a quadrilateral.)

For two given graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, the planar Ramsey number $\operatorname{PR}\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right)$ is the smallest integer $N$ such that every planar graph $G$ on $N$ vertices either contains $G_{1}$, or its complement contains $G_{2}$. The planar Ramsey number was introduced by Walker [7] in 1969 and is the usual Ramsey number $R\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right)$ with the ground set restricted to planar graphs. It is easy to see that $P R\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right) \leq R\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right)$. Since many problems in graph theory become more tractable when restricted to the plane, we might hope that determining $\operatorname{PR}\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right)$ is tractable. Calculating $R\left(K_{m}, K_{n}\right)$ is a very challenging problem as it increases exponentially. However, based on the four colour theorem and

[^0]Grümbaum's 3-colourings theorem [3], Steinberg and Tovey [6] determined all values of $\operatorname{PR}\left(K_{m}, K_{n}\right)$. For the Ramsey number for $C_{4}$ versus a tree, Burr et al. [1] showed that $R\left(C_{4}, T_{n}\right)=\max \left\{4, n+1, R\left(C_{4}, K_{1, k}\right)\right\}$, where $T_{n}$ is a tree of order $n$ with maximum degree $k$, which transfers the problem of determining the values of $R\left(C_{4}, T_{n}\right)$ to calculating the values of $R\left(C_{4}, K_{1, k}\right)$. Unfortunately, the exact values for $R\left(C_{4}, K_{1, n}\right)$ are far from being known (see [8, 9]). It is shown in [5] that $R\left(C_{4}, K_{1, n}\right) \leq n+\lfloor\sqrt{n-1}\rfloor+2$ for $n \geq 2$ and in [1] that $R\left(C_{4}, K_{1, n}\right) \geq n+\sqrt{n}-6 n^{11 / 40}$ for sufficiently large $n$.

In this paper, our aim is to determine $\operatorname{PR}\left(C_{4}, T_{n}\right)$. The main result is as follows.
Theorem 1.1. Let $T_{n}$ be a tree of order $n \geq 3$ with maximum degree $k$. Then $P R\left(C_{4}, T_{n}\right)=\max \left\{n+1, P R\left(C_{4}, K_{1, k}\right)\right\}$.

Theorem 1.1 tells us that the values of $P R\left(C_{4}, T_{n}\right)$ depend essentially on the values of $\operatorname{PR}\left(C_{4}, K_{1, k}\right)$, where $k=\Delta\left(T_{n}\right)$. Can we determine all the values of $P R\left(C_{4}, K_{1, n}\right)$ ? Define $\delta\left(n, C_{4}\right)=\max \left\{\delta(G) \mid G\right.$ is a planar graph of order $n$ without $\left.C_{4}\right\}$. Recently, Chen et al. [2] determined the values of $\delta\left(n, C_{4}\right)$ for all $n$.

Theorem 1.2 [2]. Let $n \geq 4$ be an integer. Then

$$
\delta\left(n, C_{4}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } n=4, \\ 2 & \text { if } 5 \leq n \leq 9 \\ 3 & \text { if } 10 \leq n \leq 43 \text { and } n \notin\{30,36,39,42\}, \\ 4 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Now define

$$
f(n)= \begin{cases}4 & \text { if } n=2 \\ n+3 & \text { if } 3 \leq n \leq 6 \\ n+4 & \text { if } 7 \leq n \leq 39 \text { and } n \notin\{26,32,35,38\} \\ n+5 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then by Theorem 1.2, we compute

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta\left(f(n), C_{4}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } n=2, \\
2 & \text { if } 3 \leq n \leq 6, \\
3 & \text { if } 7 \leq n \leq 39, \\
4 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases} \\
\delta\left(f(n)-1, C_{4}\right)= \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } 2 \leq n \leq 6, \\
3 & \text { if } 7 \leq n \leq 39 \\
4 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\delta(G)+\Delta(\bar{G})=|V(G)|-1$ for any graph $G$, it follows that $\bar{\Delta}\left(f(n), C_{4}\right)=n+1$ if $n \in\{26,32,35,38\}$ and $\bar{\Delta}\left(f(n), C_{4}\right)=n$ otherwise, and so $\operatorname{PR}\left(C_{4}, K_{1, n}\right) \leq f(n)$. Moreover, $\bar{\Delta}\left(f(n)-1, C_{4}\right)=n-2$ if $n \in\{2,27,33,36,39\}$ and $\bar{\Delta}\left(f(n)-1, C_{4}\right)=n-1$ otherwise, and so $P R\left(C_{4}, K_{1, n}\right) \geq f(n)$. So we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.3. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Then $\operatorname{PR}\left(C_{4}, K_{1, n}\right)=f(n)$.
By Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.3, we can completely determine all the quadrilateral-tree planar Ramsey numbers.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we first introduce some operations on graphs, then give several lemmas that will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

For any $S \subseteq V(G)$, let $G[S]$ denote the subgraph induced by $S$ in $G$, and $G-S$ the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting all the vertices of $S$. When $S=\{v\}$, we simplify $G-\{v\}$ to $G-v$. Let $G-u v$ denote the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting the edge $u v \in E(G)$. For $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$, we define $(X, Y)_{G}=\{u v \in E(G) \mid u \in X, v \in Y\}$. Let $G[X, Y]$ be a bipartite graph with vertex set $X \cup Y$ and edge set $(X, Y)_{G}$.

In [1], Burr et al. considered Ramsey numbers for $C_{4}$ versus some special trees and obtained $R\left(C_{4}, P_{n}\right)=n+1$ for $n \geq 3$ and $R\left(C_{4}, F\right) \leq 2(q+1)$ for any forest $F$ of size $q$ without isolated vertices. Since $P R\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right) \leq R\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right)$, we have the following two results.

Lemma 2.1. Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. Then $P R\left(C_{4}, P_{n}\right) \leq n+1$.
Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $F$ is a forest of size $q$ without isolated vertices. Then $P R\left(C_{4}, F\right) \leq 2(q+1)$.

The following 'folklore lemma' gives a sufficient condition for a graph to contain all trees of given order.

Lemma 2.3. Let $G$ be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq n-1$. Then $G$ contains all trees of order $n$.
In 1935, Hall [4] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a matching in a bipartite graph $G[X, Y]$ which covers every vertex in $X$.

Lemma 2.4 [4]. A bipartite graph $G=G[X, Y]$ has a matching which covers every vertex in $X$ if and only if $\left|N_{G}(S)\right| \geq|S|$ for all $S \subseteq X$, where $N_{G}(S)$ is the set of all neighbours of the vertices in $S$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $T_{n}$ be a tree of order $n \geq 3$ with maximum degree $k$, and let $x \in V\left(T_{n}\right)$ be a vertex of degree $k$. Set $p=\max \left\{n+1, P R\left(C_{4}, K_{1, k}\right)\right\}$.

First we show that $p$ is a lower bound for $\operatorname{PR}\left(C_{4}, T_{n}\right)$. Observe that $P R\left(C_{4}, T_{n}\right) \geq$ $\operatorname{PR}\left(C_{4}, K_{1, k}\right)$. On the other hand, $\operatorname{PR}\left(C_{4}, T_{n}\right) \geq n+1$ because $K_{1, n-1}$ is a planar graph of order $n$ without $C_{4}$ and there is no tree of order $n$ in its complement. Therefore $P R\left(C_{4}, T_{n}\right) \geq \max \left\{n+1, P R\left(C_{4}, K_{1, k}\right)\right\}$.

Next we show by induction on $n$ that $P R\left(C_{4}, T_{n}\right) \leq \max \left\{n+1, P R\left(C_{4}, K_{1, k}\right)\right\}$. If $n=3$ or 4 , then $T_{n}$ is a path or a star and the result holds by Lemma 2.1. Assume that $n \geq 5$ and that the result holds for all smaller values of $n$. Let $G$ be a planar graph of
order $p$ without $C_{4}$. We will show that $\bar{G}$ contains $T_{n}$. Since the result holds for paths and stars, we may assume that $3 \leq k \leq n-2$.

Let $v \in V(G)$ with $d_{G}(v)=\delta(G)$. Then $v$ is a vertex of maximum degree in $\bar{G}$. Set $S=N_{\bar{G}}(v)$ and $\bar{\Delta}=|S|$. Since $p \geq P R\left(C_{4}, K_{1, k}\right)$, we have $\bar{\Delta} \geq k$.

Case 1: $\bar{\Delta} \geq n$.
Let $F=T_{n}-x$. Then $F$ is a forest of order $n-1$ with $n-k-1$ edges. If $n \leq 2 k$, then $2(n-k) \leq n$. By Lemma 2.2, $\bar{G}[S]$ contains all forests of size $n-k-1$ without isolated vertices, so $\bar{G}[S]$ contains $F$. Since $\bar{\Delta} \geq n$ and $v$ is adjacent to all the vertices in $S$ in $\bar{G}$, it follows that $\bar{G}[S \cup\{v\}]$ contains $T_{n}$. Now assume that $n \geq 2 k+1$. If $k \geq 4$, then $P R\left(C_{4}, K_{1, k}\right) \leq k+5 \leq 2 k+1 \leq n$ by Corollary 1.3. If $k=3$, then $P R\left(C_{4}, K_{1,3}\right)=6<n$. So in either case, $P R\left(C_{4}, K_{1, k}\right) \leq n$. Thus $F$ is contained in a tree $T^{\prime}$ of order $n-1$ with $\Delta\left(T^{\prime}\right) \leq k$. Consequently, $P R\left(C_{4}, F\right) \leq P R\left(C_{4}, T^{\prime}\right) \leq \max \left\{n, P R\left(C_{4}, K_{1, k}\right)\right\}=n$ by the induction hypothesis. Thus $\bar{G}[S]$ contains $F$. Since $\bar{\Delta} \geq n$ and $v$ is adjacent to all the vertices in $S$ in $\bar{G}$, it follows that $\bar{G}[S \cup\{v\}]$ contains $T_{n}$.
Case 2: $\bar{\Delta} \leq n-1$.
We consider two subcases according to the relationship between $\bar{\Delta}$ and $k$.
Subcase 2.1: $\bar{\Delta} \leq 2(k-1)$.
Since $\bar{\Delta} \leq 2(k-1)$, we have $\bar{\Delta} \geq 2(\bar{\Delta}-k+1)$. By Lemma $2.2, \bar{G}[S]$ contains all forests of size $\bar{\Delta}-k$ without isolated vertices. Let $T^{\prime}$ be a tree of order $\bar{\Delta}+1(\leq n)$ obtained from $T$ by successively deleting leaves other than those which are adjacent to $x$ and let $F^{\prime}=T^{\prime}-x$. Then $F^{\prime}$ is a forest of order $\bar{\Delta}$ with $\bar{\Delta}-k$ edges. Thus, $\bar{G}[S]$ contains $F^{\prime}$. Since $v$ is adjacent to all the vertices in $S$ in $\bar{G}$, it follows that $\bar{G}[S \cup\{v\}]$ contains $T^{\prime}$. Since $p \geq n+1$ and $G$ contains no $C_{4}$, the tree $T^{\prime}$ can be extended to $T$ in $\bar{G}$.
Subcase 2.2: $\bar{\Delta} \geq 2 k-1$.
In this case, $2 k-1 \leq \bar{\Delta} \leq n-1$, so $2 k \leq n$. Let

$$
W=\left\{v \in V\left(T_{n}\right) \mid v \text { has a leaf neighbour of } T_{n}\right\}
$$

Since $T_{n}$ is not a star, we have $|W| \geq 2$.
First we assume $|W|=2$. Then $T_{n}$ is a tree obtained from two disjoint stars by joining their centres with a path. Let $T^{\prime}$ be a tree obtained from $T_{n}$ by deleting $x$ and all leaf neighbours of $x$, and let $T^{\prime \prime}$ be a tree of order $\bar{\Delta}-k$ obtained from $T^{\prime}$ by successively deleting leaves (but keeping the vertex which is adjacent to $x$ ). Since $T^{\prime}$ is a tree of order $n-k$ and $\bar{\Delta}-k \leq n-k-1$, we have $\Delta\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq k-1$. Let $T^{*}$ be the tree obtained from $T^{\prime \prime}$ by adding $x$ and all leaf neighbours of $x$ in $T_{n}$. Then $\left|V\left(T^{*}\right)\right|=\bar{\Delta}$.
Claim 1. $\bar{\Delta} \geq P R\left(C_{4}, T^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
Proof of Claim 1. For $3 \leq k \leq 4$, we have $P R\left(C_{4}, K_{1, k-1}\right) \leq k+2 \leq 2 k-1 \leq \bar{\Delta}$ by Corollary 1.3, so $P R\left(C_{4}, T^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq \max \left\{\bar{\Delta}-k+1, P R\left(C_{4}, K_{1, k-1}\right)\right\} \leq \bar{\Delta}$ by induction. For $k \geq 5$, we have $P R\left(C_{4}, K_{1, k-1}\right) \leq k+4 \leq 2 k-1 \leq \bar{\Delta}$ by Corollary 1.3, and again $P R\left(C_{4}, T^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq \max \left\{\bar{\Delta}-k+1, \operatorname{PR}\left(C_{4}, K_{1, k-1}\right)\right\} \leq \bar{\Delta}$ by induction.

By Claim $1, \bar{G}[S]$ contains $T^{\prime \prime}$. Since $v$ is adjacent to all the vertices in $S$ in $\bar{G}$, it follows that $\bar{G}[S \cup\{v\}]$ contains $T^{*}$. Since $p \geq n+1$ and $G$ contains no $C_{4}$, we see that $T^{*}$ can be extended to $T_{n}$ in $\bar{G}$.

Now we assume $|W| \geq 3$. Let $a, b, c \in W$ and let $a_{1}$ be a leaf neighbour of $a, b_{1}$ a leaf neighbour of $b$ and $c_{1}$ a leaf neighbour of $c$. Assume without loss of generality that $a$ is a vertex of $W$ with $d_{T}(a)$ as large as possible. Then $3 \leq k \leq n-3$. If $T^{\prime}=T-\left\{a_{1}, b_{1}, c_{1}\right\}$, then $T^{\prime}$ is a tree of order $n-3$ with maximum degree at most k.

Claim 2. $P R\left(C_{4}, T^{\prime}\right) \leq p-3$ unless $n=7, p=8, k=3$ and $T^{\prime}$ is a $K_{1,3}$.
Proof of Claim 2. For $k=n-3$, we have $n=6$ because $2 k \leq n$ and so $k=3$ and $p=\max \left\{7, \operatorname{PR}\left(C_{4}, K_{1,3}\right)\right\}=7$. In this case, $T^{\prime}$ is a $K_{1,2}$ and $\operatorname{PR}\left(C_{4}, T^{\prime}\right)=4=p-3$. For $k=n-4$, we have $n=7$ or 8 as $2 k \leq n$. If $n=7$, then $k=3$ and

$$
p=\max \left\{8, P R\left(C_{4}, K_{1,3}\right)\right\}=8 .
$$

In this case, $T^{\prime}$ is a $K_{1,3}$ or a $P_{4}$. If $T^{\prime}$ is a $K_{1,3}$, then $\operatorname{PR}\left(C_{4}, T^{\prime}\right)=6=p-2$ by Corollary 1.3. If $T^{\prime}$ is a $P_{4}$, then $P R\left(C_{4}, T^{\prime}\right)=5=p-3$ by Lemma 2.1. If $n=8$, then $k=4$ and $p=\max \left\{9, \operatorname{PR}\left(C_{4}, K_{1,4}\right)\right\}=9$. In this case, $a=x$ by the choice of $a$ and $T^{\prime}$ is a tree of order 5 with maximum degree 3 . Thus

$$
P R\left(C_{4}, T^{\prime}\right)=\max \left\{6, P R\left(C_{4}, K_{1,3}\right)\right\}=6=p-3
$$

by induction. For $k \leq n-5$, we have $P R\left(C_{4}, K_{1, k}\right)=k+3 \leq n-2$ if $3 \leq k \leq 6$, and $P R\left(C_{4}, K_{1, k}\right) \leq k+5 \leq 2 k-2 \leq n-2$ if $k \geq 7$. Then

$$
P R\left(C_{4}, T^{\prime}\right) \leq \max \left\{n-2, P R\left(C_{4}, K_{1, k}\right)\right\}=n-2 \leq p-3
$$

by induction.
If $\delta(\bar{G}) \geq n-1$, then $\bar{G}$ contains $T_{n}$ by Lemma 2.3, so we assume $\delta(\bar{G}) \leq n-2$, and so $\Delta(G) \geq 2$. Let $u$ be a vertex of maximum degree of $G$ and let $u_{1}, u_{2}$ be two neighbours of $u$. Let $G^{\prime}=G-\left\{u, u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$. Then $G^{\prime}$ is a planar graph of order $p-3$ without $C_{4}$.

First we assume $\overline{G^{\prime}}$ contains $T^{\prime}$. Set $\{w\}=V\left(G^{\prime}\right)-V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}$ and $Y=\left\{u, u_{1}, u_{2}, w\right\}$. Consider the bipartite graph $\bar{G}[X, Y]$. Note that $G$ contains no $C_{4}$, and so $|N(S)| \geq|S|$ for any $S \subseteq X$. By Lemma $2.4, \bar{G}[X, Y]$ has a matching covering every vertex in $X$. Then $T^{\prime}$ together with this matching is a $T$ in $\bar{G}$.

Next assume $\overline{G^{\prime}}$ contains no $T^{\prime}$. Then $n=7, p=8, k=3$ and $T^{\prime}$ is a $K_{1,3}$ by Claim 2. In this case, $V(T)=\left\{x, a, a_{1}, b, b_{1}, c, c_{1}\right\}$ and $E(T)=\left\{x a, x b, x c, a a_{1}, b b_{1}, c c_{1}\right\}$. Note that $G^{\prime}$ is a planar graph of order 5 without $C_{4}$, so $\Delta\left(\overline{G^{\prime}}\right)=2$. Let $w$ be a vertex of maximum degree of $\overline{G^{\prime}}$ and $w_{1}, w_{2}$ two neighbours of $w$ in $\overline{G^{\prime}}$. Set $\left\{w_{3}, w_{4}\right\}=V\left(G^{\prime}\right)-\left\{w, w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$. Then $w w_{3}, w w_{4} \in E(G)$. Since $G$ contains no $C_{4}$, then $w u_{1} \in E(\bar{G})$ or $w u_{2} \in E(\bar{G})$, say $w u_{1} \in E(\bar{G})$. Hence the subgraph induced by $\left\{w u_{1}, w w_{1}, w w_{2}\right\}$ is a $K_{1,3}$. Let $X=\left\{u_{1}, w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}, Y=\left\{u, u_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right\}$. Consider the bipartite graph $\bar{G}[X, Y]$. Since $G$ contains no $C_{4}$, we have $|N(S)| \geq|S|$ for any $S \subseteq X$. By Lemma $2.4, \bar{G}[X, Y]$ has a matching covering every vertex in $X$. Then $K_{1,3}$ together with this matching is a $T$ in $\bar{G}$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
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