Brain responses to watching food commercials compared with nonfood commercials: a meta-analysis on neuroimaging studies

Andy Wai Kan Yeung* 💿

Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology, Applied Oral Sciences and Community Dental Care, Faculty of Dentistry, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, People's Republic of China

Submitted 9 April 2020: Final revision received 9 July 2020: Accepted 6 August 2020: First published online 4 September 2020

Abstract

Objective: This study aimed to identify and meta-analyse the neuroimaging data and hence synthesise a brain map showing the neural correlates of watching food commercials.

Design: Published studies were retrieved and included into the analysis if they evaluated brain responses to food commercials with functional MRI and reported results based on whole-brain analysis in standard brain coordinates.

Setting: No additional restriction was placed on the search, such as the publication year and age of participants.

Participants: Seven papers that composed of a total of 442 participants fulfilled the inclusion criteria. All of them recruited children or adolescents.

Results: Food commercials caused larger brain responses than nonfood counterparts in the cuneus on both hemispheres, which played a role in dietary self-control and modulation of food craving. Other brain regions involved in food commercials processing included the left culmen, left middle occipital gyrus and the right superior parietal lobule, which could be related to reward, emotional responses and habit formation.

Conclusion: These neural correlates may help explain the food choice and eating behaviours of children and adolescents that might be relevant to the development of obesity.

Keywords Food commercials Child obesity fMRI Meta-analysis

Food advertising, with food commercials shown on television being one of the most important channels, affects children's knowledge about nutrition and health, preferences, purchase and consumption behaviour, and hence their eating behaviour, social acceptability and peer modelling of behaviours⁽¹⁻³⁾. The prevalence of obesity among children and adolescents in the United States has been steady and over 15% since 2001⁽⁴⁾. Meanwhile, it was estimated that the number of children with overweight or obesity increased by 1.3 million per year in Europe⁽⁵⁾. Food marketing to children poses a problem for childhood obesity, as it is composed largely of messages for foods dense in energies but poor in nutrients^(6–8). By analysing the data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey in the USA with a mathematical simulation model, it was estimated that one in seven up to one in three children could be saved from developing obesity if the exposure to food commercials on television was reduced to zero⁽⁹⁾. Echoing this finding, a meta-analysis concluded that experimental shortterm exposure to food commercials would lead to an

addition of 251.04 kJ dietary intake compared with nonfood commercials⁽¹⁰⁾. Indeed, pictures and videos of foods were found to exert a similar effect size to real food exposure in terms of inducing food craving⁽¹¹⁾.

In recent years, researchers have started to use functional MRI (fMRI) to investigate the neural correlates of food commercials in children and adolescents⁽¹²⁾, which may foster a better understanding of the neurobiology of how food commercials affect food choice and eating behaviour. As the literature has continued to accumulate, a meta-analysis could be conducted to pool data across relevant papers to identify brain regions that were consistently reported to involve in processing information from food commercials. Hence, a meta-analysis was performed on fMRI studies with the activation likelihood estimation approach, which used a probability distribution model^(13,14) to determine the consistency of activated brain regions, in the format of standard brain coordinates, reported by multiple experiments. This approach successfully metaanalysed neuroimaging studies on taste and food stimuli

^{*}Corresponding author: Email ndyeung@hku.hk

[©] The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Nutrition Society

Ŷ

in previous reports^(15–17). The reason for conducting the current analysis on top of the existing meta-analyses was that the previous ones were based on visual food cues that involved static food images well designed for experiments, instead of naturalistic audiovisual footages that are exposed to children everyday through the television.

Methods

Literature search and screening

Following the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses guideline, papers were searched in multiple electronic databases, namely PubMed, Web of Science and Scopus, in March 2020. The following string was used to search for the titles, abstracts and keywords of papers: ('food commercial*' OR 'commercial* of food' OR 'food ad' OR 'food ads' OR 'food advertisement*') AND ('functional MRI' OR fMRI OR 'functional magnet* resonance'). Reference lists of relevant publications were also searched to identify missed papers.

The search initially yielded thirty-one papers. After excluding duplicates, twelve remained. Five of them were excluded after further screening because of being a review paper $(n \ 1)$, food commercials being merely mentioned but not involved in the actual experiments $(n \ 3)$ and having no brain activation results from whole-brain analysis $(n \ 1)$. Finally, seven studies remained (Fig. 1).

Information recorded from the analysed papers

A number of items were extracted from the analysed papers, such as patient age, sex and BMI, commercials used as the control, statistical threshold used to report fMRI results, the number of brain coordinates reported and whether food or nonfood commercials activated the brain more (Table 1).







Table 1 Details of the seven meta-analysed papers

Study	Journal	No. of participants	Sex of participants	Age, mean \pm SD	BMI	Nonfood commercials used as the control stimulus (matched characteristics)	Primary contrast (data location, number of brain coordinates reported)*	Secondary contrast (data location, number of brain coordinates reported)*	Statistical threshold
Gearhardt <i>et al.</i> (2020) ⁽²⁵⁾	Am J Clin Nutr	193†	94M, 99 F	14·3±1·0	24·1 ± 5·4 (OW n 48, OB n 42)	Phone commercials (not matched for characteristics)	All in Supp Table 3: Healthy food > phone (15); unhealthy food > phone (31)	All in Supp Table 3: Nonfood > food (21); healthy food > unhealthy food (1); unhealthy food > healthy food (14)	Cluster <i>P</i> < 0.05, corrected by Monte Carlo simulations
Masterson <i>et al.</i> (2019) ⁽²⁶⁾	Appetite	41	19M, 22 F	Healthy: 7-8 ± 0-7; OW/OB: 8-0 ± 0-7	HC: 16·0 ± 1·0; OW/OB: 20·4 ± 2·4	Toy commercials (unknown if matched for characteristics)	NA	All in Table 4: view high energy food image after watching food commercial < after toy commercial (10); view high energy food image after watching food commercial: HC < OW/OB (4)	Cluster <i>P</i> < 0.05, corrected by Monte Carlo simulations
Rapuano <i>et al.</i> (2017) ⁽⁴²⁾	Proc Natl Acad Sci	78	42M, 36 F	$10{\cdot}4\pm0{\cdot}8$	$19{\cdot}4\pm4{\cdot}4$	Unspecified (matched for total duration and rating for interest)	Food > nonfood (Fig. 3; 1)	NA	Cluster P < 0.05, corrected by Monte Carlo simulations
Rapuano <i>et al.</i> (2016) ⁽⁴³⁾	Cereb Cortex	37	17M, 20 F	$14{\cdot}4\pm1{\cdot}3$	26-5 ± 7-0 (HC: 20-2 ± 2-1; OB: 33-2 ± 2-5)	Commercials of personal hygiene products, mortgage, car, phone, cleaner, insurance and hardware chain (matched for duration and ratings for interest and excitement)	Food > nonfood (Table 3; 5)	Positive correlation of response to food with % body fat (Table 4; 4)	Cluster <i>P</i> < 0.05, corrected by Monte Carlo simulations
Bruce <i>et al.</i> (2016) ⁽⁴⁴⁾	J Pediatr	33	11M, 22 F	10.5 ± NA (range = 8–14)	NA (age- and sex-adjusted percentile scores: 56.1 ± 29.8)	Commercials of insurance, phone, car and cable TV (matched for duration)	Food > nonfood (Table 2; 1)	NA	Cluster <i>P</i> < 0.05, corrected by Monte Carlo simulations
Gearhardt <i>et al.</i> (2014) ⁽¹²⁾	Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci	30	13M, 17 F	15·2 ± 1·1	26.9 \pm 5.4 (HC: 21.2 \pm 0.9; OW: 25.5 \pm 1.4; OB: 32.6 \pm 5.4)	Commercials of contact lens, cable TV, insurance, phone, car, personal hygiene products and tax company (matched for duration)	Food > nonfood (Table 3; 11)	Food > nonfood for OW > HC (Table 4; 2)	Cluster <i>P</i> < 0.05, corrected by Monte Carlo simulations
Yokum <i>et al.</i> (2014) ⁽²⁷⁾	Obesity	30	13M, 17 F	15·2 ± 1·1	26.9 ± 5.4 (HC: 21.2 ± 0.9 ; OW: 25.5 ± 1.4 ; OB: 32.6 ± 5.4)	Commercials of contact lens, cable TV, insurance, phone, car, personal hygiene products and tax company (matched for duration)	NA	Correlation of response to [Food > nonfood] with BMI change after 1y (Table 3; positive: 13, negative: 9)	Cluster P<0.05, corrected by Monte Carlo simulations
Total		442	209M, 233 F			,	64	78	

HC, healthy controls. OB, participants with obesity. OW, participants with overweight.

*Unless otherwise specified, food and nonfood mean food and nonfood commercials, respectively.

†The reported results were based on 171 participants (after 22 were excluded) without detailed final sample composition.

2156

Activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis

The ALE method is one of the most popular approaches of coordinate-based meta-analysis that pools data from included papers to identify brain regions that are consistently activated in terms of probability distribution modelling⁽¹⁸⁾. In summary, the standard coordinates of reported brain regions with activation were extracted. There are two common systems of brain coordinates -Talairach and Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI). To make it consistent, coordinates reported in the former system were converted to the MNI system by Lancaster transform⁽¹⁹⁾. The software GingerALE 3.0.2 (freely available from http://brainmap.org/ale/) was used to conduct ALE meta-analysis. Subject-based full-width half-maximum values were applied⁽¹⁸⁾. The more conservative mask instead of the dilated one was used. A cluster of brain voxels was considered significantly activated in the meta-analysis if it had a cluster P < 0.05 (corrected by familywise error rate, FWE-corrected) with a primary cluster-defining threshold of P < 0.001. This threshold followed the latest recommendations of using GingerALE for ALE meta-analysis⁽²⁰⁻²²⁾. The thresholded ALE maps were overlaid onto the Colin brain template in MNI space⁽²³⁾ and visualised with Mango 4.0 (freely available from http://ric.uthscsa.edu/mango/ mango.html).

Automated regional behavioural analysis

Each identified significant cluster was subject to automated regional behavioural analysis⁽²⁴⁾, which considered the data indexed in the BrainMap database (http://brainmap. org/) that involved fifty-one behavioural sub-domains under five main domains (namely action, cognition, emotion, interoception and perception). In brief, for every behavioural sub-domain, the fraction of brain coordinates falling into the region covered by the significant cluster was computed and compared with the expected fraction if the coordinates had a uniform distribution across the entire brain. A large difference between these fractions (*z*-score ≥ 3.0) would imply a significant behavioural association.

Results

Study characteristics

There were seven papers entering the meta-analysis, published in between 2014 and 2020 in journals with impact factor. The number of participants per study ranged from 30 to 193. Regarding the mean age of the participants, the means reported from the studies fell within the range of 7.8-15.2 years (Table 1). They all recruited participants in nearly equal sex ratio. The mean BMI from the studies fell within the range of 19.4-26.9 kgm⁻², and many of the studies recruited participants across the spectrum of normal weight, overweight and obesity. The nonfood commercials



Fig. 2 Meta-analytic results showing brain regions having larger responses to food commercials than nonfood commercials at (A) left cuneus and (B) right middle occipital gyrus to cuneus

varied in context but were usually matched for duration. Meanwhile, only one paper reported results of nonfood > food commercials⁽²⁵⁾, whereas most papers reported that food commercials triggered larger brain responses than nonfood commercials.

Activation likelibood estimation meta-analysis results: food commercials > nonfood commercials

There were two significant clusters covering the cuneus on both hemispheres, with the cluster on the right side extended to the middle occipital gyrus (Fig. 2, Table 2). No significant association was found by automated regional behavioural analysis.

Activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis results: overall analysis

There were three significant clusters that cover the left culmen and middle occipital gyrus and the right superior parietal lobule (Fig. 3 A-C, Table 3). Cluster 1 (left culmen) was contributed by (i) food commercial > nonfood commer $cial^{(12)}$; (ii) participants with overweight/obesity > healthy controls in response to viewing high energy food cue after watching food commercials⁽²⁶⁾; (iii) unhealthy food commercials > healthy food commercials⁽²⁵⁾ and (iv)unhealthy food commercials > nonfood commercials⁽²⁵⁾. Clusters 2 and 3 were commonly contributed by (i) negative correlation of food commercials > nonfood commercials with BMI change 1 year later⁽²⁷⁾ and (ii) unhealthy food commercials > healthy food commercials⁽²⁵⁾. Cluster 2 was additionally contributed by nonfood commercials > food commercials⁽²⁵⁾, whereas cluster 3 was by food commercials > nonfood commercials⁽¹²⁾ and unhealthy food commercials > nonfood commercials⁽²⁵⁾. Automated regional behavioural analysis revealed that the sub-domain of attention (from the main domain cognition) nearly reached a significant association at the left culmen (z = 2.96). No significant association was found for left middle occipital gyrus and right superior parietal lobule.

Brain responses to food commercials

Table 2 Meta-analytic results of brain lo	ocations having larger responses to	food commercials than nonfood commercials
---	-------------------------------------	---

Cluster no.		Side	Brain region	Peak voxel brain coordinate			
	Cluster size (mm ³)			x	У	Z	ALE value (×10 ⁻²)
1	736	R	Middle occipital gyrus	24	-94	12	2.06
2	464	R L	Cuneus	16 –12	_94 _100	14 5	1·15 2·06

Brain coordinates are reported in Montreal Neurological Institute standard.



Fig. 3 Meta-analytic results showing brain regions with significant responses from overall analysis at (A) left culmen, (B) left middle occipital gyrus and (C) right superior parietal lobule. (D) Automated regional behavioural analysis showed that the sub-domain of attention (from the main domain cognition) nearly reached a significant association at the left culmen (z = 2.96)

Cluster no.		Side	Brain region	Peak voxel brain coordinate			
	Cluster size (mm ³)			x	у	Z	ALE value (×10 ⁻²)
1	1080	L	Culmen	-32	-62	-20	2.80
2	592	R	Superior parietal lobule	28	-70	50	2.11
3	560	L	Middle occipital gyrus	-30	-90	8	1.84

Brain coordinates are reported in Montreal Neurological Institute standard.

Discussion

This is the first meta-analysis on fMRI studies regarding brain responses to food commercials. Results have shown that food commercials caused larger brain responses than nonfood counterparts in the cuneus on both hemispheres among children and adolescents. Other brain regions involved in food commercials processing included the left culmen, left middle occipital gyrus and the right superior parietal lobule.

The cuneus is a visual processing area in the occipital lobe of the cerebrum. It played a role in dietary selfcontrol and modulation of food craving in visual food cue processing^(28,29). Among adolescents with normal weight, the response level in the cuneus upon receiving real milkshake was found to positively correlate to

Ŷ

2158

weight gain after 3 years⁽³⁰⁾ and was higher for those with obesity prone genotype relative to their non-obesity prone counterparts⁽³¹⁾. Similarly, the heightened activation in the cuneus in response to food commercials relative to nonfood counterparts positively correlated to weight gain after 1 year among adolescents regardless of their weight status⁽²⁷⁾. Meanwhile, adolescents with overweight had a higher response level in the cuneus in response to food commercials than nonfood counterparts relative to those with obesity and those who were lean⁽¹²⁾. Since this⁽¹²⁾ was the only study to report weight group differences in response to food commercials, it was not possible to conduct a meta-analysis on this. Future studies should further elucidate the differences between adolescents in different weight groups. Besides food craving and weight gain, cuneus was also associated with addictive behaviours. For instance, the activity level of cuneus in subjects addicted to Internet gaming was heightened relative to healthy controls during the exposure to game cues⁽³²⁾. Poor treatment outcomes in alcoholics were also associated with abnormal gray matter volume and functional connectivity in the cuneus⁽³³⁾. In the future, perhaps the activation of the cuneus may serve as a biomarker, such that the viewing of the proposed food commercials should not largely activate the cuneus of the review panel members before they are allowed to be broadcasted.

A large-scale meta-analysis concluded that the culmen, middle occipital gyrus and superior parietal lobule were commonly activated by drug, gambling, food and sexual cues, indicating their roles in the network that processed reward, emotional responses and habit formation⁽³⁴⁾. A previous meta-analysis reported that culmen and middle occipital gyrus were activated more in response to high energy food images than low energy counterparts⁽³⁵⁾. In the current study, the activation of culmen was partly contributed by unhealthy food commercials > healthy food commercials and unhealthy food commercials > nonfood commercials, rendering it consistent to the previous findings in the sense that unhealthy food commercials advertised food with high energy. There seemed to be an association of culmen with food craving, as leptin was found to reverse the weight loss-induced alterations in the neural responses of culmen to food images among patients with obesity who had reduced 10% body weight⁽³⁶⁾. Meanwhile, occipital and parietal cortices were activated more upon viewing food logos than nonfood logos⁽³⁷⁾.

The processing of taste and food information is a complex issue and has multiple facets. In terms of the nature of the stimuli, the five senses of visual, auditory, gustatory, olfactory, tactile or any combinations among the five could be involved. Indeed, a meta-analysis of food perception found that visual food cues (images) activated the more posterior areas responsible for visual processing such as the occipital and cerebellar regions, whereas gustatory and olfactory food cues activated the more anterior areas responsible for visceral sensations⁽³⁸⁾. Meanwhile, the evaluation of food involved numerous aspects, such as affective value, intensity and taste quality, which involved different areas of the limbic system⁽¹⁵⁾. None of the seven analysed papers gave specific instructions to the participants as they watched the food commercials, so that participants were not controlled to focus on a particular aspect of food perception as described above. Therefore, the activations could be interpreted as a general observation that food commercials led to more visual attention and food reward perception, as supported by the findings from the existing literature as well as automated regional behavioural analysis.

There were several limitations of this meta-analysis. First, the participants involved in the analysed papers were all children and adolescents with the maximum mean age of 15.2 years. The results might not be generalised to the adult population. Compared with adults, children might tend to have larger head motion, with the framewise displacement in the range of 1-1.5 mm for children of 7–8 years to around 0.25 mm for children of 11–13 years⁽³⁹⁾. In addition, there were few original papers identified, so that potential meta-analyses such as to compare healthy food commercials v. unhealthy food commercials could not be conducted. Besides, as commercials were compared, the stimuli were naturalistic instead of those audiovisual footages designed and used in other psychological fMRI experiments such as in dental phobia, where the test and control stimuli were matched for multiple parameters, including the foreground-background ratio, speed of zooming, sound level and so on⁽⁴⁰⁾. As a result, it might be difficult to attribute the differential brain responses to a particular aspect of the commercials. A merit of the analysed studies was that all of them recruited subjects from both sexes, making them distinctive from the general food and taste fMRI studies⁽⁴¹⁾. Meanwhile, ALE meta-analysis computes the consistency of brain locations involved across studies but not the effect size⁽¹³⁾.

Within the limitations of this meta-analytic report, it was concluded that food commercials caused larger brain responses than nonfood counterparts in the cuneus on both hemispheres among children and adolescents. Other brain regions involved in food commercials processing included the left culmen, left middle occipital gyrus and the right superior parietal lobule. These neural correlates may help explain the food choice and eating behaviours of children and adolescents that might be relevant to the development of obesity.

Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements: Not applicable. Financial support: Not applicable. Conflict of interest: None. Authorship: The author is responsible for all parts of the work. Ethics of human subject participation: Not applicable.

Brain responses to food commercials

References

- 1. Cairns G, Angus K, Hastings G *et al.* (2013) Systematic reviews of the evidence on the nature, extent and effects of food marketing to children: a retrospective summary. *Appetite* **62**, 209–215.
- Folkvord F, Anschütz DJ, Boyland E *et al.* (2016) Food advertising and eating behavior in children. *Curr Opin Behav Sci* 9, 26–31.
- 3. Sadeghirad B, Duhaney T, Motaghipisheh S *et al.* (2016) Influence of unhealthy food and beverage marketing on children's dietary intake and preference: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Obes Rev* **17**, 945–959.
- Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Lawman HG *et al.* (2016) Trends in obesity prevalence among children and adolescents in the United States, 1988–1994 through 2013–2014. *JAMA* 315, 2292–2299.
- Jackson-Leach R & Lobstein T (2006) Estimated burden of paediatric obesity and co-morbidities in Europe. Part 1: the increase in the prevalence of child obesity in Europe is itself increasing. *Int J Pediatr Obes* 1, 26–32.
- Folta SC, Goldberg JP, Economos C *et al.* (2006) Food advertising targeted at school-age children: a content analysis. *J Nutr Educ Behav* 38, 244–248.
- Gamble M & Cotugna N (1999) A quarter century of TV food advertising targeted at children. *Am J Health Behav* 23, 261–267.
- 8. Harris JL, Pomeranz JL, Lobstein T *et al.* (2009) A crisis in the marketplace: how food marketing contributes to childhood obesity and what can be done. *Annu Rev Public Health* **30**, 211–225.
- 9. Andreyeva T, Kelly IR & Harris JL (2011) Exposure to food advertising on television: associations with children's fast food and soft drink consumption and obesity. *Econ Hum Biol* **9**, 221–233.
- 10. Russell SJ, Croker H & Viner RM (2019) The effect of screen advertising on children's dietary intake: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev* **20**, 554–568.
- 11. Boswell RG & Kober H (2016) Food cue reactivity and craving predict eating and weight gain: a meta-analytic review. *Obes Rev* **17**, 159–177.
- 12. Gearhardt AN, Yokum S, Stice E *et al.* (2014) Relation of obesity to neural activation in response to food commercials. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci* **9**, 932–938.
- 13. Eickhoff SB, Bzdok D, Laird AR *et al.* (2012) Activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis revisited. *Neuroimage* **59**, 2349–2361.
- 14. Eickhoff SB, Laird AR, Grefkes C *et al.* (2009) Coordinatebased ALE meta-analysis of neuroimaging data: a randomeffects approach based on empirical estimates of spatial uncertainty. *Hum Brain Mapp* **30**, 2907.
- Yeung AWK, Goto TK & Leung WK (2018) Affective value, intensity and quality of liquid tastants/food discernment in the human brain: an activation likelihood estimation metaanalysis. *Neuroimage* 169, 189–199.
- Yeung AWK, Goto TK & Leung WK (2017) Basic taste processing recruits bilateral anteroventral and middle dorsal insulae: an activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis of fMRI studies. *Brain Behav* 7, e00655.
- 17. Yeung AWK (2018) Sex differences in brain responses to food stimuli: a meta-analysis on neuroimaging studies. *Obes Rev* **19**, 1110–1115.
- Eickhoff SB, Laird AR, Grefkes C *et al.* (2009) Coordinatebased ALE meta-analysis of neuroimaging data: a randomeffects approach based on empirical estimates of spatial uncertainty. *Hum Brain Mapp* **30**, 2907–2026.
- Lancaster JL, Tordesillas-Gutiérrez D, Martinez M et al. (2007) Bias between MNI and Talairach coordinates analyzed using

the ICBM-152 brain template. *Hum Brain Mapp* **28**, 1194–1205.

- Eickhoff SB, Nichols TE, Laird AR *et al.* (2016) Behavior, sensitivity, and power of activation likelihood estimation characterized by massive empirical simulation. *Neuroimage* 137, 70–85.
- Müller VI, Cieslik EC, Laird AR *et al.* (2018) Ten simple rules for neuroimaging meta-analysis. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 84, 151–161.
- 22. Yeung AWK, Wong NSM, Lau H *et al.* (2019) Human brain responses to gustatory and food stimuli: a meta-evaluation of neuroimaging meta-analyses. *Neuroimage* **202**, 116111.
- Holmes CJ, Hoge R, Collins L *et al.* (1998) Enhancement of MR images using registration for signal averaging. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 22, 324–333.
- 24. Lancaster JL, Laird AR, Eickhoff SB *et al.* (2012) Automated regional behavioral analysis for human brain images. *Front Neuroinform* **6**, 23.
- 25. Gearhardt AN, Yokum S, Harris JL *et al.* (2020) Neural response to fast food commercials in adolescents predicts intake. *Am J Clin Nutr* **111**, 493–502.
- Masterson TD, Bermudez MA, Austen M *et al.* (2019) Food commercials do not affect energy intake in a laboratory meal but do alter brain responses to visual food cues in children. *Appetite* **132**, 154–165.
- 27. Yokum S, Gearhardt AN, Harris JL *et al.* (2014) Individual differences in striatum activity to food commercials predict weight gain in adolescents. *Obesity* **22**, 2544–2551.
- Han JE, Boachie N, Garcia-Garcia I *et al.* (2018) Neural correlates of dietary self-control in healthy adults: a meta-analysis of functional brain imaging studies. *Physiol Behav* **192**, 98–108.
- Ihssen N, Sokunbi MO, Lawrence AD *et al.* (2017) Neurofeedback of visual food cue reactivity: a potential avenue to alter incentive sensitization and craving. *Brain Imaging Behav* 11, 915–924.
- Winter SR, Yokum S, Stice E *et al.* (2017) Elevated reward response to receipt of palatable food predicts future weight variability in healthy-weight adolescents. *Am J Clin Nutr* **105**, 781–789.
- Stice E, Yokum S & Voelker P (2019) Relation of FTO to BOLD response to receipt and anticipated receipt of food and monetary reward, food images, and weight gain in healthy weight adolescents. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci.* doi: 10.1093/scan/nsz1081.
- 32. Han DH, Hwang JW & Renshaw PF (2010) Bupropion sustained release treatment decreases craving for video games and cue-induced brain activity in patients with Internet video game addiction. *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol* **18**, 297–304.
- 33. Wang J, Fan Y, Dong Y *et al.* (2018) Combining gray matter volume in the cuneus and the cuneus-prefrontal connectivity may predict early relapse in abstinent alcohol-dependent patients. *PLoS One* **13**, e0196860.
- 34. Noori HR, Linan AC & Spanagel R (2016) Largely overlapping neuronal substrates of reactivity to drug, gambling, food and sexual cues: a comprehensive meta-analysis. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* **26**, 1419–1430.
- 35. van der Laan LN, De Ridder DT, Viergever MA *et al.* (2011) The first taste is always with the eyes: a meta-analysis on the neural correlates of processing visual food cues. *Neuroimage* 55, 296–303.
- Rosenbaum M, Sy M, Pavlovich K *et al.* (2008) Leptin reverses weight loss-induced changes in regional neural activity responses to visual food stimuli. *J Clin Invest* **118**, 2583–2591.
- Bruce AS, Lepping RJ, Bruce JM *et al.* (2013) Brain responses to food logos in obese and healthy weight children. *J Pediatr* 162, 759–764. e752.

¥

Public Health Nutrition

2160

- 38. Huerta CI, Sarkar PR, Duong TQ *et al.* (2014) Neural bases of food perception: coordinate-based meta-analyses of neuroimaging studies in multiple modalities. *Obesity* **22**, 1439–1446.
- 39. Engelhardt LE, Roe MA, Juranek J *et al.* (2017) Children's head motion during fMRI tasks is heritable and stable over time. *Dev Cogn Neurosci* **25**, 58–68.
- 40. Yeung AWK, Lee JCM, Tanabe HC *et al.* (2019) Short version Dental Anxiety Inventory score may predict the response in the insular cortex to stimuli mimicking dental treatment. *Front Hum Neurosci* **13**, 204.
- 41. Yeung AWK, Wong NS & Eickhoff SB (2020) Empirical assessment of changing sample-characteristics in task-fMRI

over two decades: an example from gustatory and food studies. *Hum Brain Mapp* **41**, 2460–2473.

- Rapuano KM, Zieselman AL, Kelley WM *et al.* (2017) Genetic risk for obesity predicts nucleus accumbens size and responsivity to real-world food cues. *Proc Nat Acad Sci* 114, 160–165.
- 43. Rapuano KM, Huckins JF, Sargent JD *et al.* (2016) Individual differences in reward and somatosensory-motor brain regions correlate with adiposity in adolescents. *Cereb Cortex* **26**, 2602–2611.
- 44. Bruce AS, Pruitt SW, Ha O-R *et al.* (2016) The influence of televised food commercials on children's food choices: evidence from ventromedial prefrontal cortex activations. *J Pediatr* **177**, 27–32. e21.

NS Public Health Nutrition