cannabis use and schizophrenia, were not present. Similar results were obtained in a longitudinal study based on patients treated in Stockholm County (Allebeck *et al.*, 1993).

Thus, although our research did not address the specific issue of "drug induced psychosis", as defined by Poole & Brabbins, we believe there is evidence that cannabis use may increase the risk of psychosis, in particular schizophrenia, beyond the immediate effect of intoxication or flashback.

ALLEBECK, P., ADAMSSON, C., ENGSTRÖM, A., et al (1993) Cannabis and schizophrenia: a longitudinal study of cases treated in Stockholm County. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 88, 21–24.

ANDRÉASSON, S., ALLEBECK, P., ENGSTRÖM, A., et al (1987) Cannabis and schizophrenia. A longitudinal study of Swedish conscripts. Lancet, ii, 1483–1486.

—, — & RYDBERG, U. (1989) Schizophrenia in users and nonusers of cannabis. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 79, 505-510.
POOLE, R. & BRABBINS, C. (1996) Drug induced psychosis. British

P. ALLEBECK

University of Göteborg Göteborg, Sweden

Journal of Psychiatry, 168, 135-138.

S. Andréasson

St Göran Hospital Stockholm, Sweden

Ultra-rapid mood cycling

SIR: Kramlinger & Post (1996) intrigued me when they made reference to the potential contribution of prior sexual abuse to ultra-rapid mood cycling. Two further 'ultra-rapid' cases may be of interest. The first is a woman under intensive community based treatment and requiring frequently fine tuned polypharmacy for many years. An attempt to improve her chronically disabled state with a major revision and reduction of treatment was followed by over a year of chaos with mood cycling as often as every three days, depressions lasting many weeks, marked suicidality and apparent gestures of same. In the midst of this, many years into treatment, the patient surprised everyone by disclosing sexual abuse, and subsequently during the brief good spells took up an evening class and used public transport for the first time in a decade. The mood cycles continue but her functioning is undoubtedly improved. The second patient disclosed sexual abuse far earlier in her symptoms, but while her depressions seem typical, her rapid swings into the subjective complaint of 'being high' is vague and at times unconvincing. The striking thing about these two people, apart from the rapidity of their mood swings however is that both cling to a biological cause to their problems. It may well be that this is appropriate insight and a success of treatment, but I am struck that both high and low mood states prevent proper communication and may confer secondary gain. Furthermore might a diagnosis confer a form of personal identity seemingly lacking in survivors of abuse, and the hyperarousal following trauma respond partly to the majority of treatments employed which tend to reduce arousal and awareness?

While not suggesting that treatments should or could be withheld, I welcome Kramlinger & Post flagging the wider aetiological possibilities of this challenging disorder.

KRAMLINGER, K. G. & Post, R. (1996) Ultra-rapid and ultradian cycling in bipolar affective illness. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 168, 314-323.

C. S. LITTLEJOHNS

Wrexham Maelor Hospital Wrexham, Clwyd, North Wales

No right to a Mental Health Review Tribunal?

SIR: The Mental Health Act (MHA) 1983 allows all detained patients, on sections providing for detention of longer than 72 hours, the right to appeal to a mental health review tribunal (MHRT) against their detention. Or so I believed. I have recently become aware that there are certain individuals who, when admitted to hospital under section 3 of the MHA 1983, are not entitled to a MHRT.

A patient at the Reaside Clinic in Birmingham detained under sections 37 and 41 of the MHA 1983 was granted a conditional discharge by a MHRT. The necessary conditions were met and the patient was discharged into the community. With such a discharge the Home Secretary may recall the patient to hospital at any time. The responsible medical officer (RMO) does not have the power to recall the patient, but can advise the Home Secretary to do

The patient's condition subsequently deteriorated in the community necessitating compulsory readmission to hospital under section 3 of the MHA 1983. It was hoped that detention under section 3 would allow greater clinical flexibility than recall by the Home Secretary, as such recall can lead to lengthy negotiations with the Home Office prior to release.

The patient objected to his detention under section 3, and therefore applied to the MHRT but the application was refused. The Department of Health has provided me with a legal opinion which

confirms the Department's view that a conditionally discharged patient who is admitted to hospital under section 3 does not have the right to apply to a MHRT under section 66.

The grounds for refusing a tribunal hearing need further consideration. In the meantime however clinicians should be advised of this decision. It is unacceptable both for patient and clinicians that patients can apparently be detained legally whilst having no right to appeal against their detention. It is time to debate whether it is legal to readmit conditionally discharged patients under a civil section.

S. D. GEELAN

Reaside Clinic Birmingham B45 9BE

CORRIGENDA

Verdoux, H., et al, BJP, 168, 620-627. In the summary on p. 620, the third line of the Results section should read: '... schizophrenia, and was also associated with more time hospitalised (P<0.05), and more social disability at follow-up (P<0.05)'.

Glue, P., BJP, 168, 653. SSRI and sympathomimetic interaction (letter). The correct spelling of the author's name is P. Glue.

ONE HUNDRED YEARS AGO

An Epitome of current medical literature (327) Neurasthenia

De Fleury (Rev. de Méd., February 1896) makes some remarks on treatment. Neurasthenics are not open to suggestion in the same sense as hysterical subjects. Mechanical treatment as by the douche. baths of various temperatures, statical electricity, massage, etc., is the most successful. The injection of non-poisonous fluids (Brown-Séquard's testicular fluid, etc.), sometimes useful, does not produce its good effects by any chemical or specific action. The author then discusses the action of these measures under (i) changes in the muscular system; (2) changes in the glandular apparatus, especially the digestive organs; (3) changes in nutrition; and (4) changes in the mental state. He draws attention to the importance of not overdoing the treatment, for in this way the disease may be aggravated. The author then refers to the various views which have been put forward to account for neurasthenia namely, auto-intoxication, enteroptosis, vasomotor changes, etc. There is an exhaustion or state of defective nutrition in the cerebral cells as in those in all other parts of the body. De Fleury sums up his views of neurasthenia under the following headings: (1) Intervention of the cause. This consists of excessive expenditure of motor activity or overwork by excess of sensory impulses. Under the latter head, excess of mental work, etc., various changes in the peripheral organs are placed. (2) Reaction upon the nerve centres. Especially in the presence of a neuropathic tendency, the above-named causes bring about a depressed vitality in the cells of the cerebral cortex. (3) Loss of tone in the muscles and glands. Many of the symptoms of neurasthenia are to be referred here. Neurasthenia is essentially a malady of weakness, consisting chiefly of muscular debility, poverty of the secretions, diminution of nutrition. (4) Modification of the mental state. When the above-named bodily changes occur, alterations in the mental state, such as timidity, fear, humility, idleness, melancholy supervenes. The sensations conveyed from the altered periphery convey also to the central nervous system a sense of exhaustion, and this is the manner in which cerebral neurasthenia arises.

Reference

British Medical Journal, May 1896, 69.

Researched by Henry Rollin, Emeritus Consultant Psychiatrist, Horton Hospital, Epsom, Surrey