Notes to the introduction


2 The idea of magical thinking is linked to an anthropological tradition that distinguishes ‘primitive’ from ‘rational’ thought, for instance, in Lucien Lévy-Bruhls *How Natives Think* (1966). Interestingly in relation to print Bronisław Malinowski’s *Magic, Science and Religion* (1954) identified it directly with the idea that words could directly alter the world. My usage acknowledges the persistence of this kind of magical faith at the heart of Enlightenment narratives of progress rather than regarding it as anything to do with ‘primitive’ societies.

3 See the discussion in IKD, especially 551–603, on the Treasonable Practices Bill, and for a summary of the provisions of the Seditious Meetings Bill, see Goodwin, *The Friends of Liberty*, 887–8.

4 To John Ashley, 19 October 1795, BL, Add ms Place Papers, Papers of the LCS, 27815, ff. 5–6. On connections between the London societies and Scotland, see Harris, ‘Scottish-English Connections in British Radicalism’. The letter from Sands is discussed at 203–6.

5 Among canonical literary figures, William Godwin’s influence is more to the fore than might be expected, partly because his ideas circulated through Thelwall and his popular lectures.

6 See Chapter 6 for a discussion of these issues in relation to Thelwall.

7 On the ‘everyday’, see Steedman’s *An Everyday Life of the English Working Class*, where the term is used in relation to the stocking-maker Joseph Woolley. Woolley’s autobiographical writing pushes against the construction of working-class consciousness in the Thompsonian tradition. In Steedman’s eyes, Woolley represents one of those *who were not as the workers ought to have been*, (7, italics in the original), a reference to Jacques Rancière’s *La Nuit des prolétaires* (1981, translated as *Nights of Labour* in 1989). Rancière’s workers did not write about their work or class consciousness. They wrote to join the republic of letters via poetry and romantic reveries. My study returns to the familiar Thompsonian ground of London radicalism, but with regard to the way it came to know itself through the everyday practices of print culture.
8 On Robert Thomson’s application to the Literary Fund in November 1816, after his return from exile in France, someone has scribbled: ‘Son of Robert Thomson, schoolmaster of Banff & Brother of George Thomson Editor of the “Collection of Scotch Songs”, the friend & correspondent of Burns.’ See BL, Royal Literary Fund, Loan 96 RLF 1/351/1
10 See Steedman, Everyday Life, especially 7–10.
11 See Wahrman, Imagining the Middle Class, especially Part I, and also Penelope Corfield, ‘Class by name and number’.
12 See my discussion on page 74.
13 Parr was particularly close to Gerrald. See the detailed account of their relationship in Field, Memoirs of Parr, 1: 338–49. In the course of researching his Parriana, E. H. Barker wrote to Thelwall, 16 November 1825, asking for details of Gerrald and whether it was true he had written the song ‘Remember the Patriots’ while awaiting trial. Barker returned the song Thelwall had lent him in a letter to Francis Place, 16 November 1825. See BL, Place Papers, Papers of the LCS, Add ms 27816, ff. 234 and 236. Neither Barker nor Field has anything to say about the relationship with Merry. Hargreaves-Mawdsley, English Della Cruscans, 65, notes that Merry ‘always spoke of Parr with affection’.
14 Gentleman’s Magazine, 69 (1799), 54.
15 See the discussion of this phrase page 117.
16 For a very useful recent account of the Scottish context of these traditions, see Honeyman, ‘That ye may judge for yourselves’.
17 The description of Reid’s origins comes from the obituary in the Annual Register, 68 (1826), 253–4. No mention is made of his radicalism there, although his religious leanings – ‘he was long bewildered in the labyrinths of mystical divinity’ – are raised. His parents were in the employ of the Duke of Hamilton, rumoured to be Reid’s father, who had him educated at St James’s parochial school. See the letter from ‘Crito Sceptic’ and James Perry’s reply in the Gazetteer, 8 January 1787. Haig, The Gazetteer 1735–1797, notes that Reid’s poetry appeared in the paper regularly for the next six years. Reid had been involved in the Foxite Rolliad project before he joined Perry at the Gazetteer. After Perry left the paper, Reid provided copy for the new editors William Radcliffe and then D. E. MacDonnell. Various receipts for Reid’s work at the Gazetteer up to the end of 1794 are at c 104/67 and 104/68 at the National Archive, Kew. On 30 May 1793, the LCS ordered that a thousand copies of a patriotic song composed by Reid should be printed for distribution to the members. See Selections, 67. This was probably ‘Hum! Hum!’ (see figure 1). For further details of his career, see McCalman, ‘The Infidel as Prophet’ and Haig, The Gazetteer 1735–1797, 205–7 and 224–5. ‘Anecdotes of W. H. Reid; and his Progress in Poetry’, Gentleman’s Magazine, 58 (1788), 593–4, offers an account of Reid’s early life.
18 Reid applied to the Literary Fund for relief in 1802. He confessed in his application that early praise for his poetry misled his ‘warm imagination’ to
believe he was ‘pursuing the track to fame and glory’. In 1806, his name appears in a list of dubious claimants, who ‘ought, on any future application, to be referred to a Committee of Enquiry’. Nevertheless he continued to apply to the Fund with success until his death, when his widow also applied. See the full case file at BL, Royal Literary Fund, Loan 96 RLF 1/117.

19 See Mark Philp’s chapter, ‘The Fragmented Ideology of Reform’ in his Reforming Ideas in Britain.

20 See Mercier, Fragments of Politics and History, i: 78. Mercier believed: ‘The world is governed by books. Why? Because the human race requires knowledge, and because every successful revolution may be ascribed to either letters or philosophy.’ See ibid., i: 126.

21 In this regard, popular radical culture might be regarded as an episode within Siskin and Warner’s idea of the Enlightenment as ‘an event in mediation’. See Siskin and Warner’s This is Enlightenment: An Invitation, especially 12–15.


24 See Allan, A Nation of Readers, and St Clair, The Reading Nation, Chapters 2 and 13 respectively.

25 See the discussion in Chapter 1.

26 On eighteenth-century theories of virtual representation, see Pole, Political Representation in England and Cannon, Parliamentary Reform.


28 Theorists who have influenced my thinking on these issues include Lefebvre, The Production of Space; de Certeau, The Practice of Everyday Life; and Sennet, The Fall of Public Man. Epstein, discussed on page 45, has done most to establish the importance of the ‘spatial turn’ for thinking about popular radicalism. See also Featherstone, The Spaces of Politics of the London Corresponding Society, Parolin, Radical Spaces, and Newman’s excellent articles ‘Edmund Burke in the Tavern’ and ‘Civilizing Taste’.

29 Paine, Rights of Man, 182.

30 See Chapter 2.


32 Rousseau, A Treatise of the Social Compact, 118. See also, ibid., 123: ‘The sovereignty, however, cannot be represented, and that for the same reason that it cannot be alienated. It consists essentially of the general will, and the will cannot be represented: it is either identically the same, or some other; there can be no mean term in the case.’ I quote from the 1795 translation Eaton published in his Political Classics series.

33 Ibid., 49. The phrase ‘general will’ was often used by those involved in the radical societies, but rarely with any specific reference to Rousseau’s technical sense of the term.
Notes to pages 11–19

34 See Chapter 6.
35 On the context for Eaton’s series, see Chapter 1.
36 Paine, Rights of Man, 272. Paine’s faith in discussion as the expression of the general will was mocked by loyalists like George Chalmers in his Life of Thomas Pain, 91: ‘Were the question sent to the schoolboys of England, as the arbitrators, the general will would determine, with much discussion, that the foregoing quotations exhibit the most egregious instances of bad grammar and despicable ignorance.’ Avoiding any direct address to the question of the sources of the general will, behind this passage is an assumption that only those who had access to good grammar and education could presume to represent it.
37 Cotlar, Tom Paine’s America, 161. Unusually, using Laclau’s ideas, Kevin Inston understands Rousseau’s doctrine of unrepresentability as tending towards an open-ended quest for democracy by affirming the impossibility of closure. See Inston, ‘Representing the Unrepresentable’.
39 For a valuable account of the influence of Rousseau in the lettered culture of the period, including the issues of transparency and unrepresentability, see Dart, Rousseau, Robespierre, and English Romanticism.
40 See Siskin and Warner’s discussion of the relationship of their work to Habermas’s in this regard, ‘This is Enlightenment: An Invitation’, 22–3.
41 Gilmartin, Print Politics, 3.
43 See Warner, Letters of the Republic, 42.
44 Gilmartin, Print Politics, 35.
45 See Goodrich, ‘Radical “Citizens of the World”’, 613, on radicalism ‘from “above” rather than below’.
46 For important contributions to the relations between abolitionism and radicalism, see Walvin’s ‘The Impact of Slavery on British Radical Politics’ and Making the Black Atlantic. See also Huzzey, ‘Moral Geography’, especially, 112–13.
49 Yorke, Trial, 14.
50 Eley, A Crooked Line, 10.

Notes to Chapter 1

1 The unenfranchised sections of the population already played a lively and important part in the theatre of politics, but primarily in relation to local issues. Philp notes that claims to innovation in the 1790s rest on the introduction of more abstract and principled forms of political literature to a plebeian audience, but also the development of ways of demythologising aspects of elite
political discourse and the rituals and symbols of inherited authority more generally, Reforming Ideas, 31. The LCS offered its members direct involvement as a constituent authority in these processes rather than simply reform in their name.

2 Dickinson, Liberty and Property, 271.

3 See Loughlin, ‘Constituent Power Subverted’, especially, his discussion of ‘the invocation of popular sovereignty . . . located only in a parliamentary form’, 42. Loughlin understands this as a deliberate strategy to ‘conflate the constituent power of the people with that of the constituted authority of the commons’. For a thoughtful exploration of Loughlin’s terms in relation to the 1790s, see Green, The Majesty of the People.

4 See Thompson, The Making of the English Working Class, 12 and 134. Thompson excuses Thomas Hardy from this judgement, 173. See my discussion of Hardy in Chapter 2.

5 See Philp’s various comments on assumptions of coherence in Reforming Ideas, including, 288, his critique of ‘a growing tendency to treat the march of ideas in ways that ascribe an order and coherence to people’s thinking and acting that, in my view, does not match what people were saying and doing or how they experienced the world’.

6 Alexander Stephens described meeting David Williams, Major Cartwright, and others at Ridgway’s shop after Debrett’s closed: ‘Such shops in my time have been what certain coffee houses were in the days of the Spectator.’ See ‘Ridgways’ in ‘Stephensiana. No. vi’, 138. For more on Ridgway, see Manogue, ‘The Plight of James Ridgway’. Ridgway’s career and its fluctuations, like many other radical booksellers mentioned in this book, would repay a full-length study.

7 Hampsher-Monk, ‘On Not Inventing the English Revolution’, 148. Hampsher-Monk develops the useful point that radicals often sought ‘to operate on language’ (my emphasis): ‘to change that language, either syntactically or in terms of the way it was socially embedded’, 149, including the mining of Whig and classical sources by Eaton and others.

8 Among the various commemorations of the Scottish martyrs in the nineteenth century, see The political martyrs, Thomas Muir, Thomas Fyshe etc [1837]. Joseph Hume initiated a campaign in 1837 that eventually saw the erection of the monument to the Scottish martyrs that now stands on Carlton Hill. He also campaigned for the second monument in London that stands at Nunhead Cemetery.

9 See Eley, ‘Nations, Publics, and Political Cultures’, 329. I use ‘the town’ in line with Habermas’s formulation of the ‘market of culture products’ of the town as one of the constituent elements of the eighteenth-century public sphere. See Jürgen Habermas, The Structural Transformation of the Public Sphere, 30. Many of those associated with the LCS had access at least to some aspects of the town via the newspaper, theatres, and print shops (as opposed to the ‘ton’). Ian Newman’s phrase ‘the urban contact zone’ usefully describes this sphere. See note 47 below.

Paine, Rights of Man, 272.

See Eley, ‘Nations, Publics, and Political Cultures’, 326. For recent accounts of the pressures exerted on literary culture more generally by Pitt’s measures, see Bugg, Five Long Winters and Johnston, Unusual Suspects.

See Goodwin, Friends of Liberty, 390.

On the state of exception in relation to the situation in the 1790s, see Green, The Majesty of the People, especially 175.

See Jebb, An Address to the Freeholders of Middlesex, 9. A Unitarian minister very active in the reform movement of the 1780s, Jebb seems to have been a particularly important influence on Hardy’s thinking. Hardy names Jebb among the authors he had been reading prior to setting up the LCS. See Selections, 5. On one of the manuscript versions of Hardy’s Memoir, given to Sir Francis Burdett, on the following epigraph from Jebb appears: ‘May ye employ the most active exertions in the service of Man! Human efforts will at best appear feeble; but No Effort is Lost’. See BL, Thomas Hardy, Memoir, Add ms 65153 B, f. 3.

Thomson’s Tribute to Liberty, 93, includes a toast to ‘the memory of Dr. Jebb and may his maxim that no effort will be lost, be the motto of all reformers’.

See Barrell and Mee, 8: 105.

See the account of these shifts given in Philp in Reforming Ideas, 198–206. Paine was also collaborating on the Argus at this period. See page 119.

On Eaton’s career, see Daniel McCue, ‘Daniel Isaac Eaton and Politics for the People’ and Davis’s, ‘Behold the Man’.

On Spence and Harrington, see Hammersley, ‘Spence’s Property in Land’.

The Politician, no. 1, 13 December 1794, 1 and 4.

Margaret to Thomas Hardy, 1 March 1798, BL, Place Papers, Papers of the LCS, Add ms 27816, f. 112. See also, Roe, ‘Maurice Margarot: Radical in Two Hemispheres’.

Hardy, ‘Introductory letter to a Friend’ (1799), BL, Place Papers, Papers of the LCS, Add ms 27817, f. 62.


Place, Autobiography, 131.

The attempts of Whig newspapers like the Morning Chronicle to excuse Norfolk’s toast were rubbished by The True Briton on 1 February: ‘In the forty years that this toast is said to have been given, we believe it is the first time it has been given in a studied manner, the object of which evidently was to ridicule our Sovereign.’ See also the discussion of the incident in Green, Majesty of the People, 17–23. On the SCI dinner of 2 May 1794, see pages 51–2.


Place, Autobiography, 131.

Selections, 114. The incident is discussed in context in Chapter 2. Thelwall and Hodgson seem to have remained on good terms later. At least, Thelwall wrote to ask Hardy to secure a hat from Hodgson in May 1797.
See Thelwall to Hardy, 19 May 1797, University of Notre Dame, Special Collections, mse/md 3811/3/ f. 1.


32 Ibid., 18.

33 See Selections, 251, and Correspondence of the London Corresponding Society, 24–6.

34 See Chapter 4 on Pigott’s planned translation of d’Holbach and other editions in circulation.

35 Report of the Committee to the London Reforming Society [3].

36 Address to the Nation from the London Corresponding Society (1793). The discussion of the address took place over late June and early July. See Selections, 74–5. Thale only provides a brief excerpt from the meeting of 11 July. The minutes are at BL, Place Papers, Papers of the LCS, Add ms 27812, ff. 48–55 (with a later version at 27814, ff. 121–3).

37 A note on the later copy of the minutes identifies Dinmore Junior as the author. See also Hardy’s letter to Paine, 15 October 1807, BL, Place Papers Dratt of Letters of Thomas Hardy, Add ms 27818, ff. 72–3. Dinmore was a member of the flourishing radical societies in Norwich, who migrated to the United States later in the 1790s. See Durey, Transatlantic Radicals, 212–13. Citizen Lee may have intended to use Dinmore’s book for The Crimes of the Kings and Queens of England advertised at the end of his American edition of William Winterbotham’s An historical . . . view of the Chinese Empire (1796). Ridgway and Symonds originally published both Dinmore’s and Winterbotham’s books in London.

38 Martin was a lawyer and LCS member arraigned for treason in 1794.

39 Both letters are in the National Archive, TSP, ts 11/953.

40 See the Privy Council minutes at the National Archive, Privy Council Papers pc 2/140, f. 58. Hardy’s response is discussed in McCue, ‘Daniel Isaac Eaton and Politics for the People, 73, and Davis, ‘Behold the Man’, 173.

41 Philp, Reforming Ideas, 294, notes that accounts of Hardy’s attachment to the Duke of Richmond’s plan tend to underestimate the way ‘constitutionalist language was repeatedly accompanied by more universalist claims’. Influenced by his religious beliefs, Hardy assumed, for instance, that anyone interested in abolition must also be concerned in the extension of the franchise. On abolition and the press, see Oldfield, Popular Politics and British Anti-slavery, 58–9, 103–4, 131–2, and 137.

42 On abolition and petitioning, see Walvin, ‘The Impact of Slavery’, 344–5, and Oldfield, Popular Politics, 112. Issues about respectability that dogged petitions for reform also caused problems for the abolition petitions of 1788 and 1792.
See Habermas, *Structural Transformation of the Public Sphere*, for the classical statement of these ideas.


The phrase ‘counter-public sphere’ goes back to Negt and Kluge’s *Public Sphere and Experience*, where it is identified with that ‘unity of the proletarian context of living’, 6, which corresponds in certain regards with my attempt to ground this book in the everyday life of print relations, despite my scepticism about the ‘unity’ of those relations.

I take the phrase ‘urban contact zone’ from Ian Newman’s ‘Civilizing Taste’, 450. The LCS and its associates can be understood within Michael Warner’s broad definition of a counterpublic as ‘formed by their conflict with the norms and contexts of their cultural environment, and this context of domination inevitably entails distortion’. See Warner, *Publics and Counterpublics*, 63. My reservations come in relation to definitions that seem to overstate the degree of autonomy of the publics involved. See Nancy Fraser’s idea of ‘parallel discursive arenas where members of subordinated social groups invent and circulate counterdiscourses to formulate oppositional interpretations of their identities, interests, and needs’ in ‘Rethinking the Public Sphere’, 123. I am indebted to Fraser’s thinking about Habermas, but ‘parallel discursive arenas’ may not be a helpful formulation if strictly taken to imply two lines that do not cross.

Parkinson is a fascinating figure who awaits a full discussion in terms of his role in the radical politics of the 1790s. He was close to Eaton and a member of the Physical Society with Thelwall (see Chapter 6). On his medical work, see Critchley, ed., *James Parkinson*.


See Aldgate Society of the Friends of the People, *A Thing of Shreds and Patches*. This pamphlet, dated March 1793, brings together extracts from various sources, including Burke, Pitt, and others, as resolutions of the society. The notes indicate the ironies in the main text, including the word ‘leveller’, identified as a principle of despotism. The pamphlet was sold by Parsons and signed S. Godfrey, Lord George Gordon’s attorney. The Aldgate Society merged with the LCS soon afterwards, forming division 12, before splitting again to become the British Citizens when the LCS refused to accept Godfrey as their delegate. See Chapter 2, note xx.


Quoted, *ibid.*, 1.


60 Preston, Life and Opinions, 35.
62 Substance of Earl Stanhope’s Speech, 8.
63 On Stanhope’s innovation, which he refused to patent, see Moran, Printing Presses, 49–58, and Mosley, ‘Technologies of Print’, 190. Vincent, Literacy and Popular Culture, 201, notes that the low cost of the press meant that it ‘extended rather than inhibited the possibilities of small-scale decentralized manufacture’, 201. Anyone with around £30 and access to a room could set up a press. Many members of the LCS were printers.
64 Warner, Letters of the Republic, 3.
66 See the discussion of Burke’s trope in ibid., 73–4. On ‘transmission’ as opposed to ‘communication’, see my introduction.
67 See Chapter 6 for a detailed account.
68 Thelwall, Political Lectures, (no. II.) Sketches of the history of prosecutions for political opinion, 10–11 and 29.
69 BL, Thomas Handy, Memoir, Add ms 65153b, f. 28v.
70 BL, Place Papers, Papers of the LCS, Add ms 27815, f. 142.
71 Antitype, Pernicious Effects of the Art of Printing, 1.
72 Compare Kevin Gilmartin’s account of radicals after 1815: ‘confidence in a free press became a frankly polemical position directed against the government’s confidence in press restrictions, and its use of print as an instrument for resisting social change’, Print Politics, 25.
73 Gilmartin, Print Politics, 26
75 Eaton published ‘King Chaunticlere; or, The Fate of Tyranny’ in Politics for the People, no. 8, on 16 November 1793, i: 102–7. The published article traced the allegory to a debate on the question of ‘the comparative Influence of the Love of Life, of Liberty, and of the fair Sex’. Compare the later account at LT, 110, where Eaton is said to have ‘dress[ed] it up in certainly very strong terms which Thelwall would never have used’.
76 Barrell and Mee, i: 291–2.
77 The poem was published on the title page of Politics for the People, no. 5, 26 October 1793.
78 Pig’s Meat, 2: 14.
79 Fairclough, Romantic Crowd, 191. See also Whyman, Pen and the People, 58–61, on the difference made by John Palmer’s reforms of the 1780s, not least in creating the idea of a uniform, time-governed system that linked the nation.
80 Powell’s letter is at the National Archive, Privy Council Papers, pc 1 23/38a. Selections, 256, notes that Powell lived near Godwin in Somers Town. The two
seem to have socialised, perhaps prior to Powell’s involvement in radical politics. Powell published *The Narcotic and Private Theatricals* (1793), with H. D. Symonds, a publisher closely associated with the radical movement. For a fuller discussion of Powell’s later career in the theatre, see Worrall, *Theatric Revolution*, 280–99. Powell wrote the story ‘Such Things Are’ for the LCS’s MPM.

82 BL, Place Papers, Collections relating to Political Societies, Add ms 27808, f. 93. Place described Powell as ‘a man whose relatives were gentlefolks, well informed respectable people, but he was an only son, had been indulged and spoilt’, *Autobiography*, 179. Place seems not to have realised Powell was a spy. Nor did Thelwall. He put down the information Powell passed to the Privy Council in 1794 to ‘either . . . flurdy and agitation, on his own account, or from unguarded simplicity’, LT, 248. Thelwall had known Powell from at least 1792.

83 Edward Henry Iliff, also present at the January meeting, was an actor, who in 1796 published *Angelo*, a novel, dedicated to Godwin’s sometime sponsor, the notorious financier John ‘Jew’ King. Godwin and Iliff seem first to have met in September 1794 at a meeting of the Philomath Debating Society. Thelwall and others associated with the LCS were members of the same society. See O’Shaughnessy, ‘Caleb Williams and the Philomaths’ on the membership. On Godwin and Iliff, see the online Godwin diary for 30 September. They also met on 3 October. Correspondence between Godwin and Iliff survives from early 1796, when the latter was asking for help with his writing. See *The Letters of William Godwin*, 1: 161–3. At the foot of one of his letters to Godwin, 163, Iliff included ‘Powel’ and ‘King’ in a string of names whose import the philosopher could not make out. For Godwin and King, see Scrivener, ‘The Philosopher and the Moneylender.’ King helped finance the *Argus*. See Chapter 2 for further discussion of Iliff.

87 Epstein, ‘“Equality and No King”: Sociability and Sedition’, 43.
88 See Munro’s report, 14 November 1792, in *Selections*, 27.
89 Groves report, 12 June 1794, *Selections*, 184. Groves was a solicitor.
91 Davis, ‘The Mob Club?’ For Hardy’s account of the Bell, see *Memoir*, 44.
92 See Newman’s ‘Edmund Burke in the Tavern’.
94 See McCalman’s *Newgate in Revolution* for a full account of the prison as a radical hub. Godwin’s diary contains numerous references to dinners and meetings in Newgate, especially in 1794. On the longer history of Newgate prison as a radical space, see Parolin, *Radical Spaces*, 17–48.

95 The phrase appears in a message of thanks from division 18 to the central committee, 9 July 1795, *Selections*, 261.
Ibid., 2: 90.


See National Archive, TSP, ts 11/599 for Munro’s report. The day before Frost’s trial, the government decided not to use his testimony in court to protect the identity of their spy. See the National Archive, Home Office Papers, HO 48/3.

Barrell and Mee, 1: 236–7, 239, and 246.

Barrell, Spirit of Despotism, 85.

A Speech at the Whig Club, 7–8. Ridgway published it as The speech of the Right Hon. Charles James Fox (1792). Fox’s allies denied that he had given the sentiments ascribed to him in the Ridgway version. A Speech at the Whig Club reproduces the correspondence and another poem – ‘An Answer to the Above Letters’ – mocking Fox’s defenders Andrew St John and Robert Adair. Ridgway’s third edition of the speech contained an address insisting on the veracity of the text. The back page advertised The Last Declaration of the London Corresponding Society of the Friends of the People in Answer to the Place and Pension Society (1792). This would seem to be the Address of the London Corresponding Society to the other societies of Great Britain, united for obtaining a reform in Parliament (1792).

In its hostile report, The Times, 15 July, complained that the chairman did not give ‘the King’, but ‘The Nation, the Law, and the King’. The same report describes Merry ‘obliged to sit patiently to hear his muse of fire so miserably murdered’. The next day, The Times revised its account to claim he dined quietly with Horne Tooke and Seward, afterwards joined by Paine. Letters on the Present State of England and America, 87, mentions a burst of applause at the rumour Paine had entered the dinner room. Godwin’s diary entry for the dinner begins: ‘Crown & Anchor: Rous & Merry: B. Hollis, Shore, Barbauld, Disney, Jennings, Rees, Morgan, Lindsey, Lewis. Fawcet supers’. Possibly both Merry and Paine appeared at the meeting, but did not stay to dine. Violence from a loyalist mob was widely feared. The presence of a crowd assembled outside Newgate planning to break out Lord Gordon was also generally reported. See, for instance, Letters on the Present State of England and America, 90–1, which reports both.

Guest, Unbounded Attachment, 45.

Merry, Ode for the fourteenth of July, 6–7. See Chapter 3 on the circulation of the ode in anthologies and elsewhere.

Guest, Unbounded Attachment, 46.

[William Fitzgerald], The Sturdy Reformer, 7. Fitzgerald seems to have been concerned that his poem was not being properly understood and reissued a second edition making his warnings against ‘Wolves in Sheep’s clothing’ like Paine and Horne Tooke much more explicit. See Guest, Unbounded Attachment, 48.

Merry first attends a committee meeting of the Literary Fund on 18 May 1790. Fitzgerald first attends on 4 March 1791. Merry was not at Fitzgerald’s
first meeting, but they are both in attendance at the next one (1 April 1791), when both were appointed to a committee to look at the staging of a benefit play at Covent Garden. Fitzgerald and Merry were both re-elected to the general committee (4 May 1792), but neither is listed as present at that meeting. For details, see BL, Royal Literary Fund, Loan 96 R.L.F 2/1/1. Fitzgerald later made a reputation – parodied by Byron – as a songwriter for Literary Fund events.


110 National Archive, TSP, ts 11/963, ff. 256–7. Wharton agree with the Privy Counsellors that it was ‘dangerous’ to ‘give such toasts to such persons’, implying that the latitude allowed to convivial political dinners among gentlemen could not be extended to other classes. Barrell notes, IKD, 207n, that Wharton’s speech in Parliament in May 1793 on the erosion of the constitutional safeguards had become a canonical text of the reform movement, published by the LCS as *The Speech of John Wharton* (1793). The spy John Taylor claimed that Wharton gave the toasts: ‘The Rights of Man’ and ‘May the Abettors of the present war be its victims’. *Ibid.*, 141–3.


112 See *The Toast Master* (1792), ‘Advertisement’ and viii; Pocock’s *Everlasting Songster* (1800), ii. *The Toast Master* had been through at least two editions before 1792.

113 LT, 76 and 351, and 353–4.

114 See Clark, *Struggle for the Breeches*, especially Chapter 8, and Elaine Chalus’s discussion of the more sharply drawn dividing lines towards the end of the century in *Elite Women in English Political Life*, 228–30.

115 Guest, *Unbounded Attachment*, 145.


117 Thelwall, *Natural and Constitutional right*, 79.


119 Tribune, 2: xv.

120 Susan Thelwall’s letter is at National Archive, TSP, ts 11/953. See ts 11/956 for Walsh’s report. Thale describes it as ‘the only first hand account of a debate between 1790 and 1795’, ‘London Debating Societies’, 64–5. Susan Thelwall’s letter provides a further source confirming most of the details provided by Walsh.


123 Susan Thelwall also complained that a successful play at Covent Garden had stolen from her husband’s writing ‘almost all the Characters, & many of the sentiments’. The play was Thomas Morton’s *Columbus: or, a world discovered* (1792). Susan Thelwall noted that her husband had been served in this same way with *Incle and Yarico*. For a discussion of Thelwall’s plays and doubts about the plagiarism claim, see *Incle and Yarico* and *The Incas*, ed. Felsenstein and Scrivener, 14.
The second Susan Thelwall letter is at National Archive, TSP, ts 11/956. The letter must have been written soon after 6 March as it mentions the death of the Earl of Barrymore who died in a gun accident that day. The dinner of the Friends of the Press was held on 9 March. See Werkmeister, *Newspaper History of England, 1792–1793*, 237.

See Lee, *On the death of Mrs. Hardy* (1794), 3. When this poem came out, Lee was not yet a bookseller. The poem was sold by the radical partnership of Burks and Smith, both LCS members.

See Clark, *Battle for the Breeches*, 150, on ‘plebeian men’ defining themselves ‘as husbands and citizens by depicting women as passive and helpless’.

*An account of the seizure of Thomas Hardy*, 1.

*Jones, Sketch of a political tour*, 28.

*An account of the seizure of Thomas Hardy*, 8

National Archive, TSP, ts 11/963, f. 288. Hillier was released from custody after Thelwall was acquitted. A former tallow-chandler, he had taken over Eaton’s shop in Bishopsgate when he moved to Newgate Street in 1793. See Gosling’s spy report, National Archive, TSP, ts 11/954.

Amelia Alderson to Mrs Taylor [1794], New York Public Library (NYPL). I owe my knowledge of this incident to Roxanne Eberle and Harriet Guest. Alderson’s letter is partly reproduced in Brightwell, *Memorials*, 41–5. I am extremely grateful to Roxanne Eberle for generously sharing her transcription with me and to the NYPL for providing me with scans of the original.
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144 BL, Place Papers, Papers of the LCS, Add ms 27814, f. 59. On Thelwall’s disqualification from standing, see Chapter 6.
145 IKD, 151.
146 Goodwin, Friends of Liberty, 308–11. There were various published versions of the meeting at the Globe Tavern. The toasts began with ‘the rights of man; and may Britons never want spirit to assert them.’ See At a general meeting... 20th day of January, 8.
Notes to pages 97–102

150 *At a general meeting of the London Corresponding Society, ... on Monday the 14th of April, 1794* (1794), 6–8.
152 See title-page of *The Defence of Joseph Gerrald* (1794).
153 See, for instance, his lecture ‘On Prosecutions for Pretended Treason’ delivered on 13 May 1795, *Tribune*, 1: 279–81. The editors of Thelwall’s *The Daughter of Adoption* have suggested that its hero, Henry Montfort, is modelled after Gerrald.
154 See *Politics for the People*, 2: 158–9, signed ‘M. B.’
156 IKD, 293–5.
157 The LCS was revising its constitution anticipating that the law officers intended to move against them. Taylor reported that a proposal for entering the names of all those living in the same district in one book was being proposed so that that could be collected together in case of any emergency. He explained, ‘the emergency I understand to mean in case the Society met with any opposition from legal authority’. He then reported that a member of another division had claimed that ‘the Habeas Corpus Act would be suspended in the course of this or the ensuing week’. National Archive, TSP, ts 11/955.
159 *Enquiry concerning political justice*, 2: 780.
160 Selections, 115–16. Appointed to serve on the new committee were Baxter, Pearce, and Thelwall.
161 Selections, 121.
162 See Loughlin, ‘Constituent Power’, 43, on the influence of Blackstone.
163 See Selections, 147 and 154. Moore, a member of division 2, had defended the rights of divisions when the question of the new constitution was raised in March. In the chair on 3 March, he observed that ‘if the Committee wanted to Cram a Constitution down their throats, they were greatly mistaken’, *ibid.*, 120. There was not the same dissension in every division. Division 2 passed ‘every Clause ... without a debate’, *ibid.*, 155.
164 For the minutes of the first two conferences, see *ibid.*, 128 and 132. Sharp’s account is at National Archive, TSP, ts 11/963. He claimed that at the weekly SCI meeting the day after the first conference Holcroft started a conversation ‘on the Powers of the Human Mind which lasted an Hour and a half and the Meeting broke up without any business being done’.
165 *At a general meeting of the London Corresponding Society ... on Monday the 14th April, 1794*, 4–5. For the debate on titles, see Selections, 138.
166 See IKD, 142–3
167 Selections, 189, 194, and 210. Although indicted in October with most of the other defendants at the trials, Hodgson never was arrested. He appeared openly at LCS meetings in September.
An Account of the seizure of Citizen Thomas Hardy (1794) acknowledged that the society had intended to call a convention, but only as a means of discovering the best means to attain reform. The pamphlet was published before 3 July, the date Smith was paid for printing costs. See Selections, 193.

Ibid., 187. There is a copy of one, ‘A Parody of Poor Jack’, at National Archive TSP, ts 11/956.

Parkinson admitted writing Revolutions without Bloodshed and Vindication when interviewed by the Privy Council in October. See Assassination of the King! (1795), 59–60. Parkinson also remembered the Vindication was printed by Hawes. He admitted having a hand in Reformers no Rioters at Thelwall’s trial, Barrell and Mee, 8: 71, confirmed by the spy report on the meeting of 27 August, Selections, 215. Reformers no Rioters seems to have been published early in September, proofs being delivered to Burks for correction on 5 September. Parkinson’s Vindication was in print by 7 October, but the original run was stopped because the pamphlet was deemed inappropriate with the trials so close.


Two days later, Parkinson reported that he had been unable to get the prospectus back from Bayley: Selections, 216. There are various candidates for this person. Given that he is given the title ‘Mr.’ in the minutes, this may be the ‘Baily’ who attended the SCI, for instance, on 15 March 1793. See Barrell and Mee, 6: 261. A Bailey attended the meetings between LCS members and Godwin discussed on pages 43–5. The LCS sometimes used a printer called Bailey, who was investigated as part of the ‘Pop-gun plot’. A Citizen Bailey was a member of the Friends of Liberty in 1795. See his The White Devils Un-Cased and Prince Brothers’s Scarlet Devils Displayed (1795).

See the account of the plot in IKD, 445–503.

The Politician, no. 2, 11–14, and no. 4, 26–7.

See Chapter 6, 182, for a further discussion of Thelwall’s contributions.

Death of Mrs Hardy, 4. The poem is signed ‘A FRIEND TO THE DISTRESSED PATRIOTS’. The profits were to go to the wives and families of those imprisoned by the suspension of Habeas Corpus. At this stage, Lee had not set up as a bookseller himself.

Barrell reproduces the series in Exhibition Extraordinary!!

Pig’s Meat, 2: 57–8.

John Horne Tooke Stripped Naked and Dissected, 13. Tooke had compared Paine to Stephen Duck and equivocated in his testimony on Thelwall’s character. The pamphlet reads as if written by someone from within the political elite, but its tendency is towards maximum self-determination for the members.

Selections, 249–50.
Cooper’s letter is reproduced in Selections, 243–8. A print version is at Nuffield College Library, Oxford.

Selections, 312. The LCS committee discussed the letter 15 October. The United Friends of Religious and Civil Liberty issued an address to their Fellow Countrymen on 26 October, signed John Taylor as secretary. See the spy report on their debate on religion, 10 January 1796, National Archive Privy Council Papers, pc/1/23/A38.

See United Friends of Religious and Civil Liberty (1795), 4.

Narrative of the Proceedings, 2nd edn, 1, 3, and 5.

He recommended not only forming new societies, but also using ‘Parish, Town, and County Meetings’. See Baxter, Resistance to Oppression, 5.

See Bentham, Fragment on Government, 150–1, and the excellent discussion in Green, Majesty of the People, 26.

Gilmartin, Print Politics, 30.
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57 ‘Robinson’ is probably George Robinson the bookseller who published Godwin and Merry. On Godwin’s relationship with Robinson, who was known for his convivial, often boozy dinners, see Fallon, ‘Booksellers in the Godwin Diaries’. Charles Este had worked with Merry at the World. King is presumably John King. See Smith, ‘English Radical Newspapers’, 11. Beaumont is probably the person the LCS approached to write for The Politician towards the end of 1794.
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67 Cooper, Some Information respecting America, 64.

68 See James Fennell, An Apology, 325. The success of the revolutionary armies under Dumouriez may have decided Merry to stay in France on the first
occasion. The acquittals at the treason trials may have caused a rethink the second time.
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73 Robert Merry, *Pains of Memory. A New Edition*, 26. The poem is preceded by an address ‘To the Public’ (13 December 1796), announcing an edition of Merry’s complete works. The works were also advertised in the AUM, but do not seem to have appeared. On Mathew Carey, publisher of the Philadelphia edition, see Durey, *Transatlantic Radicals*, especially 242 and 246–7.
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1 Bernard, *Retrospections of the Stage*, 2: 146.

2 *An Answer to Three Scurrilous Pamphlets*, 11.

3 For biographical details, Charles Pigott, see *Notes and Queries*, 12 S. xi (1922), 347–8; (1922), 15–16 and (1922), 545. Robert Black, *The Jockey Club*, 226, 229–30, and [Harriet Pigott], *Private Correspondence*, 1: 55–6, 59, and 60. The exception to the general historiographical neglect is Rogers, ‘Pigott’s Private Eye’.

4 The letters appeared in the *Public Advertiser*, 8 and 18 March respectively. The first is dated 6 February 1785, but the second is undated.

5 On Fox and the newspapers, see Barker, *Newspapers, Politics, and Public Opinion*, 44–5.

6 *Morning Herald*, 16 March 1785. Ridgway later published the epigrams in *Political Miscellanies*, 105–6, but also published *The Jockey Club* in 1792.


12 *Treachery no Crime*, 141 and 128. See the advertisement by Ridgway in the *Morning Post*, 12 September 1793, days before Pigott set out to Harwich with Merry. The pamphlet itself is dated 31 July.

13 *Treachery no Crime*, 141 and 2. See also the references to Godwin, 39–40 and 149–50.
Strictures, vi–vii.

The Jockey Club. Part the First, 176

John Wilde, An Address to the Lately Formed Society, 68 note. Wilde describes Pigott as ‘one of the brethren of Mr. Paine. This fellow (whoever he is) has not at the same time the full merit of the other, for he only copies (in general) and does not make the falsehoods. Being pretty much versant in that species of reading, I have found scarce any thing in the Jockey Club, unless where the characters are absolutely recent, which was new to me’, 67n. Wilde described himself as ‘a Rockingham Whig’, vii, persuaded by Burke’s arguments to support Pitt’s government. He describes the principles of the Society of the Friends of the People as ‘dangerous in the undertaking and pernicious in the effect; as of perilous example and unfounded theory; equally repugnant to the constitution of this country, and to the principles of the great Whig party, to which the associators (or most of them) had belonged’, xvii.

Pigott, The Jockey Club, Part the First, [Preface].

An Answer to Three Scurrilous Pamphlets, 13.

Analytical Review, 12 (1792), 529, reviewing the fourth edition of Part I.

British Constitution Invulnerable, 16.

See the many stories gathered from Hanger’s papers and elsewhere by William Combe writing as George Hanger in Life, Adventures, and Opinions (1801).

The Jockey Club, Part the First, 50.

The Jockey Club, Part the Second, 171.

Wilde, An Address, 67 note.

Selections from Ridgway’s extortionary Memoirs of Mrs. Billington (1792) appeared over the January and February issues of the magazine. The British Constitution Invulnerable, viii, mentions the role of magazines in spreading scandal.

From September 1792, the magazine started to feature a section called ‘Epitome of the Times’ devoted to stories of mob violence in France.

The Jockey Club, Part the First, 1–2, 65, and 63.

The Jockey Club, Part the Second, np [Dedication] and 183. The Morning Chronicle announced the publication of Part II (and the fifth edition of Part I) on 11 May and of Part III on 12 September. For its shocked response to the opening section of Part III, see the Analytical Review, 14 (1792), 345.

The Jockey Club, Part the Third, 194, 195, and 197.

Report of a Debate on Universal Suffrage (1794), quoted in Hampsher-Monk, ‘Civic Humanism and Parliamentary Reform’, 79. The words are Sir Philip Francis’s, a some time favourite of the LCS, castigating his fellow members of the Society for not making their own moderately reformist position clearer.

The Jockey Club, Part the First, 13.

The Jockey Club, Part the Third, 106–7 note. The indictment prepared for the Warwick assizes (see note 36 below) includes the whole quotation from Junius and the final paragraph where Pigott dissents from the praise of the constitution.

The Jockey Club, Part the Third, 44, 222–3, and 230 note.
Wilde, *An Address*, 67–8n. Rogers, ‘Pigott’s Private Eye’ suggests that at 4s *The Jockey Club* must have been ‘principally pitched at a genteel or middle-class rather than plebeian audience . . . [It is] only with the posthumous publication of his *Political Dictionary* in 1795 that Pigott’s work really penetrated the world of the radical artisan’, 248–9. Given his social background, it is no surprise that Pigott took time to recognise the possibility of a popular radical audience. When the first part of the *Jockey Club* was first issued, the LCS did not exist and Paine had not published the cheap editions of *Rights of Man*. Versions of the *Jockey Club* were made available for less than the 4s advertised in some newspapers. Symonds’s fifth edition of the second part was available for 8d. J. S. Jordan was selling copies for 2s 6d in 1793, along with *An Answer to Three Scurrilous Pamphlets* at the same price. *The Female Jockey Club* (1794) was lent out by libraries. A letter from ‘A Friend to Government’ in Bath complained: ‘I am sorry to say that the libraries in general do mischief. It is a practice here to lett out not sell the infamous Female Jockey Club.’ See National Archive, Home Office Papers, HO 42/30/21, ff. 54–5.

George, Prince of Wales to Queen Charlotte, 24 September 1792, *Correspondence of George Prince of Wales*, 287 and 285. The date of the prince’s response suggests that he had been reading Part iii. The passages that the prince marked for his mother were almost certainly those comparing Louis XVI and George III that shocked the *Analytical Review*. Queen Charlotte described them as ‘too strong & too personal as to be put into the hands of the Kg who so little deserves them’: Queen Charlotte to George, Prince of Wales, 2 September 1792, *Correspondence of George Prince of Wales*, 291.

In early December, the Treasury Solicitor sent letters to provincial solicitors seeking their help in prosecuting seditious works. The named texts were the *Jockey Club*, and Paine’s *Rights of Man* and *Letters Addressed to the Addressers* (1792). Advice was provided on how to obtain proof of publication, subject to clearance by the Treasury Solicitor. A series of prosecutions followed. On 13 December, the Attorney General claimed in Parliament to have had two hundred pieces of informations concerning seditious publications. See Smith, ‘English Radical Newspapers’ 227–8. Among them is *Rex v. James Belcher* at Warwick Assizes, July 1793. See the brief at National Archive, TSP, TS 11/578. I am grateful to Danielle MacDonnell for pointing this file out to me.

Henry Dundas to the Prince of Wales, 5 October 1792, *Correspondence of George Prince of Wales*, 298. Ridgway was taken up for the third part on 30 November. Details of the proceedings against Ridgway and Symonds are to be found in the *Morning Chronicle*, 9 May 1793. See *Rex v. H. D. Symonds*, National Archive, TSP, TS 11/944, and *Rex v. James Ridgway*, TS 11/141. Bills of indictment had been prepared on 28 November 1792. See also Manogue, ‘The Plight of James Ridgway’, 158. According to Richard Phillips, ‘three Indictments were prepared in London, and presented, and found by the Grand Jury at the Borough Sessions on the 18th of January ensuing’. Phillips complained
at being charged with selling the *Jockey Club* when it had not yet been found to be a libel. See Phillips, *Original papers published at different times in the Leicester Herald*, 12–13.

38 Godwin’s diary records that he read Pigott’s Dumouriez pamphlet on 30 August.


40 James Ridgway’s affidavit, 1 May 1793, National Archive, King’s Bench KB 1/27. See the report in the *London Chronicle*, 30 April – 2 May 1793. Judging by Newton’s prints of August and October, Pigott kept Ridgway’s company anyway.

41 See also *The whole proceedings on the King’s commission*, 138–45. Hodgson, *The Case of William Hodgson* claimed they had earlier been drinking with William, Pigott’s brother, who held the living at Chetwynd. See Black, *The Jockey Club*, 228–9. Epstein, “‘Equality and no King’”, 45–7 and Barrell, *Spirit of Despotism*, 86–92, provide further analysis.

42 The author of *Ethic epistles*, 23–4, suggests Pigott had previously been helped by the magistrate, perhaps when facing prison for debt, and charges him with ingratitude:

\[
P–, to thee and malice such as thine  
The lev’lling Muse I readily resign –  
And yet no lev’lling still, but partial Muse,  
That ’gainst the great and good you chiefly use.  
The bad still cautious never to offend,  
Of ev’ry Jacobin the constant friend.  
What joy to batten some benefactor to select!  
And where no crime of heart in A – t – n find,  
To mark or make some foible of his mind.  
Serpent to sting the hand that set thee free,  
To wound the breast that once had foster’d thee! \]

A note explains: ‘This supposed author of the “Jockey Club” was with that good nature which he now abuses, relieved and protected from gaol in the house of Sir W. A.’

43 For details of the imprisonment and subsequent fates of Pigott and Hodgson, see the *Morning Chronicle*, 9 October and 2 November; *Public Advertiser*, 25 October; Pigott’s, *Persecution* and Hodgson’s the *Case of William Hodgson*. Hodgson could not pay his fine when his sentence was up in 1795 and was kept in prison. Over the course of 1794, Hodgson wrote several times to the LCS to complain about their lack of financial support for his family. His applications occasioned some dispute in the society because of his conduct. See *Selections*, 112, 128, 147, 154, 156 and passim.

44 *Persecution*, 6–7. The Frost case is mentioned, 49.

45 *Ibid.*, 37–8, 26., and [iii].
Persecution!!! is advertised in *The Times*, 6 December. For Thelwall’s lectures in this period, see Chapter 6. His 26 March lecture was ‘Historical Strictures on the Trials of Hampden, Russell, and Sidney.’ See *Morning Post*, 10 February, and *Morning Chronicle*, 26 March 1794.

Persecution!!!, 15, 19, and 36–7.

See O’Shaughnessy, ‘Caleb Williams and the Philomaths’, 444.

I have not found copies of the earlier Pigott pamphlets with Eaton’s imprint. Possibly he was simply selling on Ridgway’s stock. The December pups for *Persecution* also mention Ridgway and Symonds. They were still in Newgate.

Pigott to Rogers, 9 November 1793, University College London Special Collections, Sharpe Papers, ms 15/314. Pigott seems not to have had any direct contact with Rogers prior to this correspondence. Presumably he had relied on Merry.


Initially published by Crosby and Holt, the earliest of Richter’s designs for Hodgson’s translation is dated 20 March 1795. Symonds took over from Crosby for the later volumes. There are various advertisements for the translation in works published by congers of radical booksellers. *A Picture of the Times* (1795), 2nd edn, advertises the first volume of an eventual four-volume set at 5s in boards with weekly numbers available at 6d each. There were also a few numbers on ‘super-fine paper’ at a shilling each. I have found no edition or advertisement giving Pigott’s name as translator of *The System of Nature*, but see note 57 below. Daniel Holt appears with Pigott in the two Newgate prints.

The 1795 edition says ‘from the Manuscript of the late CHARLES PIGOTT’ on the title page.


See *Memoirs of Mrs. Coghlan*, 2: 37. Advertisements for the book appeared in the *Whitehall Evening Post* (25 January) and in the *Morning Post* (29 January). Both described the *Memoirs* as ‘written by herself’, but then seem to acknowledge another hand. Most of the ‘well-known characters’ mentioned on the title page are familiar from the *Jockey Club*. For the ascription to Pigott, see Young, *Revolutionary Ladies*, 168–70. Kearsley also published Godwin’s *Cursory Strictures* (1794); John Fenwick’s translation of *Memoirs of Dumouriez*; George Dyer’s *Dissertation on the Theory and Practice of Benevolence*; and various works by Gilbert Wakefield. In 1797, he also brought out a four-volume translation of the *System of Nature* from a manuscript found in ‘the library of a man celebrated for his learning’. Conceivably, this is the translation Pigott left behind at his death. Another single volume edition of Coghlan’s *Memoirs* from 1794 exists under the imprint of Lane, but this seems a pirated version of Kearsley’s.

*Memoirs of Mrs. Coghlan*, 71 and 78.
59 British Critic, 3 (1794), 346.
61 Persecution!!!, 29–30 and 35. Pigott claimed that he told Hodgson he respected the Duke as son of the King, whatever his private character, a claim hardly borne out in his writing.
63 Morning Chronicle, 8 March.
64 Female Jockey Club, 98. In contrast, Pigott praised the Duchess of Devonshire for nursing her children herself: ‘a maternal duty wholly neglected in the fashionable world’. He also tells the reader that ‘the divine eloquence of Rousseau awakened her sensibility, and that no sooner was she inspired with a sense of her duty, than she had virtue and resolution to fulfil it’, 16 note.
65 Ibid., 1.
66 Female Jockey Club, 6, 2 and 7.
67 Ibid., 1.
68 Female Jockey Club, 176.
69 Female Jockey Club, 98, announced that ‘uncommon sales’ had induced Eaton to print five thousand more copies as a fourth edition.
70 Ibid., 69.
71 Politics for the People becomes aware of Pigott only from the end of 1793, that is, after the incident with Hodgson. ‘Verses on a Late Occasion’ appeared on the front page of no. iv, 19 October (1: 37):

When falling Britain wish’d to save her State,
Mouchards came forth, t’avert th’impending Fate;
Leach, Vaughan, and Newman, severally conjoin’d,
To praise the King, and to enslave Mankind.
Pigott and Hodgson, were to Dungeons sent,
Because they dar’d to speak of discontent:
Say then ye Britons, here’s your boasted charter?
Freedom you’ve resigned, and Slavery ta’en in barter.

A satirical ‘Catalogue Raisonnee’ appeared on 14 December 1793 (1: 134), naming Pigott as author of ‘Sketches from Nature in High Preservation’.
74 IKD, 1.
75 Pigott, Political Dictionary (1795), 170–1 and 40.
76 Ibid., 69.
77 Burke, Reflections, 128.
78 Political Dictionary [i].
80 See Watson, The life of Gordon, 83, 97, and 2 and Colson, Strange History, 237. Watson refers to Pigott’s Treachery no Crime for a description of Newgate,
Notes to pages 147–52

The life of Gordon, 83. On Watson’s treatment of Marie Antoinette, see McCalman, ‘Newgate Revolution’, 102. There is a note on Gordon’s death in Persecution!!!, 41. Robert Pigott’s Liberty of the Press has a ‘Supplement’ discussing Gordon’s case.

81 Dyer, Complaints, 17, compared Junius and Pigott as writers who ‘aimed to show the errors of the English government, by exposing the vices of its ministers’, 17. He thought Pigott had not made ‘sufficient concessions in favour of those who have returned from the paths of folly: but the characters which the author draws are well known, in general to be accurately taken’. In his Principles of the British Constitution Explained, Flower answered the substantive points made in Animadversions on the Jockey Club, but dissociated himself from Pigott’s method. Pigott was remembered more jovially in The Festival of humour (1800), 8, for repartee about the Crown always being ‘surrounded by vermin’.

82 See his attack on ‘Modern Patriotism’ in The Watchman, 98–100. For Coleridge’s attitude to Gerrald, see Chapter 2.

Notes to Chapter 5

1 See 69–70, Chapter 2.
2 History of Two Acts, 280, in a speech where Charles Sturt denies the claim.
4 Thompson, Making of the English Working Class, 155.
5 I am grateful to Tim Whelan for pointing out the presence of Lee’s poems in the American editions of Maria de Fleury’s Divine Poems.
6 On 10 September 1795, Reid was admitted to a general committee meeting in ‘a deputation from the Moral and Political Society who bro’t 70 Copies of their first production’. See Selections, 302, and McCalman, ‘The Infidel as Prophet’, 32–3. The Curses and Causes of War (1795), printed for the society by Hawes, has adistinctively millenarian flavour: ‘these are the times of refreshment that have been spoken of by the mouths of all Prophets’, 4. This may be the same group that was calling itself the United Friends of Religious and Civic Liberty a month later. See below, note 46. Reid later claimed to have been ‘transported as a young man by the preaching of Calvinist Methodists Martin Madan and William Romaine’. See McCalman, ‘Infidel as Prophet’, 29.
8 Flowers from Sharon, ‘Advertisement’ [iii].

13 Reid, *Rise and dissolution*, 48. Interestingly, the second edition dropped this jibe, perhaps to save the blushes of some of Reid’s evangelical sponsors.


15 Terry had also collaborated with the hyper-Calvinist preacher William Huntington to reprint John Saltmarsh’s tract *Free Grace in 1792* (originally published in 1645). Huntington was to split with Terry, who had published his sermons, when he discovered him distributing Painite propaganda to the congregation. See Mee, ‘Is there an Antinomian in the House?’ For Reid’s claim, see *Rise and dissolution*, 69. If nothing else, it shows that Reid himself was well versed in such literature and probably knew Jordan’s edition. *Flowers from Sharon* was printed by E. Hodson, sometimes Hodgson, of 21 Bell Yard, Temple Bar, an LCS member who was interrogated by the Privy Council that summer and appeared as a witness at Hardy’s trial. He claimed at the trial to have joined the society in February 1794. Barrell and Mee, 3: 120–4.

16 How, *Sufficiency*, 42.

17 See the advertisements for Terry’s *Prophetic Vision, or Daniel’s Great Image* in the *Morning Chronicle*, 15 February 1794, and for several days thereafter.

18 Barrell thinks Lee had joined the LCS by at least January 1794. See IKD, 609. Thelwall mentions being brought a letter by ‘Citizen Lee’ [possibly written ‘Lea’] in the letter to Citizen Allum of 13 February 1794. See the detailed discussion of the letter in the next chapter. Interrogated by the Privy Council, Hodson claimed to have joined after Lee – transcribed as ‘Legh’ – in his interview before the Privy Council, National Archive, Privy Council Papers, PC 1/22/36a. Lee’s poetry begins appearing in *Pig’s Meat* before the arrest of Hardy in May as follows: ‘The Triumph of Liberty’ (2: 176–7); ‘The Rights of God’ (2: 204); and ‘Sonnet to Freedom’ (2: 284). The first is attributed to ‘Richard Lee’, author of ‘a Volume of Poems lately Published’. ‘The Rights of God’ is described as ‘an early production of Richard Lee’. The poem comes with an anti-monarchical epigraph combining a verse from Isaiah with three lines from Milton:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{The Lord alone shall be exalted,} \\
\text{Man over men, he made not LORD;} \\
\text{Such title to himself reserving,} \\
\text{Human left from human free.}
\end{align*}
\]

‘Sonnet to Freedom’ was issued after the treason trials, which may explain the topic.

19 For Powell’s letters, see Chapter 1. Perchard and Brock are listed as merchants with premises in 13 Chatham Place, Blackfriars, in Boyle, *The general
London guide (1793), 3. The Evangelical Magazine’s description of Lee as a ‘laborious mechanic’ suggests the haziness of class taxonomy in the period, especially to those, as it were, looking down.

20 The other poem in the Death of Despotism is ‘The Crown a Bauble’ that appears in Songs from the rock as ‘The Baubles of Courts’.

21 Other versions of the title include Songs for the Year 1795, and Songs and Odes Sacred to Truth, Liberty, and Peace. The Oracle, 20 January 1795, carries an advertisement for William Belcher’s Account of a Late Circumstance that lists Eaton and Lee as booksellers. Lee is described as ‘a young man of genius, much, in Mr. Belcher’s opinion, resembling Dr. Watts’. By this stage, Lee was selling books from St Ann’s Court. His mother seems to have run a shop there. According to the advertisement, Lee was also selling ‘his own Works, viz, Flowers from Sharon, price 3s. And Songs for the New Year, price 1s. 6d.’ The copy of Songs for the Year 1795 in the British Library at RB 23.a.10133 is a reissue of Songs from the rock with a new half title.

22 See, for instance, ‘freedom reigns’, Songs from the rock, 52–3, which begins with what seems an ironic commentary on verdict at the treason trials:

******** thy Sentence just,
Dooms thee to embrace the Dust.

23 See the note to the ‘Tribute of Civic Gratitude’, Songs from the rock, iii.


25 Philp, Reforming Ideas, 35.

26 Barrell, ‘Rus in Urbe’.

27 See A Complete Library. Proposals for publishing by subscription, sacred to truth, liberty, and peace.

28 Barrell’s ‘Rus in Urbe’ mentions some of these poets in its account of the pastoral and elegiac verse sent to Politics for the People and elsewhere. For the specific place of James Thomson’s memory in the radical societies of the 1790s, see Barrell and Guest, ‘Thomson in the 1790s’.

29 See ‘Justice and Equality’, Songs from the rock, 31. I have not been able to trace the lines to any published Merry poem, nor the poem addressing ‘Aminta’, to any magazine from the period.

30 See the additional title-page in the British Library copy RB 23.a.10133 on the verso of which this dedication appears.


32 See ‘The Rights of God’ in Songs from the rock, 17–18. For the phrase ‘divine rights of republics’, see Monthly Review, 16 (1795) 208, a review of John Cook’s Civil War pamphlet Monarchy no creature of God’s making (1651), reissued by Eaton in 1794. The Monthly comments: ‘we see no end likely to be answered by this re-publication; except it be to show that fanaticism is a useful
instrument, which may be employed, at pleasure, in the service of either monarchy or democracy.


34 See note 13 above for the poems that appeared in *Pig’s Meat*. Lee’s poetry also appeared in various other places: *The Blessings of War*, 8, ends with the final stanza of ‘The Horrors of War’ (*Songs from the rock*, 40); *The Wrongs of Man*, 4, reprints ‘Let us Hope to see Better Days’ from *Songs from the rock*, 9–10; and *Warning to Tyrants* has on its title page an entry under ‘Age, Golden’ that is a poem ‘By the author of “Songs, sacred to Truth, Liberty, and Peace”’. Lee does not name himself as author of any of these lines. The *Happy Reign of George the Last* ends with stanzas taken from James Kennedy’s *Treason! Or Not Treason!*.

35 IKD, 546. Barrell, ed., *Exhibition Extraordinary!!*, 7, also notes that the playbill seems to have been found first in Yorkshire, from where it was sent to the Attorney General on 19 February 1794. Rev. Foley of Stourbridge also sent the law officers a copy, 24 February, National Archive, TSP, ts11/953. Barrell notes that it seems to have first appeared in London a few days later, reported by the spy John Taylor as read during an LCS meeting on 28 February. Thelwall also reportedly read it aloud at one of his lectures on the fast day itself. Apparently, it stayed in print throughout the year, appearing on Lee’s advertisement pages as ‘Nebuchadnezzar’s decree for a Fast’. See IKD, 546.

36 Lee’s compilations from Pigott and others can be dated fairly accurately because the date of the next is generally announced on the last page of the previous one. The sequence goes: *The Rights of Kings*, No. 1 of a *Political Dictionary*; *The Rights of Princes*; *The Rights of Nobles*; *The Rights of Priests*; *The Blessings of War*; *The Wrongs of Man*; *The Rights of Man*; *Warning to Tyrants*; *The Voice of the People*; *The Excellence of the British Constitution*. The final page of *The Rights of Kings* promises *The Rights of Princes* would be out on 20 July. They are all published from the Berwick Street address bar *The Excellence of the British Constitution*, which informs readers that the Tree of Liberty has been transplanted to the Strand. Its final page also advertises *Remedies for State Diseases*. I have not been able to trace a pamphlet with that title, but Barrell suggests it may be King Killing.


38 *Admirable Satire on the Death, Dissection, and Funeral Procession, & Epitaph of Mr. Pitt!!*, [3], 12, and 13.

39 The original satire was published in the *Telegraph*, 20, 21, and 24 August. Ballard published an edition as *Pitt’s Ghost* for 2d. Lee’s fifth edition was published as *A faithful narrative of the last illness, death, and interment* with the episodes on the dreadful apparition. It is advertised in *The
Voice of the People. The sixth edition added extracts from the Prime Minister’s will.

40 See *A faithful narrative of the last illness, death, and interment of the Rt. Hon. W. Pitt*, 6th edn, 21 and 24. A loyalist riposte to this series of satires appeared as *The decline and fall, death, dissection, and funeral procession of his most contemptible lowness the London Corresponding Society* (1796), where Lee appears ‘with the Tree of Liberty tied to his back, wheeling a barrow full of seditious pamphlets’, 20–1.

41 See IKD, especially 593.

42 *Account of the . . . meeting of the inhabitants of Westminster*, 12. See IKD, 593 on the exchange between Fox and Sheridan.

43 *Happy Reign of George the Last*, title-page.

44 On Jekyll and Pittachio, see *Exhibition Extraordinary!*, 13–15. Jekyll is routinely attacked as ‘Jackal’ in the *Tomahawk* later in 1795.


46 *Rights of the Devil*, 7 and 14. The address of the United Friends of Religious and Civil Liberty uses similar language to argue against ‘Arbitrary and Papal Power’, 3. Lee sold their tracts and may well have joined them after he left the LCS.

47 The information about the title was passed on to Parliament by Charles Sturt. See *History of Two Acts*, 369.

48 See the account in IKD, 613–22, on the discussions of Lee in Parliament.

49 See *History of Two Acts*, 275.

50 Barrell suggests that the Opposition had decided that Lee had to be sacrificed to the law officers if the campaign against the two bills was to succeed. Sheridan and Fox demanded to know why no prosecution of Lee had been brought on, IKD, 617.

51 *History of Two Acts*, 369.

52 Reid, *Rise and dissolution*, 6. The title-page of the British Library’s copy of the *Rights of Princes* (1389 d. 27) has the following written on it: ‘Sturt told the house that Lee had been twice turned out of the London Corresponding Society: Ballard (another bookseller involved in the radical movement) allidges [sic] that it was for disagree[ing] with some of the members in religious sentiments. Lee is a Methodist.’ For the LCS’s disclaimer of any association with Lee, see *History of Two Acts*, 330. Sturt’s visit to Lee’s mother caused much hilarity in the *Tomahawk*. See, for instance, nos. xxxviii, 10 December 1795, 153, and xliv, 17 December 1795, 177.

53 See Barrell’s account of the ambivalent attitude of the LCS towards Lee during this period, IKD, 617–18.

54 The *True Briton*, 1 December 1795, announces: ‘At the Westminster Quarter Sessions, held at Guildhall on Saturday, the Grand Jury found three Bills of Indictment against Citizen R. Lee for publishing Seditious Pamphlets.’

55 The *Times*, 19 December. 1795. The indictment against Lee, naming the three pamphlets, is at National Archive, TSP, Ts 11/854.
57 Quoted ibid., 682.
58 *Political Curiosities* comes with an ironic dedication to ‘Peter Porcupine’. *Political Curiosities* may be the ‘book’ mentioned in Cobbett’s account of Lee’s fate, but more substantial was *Crimes of the Kings and Queens of England*, full of animus against the whole institution of the monarchy. Lee advertised this volume along with a new magazine to be called ‘The American Library’ by ‘A SOCIETY OF LITERARY GENTLEMEN’. Subscriptions were accepted by Lee and another radical exile, William Y. Birch, an apprentice printer who fled Britain in 1794 after the *Manchester Herald* was suppressed. See Durey, *Transatlantic Radicals*, 32, on Birch.
62 AUM, 1 (1797), 10. The magazine was launched as a weekly, but became bi-weekly after the first four numbers. It ceased publication in March 1798.
63 The American senator is announced as ‘Publishing in Numbers’ in the first number of the AUM, 1 (1797), 31, dated 2 January, and available at Lee’s Chestnut Street shop. Poems by Della Crusca, Volney’s *Ruins of Empire*, and Godwin’s *Political justice* are advertised below this notice. ‘Richard Lee, Philadelphia’ appears on the unpaginated list ‘Subscriber’s Names’ at the end of the third volume of the American senator.
64 AUM 1 (1797), 370.
65 Ibid., 358–9.
66 Quoted from Rushton’s letter to Washington, AUM, 2 (1797), 354. Lee is named as a committee member of the Pennsylvania Society Promoting the Abolition of Slavery, AUM, 2 (1797) 119. Rhees or Rhys was a Baptist minister who fled Wales to avoid arrest in 1794. See Davies, *Transatlantic Brethren*, 221–3, and Twomey, *Jacobins and Jeffersonians*, 102–3 on his disillusionment with American slavery and his letters to the AUM.
67 See Cotlar, *Tom Paine’s America*, 65–6, and also Twomey, *Jacobins and Jeffersonians*, 104–5, on Lee and Rhees as exceptional in their opinions on slavery. Lee’s name no longer appears in advertisements for the AUM after August 1797. Cobbett’s claim that he tried travelling and ended up in gaol in New York is given some credence by a subscription bill for an edition of Vicesimus Knox’s *Elegant Extracts* from New York (mentioning a reduced price for subscriptions received before 1 November 1798). See *A Complete Library* (1798). If Cobbett’s ‘travelling’ implies some sort of salesmanship, then he may be the Richard Lee who turns up selling medicine in Baltimore and then New York in various newspaper advertisements in the American press from 1803. Some maintain a connection with William Y. Birch in Philadelphia and others refer to the street in New York from which the 1798 subscription was issued. Some also claim Lee had been involved in selling medicine for ‘upwards of six years’. Lee had founded the AUM six years previously and may have been selling medicines – as many booksellers did – from his shop.
Twomey briefly mentions Birch and Lee going into partnership as apothecaries in *Jacobins and Jeffersonians*, 67. What really matters about this uncertain final narrative, as Lee vanishes almost to nothing, is that he seems to have been squeezed out of the public sphere by a new generation of American editors who identified themselves as Democrats in terms of a political party rather than the utopian aspirations of the earlier 1790s.

**Notes to Chapter 6**

2. See Green’s excellent discussion of this idea in *Majesty of the People*, 41–61.
4. The phrase appears in Thelwall’s notes for the lecture on ‘abuses in the profession and practices of the law’, f. 4 in National Archive, TSP, ts 11/956. Seized at his arrest, the Privy Council showed the notes to Henry Eaton, supposedly for him to confirm Thelwall’s handwriting. Taylor’s notes on the 21 February lecture are also at ts 11/956. Henry Eaton took tickets at the door and also sold copies of Gilbert Wakefield’s *The Spirit of Christianity compared with the Spirit of the Times in Great Britain* (1794). Two songs, ‘The Rights of Britons’ and ‘Johnny Frost’, were also sold. Copies of both are in the same file.
5. LT, 18 and 22.
7. LT, 33
9. Thelwall submitted the first play to George Colman the Elder at the Haymarket, who brought his own *Incle and Yorico* (1787) on to the stage instead. As Susan Thelwall’s letter shows, see page 56. Thelwall suspected Thomas Harris had passed the manuscript of the *Incas* on to Thomas Morton to use for his *Columbus* (1792).
10. LT describes Coachmakers’ Hall as a ‘mock senate and synod’, 45, but also gives a sense of how important it was to Thelwall’s intellectual development, not least in relation to the efforts he took to keeping it open, corroborated by Susan Thelwall’s letters of December. LT sometimes affects a literary condensation to the popular print sociability of the 1780s and 1790s.
11. See Thompson, ‘From Forum to Repository’.
12. LT, 49.
13. On the archaeology of this idea, see Mee, *Conversable Worlds*, 24–5, 68–74, and 147–8.
14. LT, 51.
15. Godwin and Thelwall met often in the period 1793–5, as Godwin’s diary shows, but there is no evidence of contact before 1793. Thelwall was a member of the Philomaths before Godwin began to attend in 1793. See his *Ode to science* (1791).


*Ibid.*, 15. When called as a witness at his trial, Parkinson said he had been ‘pretty intimate with Thelwall over the last seven years’. See Barrell and Mee, 8: 72.

LT, 145.

*The Peripatetic* (1793), 1: 83 and viii.

See the discussion of this context for the allegory in Solomonescu, *Materialist Imagination*, 29–30. On Eaton’s trial for using the allegory, see Chapter 1.

*Tribune*, 3: 200.

On Thelwall’s use of the electricity trope, see Fairclough, *Romantic Crowd*, especially, 116.


LT, 69–70.

See BL, Place Papers, Papers of the LCS, Add ms 27817 f. 23. The Society agreed that the ‘number of Members shall be unlimited, and each subscribe not less than 1s 6d per quarter’ (f. 24). At their next meeting, a week later, they confirmed their name as the ‘London Society of the Friends of the People’. They also resolved to appoint a committee to confer with the Southwark society and adopt its rules. See Chapter 2, note 62.

*Whole of the Proceedings at the Meeting of the Friends of the Liberty of the Press*, 6–7.

Susan Thelwall to Jack Vellum, [9 March 1793], National Archive, TSP, ts 11/916. Her letter takes the problems with *The Peripatetic* as a sign that the liberty of the press ‘is already gone’: ‘Mr. Thelwall after some trouble pr[ocur]da printer to print the publication I before spoke to you of, but this printer after getting the first volume almost ready to come out declin’d printing any more [unless] T. would strike out all the political matter or turn it on the Aristocratical side this [T.] certainly refus’d to do.’ Thelwall made a short speech at the dinner. See *Morning Chronicle*, 11 March 1793, which notes the Edwards letter explaining his absence and Sheridan’s drollery on it.

LT, 115. Thelwall joined around 17 October and was elected delegate for division 25 four days later. See *Selections*, 88n. For the high opinion of Gerrald that Thelwall shared with many others see Chapter 2.

Thelwall made his offer to the general committee on 14 November. See *Selections*, 93 and note. Thelwall was proposed and disqualified as a delegate to the Edinburgh Convention at the general meeting of 24 October, *ibid.*, 88. The article of disqualification had caused some debate before being passed, *ibid.*, 87.

Divisions of the LCS seems to have begun to use the Compton Street address from May 1793. The central committee met there from January 1794. See *Selections*, 66, 103n, and 109.
He gave only a single performance in the Minories; ‘to a thronged audience who received it with enthusiasm’, LT, 130.

The early ‘Memoir of Mr. John Thelwall, the Celebrated Political Lecturer’, describes Allum and Thelwall as closely involved in rallying the Southwark ‘Friends of the People’ after the November 1792 proclamation. See the New, General, and Complete Weekly Magazine 1 (1796), 29. Allum’s letter is at National Archive, TSP, tS 11/953. The Allum letter is reproduced in one of the versions of Hardy’s trial, The proceedings in cases of high treason, under a special commission of oyer and terminer, 682–4, published by Ridgway and Symonds from Ramsey’s shorthand notes. Ramsey was the shorthand writer that Thelwall used for his lectures. See page 181 for Gurney’s advice on this precaution.

Barrell and Mee, 8: 22 and 24.

Gurney described him as ‘a man liable in the warmth of speaking to be hurried away by his passion’ (Barrell and Mee, 8: 34). See Mee, Romanticism, Enthusiasm, and Regulation, 115–27.

Political Lectures (1795), 4.


Political Lectures (1795), 17.

The various reports from Taylor are in the file at National Archive, TSP, tS 11/953. See IKD, 567. Eaton drew on Otway’s play for the epigraph to Pigott’s Persecution a few weeks before. Eaton, Pigott, and Thelwall were all members of division 25 in February 1794. Political Lectures (1795) mentions the arrest of Hodgson and Pigott briefly (13).

See IKD, 395. Printed slips with the title The Speeches of Pierre and Jaffeur are in the BL, Place Papers, Draft of Letters of Thomas Hardy, Add ms 27818 f. 4. Both are inscribed ‘in 1793’, but they may date from the time of Thelwall’s lecture early in 1794. In a later incident, the ministerial press blamed the Kemble and Sheridan revival of the play in October 1795 for inciting the attack on the king’s coach. See IKD, 567–8. Note also Piozzi’s comparison of Merry and Pierre above 114.

Apart from the British Library copy (Figure 11), copies of the handbill are in National Archive, TSP, tS 11/953 (with the covering note from Reeves).

See the discussion of Lee’s reissue of the bill in 1795 in the previous chapter.

These letters and reports are in National Archive, TSP, tS 11/953 with other material gathered for Thelwall’s prosecution. The anonymous letter to Banks is dated ‘19 April’. The letter from Banks to the law officers is dated 22 April. See Taylor’s evidence at Thelwall’s trial (Barrell and Mee, 8: 37–43). The prosecution’s claim that it possessed new material that would succeed against Thelwall, where they had failed with Hardy and Tooke, largely depended upon Taylor’s evidence, but this strategy collapsed when Erskine revealed him to be a bigamist and perjurer. See IKD, 395–6.

See Thelwall’s account in the dedication to the two juries affixed to Political lectures, (no. II.) Sketches of the history of prosecutions for political opinion, iii–iv, published immediately after the events.
The note is at National Archive, TSP, TS 11/953. It was produced in court, where Gurney’s behaviour was animadverted upon. See Barrell and Mee, 8: 22, 30, and 33–5.

Ritson’s role is acknowledged in LT, 141. He and Thelwall appear together several times in Godwin’s diary. In the middle of Reeves’s attempts to prosecute Thelwall, Ritson joined Godwin and Thelwall on 4 May, when they dined at Holcroft’s. On 12 June 1795, Godwin supped at Thelwall’s with the Richters and Ritson after attending his last lecture before the summer break.

LT, 164.

In his lecture on the abuses of the law, f. 2, Thelwall suggested that ‘A National Convention may some time or other, perhaps, take this subject into consideration in its fullest extent’, National Archive, TSP, TS 11/956.

Natural and Constitutional Right, 37.

The poems published in the Politician were ‘Stanzas written by J. Thelwall in the Tower upon Hearing that the Commission was Sealed, for Trying the State Prisoners for High Treason’, dated 28 September, and ‘The Cell’ dated October 24. He had also promised ‘The Crisis’ and ‘The Farewell’. See the Politician, no. 3, 20–1, and no. 4, 28. ‘The Cell’ was originally published in the Morning Post the day after it was written. See Chapter 2 for a discussion of the Politician’s genesis.

The Politician, no. 3, 26.

Coleridge to Thelwall, 13 May 1796, Coleridge’s Collected Letters, 1: 213, where he told him ‘on your heart I should rest for my safety’. The exchange was part of their ongoing discussion of whether morality had to be underpinned by religion.

Tribune, 2: xv.

McCann, Cultural Politics, 86.

The third volume of the Tribune did print ‘Lines written by a Female Citizen’, 3: 105–6.

See the account in LT, 76, and 53.

Political Lectures (1795), 3–4.

McCann, Cultural Politics, 90.

Political Lectures (1795), 58.

‘Advertisement’, Poems written in Close Confinement [ i]. The lectures recommenced in February. Thelwall used the proceeds to try and repair his domestic finances. While he had been in prison, Susan Thelwall had relied on support from the LCS.

Tribune, 2: 363, using the authority of Blackstone.

Godwin, Considerations, 14, 16, and 20.

The diary also records Godwin’s appearance at the lecture on 4 February 1794. Apart from these two visits, Godwin and Thelwall regularly met and dined from late 1793.

Alderson to Godwin, 5 February [1796], ms Abinger c. 3, Bodleian Library. Alderson playfully imagines Godwin being accused of accepting a government pension, a joke one doubts he enjoyed.
68 See the discussion in Fairclough, *Romantic Crowd*, 118–21.
73 See above 38–9.
74 See Thelwall to Hardy, 28 Feb. 1801, Wordsworth Trust and *Poems Written Chiefly in Retirement*; BL, Place Papers, Papers of the LCS, Add ms 27817, ff. 87–8 has a subscription sheet for the volume, with a note dated 19 April 1801 for Hardy to pass on. The name of the addressee is scribbled out, 88v, but seems to be Geddes, possibly Alexander Geddes, Thelwall’s former ally in the Friends of the Liberty of the Press. Hardy was also involved in circulating *The Daughters of Adoption* to a circle of Thelwall’s friends in Nottingham. See Hardy to Thomas Oldham, 14 November 1800, BL, Place Papers, Draft Letters of Thomas Hardy, Add ms 27818, f. 15.
75 A rich scholarly literature on Thelwall’s elocutionary practices has emerged lately. See, for instance, Duchan, ‘Conceptual Underpinnings’, Fleming, ‘Tracing the Textual Reverberation’, and Solomonescu, *Materialist Imagination*, 93–109 and 117–19. On the dispute between Jeffrey and Thelwall, see *Mr Thelwall’s Letter to Francis Jeffrey* (1804) and *Mr. Thelwall’s reply to the calumnies* (1804) and the discussion in Mee, ‘Policing Enthusiasm in the Romantic Period’, especially 188–90.
76 See McCann, *Cultural Politics*, 104–5.
77 The fullest account is Judith Thompson, *John Thelwall in the Wordsworth Circle*, but see also Mee, “‘The Dungeon and the Cell’”.