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ABSTRACT. Newly available radiocarbon dates show the early signs of pottery-making in the North Caspian area, the Middle-Lower Volga, and the Lower Don at 8–7 kyr cal BC. Stable settlements, as indicated by “coeval subsamples,” are recognized in the Middle-Lower Volga (Yelshanian) at 6.8 kyr cal BC and the Caspian Lowland at about 6 kyr cal BC. The ages of the Strumel-Gostyatin, Surskian, and Bug-Dniesterian sites are in the range of 6.6–4.5 kyr BC, overlapping with early farming entities (Starčevo-Körös-Criş and Linear Pottery), whose influence is perceptible in archaeological materials. Likewise, the 14C-dated pollen data show that the spread of early pottery-making coincided with increased precipitation throughout the forest-steppe area.

INTRODUCTION

Recently available radiocarbon dates show that the early pottery-making communities in the steppe and boreal areas of eastern Europe started spreading at an early date, comparable to and even preceding in age to the early farming communities in southeastern Europe. In most of these cases, the subsistence pattern remained essentially Mesolithic with little or no evidence of farming or stock-breeding. Yet the appearance of pottery-making signaled the appearance of attributes of complex societies, such as sedentism, increased population density, intensive food procurement, technological innovations, development of exchange networks (which in some cases included their agricultural neighbors), social differentiation, and territorial control. Consequently, the current project, among other objectives, was focused on: (1) improved 14C-based and statistically tested chronologies of early pottery sites in the south East European Plain; (2) detailed assessment of the environments of early pottery-making sites; and (3) developing a mathematically robust model of the transition to the Neolithic using the aforementioned data.

METHODS

We use 14C data sets for the early Neolithic cultures of the southern steppe zone of eastern Europe (Figure 1). Some of the dates (for the Bug-Dniesterian, Surskian, Dnieper-Donietz, Azov-Dnieperian, and Donetsian cultures) have been published by Kotova (2002, 2003), whereas newly obtained dates (for the Yelshanian, North Caspian, Rakushechyj Yar, and Strumel-Gastyatin cultures) are published in Dolukhanov et al. (2009). All 14C dates discussed here are presented as calibrated BC (cal BC). However, the ages obtained from statistical modeling are given in yr BC; any modeling was applied to calibrated 14C dates. OxCal v. 4.0 (Bronk Ramsey 1995, 2001) with the calibration curve IntCal04 (Reimer et al. 2004) was used for the calibration. Uncertainty of the individual cali-
brated dates was characterized by the corresponding fraction (1/4 or 1/6) of the continuous calibrated date interval at the confidence levels 95.4% and 99.7% (the latter was used if the former interval had significant discontinuities).

The $^{14}$C dates used here have been obtained for the organic matter in the pottery, animal and human bones, and freshwater mollusk shells found in the archaeological deposits. Human bones and mollusk shell are affected by the $^{14}$C reservoir effect, which offsets the dates towards older ages (Lillie et al. 2008; Zaitseva et al. 2009). This effect is as yet difficult to quantify in general terms, so we treat such dates at their face value here. The entities most strongly affected by this effect are the Dnieper-Donets and Azov-Dnieperian cultures, where most of the dates come from cemetery skeletal remains; the age estimates for these cultures should be treated with caution. A significant number of dates for the Yelshanian culture used here are from mollusk shells, but they do not belong to the oldest group of dates and thus do not affect our conclusions.

The data sets used in this paper contain a statistically significant number of $^{14}$C dates for each of the cultures, which justifies our attempt to apply statistical modeling to them. It is a widely recognized problem that choosing the oldest date from a set of multiple date determinations, in order to characterize a “first arrival” event, can amount to choosing the least probable date from the set. More sophisticated procedures are required, but there are no universally adopted procedures of this kind. The approach used in this paper is detailed below (see Davison et al. 2009 for a detailed discussion).

If only a few (<8) date measurements are available, and those dates all agree within the error, we use their weighted mean value. For a series of dates that cluster in time but do not agree within the calibration error, we use different approaches depending on the number of dates available and their errors. Should the cluster contain <8 dates, we take the mean of the dates (as in the previous case), as any more sophisticated statistical technique would be inappropriate for such a small sample. If, however, the date cluster is large (i.e. >8 dates), the $\chi^2$ statistical test can be used to calculate the most likely date $T_0$ of a coeval subsample as described in detail by Dolukhanov et al. (2005). The calculations results are presented in the form $T = T_0 \pm \Delta$, where $\Delta$ is the confidence interval corresponding to the 1-$\sigma$ deviation. The uncertainty of the resulting age estimate is then characterized by
the standard deviation of the dates in the coeval subsample, denoted \( \sigma_c \). Our procedure is very similar to that implemented in the “R_Combine” function of OxCal (Bronk Ramsey 2001). However, OxCal’s procedure first combines the uncalibrated dates into a single \(^{14}C\) measurement and only then calibrates it. Our approach, on the other hand, first uses the calibration scheme of OxCal and then combines the resulting calibrated dates to give \( T \). For our purposes, this adds the flexibility of discarding dates with the largest relative deviation from \( T \). As a check, we combined several sets of dates using both OxCal and our procedure; the results agree within an acceptable margin.

If a geographically localized culture has many \(^{14}C\) determinations that do not cluster around a single date, a histogram of the dates is analyzed. If the data have a wide range and have no discernible peaks (i.e. are approximately uniformly distributed in time), they may suggest prolonged Neolithic activity at the site, and we choose, as many other authors, the oldest date (or one of the oldest, if there are reasons to reject outliers) to identify the first appearance of the Neolithic. Apart from sites with either no significant peak or only 1 peak, there are sites whose \(^{14}C\) dates have a multimodal structure, which may indicate multiple waves of settlement passing through this location (e.g. the Yelshanian culture). In such cases, multiple dates were attributed to the culture.

**RESULTS**

**Environments**

Several \(^{14}C\)-dated pollen sequences are now available for the steppe and forest-steppe of Ukraine, notably, Glubokoe and Rogalik 12 (Figure 2) (Gerasimenko 1995). Based on this and other evidence, the reconstruction of the vegetation cover for Ukraine’s Atlantic period was carried out. These data show the general expansion of mixed forests with broad-leaved species, oak, lime, elm, as well as hygrophilous elements, ash tree (\textit{Fraxinus excelsior}) and ivy (\textit{Hedera helix}), in a time span broadly coincident with the appearance of Neolithic settlements. The early Atlantic pollen spectra in the southwestern steppe (Beloles’e and Mirnoe sequences; Pashkevich 1982) show higher values of pine and broad-leaved arboreal species (\textit{Quercus}, \textit{Tilia}, \textit{Carpinus}, \textit{Ulmus}), the grassland dominated by forbs, and that \textit{Compositae} and \textit{Chenopodiaceae} acquired a mesophytic character. A similar character of Early Atlantic vegetation is evident in the Lower Dniester area (Volontir 1989). During the Atlantic period, forests expanded both northwards and southwards with respect to their present-day locations. Entire valleys of the Dnieper, Dniester, Southern Bug, Seversky Donets, and Don were forested, and the forests reached the Black Sea shores. Broad-leaved forests transgressed into the steppe, considerably diminishing the treeless area.

Recently obtained pollen evidence shows similar changes occurring in the eastern forest-steppe area. The sequence of the Chekalino I site on Sok River (a tributary of the Middle Volga, Samara Oblast) indicates the appearance of \textit{Ulmus}, \textit{Quercus}, and \textit{Populus} pollen at the level of early Neolithic settlement (Figure 3, zone 2), suggesting the occurrence of floodplain forests. The high percentage of \textit{Chenopodiaceae}, \textit{Artemisia}, and \textit{Gramineae} pollen signals the prevalence of steppe communities further afield.

According to quantitative estimates for various areas of the East European Plain (Khotinsky 1977, 1987; Kremenetsky 1991, 2003; Khotinsky and Klimanov 2002), the climate during the time span of about 6000–4500 BP was of a less continental character with milder winters and a mean annual temperature exceeding the present one by 2 °C. In the steppe, the January temperature was higher than now by 1 °C, and the July one, lower by 2 °C. Annual precipitation was higher by 100–150 mm (Kremenetsky 2003). The data for the Samara Oblast suggest increased precipitation and a lowering of temperature during the Atlantic period.
The Yelshanian-type (Y) pottery, the earliest technology of this kind on the East European Plain, was first recognized in the Samara-Volga area in the 1970s (Vasiliev and Panin 1977). By now, the typical “Yelshanian assemblage” has been identified at several sites: Staraya Yelshanka I, II; Maksimovo; Chekalino; Lower Orlyanka; Ivanovka; Lugovoye III; Lebyazhye I; Bol’she-Rakovskaya; Il’ynskaya; Krasnyi Gorodok; Zakhar-Kolma; Vilovatovskaya; and a few others (Mamonov 1994; Lastovsky 2006). In all these sites, the “pure Yelshanian element” has been recognized only in 2 cases, the lower strata of Chekalino IV and Lower Orlyanka II.

Yelshanian pottery was manufactured from the local sandy clay, which included a natural admixture of organic matter and small fragments of mollusk shells. The vessels were fired in open hearths at
temperatures not exceeding 450 °C. The vessels had rounded or pointed bottoms and straight rims with either flat, round, or pointed edges, transforming into S-profiled rims. The majority of pottery vessels were not ornamented; in rare cases, one notes incised lines, pit impressions, or short notches, forming zigzag patterns. Several vessels were decorated by a belt beneath the rim consisting of pits and “pearl” impressions.

Presently, 22 14C dates have been obtained for the organic matter in the pottery and freshwater shells found in the archaeological deposits. These and other data have been processed in an attempt to identify the coeval periods. This test for the Yelshanian culture yields $T = 6771 \pm 160$ BC with $\sigma_c = 132$ yr (Figure 4a).

The North Caspian

Early pottery sites have been identified in various parts of the North Caspian (NC) Lowland and along the lower stretches of the Volga River. Vasiliev and Vybornov (1988) distinguish 2 cultural groups, the Kairshak-Tenteksorian and Jangar-Varfolomeyvian, which together form the Lower Volga cultural entity. Each group comprises several chronological stages. The Kasirshak-Tenteksorian culture had apparently developed on the local Mesolithic substratum, which is evident in the Mesolithic character of its lithic industry. Its pottery, which has no direct analogies, was manufactured from clay that included crushed shells, fish scales and vertebra, and plant remains. The pottery consists of straight-walled vessels with round bases, ornamented by incised lines and oval impressions forming simple geometric patterns.

The sites belonging to the Jangar-Varfolomeyevian cultural entity are found both on the right and left banks of the Volga River (Yudin 2004). Its common features include the prevalence of the blade technique in the stone inventory, with a high proportion of tools, particularly various types of end-scrapers, with the common occurrence of geometrics and arrowheads. The pottery was usually manufactured from clay with crushed shells. The flat-based pots with strait or S-shaped walls were decorated in the upper portions by strokes and incised lines forming simple geometric patterns.

Recently, a large series of 14C dates has been obtained for organic matter in the ceramics. The coeval sample test reveals $T = 5859 \pm 236$ yr BC with $\sigma_c = 192$. Significantly, there is a considerable number of dates falling beyond the subsample and showing ages in the range 8000–6500 BC (Figure 4b).

Rakushechnyi Yar

Rakushechnyi Yar (RY) is a clearly stratified settlement located on a small island in the lower stretches of the Don River (Belanovskaya 1995). The excavations exposed an area of ~1200 m², with 23 archaeological layers ranging from the Bronze Age to the Neolithic. The deepest levels (23–6) belong to the Early Neolithic. The pottery, which constitutes the main element of the material culture, is encountered in large quantities starting with the lowermost level of the site. These are straight-walled or slightly profiled flat- and conic-bottomed vessels with either straight or outside bended rims. In the ornamented vessels, the decoration was usually restricted to the upper portion and consists of impressions of stamps, fish bones, and shell rims. The coeval sample assessment of 14C dates for Early Neolithic levels of RY yields $T = 5960 \pm 260$ with $\sigma_c = 212$. As in the previous case, a significant number of older dates (6900–6600 BC) fall beyond the coeval sample (Figure 4c).

Bug-Dniesterian

The Early Neolithic in western Ukraine and Moldova is usually associated with sites of the Bug-Dniesterian culture (BD) (Danilenko 1969; Markevich 1974). About 40 sites belonging to this cul-
Figure 4 Frequencies of $^{14}$C dates (coeval samples hatched): a–Yelshanian; b–North Caspian; c–Rakushechnyi Yar; d–Bug-Dniesterian; e–Surskian; f–Dnieper-Donetsian; g–Strumel-Gostyatin; h–Azov-Dnieperian; i–Donetsian.
ture are located in the valleys of middle courses of the rivers Dniester (Nistru), Southern Bug (Pydvenyi Buh) and their tributaries.

Based on the occurrence of "hoe-like" bone implements and the impressions of cereals on the pottery, it was suggested (Danilenko 1969; Markevich 1974; Kotova 2002, 2003) that some kind of agriculture was practiced at these sites.

The pottery corpus includes deep bowls with an S-like profile and hemispherical flat-bottomed beakers made of clay tempered with organic matter and crushed shells. Ornamental patterns consist of rows of shell-rim impressions, finger impressions, and incised lines forming zigzags and volutes. Remarkably, several patterns find direct analogies in the "monochrome" pottery of the Balkan Early Neolithic (Starčevo-Criş culture). Imported potsherds of Linear Pottery (with "music-note" patterns) were found at the Soroki 5 site. The assessment of the coeval subsample yields $T = 6193 \pm 205$ BC with $\sigma_c = 205$ (Figure 4d).

**Surskian**

The Surskian-type (S) sites were identified in the late 1920s in the Dnieper River valley upstream of the Rapids. To date, about 30 sites are known in that area and also in the Azov Sea coastal area and the lower stretches of the Seversky Donets River (Telegin 1996a,b). The small-sized sites are mostly found on river islets, sand dunes on the floodplain, and, rarely, on the slopes of river terraces. The pottery made of clay tempered with sand and crushed shells consists of conic vessels with S-shaped walls, decorated by rows of comb impressions and, rarely, incised lines. The oldest variety is essentially similar to that of Rakushechnyi Yar and Bug-Dniesterian. The estimation of the coeval subsample produced $T = 5940 \pm 167$ BC with $\sigma_c = 167$ (Figure 4e).

**Dnieper-Donets Cultural Entity**

The sites belonging to the Dnieper-Donets (DD) culture are found in the middle and upper stretches of the Dnieper basin and that of the Seversky Donets River. More that 150 dwelling sites and about 20 cemeteries are known in northern Ukraine and southern Byelorussia (Telegin 1968, 1996a,b). Kotova (2002, 2003) distinguishes several "regional cultures": Volhynian, Kiev-Cherkassyan, Lisogubovian, and Donetsian. Telegin (1968, 1996a,b) includes in the DD also the Azov-Dnieperian, which Kotova (2002, 2003) considers as belonging to a separate “Mariupol cultural entity.”

The main feature of the Dnieper-Donets (DD) culture is its pottery: thick-walled, conic or flat-bottomed (at its latest stages) vessels decorated by “comb-and-stroked” ornaments (Kotova 2002, 2003).

The subsistence of DD groups was essentially based on hunting and food collecting with freshwater fishing. There is evidence for stock-breeding, particularly in the southern areas. Domesticated species prevail in the animal remains of the Buz’ki site (Kiev-Cherkassyan culture); they are dominated by cattle (28%), followed by sheep/goat (2%), and pig (2.5%). In a few cases, impressions of cultivated cereals have been identified on the pottery of the sites of the Kiev-Cherkassyan culture (Vita Litovskaya, near Kiev, Grini, Kamenka). The identified specimens belonged to hulled wheats (einkorn and emmer), hulled barley, oat, bitter vetch, and possibly peas (Kotova 2002).

The DD cultural area contains about 20 cemeteries, several of which have more than 100 graves. According to Kotova (2002), the Dereivka cemetery, which generally belongs to the Kiev-Cherkassyan culture, includes burials that belong both to the Dnieper-Donets (longitudinal orientation) and Azov-Dnieperian (latitudinal) rites. The burials of the later stage of the same cemetery...
contain skeletons in the supine position having a longitudinal orientation. The burial inventory thus includes pottery belonging to the second stage of the Kiev-Cherkassyan culture. The $^{14}$C measurements obtained for human bones from DD cemeteries have been processed with the use of “coeval subsamples” and yield $T = 6601 \pm 209$ BC with $\sigma_c = 209$ (Figure 4f).

**Strumel-Gastyatin**

The Strumel-Gastyatin (SG)-type sites are considered (Telegen 1985) as belonging to the earliest stage of the Neolithic in Ukraine’s forest-steppe. These sites are found on the Dnieper River in the vicinity of Kiev, either on the sand dunes of the floodplain, or on the slopes of the river terrace. The pottery, which consists of large conic vessels, was made of clay with an admixture of vegetable matter. The ornaments were formed by rows of comb impressions restricted to the upper part of the vessels.

In recent years, several $^{14}$C dates have been obtained from measuring the organic matter from the pottery of several SG sites. Estimation of the coeval subsample yields $T = 5819 \pm 160$ BC with $\sigma_c = 128$ (Figure 4g).

**Azov-Dnieperian Culture**

The Azov-Dnieperian (AD) sites are found in the valley of the Molochnaya River and in the Azov Sea coastal area. Nearly 90% of the animal remains from the Neolithic layers belong to domesticates, predominantly cattle, followed by horse, sheep, goat, and pig. The wild species include red deer, boar, and bison, with numerous remains of fish and birds. Pollen analysis performed by G A Pashkevich reveals vegetation of bunchgrass steppe with rare occurrence of trees (birch, alder, elm, and hornbeam).

Series of $^{14}$C dates were obtained for several AD sites, including the corresponding layers of Semenovka, Kamennaya Mogila (settlement), Stril’cha Skelya, Yasinovatka I, Nikol’ski, and Dereivka cemeteries. These dates yield $T = 5313 \pm 187$ BC with $\sigma_c = 187$ (Figure 4h).

**Donetsian Culture**

Sites of the Donetsian culture are located in the basin of the Seversky Donets River. Series of $^{14}$C dates were obtained predominantly from the stratified settlement of Tuba 2. The coeval subsample of the dates show the age of $T = 5045 \pm 199$ BC with $\sigma_c = 199$ (Figure 4i).

**DISCUSSION**

The classical theory views the European Neolithic as essentially resulting from the spread of farming from western Asia either via direct migrations or by absorption and acculturation of indigenous Mesolithic populations. In southeastern Europe, the earliest manifestations of agriculture are seen at about 8.6–7.5 kyr cal BC (Franchthi Cave). The next stages of the early Neolithic in central and northern Greece include Proto-Sesklo (6.5–6.0 kyr cal BC) and Sesklo (6.0–5.3 kyr cal BC). Further north, in the northern Balkan area and Middle Danube basin, several early farming cultures were recognized, including Karanovo I–II (6.1–5.8 kyr cal BC), Karanovo III (5.4–5.1 kyr cal BC), Karanovo IV (5.3–4.8 kyr cal BC), Starčevo-Körös-Criș (5.9–5.5 kyr cal BC), and Vinča (5.5–4.0 kyr cal BC). The expansion of early agriculture in central and western Europe took the form of the Linear Pottery culture spreading at about 5154 ± 62 BC with an average speed of 4–6 km/yr (Dolukhanov et al. 2005). The new evidence cited above indicates that early pottery-making developed in the forest-steppe areas of the East European Plain much earlier than the first farming communities appeared in southeastern Europe.
The calculation of a coeval sample may be deemed as an instrument for assessing the most consistent settlement of a given territory (Figure 5a). On the other hand, older dates that usually lie beyond the coeval substantial are equally important, as an indication of the date of the earliest settlement (Figure 5b). Seen from this angle, our data are clearly indicative of a spread of pottery-making tradition from the east towards the west, apparently independently from the southeast European impulses.
The earliest signals of pottery-making are apparent in the North Caspian area, the Middle-Lower Volga (Yelshanian, about 6.2–7 kyr cal BC), and the Lower Don (Rakushechnyi Yar: ~7 kyr cal BC). Stable settlement, as indicated by the coeval subsamples, is evident in the Middle-Lower Volga (Yelshanian) at ~6.8 kyr cal BC, and in the Caspian Lowland and on the Lower Don at ~6 kyr cal BC. The age of the coeval subsample of Dnieper-Donets cemeteries is ~6.6 kyr cal BC. The age of Starčevovo-Körös-Criş and Linear Pottery), whose influence is perceptible in archaeological materials. Remarkably, in all studied areas, the early Neolithic coincided with the optimal environments of the Atlantic period, which included a notable increase of rainfall, as seen in the 14C-dated pollen data.

The reported evidence confirm the previously formulated model (Davison et al. 2007), which suggests that the spread of the Neolithic involved at least 2 waves propagating from distinct centers. The western center stemming from the Near East, and the eastern one, spreading through the east via the “steppe corridor,” resulting in the establishment of the “eastern version” of the Neolithic in Europe (Figure 1, white and black arrows).
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