

Effect of habitual calcium intake on dietary vitamin D requirements in adults

A. Hayes¹, K. M. Seamans¹, M. Kiely¹ and K. D. Cashman¹

¹Vitamin D Research Group, School of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

If dietary calcium intake is low and serum calcium concentrations decrease, the compensatory metabolic response is the accelerated conversion of 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] to 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D (via parathyroid hormone), so as to normalize serum calcium levels⁽¹⁾. These interactions between vitamin D and calcium may have implications for the regulation of 25(OH)D production and its catabolism, and consequently for the dietary vitamin D requirement⁽²⁾. While highlighted as a significant knowledge gap by the North American Institute of Medicine in their recent DRI report for vitamin D and calcium⁽¹⁾, to date this hypothesis has only received limited attention in human studies. The aim of this study was to test this hypothesis using relevant data from our previously published vitamin D intervention studies in 20–40 y olds⁽³⁾ and 64+ y olds⁽⁴⁾ as well as an updated version of our recent meta-regression analysis⁽⁵⁾, all of which up to now have not included dietary calcium intake as a possible additional determinant of vitamin D requirements.

Within the datasets from our two vitamin D intervention studies, baseline serum 25(OH)D and response of serum 25(OH)D to vitamin D₃ intervention (0, 5, 10 or 15 µ/d) over winter months were stratified by < or ≥ three different cut-offs for dietary calcium intake (550, 700 and 800 mg/d, representing EU estimated average requirement (EAR), UK reference nutrient intake and US EAR for calcium, respectively). Students' paired and unpaired *t*-tests were used to test differences at baseline and response of serum 25(OH)D to intervention in groups stratified according to each cut-off for calcium intake, respectively. Regression models were also run to account for possible confounding effects of sex, age, BMI, habitual vitamin D intake and study centre. In the meta-regression analysis, two new studies published since our original meta-regression in 2011⁽⁵⁾ were included and habitual calcium intake was reported in each of the 13 studies.

In the meta-regression approach, the relationship between serum 25(OH)D and total vitamin D intake were not significantly different ($P > 0.05$) when studies were stratified on the basis of habitual group mean calcium intakes < or ≥ ~1000 mg/d. Data from our own two intervention studies suggest that while baseline serum 25(OH)D concentrations were significantly lower ($P < 0.05$) in the <700 mg/d calcium intakes groups compared to the >700 mg/d in the 64+ y olds, these differences disappeared when data was adjusted for the aforementioned confounding factors. There were no other significant differences ($P > 0.05$) in baseline serum 25(OH)D in 20–40 y olds or 64+ y olds when stratified by habitual calcium intake. Upon stratification on the basis of < or ≥ three selected dietary calcium intake cut-offs, there was no significant difference in the response of serum 25(OH)D to vitamin D intervention over winter in the 20–40 y olds. Likewise, there was no significant difference in response of serum 25(OH)D to vitamin D intervention in 64 y olds stratified by </>550 mg/d or </>800 mg/d but there were lower responses to 5 µ/d (12.2 nmol/L; $P = 0.007$), 10 µ/d (14.6 nmol/L; $P = 0.008$), and 15 µ/d (9.4 nmol/L; $P = 0.097$) in those 64+ y olds with calcium intakes < compared to ≥700 mg/d. Calcium intake </>700 mg/d remained a significant predictor ($P = 0.041$) of the change in serum 25(OH)D over winter in the regression models that accounted for treatment but also the aforementioned confounding factors.

In conclusion, *post-hoc* analysis seems to suggest that a habitual calcium intake less than 700 mg/d may lead to a more blunted response of winter serum 25(OH)D to increased vitamin D intake in older adults. This may have implications for the dietary vitamin D requirement. *The two vitamin D intervention studies were collaborative studies with the University of Ulster, Coleraine. **Current analysis supported by the Department of Health, England.

1. Institute of Medicine (2011) Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
2. Clements MR, Johnson L, Fraser DR *et al.* (1987) A new mechanism for induced vitamin D deficiency in calcium deprivation. *Nature* **325**, 62–65.
3. Cashman KD, Hill TR, Lucey AJ *et al.* (2008) Estimation of the dietary requirement for vitamin D in healthy adults. *Am J Clin Nutr* **88**, 1535–42.
4. Cashman KD, Wallace JMW, Horigan G, *et al.* (2009) Estimation of the dietary requirement for vitamin D in free-living adults ≥64 y of age. *Am J Clin Nutr* **89**, 1366–1374.
5. Cashman KD, Fitzgerald AP, Kiely M, *et al.* (2011) A systematic review and meta-regression analysis of the vitamin D intake-serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D relationship to inform European recommendations. *Br J Nutr* **106**, 1638–48.