Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. (1986), **6**, 639-644 Printed in Great Britain

On connection-preserving actions of discrete linear groups

ROBERT J. ZIMMER

Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

(Received 22 July 1985 and revised 31 January 1986)

Abstract. We study actions of arithmetic groups on compact manifolds which preserve a connection.

1. Introduction

Let G be a connected semisimple Lie group with finite centre such that \mathbb{R} -rank $(G') \ge 2$ for every simple factor G' of G. Let g be the Lie algebra of G and d(g) the minimal dimension of a non-trivial (real) representation of g. Suppose $\Gamma \subset G$ is a lattice subgroup. In [9], [10], [11] we proved the following result.

THEOREM 1.1. Let M be a compact manifold with dim (M) < d(g). Then every smooth action of Γ on M which preserves a volume density and a connection must also preserve a Riemannian metric.

Margulis [3] has described the structure of the compact Lie groups K admitting a dense image homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow K$. (See also [11].) Thus theorem 1.1 has the following consequence.

COROLLARY 1.2. Let Γ be a lattice in SL (n, \mathbb{R}) $(n \ge 3)$. Let M be a compact manifold with dim (M) < n. Then any action of Γ on M preserving a volume density and a connection is finite, i.e. is an action by a finite quotient of Γ .

Thus the action of $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ is a volume preserving affine action which is non-finite of minimal dimension. The point of this note is twofold. First, we examine affine actions of lattices in $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ on manifolds of dimension exactly *n*. In this direction we have:

THEOREM 1.3. Let $\Gamma \subset SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ $(n \ge 3)$ be a lattice and M a compact Riemannian manifold with dim (M) = n. Suppose Γ acts on M by volume preserving affine transformations. If the Γ action is not finite, then M is flat and Γ is commensurable to (a conjugate of) SL (n, \mathbb{Z}) . In particular, if Γ is cocompact, any volume preserving affine action on a compact Riemannian n-manifold is finite.

Secondly, we prove a strengthened version of theorem 1.1 for irreducible lattices. Namely, we have:

THEOREM 1.4. Let G, Γ be as in theorem 1.1, with Γ irreducible [12]. Let D(g) be the minimal dimension of a faithful (real) representation of g. Suppose M is a compact

manifold with dim (M) < D(g). Then for every smooth action of Γ on M preserving a volume density and a connection we have either:

(1) Γ also preserves a Riemannian metric on M; or

(2) there is a non-trivial action of \tilde{G} , the universal covering of G, on M by volume preserving affine transformations.

We remark that by [10, theorem 5.5], if M admits a non-trivial volume-preserving \tilde{G} -action, then dim $(M) \ge \dim (G')$ for some simple factor G' of \tilde{G} . Thus, theorem 1.4 implies the existence of a Γ -invariant Riemannian metric in a suitable dimension range. In particular, it includes theorem 1.1. As an example of where conclusion (2) can arise, we remark that if H is a simple factor of G, and $\Lambda \subset H$ is a cocompact lattice, then there is an H-invariant connection on H/Λ coming from the invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric defined by the Killing form. By projecting Γ into H, we obtain an action of Γ , and one can clearly arrange matters so that the dimension range dim (M) < D(g) is satisfied.

The author would like to thank D. Sullivan for suggesting that ergodicity could be used to prove flatness. This was basic for the proof of theorem 1.3.

This research was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-8301882.

2. Proof of theorem 1.3

We shall freely use (with references) the notions and results discussed in [11], and [12]. For simplicity, we shall assume that the manifold is orientable so that its volume density defines an SL (n, \mathbb{R}) -structure on M. The more general case of a structure defined by the matrices with |det| = 1 follows in a similar manner, with only technical changes.

Let P(M) be the principal bundle of special frames on M with respect to the volume form. We can measurably trivialize $P(M) \rightarrow M$, i.e. choose a measurable isomorphism of bundles $P(M) \cong M \times SL(n, \mathbb{R})$. Under this isomorphism, the natural Γ action on P(M) can be described on $M \times SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ via a measurable cocycle $\alpha: \Gamma \times M \to SL(n, \mathbb{R})$. (See [10], [11]] for discussion.) Let (E, μ) be an ergodic component of the Γ action on M. Since Γ preserves a volume density on M, we can suppose $E \subset M$ is a Γ -invariant Borel set and that μ is a Γ -invariant probability measure. Let $H \subseteq SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ be the algebraic hull of $\alpha | \Gamma \times E$ [12]. (Thus on E, α is equivalent to a cocycle into H, but not into any proper algebraic subgroup of H.) By passing to an ergodic finite extension $p: E' \rightarrow E$, we can assume that the algebraic hull of $\alpha': \Gamma \times E' \to SL(n, \mathbb{R})$, given by $\alpha'(\gamma, y) = \alpha(\gamma, p(y))$, is H^0 , the Zariski connected component of H [12, prop. 9.2.6]. Let δ be a cocycle equivalent to α' and taking all values in H^0 . Write $H^0 = L \times U$ where L is reductive and U is the unipotent radical. Let $\beta: \Gamma \times E' \to L/Z(L)$ be the composition of δ with the projection of H^0 onto L/Z(L), where Z(L) is the centre of L. Let L' be a simple factor of L/Z(L) and β' the projection of β onto L'. Since the algebraic hull of β' is L', the super-rigidity theorem for cocycles [12], [7], [8] implies that either L' is compact or β' is equivalent to a cocycle of the form $(\gamma, m) \mapsto \pi(\gamma)$ where $\pi: SL(n, \mathbb{R}) \to L'$ is an \mathbb{R} -rational homomorphism. In the latter case (since dim $(L') \leq \dim (SL(n, \mathbb{R}))$

by construction), we must clearly have that H^0 is locally isomorphic to SL (n, \mathbb{R}) , and that $H^0 \rightarrow L'$ is simply a (possibly trivial) covering. In case L' is compact for each simple factor L' of L/Z(L), H^0 will be amenable. However, Γ has Kazhdan's property, and the algebraic hull of α' is H^0 . Therefore, H^0 is itself compact [12, theorem 9.1.1]. We may therefore deduce that either:

(i) the algebraic hull of α' is compact; or

(ii) there is a cocycle $\theta: \Gamma \times E' \to PSL(n, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\pi \circ \alpha' \sim \theta$ and such that $\theta(\gamma, y) = \pi(A(\gamma))$ for all $\gamma, y \in \Gamma \times E'$, where $\pi: SL(n, \mathbb{R}) \to PSL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is the covering, and A is an automorphism of SL (n, \mathbb{R}) . Let P' be the pullback of $P(M) \to E$ to a bundle over E'. Under condition (i), it is clear that there is a Γ -invariant probability measure on P' (cf. [10]), and hence via projection, a Γ -invariant probability measure on P(M). However, since Γ preserves a connection, this implies that Γ acts isometrically with respect to some Riemannian metric on M [9], [10], [11]. If K is the full group of isometries of this metric, then K is a compact Lie group of dimension at most n(n+1)/2. However, via results of Margulis [3], any compact Lie group K admitting a dense range homomorphism $\Gamma \to K$ where Γ is a lattice in SL (n, \mathbb{R}) must satisfy dim $(K) \ge \dim (SL(n, \mathbb{R})) = n^2 - 1$. (See [11] for a proof.) Thus, since $n \ge 3$, the Γ action will be finite. To prove theorem 1.3, it therefore remains to consider case (ii) above.

Let W be the space of pairs of vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , with two pairs (v, w), (v', w')identified if v' = -v and w' = -w. Let W_M be the bundle of such pairs with the corresponding identifications in the tangent bundle of M. Thus W_M is a bundle over M with fibre W. Let W'_M be the pullback of $W_M \to E$ to a bundle over E'. We note that the natural SL (n, \mathbb{R}) action on W factors to an action of PSL (n, \mathbb{R}) . Thus, the Γ -action on W'_M over E' can be described via the cocycle θ in (ii) by the action of Γ on E' \times W given by

$$\gamma \cdot (y, w) = (\gamma y, \theta(\gamma, y)w) = (\gamma y, \pi(A(\gamma))w).$$

The curvature of the Riemannian connection on M is a horizontal sl (n, \mathbb{R}) -valued 2-form R on P(M). Since the connection is Riemannian, for each X, $Y \in TP(M)_{v}$, R(X, Y) is in the Ad (SL (n, \mathbb{R}))-orbit of a skew symmetric matrix. If φ : sl $(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a homogeneous Ad (SL (n, \mathbb{R}))-invariant polynomial, then $\varphi \circ R$ is a Γ -invariant \mathbb{R} -valued function on $TM \otimes TM$ (vanishing at 0), and in particular defines a Γ -invariant function $f_{\varphi}: W_M \to \mathbb{R}$. If the Γ -action on W_M over each ergodic component E is still ergodic, then we will clearly have $f_{\omega} = 0$ a.e. on each ergodic component. Since $\varphi(R(X, Y)) = \varphi(B)$ for some skew symmetric B in the same Ad $(SL(n, \mathbb{R}))$ -orbit as R(X, Y), it follows that R = 0 a.e. over each ergodic component, and hence by continuity, this would imply flatness. Thus M would be finitely covered by a flat torus. It then follows readily that Γ is commensurable to (a conjugate of) SL (n, \mathbb{Z}) . Therefore, to prove the theorem, it suffices to show that Γ acts ergodically on W_M over E. To see this, it clearly suffices to see that Γ acts ergodically on W'_M , i.e. on $E' \times W$. Since SL (n, \mathbb{R}) acts transitively on an open conull set in W, and has a non-compact stabilizer on this orbit (recall $n \ge 3$), it suffices to verify the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. Let G be a connected simple Lie group with finite centre, $\Gamma \subseteq G$ a lattice, $H \subseteq G$ a non-compact closed subgroup, and X an ergodic Γ -space with finite invariant measure. Then Γ acts ergodically (via the diagonal action) on $X \times G/H$.

Proof. Let Y be the G-space induced from the Γ -space $(X \times G/H)$, i.e. $Y = (X \times G/H \times G)/\Gamma$. (See [5], [12] for discussion.) Then as a G-space $Y \cong ((X \times G)/\Gamma) \times G/H)$. The Γ -action on $X \times G/H$ is ergodic if and only if the G-action on Y is ergodic, and this in turn is equivalent to ergodicity of the H-action on $(X \times G)/\Gamma$ (cf. [12, prop. 2.2.2]). However, the space $(X \times G)/\Gamma$ is an ergodic G-space with finite invariant measure, and since H is non-compact, ergodicity of H on this space follows by Moore's ergodicity theorem [12]. This completes the proof.

3. Proof of theorem 1.4

We shall need the notion of an amenable action of a locally compact group for which we refer the reader to [12] as a general reference. We collect a number of facts we shall need.

LEMMA 3.1. Suppose a locally compact group Γ acts properly on a locally compact second countable space. Then the action is amenable with respect to any Γ -quasi-invariant measure.

We recall that an action is proper if for any compact sets A, B, $\{g \in \Gamma | gA \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$ is precompact. Any such action clearly has compact (in particular, amenable) stabilizers, and has locally closed orbits. (In the terminology of [1], it defines a type I equivalence relation.) The lemma then follows by standard arguments.

The next result about amenability follows easily from the results of [6].

LEMMA 3.2. Suppose Γ , Q are locally compact groups and that $\Gamma \times Q$ acts on a measure space (X, μ) , leaving μ quasi-invariant. Suppose further that Q is an amenable group and that the Q-action is smooth in the sense of ergodic theory, i.e. that the quotient space X/Q is countably separated. (See [12, chapter 2].) Then the action of Γ on X is amenable if and only if the action of Γ on X/Q is amenable.

Proof. Since Q is amenable, there is a relatively Γ -invariant mean for $X \to X/Q$ in the sense of [6]. The lemma then follows from results in [6].

We also recall the main result of [13].

LEMMA 3.3 [13, corollary 1.2]. Suppose H is a connected semisimple Lie group and that $\Gamma \subset H$ is a countable dense subgroup. Then the action of Γ on H is not amenable.

The last fact about amenability we require is the following. A proof is straightforward given the techniques of [12, \$ 4.3].

LEMMA 3.4. Suppose that X, Y are G-spaces (with quasi-invariant measure), and suppose that the measure on X is finite and invariant. Then the action on Y is amenable if and only if the diagonal action on $X \times Y$ is amenable.

We now proceed to the proof of the theorem. The connection on M defines in a natural way a Riemannian metric on the manifold P(M) [11, § 2], where the latter

1

is, as in the preceding section, the bundle of special frames on M. Let A be the full isometry group of P(M) with this metric. It is well known that A has the structure of a Lie group acting smoothly and properly on P(M). (See [2].) Every volume preserving affine transformation of M defines an element of A, and in particular we have a natural homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow A$. Let $\overline{\Gamma}$ be the closure of the image of Γ in A and $B = (\overline{\Gamma})_0$ its connected component. The elements of $\overline{\Gamma}$ can be identified with volume preserving affine transformations of M. The subgroup $\Gamma \cap B \subset \Gamma_0$ is normal, and hence by a result of Margulis [4] (see also [12]), is either finite or of finite index. If it is of finite index, it is itself an irreducible lattice in G. In this case, since B is a connected Lie group, Margulis superrigidity [3], [12] implies that either:

- (1) B contains a subgroup locally isomorphic to a factor of \tilde{G} ; or
- (2) B is amenable.

In case (1) we of course have a non-trivial volume preserving affine action of \tilde{G} on M. In case (2), since $\Gamma \cap B$ has Kazhdan's property and is dense in B, B must be compact [12, cor. 7.1.10.]. Therefore, in this case, we have the existence of an invariant Riemannian metric on M.

It therefore remains to show that the situation in which the normal subgroup $\Gamma \cap B$ is finite cannot actually occur. In this case, Γ will be a discrete subgroup of A. Since A acts properly on P(M), it follows from lemma 3.1 that the Γ action on P(M) is amenable with respect to any Γ -quasi-invariant measure on P(M). Now let $E \subseteq M$, $\alpha : \Gamma \times E \to SL(n, \mathbb{R})$, $H, H^0, L, U, E', \alpha', \delta, \beta$ be defined as in the proof of theorem 1.3. We further set α_0 to be a cocycle equivalent to α taking all values in H. The action of Γ on $E \times H$ given by $\gamma \cdot (x, h) = (\gamma x, \alpha_0(\gamma, x)h)$ is (measurably) isomorphic to the action of Γ on an invariant subset of P(M), and hence is amenable. It follows readily that the action of Γ on $E' \times H^0$ given by $\gamma \cdot (\gamma, h) = (\gamma \gamma, \alpha'(\gamma, y)h)$ is also amenable. By lemma 3.2, we deduce that the action of Γ on $E' \times L/Z(L)$ given by $\gamma \cdot (y, a) = (\gamma y, \beta(\gamma, y)a)$ is amenable as well. Let L' be the product of the non-compact simple factors of L/Z(L). By lemma 3.2 again, the corresponding action of Γ on $E' \times L'$ (defined by the cocycle obtained by projecting β onto L'), is amenable. However, the algebraic hull of this cocycle is L' itself. It therefore follows from the super-rigidity theorem for cocycles [12] that this cocycle is equivalent to one of the form $(\gamma, \gamma) \mapsto \pi(\gamma)$ for some \mathbb{R} -rational epimorphism $\pi: G \to L'$. Therefore the action of Γ on $E' \times L'$ given by $\gamma \cdot (\gamma, a) = (\gamma \gamma, \pi(\gamma)a)$ is amenable. By lemma 3.4, the Γ -action on L' defined by the homomorphism π is amenable. However, the Lie algebra of L' admits a faithful representation on \mathbb{R}^n . Since n < D(q), the homomorphism π has a kernel of positive dimension. Since Γ is an irreducible lattice in G, it follows that $\pi(\Gamma)$ is dense in L'. However, by lemma 3.3, this implies that the Γ action on L' is not amenable. This contradiction completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- J. Feldman & C. C. Moore. Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras, I. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 234 (1977), 289-324.
- [2] S. Heigason. Differential geometry and symmetric spaces. Academic Press, New York, 1962.
- [3] G. A. Margulis. Discrete groups of motions of manifolds of non-positive curvature. Amer. Math. Soc. Translations 109 (1977), 33-45.

R. J. Zimmer

- [4] G. A. Margulis. Quotient groups of discrete groups and measure theory. Funct. Anal. Appl. 12 (1978), 295-305.
- [5] R. J. Zimmer. Induced and amenable actions of Lie groups. Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. 11 (1978), 407-428.
- [6] R. J. Zimmer. Amenable pairs of groups and ergodic actions and the associated von Neumann algebras. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 243 (1978), 271-286.
- [7] R. J. Zimmer. Strong rigidity for ergodic actions of semisimple Lie groups. Annals of Math. 112 (1980), 511-529.
- [8] R. J. Zimmer. Orbit equivalence and rigidity of ergodic actions of Lie groups. Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. 1 (1981), 237-253.
- [9] R. J. Zimmer. Actions of lattices in semisimple groups preserving a G-structure of finite type. Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. 5 (1985), 301-306
- [10] R. J. Zimmer. Ergodic theory and the automorphism group of a G-structure. Proceedings of a conference in honor of G. W. Mackey, Berkeley, 1984. M.S.R.I. Publications, Springer-Verlag, to appear.
- [11] R. J. Zimmer. Lattices in semisimple groups and invariant geometric structures on compact manifolds. In *Discrete Groups in Geometry and Analysis*. Proceedings of a conference in honor of G. D. Mostow, New Haven, 1984. Birkhauser-Boston, to appear.
- [12] R. J. Zimmer. Ergodic Theory and Semisimple Groups. Birkhauser-Boston, 1984.
- [13] R. J. Zimmer. Amenable actions and dense subgroups of Lie groups. J. Funct. Anal. To appear.