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#### Abstract

In this paper, we show that the Möbius invariant function space $Q_{p}$ can be generated by variant Dirichlet type spaces $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ induced by finite positive Borel measures $\mu$ on the open unit disk. A criterion for the equality between the space $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ and the usual Dirichlet type space $\mathcal{D}_{p}$ is given. We obtain a sufficient condition to construct different $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ spaces and provide examples. We establish decomposition theorems for $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ spaces and prove that the non-Hilbert space $Q_{p}$ is equal to the intersection of Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$. As an application of the relation between $\mathcal{Q}_{p}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ spaces, we also obtain that there exist different $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ spaces; this is a trick to prove the existence without constructing examples.


## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the open unit disk in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ and let $H(\mathbb{D})$ be the space of analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$. The Möbius group $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ consists of all one-to-one analytic functions that map $\mathbb{D}$ onto itself. It is well known that each $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ has the form

$$
\phi(z)=e^{i \theta} \sigma_{a}(z), \quad \sigma_{a}(z)=\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z},
$$

where $\theta$ is real and $a \in \mathbb{D}$. Let $X$ be a linear space of analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ which is complete in a norm or seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{X}$. The space $X$ is called Möbius invariant if for each function $f$ in $X$ and each element $\phi$ in $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$, the composition function $f \circ \phi$ also belongs to $X$ and satisfies that $\|f \circ \phi\|_{X}=\|f\|_{X}$. L. Rubel and R. Timoney [20] have shown that the maximal Möbius invariant function space is the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$, which consists of the functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ satisfying

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|<\infty
$$

The important space $B M O A$, the set of analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ with boundary values of bounded mean oscillation (see $[8,12]$ ), is also Möbius invariant. We refer to J. Arazy, S. Fisher, and J. Peetre [4] for a general exposition on Möbius invariant function spaces.

In 1995, R. Aulaskari, J. Xiao, and R. Zhao [6] introduced the Möbius invariant $Q_{p}$ spaces, which have attracted a lot of attention in recent years. For $0 \leq p<\infty$, a

[^0]function $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ belongs to the space $Q_{p}$ if
$$
\|f\|_{Q_{p}}^{2}=\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-\left|\sigma_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right)^{p} d A(z)<\infty
$$
where $d A(z)=d x d y$ for $z=x+i y$. Clearly, $\mathcal{Q}_{1}=B M O A$. The space $\mathcal{Q}_{0}$ is equal to the Dirichlet space $\mathcal{D}$. By [5], we see that $Q_{p}=\mathcal{B}$ for all $1<p<\infty$. The theory of $Q_{p}$ spaces has been developing very well and can be considered satisfactory. There are also several ways to generalize $Q_{p}$ spaces (cf. [11,26,28]). See J. Xiao's monographs [24,25] for rich results of $Q_{p}$ spaces.

There exists a method to obtain all Möbius invariant function spaces. Let $\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{X}\right)$ be a Banach space of analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$ containing all constant functions. Following A. Aleman and A. Simbotin [2], we denote by $M(X)$ the Möbius invariant function space generated by $X$. Namely, $M(X)$ is the class of functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ with

$$
\|f\|_{M(X)}=\sup _{\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})}\|f \circ \phi-f(\phi(0))\|_{X}<\infty
$$

This construction gives rise to all Möbius invariant Banach spaces on the open unit disk. To understand the Möbius invariant function spaces $B M O A$ and $\mathcal{B}$ well, we recall the classical Hardy spaces and Bergman spaces. For $0<p<\infty, H^{p}$ denotes the classical Hardy space of functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ for which

$$
\|f\|_{H^{p}}^{p}=\sup _{0<r<1} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta<\infty .
$$

The Bergman space $A^{p}$ consists of functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ with

$$
\|f\|_{A^{p}}^{p}=\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|^{p} d A(z)<\infty
$$

It is well known $(c f$. $[7,8])$ that $B M O A=M\left(H^{p}\right)$ and $\mathcal{B}=M\left(A^{p}\right)$ for all $1<p<\infty$. Note that if $p \neq q$, then $H^{p} \neq H^{q}$ and $A^{p} \neq A^{q}$. In other words, both $B M O A$ and $\mathcal{B}$ can be generated by different analytic function spaces. Up to now, it is only known that the space $Q_{p}, 0<p<1$, can be generated by the usual Dirichlet type space $\mathcal{D}_{p}$, which is the class of functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ satisfying

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{p}}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p} d A(z)<\infty
$$

It is natural to ask whether $Q_{p}, 0<p<1$, can be generated by different analytic function spaces. A positive answer will be given in this paper. We will denote by $\mathbb{F}$ the set of finite positive Borel measures on $\mathbb{D}$. Let $0<p<\infty$ and let $\mu \in \mathbb{F}$. We introduce the Dirichlet type space $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ consisting of functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} U_{\mu, p}(z) d A(z)<\infty
$$

where

$$
U_{\mu, p}(z)=\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(1-\left|\sigma_{z}(w)\right|^{2}\right)^{p} d \mu(w)
$$

We will prove that $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{p}$ for any $\mu \in \mathbb{F}$. Combining this with a similar proof in the book [10, Theorem 1.6.3], we see that $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ is a Hilbert space with respect to the norm $|f(0)|^{2}+\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}}$. We will show that the space $Q_{p}$ can be generated by variant Dirichlet type spaces $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove that $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{p}$ for any $\mu \in$ $\mathbb{F}$. We characterize the measures $\mu \in \mathbb{F}$ for which the equality $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}=\mathcal{D}_{p}$ holds. We also obtain a sufficient condition to construct different $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ spaces. Some examples of different $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ spaces are given. In Section 3, we prove decomposition theorems for $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ spaces. In Section 4, we give connections between $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ and $Q_{p}$ spaces that are new even on $B M O A$ and the Bloch space. We show that $Q_{p}=M\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}\right), 0<$ $p<\infty$, for any $\mu \in \mathbb{F}$. Consequently, the space $Q_{p}$ can be generated by different analytic function spaces. We also prove that $Q_{p}=\bigcap_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} \mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$. In other words, the non-Hilbert space $Q_{p}, 0<p<\infty$, is equal to the intersection of a family of Hilbert spaces. Applying the relation between $Q_{p}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ spaces, we also obtain that there exist different $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ spaces. It is our hope that the theory of $Q_{p}$ spaces can be developed further in terms of the investigation of $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ spaces.

Throughout this paper, we will write $a \lesssim b$ if there exists a constant $C$ such that $a \leq C b$. Also, the symbol $a \approx b$ means that $a \lesssim b \lesssim a$.

## 2 Properties of Dirichlet Type Spaces $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$

In this section, we consider the relation between $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{p}$ spaces and provide a method to construct different $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ spaces. Some examples of $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ spaces are also given.

Theorem 2.1 Let $\mu \in \mathbb{F}$ and $0<p<\infty$. Then the space $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ is always a subset of $\mathcal{D}_{p}$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}=\mathcal{D}_{p}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\frac{1-|w|^{2}}{|1-\bar{z} w|^{2}}\right)^{p} d \mu(w)<\infty . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Fix $0<r<1$ and let $\mu_{r}=\mu \chi_{r \mathbb{D}}$. Here, $\chi$ is the characteristic function and

$$
r \mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z| \leq r\}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\mu_{r}, p}(z)=\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p} \int_{r \mathbb{D}} \frac{\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{p}}{|1-\bar{z} w|^{2 p}} d \mu(w) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{p} \mu(r \mathbb{D})}{2^{2 p}} \leq \int_{r \mathbb{D}} \frac{\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{p}}{|1-\bar{z} w|^{2 p}} d \mu(w) \leq \frac{2^{p} \mu(r \mathbb{D})}{(1-r)^{p}}
$$

Consequently, $g \in \mathcal{D}_{p}$ if and only if $g \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu_{r}, p}$. Clearly, for any $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$, one gets that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} U_{\mu_{r}, p}(z) d A(z) \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} U_{\mu, p}(z) d A(z)
$$

Thus, $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ is always a subset of $\mathcal{D}_{p}$.
Let (2.1) hold. It follows from equality (2.2) that $\mathcal{D}_{p} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$. Hence, $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}=\mathcal{D}_{p}$. On the other hand, let $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}=\mathcal{D}_{p}$. The closed graph theorem yields that the identity map from one of these spaces into the other is continuous. Thus, there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(0)|+\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}} \leq C\left(|f(0)|+\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{p}}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{D}_{p}$. For $a \in \mathbb{D}$, set

$$
f_{a}(z)=\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{1+\frac{p}{2}} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{d \zeta}{(1-\bar{a} \zeta)^{2+p}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

By a similar calculation in [16, p. 684], $\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}}\left\|f_{a}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}_{p}}<\infty$ for $0<p<\infty$. Combining this with (2.3) gives that $\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}}\left\|f_{a}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}}^{2}<\infty$. Namely,
(2.4) $\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}}\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{2+p} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{p}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p}}{|1-\bar{z} w|^{2 p}|1-\bar{a} z|^{4+2 p}} d A(z)\right) d \mu(w)<\infty$.

Let $E(a)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{D}:\left|\sigma_{a}(z)\right|<1 / 2\right\}$ be a pseudo-hyperbolic disk centered at $a$. It is well known that

$$
1-|a| \approx|1-\bar{z} a| \approx 1-|z|
$$

for all $z \in E(a)$, and the area of $E(a)$ is comparable with $(1-|a|)^{2}$. Furthermore, by [29, Lemma 4.30],

$$
|1-\bar{w} z| \approx|1-\bar{w} a|
$$

for all $z \in E(a)$ and $w \in \mathbb{D}$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p}}{|1-\bar{z} w|^{2 p}|1-\bar{a} z|^{4+2 p}} d A(z) & \geq \int_{E(a)} \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p}}{|1-\bar{z} w|^{2 p}|1-\bar{a} z|^{4+2 p}} d A(z) \\
& \approx \frac{1}{|1-\bar{a} w|^{2 p}(1-|a|)^{2+p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This, together with (2.4) shows that

$$
\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\frac{1-|w|^{2}}{|1-\bar{a} w|^{2}}\right)^{p} d \mu(w)<\infty .
$$

Thus, condition (2.1) holds. The proof is complete.
Remark (i) For $0<p<\infty$, it is well known that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p} d A(z) \approx \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(\log \frac{1}{|z|}\right)^{p} d A(z)
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{D}_{p}$. Replacing $f$ by $f \circ \sigma_{w}, w \in \mathbb{D}$, in the above formula, making the change of variables and using the Fubini theorem, one gets that $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ if and only if

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\log \left|\frac{1-\bar{w} z}{z-w}\right|\right)^{p} d \mu(w)\right) d A(z)<\infty
$$

Thus, the space $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, 1}$ is a Dirichlet type space with superharmonic weight studied by A. Aleman [1]. A result similar to Theorem 2.1 with $p=1$ was obtained by A. Aleman [1], but the proof of Theorem 2.1 given here is different. We refer to [9] for the recent theory of $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, 1}$. It is worth mentioning that, except Theorem 2.1, our results on $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ and $Q_{p}$ spaces in this paper are new for all the range of $p$ considered in the paper.
(ii) Let $\delta_{a}$ be a unit point mass measure at $a \in \mathbb{D}$. For $0<p<1, U_{\delta_{a}, p}(z)=$ $\left(1-\left|\sigma_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right)^{p}$ is a positive superharmonic function with zero boundary values on the unit disk. From the Riesz decomposition theorem for superharmonic functions,

$$
U_{\delta_{a}, p}(z)=\int_{\mathbb{D}} \log \left|\frac{1-\bar{w} z}{z-w}\right| d v_{a}(w),
$$

where $d v_{a}(w)=-\Delta U_{\delta_{a}, p}(w) d A(w)$. However, $\int_{\mathbb{D}}-\Delta U_{\delta_{a}, p}(w) d A(w)=\infty$, so $v_{a} \notin$ $\mathbb{F}$. In fact, for $0<p \leq 1, U_{\mu, p}$ is a superharmonic function. For $p>1, U_{\mu, p}$ is not a superharmonic function and the space $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ is not of the Dirichlet type spaces studied in [9].

In light of the study of inclusion relation between a class of Möbius invariant spaces $\mathcal{Q}_{K}$ (see [11, Theorem 2.6]), we give a method to find different $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ spaces as follows.

Theorem 2.2 Let $\mu, v \in \mathbb{F}$ and $0<p<\infty$. If

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{U_{\mu, p}(z)}{U_{v, p}(z)}=0 \quad \text { and }  \tag{2.5}\\
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\frac{1-|w|^{2}}{|1-\bar{z} w|^{2}}\right)^{p} d v(w)=\infty \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

then $\mathcal{D}_{\nu, p} \varsubsetneqq \mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$.
Proof By (2.5), we see that $\mathcal{D}_{v, p} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{D}_{v, p}=\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}_{v, p}^{0}$ the Banach space of functions $g \in \mathcal{D}_{v, p}$ with $g(0)=0$. Then $\mathcal{D}_{v, p}^{0}=\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}^{0}$. The closed graph theorem gives that there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{v, p}}^{2} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}}^{2} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{D}_{v, p}^{0}$. From condition (2.5), there exists a constant $t \in(0,1)$ satisfying

$$
U_{\mu, p}(z) \leq \frac{U_{v, p}(z)}{2 C}
$$

for $t<|z|<1$. This, together with (2.7), shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} U_{v, p}(z) d A(z) \\
& \quad \leq C\left(\int_{t<|z|<1}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} U_{\mu, p}(z) d A(z)+\int_{|z| \leq t}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} U_{\mu, p}(z) d A(z)\right) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} U_{v, p}(z) d A(z)+C \int_{|z| \leq t}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} U_{\mu, p}(z) d A(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} U_{v, p}(z) d A(z) \leq 2 C \int_{|z| \leq t}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} U_{\mu, p}(z) d A(z), \quad f \in \mathcal{D}_{v, p}^{0} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h \in \mathcal{D}_{p}$ with $h(0)=0$. Set $h_{r}(z)=h(r z), 0<r<1$. A direct computation gives that $\left\|h_{r}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}_{p}}^{2} \leq\|h\|_{\mathcal{D}_{p}}^{2}$. Clearly, $h_{r} \in \mathcal{D}_{v, p}^{0}$. Inequality (2.8) yields that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}} r^{2}\left|h^{\prime}(r z)\right|^{2} U_{v, p}(z) d A(z) \leq 2^{p+1} C \frac{\mu(\mathbb{D})}{(1-t)^{p}}\left\|h_{r}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}_{p}}^{2} \leq 2^{p+1} C \frac{\mu(\mathbb{D})}{(1-t)^{p}}\|h\|_{\mathcal{D}_{p}}^{2}
$$

Using Fatou's Lemma, we get that

$$
\|h\|_{\mathcal{D}_{v, p}}^{2} \leq 2^{p+1} C \frac{\mu(\mathbb{D})}{(1-t)^{p}}\|h\|_{\mathcal{D}_{p}}^{2}
$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{D}_{p}$ with $h(0)=0$. Therefore, $\mathcal{D}_{p} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{v, p}$. Applying Theorem 2.1, we see that $\mathcal{D}_{p}=\mathcal{D}_{v, p}$ and

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\frac{1-|w|^{2}}{|1-\bar{z} w|^{2}}\right)^{p} d v(w)<\infty
$$

which contradicts (2.6). Thus, $\mathcal{D}_{\nu, p} \nsubseteq \mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$. We finish the proof.
The following estimates will be useful in the paper and can be found in [13, p. 9] and [29, p. 55], respectively.

Lemma $A$ (i) Let $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and let $\beta$ be any real number. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d \theta}{\left|1-z e^{-i \theta}\right|^{1+\beta}} \approx \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \beta<0 \\ \log \frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}} & \text { if } \beta=0 \\ \frac{1}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta}} & \text { if } \beta>0\end{cases}
$$

as $|z| \rightarrow 1^{-}$.
(ii) Suppose $z \in \mathbb{D}, c$ is real and $t>-1$. Then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{t}}{|1-\bar{z} w|^{2+t+c}} d A(w) \approx \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } c<0 \\ \log \frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}} & \text { if } c=0 \\ \frac{1}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{c}} & \text { if } c>0\end{cases}
$$

as $|z| \rightarrow 1^{-}$.
Applying Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we construct different Dirichlet type spaces $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$. Consequently, the investigation of $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ spaces is reasonable. Note that the spaces $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}, \mu \in \mathbb{F}, 0<p<\infty$, contain polynomials. Thus, they are not trivial.

Example 1 For $0<p<\infty$, let

$$
d \mu(w)=\frac{1}{|1-w|^{2-p+\epsilon}} d A(w), \quad 0<\epsilon<p .
$$

Then $\mu \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p} \varsubsetneqq \mathcal{D}_{p}$. In fact, Lemma A (ii), we see that $\mu \in \mathbb{F}$. For $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we write that

$$
D(z)=\left\{w \in \mathbb{D}:|z-w|<\frac{1}{2}(1-|z|)\right\} .
$$

Then $D(z)$ is a subset of $E(z)$ as defined in the proof of Theorem 2.1. We deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\frac{1-|w|^{2}}{|1-\bar{z} w|^{2}}\right)^{p} \frac{1}{|1-w|^{2-p+\epsilon}} d A(w) \gtrsim \\
& \qquad \sup _{0<r<1}(1-r)^{-p} \int_{D(r)} \frac{1}{|1-w|^{2-p+\epsilon}} d A(w) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $w \in D(r)$, then

$$
\frac{1}{2}(1-r) \leq|1-w| \leq \frac{3}{2}(1-r) .
$$

Thus,

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\frac{1-|w|^{2}}{|1-\bar{z} w|^{2}}\right)^{p} \frac{1}{|1-w|^{2-p+\epsilon}} d A(w) \gtrsim \sup _{0<r<1}(1-r)^{-\epsilon}=\infty .
$$

This together with Theorem 2.1 implies that $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p} \varsubsetneqq \mathcal{D}_{p}$.
The next examples are only valid for $p>1$. In Section 4, using the theory of $Q_{p}$ spaces, we will point out that for all $0<p<\infty$, there exist Dirichlet type spaces $\mathcal{D}_{\mu_{1}, p}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mu_{2}, p}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2} \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\mathcal{D}_{\mu_{i}, p} \varsubsetneqq \mathcal{D}_{p}, i=1,2$, and $\mathcal{D}_{\mu_{1}, p} \neq \mathcal{D}_{\mu_{2}, p}$.

Example 2 For $p>1$, let

$$
d \mu_{1}(w)=\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{q_{1}} d A(w) \quad \text { and } \quad d \mu_{2}(w)=\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{q_{2}} d A(w)
$$

where $-1<q_{1}<q_{2}<p-2$. Then $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2} \in \mathbb{F}$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{D}_{\mu_{1}, p} \varsubsetneqq \mathcal{D}_{\mu_{2}, p} \varsubsetneqq \mathcal{D}_{p}$. In fact, applying Lemma A(ii) yields that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\frac{1-|w|^{2}}{|1-\bar{z} w|^{2}}\right)^{p} d \mu_{i}(w)=\infty, \quad i=1,2, \\
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{U_{\mu_{2}, p}(z)}{U_{\mu_{1}, p}(z)} \approx \lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1}(1-|z|)^{q_{2}-q_{1}}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

By Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 , we know that $\mathcal{D}_{\mu_{1}, p} \varsubsetneqq \mathcal{D}_{\mu_{2}, p} \varsubsetneqq \mathcal{D}_{p}$.

## 3 Decomposition Theorems for $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ Spaces

The theory of decomposition has appeared in many research areas and it is also important for the study of analytic function spaces. For every function in a given analytic function space, it is interesting to write the function as a linear combination of functions that are elementary in some sense. Decomposition theorems for the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}, B M O A$ and $Q_{p}, 0<p<1$, were established in $[18,19,22]$ respectively. The purpose of this section is to obtain decomposition theorems for $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ spaces. We also compare decomposition theorems on different analytic function spaces.

For any $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$, the Bergman metric between $z$ and $w$ is given by

$$
\beta(z, w)=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+\left|\sigma_{z}(w)\right|}{1-\left|\sigma_{z}(w)\right|} .
$$

Fix $r>0$. Denote by

$$
D(z, r)=\{w \in \mathbb{D}: \beta(z, w)<r\}
$$

the hyperbolic disk. A sequence $\left\{z_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{D} \backslash\{0\}$ is called an $r$-lattice if

$$
\mathbb{D}=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} D\left(z_{k}, r\right)
$$

and $\beta\left(z_{i}, z_{j}\right) \geq r / 2$ for $i \neq j$. The last condition is usually expressed by saying that $\left\{z_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is $\frac{r}{2}$-separated. We refer to Zhu's book [29] for these notations.

The following theorem is the main result of the section. One can compare it with decomposition theorems of $Q_{p}$ spaces given in [22].

Theorem 3.1 Let $\mu \in \mathbb{F}, 0<p<2$ and $b \geq p+1$. There exists an $r_{0}>0$, such that for any $r$-lattice $\left\{z_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ with $0<r<r_{0}$, the following are true.
(i) If $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$, then there exists a sequence $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\} \in \ell^{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=f(0)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{k}}{\sqrt{U_{\mu, p}\left(z_{k}\right)}}\left(\frac{1-\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}}{1-\overline{z_{k}} z}\right)^{b} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|^{2} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}}^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) For any $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\} \in \ell^{2}$, the function $f$ defined by (3.1) is in $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ and

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}}^{2} \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|^{2} .
$$

Remark The proof of Theorem 3.1 given here is invalid for $p \geq 2$, because we need to use Lemma C.

Before proving Theorem 3.1, we give some auxiliary results. The following lemma can be found in [29, p. 72]

Lemma B Suppose $0<r<1$ and $\left\{z_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is an $r$-lattice. For each $k$ there exists a measurable set $D_{k}$ with the following properties:
(i) $D\left(z_{k}, r / 4\right) \subseteq D_{k} \subseteq D\left(z_{k}, r\right)$ for all $k \geq 1$.
(ii) $D_{i} \cap D_{j}=\varnothing$ if $i \neq j$.
(iii) $\mathbb{D}=\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} D_{k}$.

The following sharp inequality can be found in [15, Lemma 2.5] (see also [27, Lemma 1]).

Lemma $C$ Suppose that $s>-1, r, t>0$, and $r+t-s>2$. If $t<s+2<r$, then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{s}}{|1-\bar{w} z|^{r}|1-\bar{w} \zeta|^{t}} d A(w) \leq C \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2+s-r}}{|1-\bar{\zeta} z|^{t}},
$$

for all $z, \zeta \in \mathbb{D}$.
For $v \in \mathbb{F}$, let $L^{2}(\mathbb{D}, d v)$ be the space of all measurable functions $g$ on $\mathbb{D}$ with

$$
\|g\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{D}, d v)}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{D}}|g(z)|^{2} d v(z)<\infty .
$$

To prove Theorem 3.1, we need to consider a certain operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}, U_{\mu, p} d A\right)$ as follows.

Lemma 3.2 Let $\mu \in \mathbb{F}, 0<p<2$ and $b>\max \left\{2 p-1, \frac{p+1}{2}\right\}$. Then the operator

$$
T g(z)=\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{b-1}}{|1-\bar{w} z|^{b+1}}|g(w)| d A(w), \quad g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}, U_{\mu, p} d A\right)
$$

is bounded on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}, U_{\mu, p} d A\right)$.

Proof We prove the result by Schur's test. Define a linear operator $T_{H}$ on $L^{2}(\mathbb{D}, d A)$ as follows:

$$
T_{H} f(z)=\int_{\mathbb{D}} H(z, w) f(w) d A(w), \quad f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{D}, d A)
$$

where

$$
H(z, w)=\frac{\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{b-1}}{|1-\bar{z} w|^{b+1}} \sqrt{\frac{U_{\mu, p}(z)}{U_{\mu, p}(w)}}
$$

Fix a number $\beta$ with $\max \{p-b+1,0\}<\beta<\min \{p+1,2-p, b\}$ and take the test function

$$
h(z)=\frac{\sqrt{U_{\mu, p}(z)}}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\beta}} .
$$

Note that $\beta \in(0, b)$. Using Lemma $A$ we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}} H(z, w) h(w) d A(w)=\sqrt{U_{\mu, p}(z)} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{b-1-\beta}}{|1-\bar{z} w|^{b+1}} d A(w) \lesssim h(z) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $b>0>-1$ and $1-p-b<p-b+1<\beta<\min \{p+1,2-p\}$. Applying the Fubini theorem and Lemma C, we deduce that

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\int_{\mathbb{D}} & H(z, w) h(z) d A(z) \\
\quad= & \frac{\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{b-1}}{\sqrt{U_{\mu, p}(w)}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(1-|\zeta|^{2}\right)^{p} d \mu(\zeta) \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p-\beta}}{|1-\bar{z} w|^{b+1}|1-\bar{z} \zeta|^{2 p}} d A(z) \\
\quad \lesssim \frac{\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{p-\beta}}{\sqrt{U_{\mu, p}(w)}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\left(1-|\zeta|^{2}\right)^{p}}{|1-\bar{w} \zeta|^{2 p}} d \mu(\zeta) \approx h(w) .
\end{array}
$$

Bear in mind (3.3) and the above inequality. Using the Schur theorem (cf. [29, Theorem 3.6]), we get that $T_{H}$ is a bounded operator on $L^{2}(\mathbb{D}, d A)$.

For any $g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}, U_{\mu, p} d A\right)$, let

$$
f(z)=|g(z)| \sqrt{U_{\mu, p}(z)}
$$

Then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}|T g(z)|^{2} U_{\mu, p}(z) d A(z)=\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|T_{H} f(z)\right|^{2} d A(z) \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|^{2} d A(z)
$$

which gives the desired result. The proof is complete.
As mentioned in Section 2, we let $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}^{0}$ be the Banach space of functions $g \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ with $g(0)=0$. Suppose $0<r<1, p>0, b \geq p+1$, and $\left\{z_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is an $r$-lattice. Define a linear operator $S_{r, b}$ on $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}^{0}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r, b} f(z)=\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\left|D_{k}\right| \frac{\left(1-\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{b-1}}{\overline{z_{k}}\left(1-\overline{z_{k}} z\right)^{b}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}^{0} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{k}$ is defined as in Lemma B and $\left|D_{k}\right|$ is the area of $D_{k}$.
Lemma 3.3 Let $\mu \in \mathbb{F}, 0<p<2$, and $b \geq p+1$. There exists a small enough positive constant $r_{0}$ such that if $0<r<r_{0}$, then the operator $S_{r, b}$ defined by (3.4) is bounded and invertible on the Banach space $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}^{0}$.

Proof Let $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}^{0}$. Then Theorem 2.1 gives that $f \in \mathcal{D}_{p}$. Since $b \geq p+1$, we obtain that $f \in \mathcal{D}_{b-1}$. Applying the reproducing formula of Bergman spaces (cf. [29, Proposition 4.23]), we get

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=\frac{b}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{b-1}}{(1-\bar{w} z)^{b+1}} f^{\prime}(w) d A(w)
$$

Combining this with Lemma B yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime}(z)-\left(S_{r, b} f\right)^{\prime}(z)=\frac{b}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{D_{k}} \frac{\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{b-1}}{(1-\bar{w} z)^{b+1}} f^{\prime}(w) d A(w) \\
&-\frac{b}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\left|D_{k}\right| \frac{\left(1-\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{b-1}}{\left(1-\overline{z_{k}} z\right)^{b+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Z. Wu and C. Xie [22, p. 395] proved that

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)-\left(S_{r, b} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\right| \lesssim r \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{b-1}}{|1-\bar{w} z|^{b+1}}\left|f^{\prime}(w)\right| d A(w)
$$

Note that $b \geq p+1>\max \left\{2 p-1, \frac{p+1}{2}\right\}$. Applying Lemma 3.2, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)-\left(S_{r, b} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} U_{\mu, p}(z) d A(z) & \lesssim r^{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|T f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} U_{\mu, p}(z) d A(z) \\
& \lesssim r^{2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that $I-S_{r, b}$ is a bounded operator on $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}^{0}$. Here $I$ is the identity operator. Hence,

$$
\left\|\left(I-S_{r, b}\right) f\right\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}} \lesssim r\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}}
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}^{0}$. Thus, $S_{r, b}$ is bounded on $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}^{0}$. If $r$ is small enough, then the operator $I-S_{r, b}$ has norm less than one. By standard functional analysis, the operator $S_{r, b}$ is invertible on $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}^{0}$. The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 3.1 (i) Let $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$. Then the function $g(z)=f(z)-f(0)$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}^{0}$. Using Lemma 3.3, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(z) & =S_{r, b} S_{r, b}^{-1} g(z)=\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(S_{r, b}^{-1} g\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\left|D_{k}\right| \frac{\left(1-\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{b-1}}{\overline{z_{k}}\left(1-\overline{z_{k}} z\right)^{b}} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{k}}{\sqrt{U_{\mu, p}\left(z_{k}\right)}}\left(\frac{1-\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}}{1-\overline{z_{k} z}}\right)^{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\lambda_{k}=\frac{\left(S_{r, b}^{-1} g\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\left|D_{k}\right|}{\pi \overline{z_{k}}\left(1-\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}\right)} \sqrt{U_{\mu, p}\left(z_{k}\right)}
$$

Bear in mind that $\left|D_{k}\right| \approx\left(1-\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}$. Applying Lemma B and the subharmonicity of $\left|\left(S_{r, b}^{-1} g\right)^{\prime}\right|^{2}(c f .[29$, Proposition 4.13]), we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|^{2} & \approx \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\left(S_{r, b}^{-1} g\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\right|^{2}\left|D_{k}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}} U_{\mu, p}\left(z_{k}\right) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{D\left(z_{k}, r / 4\right)}\left|\left(S_{r, b}^{-1} g\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} U_{\mu, p}\left(z_{k}\right) d A(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

By [29, Proposition 4.5] and [29, Lemma 4.30], we know that

$$
1-|z| \approx 1-\left|z_{k}\right| \approx\left|1-\overline{z_{k}} z\right|, \quad|1-\bar{w} z| \approx\left|1-\bar{w} z_{k}\right|
$$

for all $z \in D\left(z_{k}, r / 4\right)$ and $w \in \mathbb{D}$. Hence, $U_{\mu, p}\left(z_{k}\right) \approx U_{\mu, p}(z)$ for all $z \in D\left(z_{k}, r / 4\right)$. Note that the operator $S_{r, b}^{-1}$ is also bounded on $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}^{0}$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|^{2} & \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{D\left(z_{k}, r / 4\right)}\left|\left(S_{r, b}^{-1} g\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} U_{\mu, p}(z) d A(z) \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\left(S_{r, b}^{-1} g\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} U_{\mu, p}(z) d A(z) \lesssim\|g\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}}^{2} \approx\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Suppose $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\} \in \ell^{2}$. We consider the function $f$ defined by (3.1). For any $z \in \mathbb{D}$, one gets that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| & \leq b \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\lambda_{k}\right|\left|z_{k}\right|}{\sqrt{U_{\mu, p}\left(z_{k}\right)}} \frac{\left(1-\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{b}}{\left|1-\overline{z_{k}} z\right|^{b+1}} \\
& \approx \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\lambda_{k} z_{k}\right|}{\left(1-\left|z_{k}\right|\right) \sqrt{U_{\mu, p}\left(z_{k}\right)}} \int_{D\left(z_{k}, r / 4\right)} \frac{\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{b-1}}{|1-\bar{w} z|^{b+1}} d A(w) \\
& \approx \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{b-1}}{|1-\bar{w} z|^{b+1}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\lambda_{k} z_{k}\right| \chi_{D\left(z_{k}, r / 4\right)(w)}}{\left(1-\left|z_{k}\right|\right) \sqrt{U_{\mu, p}\left(z_{k}\right)}}\right) d A(w)
\end{aligned}
$$

Set

$$
g(w)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\lambda_{k} z_{k}\right| \chi_{D\left(z_{k}, r / 4\right)(w)}}{\left(1-\left|z_{k}\right|\right) \sqrt{U_{\mu, p}\left(z_{k}\right)}} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}|g(w)|^{2} U_{\mu, p}(w) d A(w) & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\lambda_{k}\right|^{2} \chi_{D\left(z_{k}, r / 4\right)(w)}^{\left(1-\left|z_{k}\right|\right)^{2} U_{\mu, p}\left(z_{k}\right)} U_{\mu, p}(w) d A(w)}{\left(\left.\lambda_{k}\right|^{2}\right.} \\
& \approx \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left.\left|z_{k}\right|\right)^{2} U_{\mu, p}\left(z_{k}\right)}{} \int_{D\left(z_{k}, r / 4\right)} U_{\mu, p}(w) d A(w) \\
& \approx \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|^{2}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the above estimates and Lemma 3.2, we see that

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}}^{2} \lesssim\|T g\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}, U_{\mu, p} d A\right)}^{2} \lesssim\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}, U_{\mu, p} d A\right)}^{2} \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|^{2}<\infty
$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

Let $v$ be a positive Borel measure on the unit circle $\partial \mathbb{D}$. Motivated by the study of cyclic analytic two-isometries, S. Richter [17] introduced a certain Dirichlet type space $\mathcal{D}(v)$, which consists of functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ with

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}(v)}^{2}=\|f\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} P_{v}(z) d A(z)<\infty
$$

where

$$
P_{v}(z)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1-|z|^{2}}{\left|e^{i t}-z\right|^{2}} \frac{d v(t)}{2 \pi}
$$

Recently, the decomposition theorems for $\mathcal{D}(v)$ spaces were established in [14] as follows.

Theorem $D \quad$ Let $v$ be a positive Borel measure on $\partial \mathbb{D}$ and $b>2$. Then there exists $a$ $d$-separated sequence $\left\{z_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ such that the following are true.
(i) If $f \in \mathcal{D}(v)$, then there exists a sequence $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=f(0)+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}\left(1-\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{b}\left(\frac{1}{\left(1-\overline{z_{j}} z\right)^{b}}-1\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{2} P_{v}\left(z_{j}\right) \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}(v)}^{2}
$$

(ii) If a sequence $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ satisfies that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{2} P_{v}(z) \delta_{z_{j}}$ is a $v$-Carleson measure, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{2} P_{v}\left(z_{j}\right)\left|f\left(z_{j}\right)\right|^{2} \lesssim\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}(v)}^{2}, \quad \text { for all } f \in \mathcal{D}(v) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the series defined in (3.5) converges in $\mathcal{D}(v)$ and

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}(v)}^{2} \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{2} P_{v}\left(z_{j}\right)
$$

Remark We point out that condition (3.6) in Theorem D can be replaced by

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{2} P_{v}\left(z_{j}\right)<\infty
$$

Comparing decomposition theorems stated in the section with that on other analytic function spaces (cf. $[18,19,22]$ ), we can understand decomposition theorems on analytic function spaces as follows. Let $X \subseteq H(\mathbb{D})$ be a Banach space. Roughly speaking, there exists a sequence $\left\{z_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ and a large enough number $b$ such that the space $X$ consists exactly of functions of the form

$$
f(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}\left(\frac{1-\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}}{1-\overline{z_{j}} z}\right)^{b}
$$

where $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}$ satisfies certain condition depending only on the space $X$.

## $4 Q_{p}$ Spaces and $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ Spaces

As mentioned in Section $1, B M O A=M\left(H^{p}\right)$ and $\mathcal{B}=M\left(A^{p}\right)$ for $1<p<\infty$. If $0<p<1$, it is only known that $Q_{p}=M\left(\mathcal{D}_{p}\right)$. In this section, we show that, just like $B M O A$ and $\mathcal{B}$, the Möbius invariant function space $Q_{p}, 0<p<1$, can be generated by different analytic function spaces. In fact, $Q_{p}=M\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}\right)$ for any $\mu \in \mathbb{F}$. We also prove that the non-Hilbert space $Q_{p}$ is equal to the intersection of Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$. Applying the relation between $\mathcal{Q}_{p}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ spaces, we see that there exist different $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ spaces.

To prove our main result in the section, we recall $Q_{p, 0}$ spaces. For $0<p<\infty, Q_{p, 0}$ is the class of functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ with

$$
\lim _{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-\left|\sigma_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right)^{p} d A(z)=0
$$

By the characterization of lacunary series of $Q_{p, 0}$ and $Q_{p}$ spaces in [6], the Dirichlet space $\mathcal{D}$ is strictly contained in $Q_{p, 0}$ for $0<p<\infty$. K. Wirths and J. Xiao [21] proved that $Q_{p, 0}$ is the closure of polynomials in the norm of $Q_{p}$, and $Q_{p, 0}$ is Möbius invariant space in the strict sense of Arazy, Fisher, and Peetre [4].

The following theorem is new even for the classical function spaces $B M O A$ and $\mathcal{B}$.
Theorem 4.1 Let $\mu \in \mathbb{F}$ and $0<p<\infty$. Then the following are true:
(i) $\quad Q_{p} \varsubsetneqq \mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$;
(ii) $Q_{p}=M\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}\right)$;
(iii) $\mathcal{Q}_{p}=\bigcap_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} \mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$.

Proof (i) For any $f \in Q_{p}$, applying the Fubini theorem yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} U_{\mu, p}(z) d A(z) & =\int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-\left|\sigma_{w}(z)\right|^{2}\right)^{p} d A(z) d \mu(w) \\
& \leq \mu(\mathbb{D})\|f\|_{Q_{p}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $Q_{p} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$. Suppose that $Q_{p}=\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$. From the closed graph theorem we obtain that the norms of $Q_{p}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ are equivalent. Therefore, $Q_{p}$ and $Q_{p, 0}$ are Hilbert spaces. J. Arazy and S. Fisher [3] proved that the unique Hilbert space among Möbius invariant spaces in the strict sense of Arazy-Fisher-Peetre [4] is the Dirichlet space $\mathcal{D}$. Thus, $Q_{p, 0}=\mathcal{D}$ contradicting the fact that $\mathcal{D}$ is strictly included in $Q_{p, 0}$. Thus, $\mathcal{Q}_{p} \varsubsetneqq \mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$.
(ii) By Theorem 2.1 and (i) of the theorem, we know that $\mathcal{Q}_{p} \varsubsetneqq \mathcal{D}_{\mu, p} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{p}$. This implies that $M\left(Q_{p}\right) \subseteq M\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}\right) \subseteq M\left(\mathcal{D}_{p}\right)$. Note that $M\left(Q_{p}\right)=M\left(\mathcal{D}_{p}\right)=\mathcal{Q}_{p}$. Thus, $Q_{p}=M\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}\right)$.
(iii) Since $\mathcal{Q}_{p} \varsubsetneqq \mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ for any $\mu \in \mathbb{F}$, we obtain that $Q_{p} \subseteq \bigcap_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} \mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$. Now let $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $f \notin Q_{p}$. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
\beta_{n}=\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-\left|\sigma_{a_{n}}(z)\right|^{2}\right)^{p} d A(z) \geq 2^{n}
$$

for any positive integer $n$. Set $t_{n}=1 / 2^{n}$ and $v=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_{n} \delta_{a_{n}}$. Then

$$
v(\mathbb{D})=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_{n}<\infty \quad \text { and } \quad\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{v, p}}^{2}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_{n} \beta_{n}=\infty .
$$

This implies that $f \notin \mathcal{D}_{v, p}$. Thus $f \notin \bigcap_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} \mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$. The conclusion follows.
In Section 2, we gave some examples of different $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ spaces only for $p>1$. Applying (i) and (iii) of Theorem 4.1, we prove the existence of different $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ spaces for every $0<p<\infty$, without constructing examples.

Corollary 4.2 Let $0<p<\infty$. There exist Dirichlet type spaces $\mathcal{D}_{\mu_{1}, p}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mu_{2}, p}, \mu_{1}$, $\mu_{2} \in \mathbb{F}$, such that $\mathcal{D}_{\mu_{i}, p} \varsubsetneqq \mathcal{D}_{p}, i=1,2$, and $\mathcal{D}_{\mu_{1}, p} \neq \mathcal{D}_{\mu_{2}, p}$.

Proof By Theorem 2.1, $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{p}$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{F}$ and $0<p<\infty$. Combining this with (i) and (iii) of Theorem 4.1, we see that there exists $\mu_{1} \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\mathcal{D}_{\mu_{1}, p} \varsubsetneqq \mathcal{D}_{p}$. Applying these facts again, we get the desired result.

## 5 Final Remark

The theory of $Q_{p}$ spaces is very well developed. But there are still unresolved problems. For example, the problem of composition operators on $Q_{p}$ spaces for $0<p<1$. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be an analytic self-map of the unit disk. The function $\varphi$ induces a composition operator $C_{\varphi}$ acting on $H(\mathbb{D})$ by the formula $C_{\varphi} f=f \circ \varphi$. As pointed out in [23,24], it is still an open question to characterize the boundedness and compactness of the composition operator $C_{\varphi}$ acting on $Q_{p}, 0<p<1$, in terms of the function properties of the symbol $\varphi$.

Based on Theorem 4.1, we hope that the theory of $Q_{p}$ spaces can be developed further in terms of the content of $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, p}$ spaces.
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