

A NOTE ON BARIC ALGEBRAS

RAÚL ANDRADE AND ALICIA LABRA

In this paper we present a characterization of baric algebras. In particular we study those in which the identity $x^3 = w(x)x^2$ holds. Moreover, for every field K , we prove that this identity guarantees that the annihilator of $\text{Ker}(w)$ is an ideal in A and we give example of a subspace of $\text{Ker}(w)$ whose annihilator is not an ideal.

1. INTRODUCTION

In what follows K is an infinite field and A is a finite dimensional, commutative, not necessarily associative algebra over K .

If $w : A \rightarrow K$ is a non zero algebra homomorphism, then the ordered pair (A, w) is called a baric algebra and w the weight function of A . A Bernstein algebra is a baric algebra (A, w) such that $(x^2)^2 = w(x)^2 x^2$ for every $x \in A$.

In a Bernstein algebra there exists a decomposition, $A = Ke \oplus N$ where e is an idempotent element of A , $N = \text{Ker}(w)$ and $w(e) = 1$. If $\text{char } K \neq 2$ the relation $2e(ey) - ey = 0$ holds for every $y \in N$. Moreover the map $L_e : N \rightarrow N$ defined by $L_e(y) = ey$ for every $y \in N$ satisfies $2L_e^2 = L_e$. Hence, $N = U \oplus V$ where $U = L_e(N)$ and $V = \text{Ker}(L_e)$. The subspaces U and V satisfy the relations $U^2 \subseteq V$, $UV \subseteq U$, $V^2 \subseteq U$, $UV^2 = \{0\}$. Also the following identities are satisfied: $u_i^3 = 0$, $u_1(u_2u_3) + u_2(u_3u_1) + u_3(u_1u_2) = 0$ and $u_1(u_1v) = 0$ for every $u_i \in U$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $v \in V$. For references see [1, 4, and 8].

2. BARIC ALGEBRAS

It is known, see [8], that a finite dimensional commutative real algebra is a baric algebra if and only if A has a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ such that the constants defined by

$$e_i e_j = \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_{ijk} e_k \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n$$

satisfy the relation

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_{ijk} = 1 \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Received 23rd November, 1992.

Research supported by FONDECYT No 0227/89-90 Chile and Departamento Técnico de Investigación de la Universidad de Chile, Proyecto E-2585/9044. The authors thank R. Costa and P. Holgate for their comments about this work.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/93 \$A2.00+0.00.

We can easily prove that this result holds whatever the field K under consideration is.

In the case of a field of characteristic not 2, we have the following characterization of baric algebras:

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let A be a n -dimensional, commutative, not necessarily associative algebra over K . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. A is a baric algebra.
2. There exist an ideal I , of codimension 1 such that $A^2 \not\subseteq I$.

PROOF: Let (A, w) be a baric algebra. Then w is onto and $A = Ka \oplus \text{Ker}(w)$, as vector spaces, with $w(a) = 1$. Note also, that $\text{Ker}(w) = N$ is an ideal in A of codimension 1. Moreover, $w(a^2) = w(a)w(a)$ and $A^2 \not\subseteq N$. Conversely, let I be an ideal in A such that $A^2 \not\subseteq I$ and $\{b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ a basis of I , as a vector space. First we prove the existence of an element $b_1 \in A - I$ such that $b_1^2 \notin I$ and $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ is a basis of A . If $x^2 \in A$ for every $x \in A$, then $2ab \in I$ for each $a, b \in A$, since $(a + b)^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2$. But this contradicts the assumption that $A^2 \not\subseteq I$. Therefore, there exists an element $b_1 \in A - I$ such that $b_1^2 \notin I$. Moreover $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ is a basis of A , and $b_1^2 = \lambda b_1 + y$ with $\lambda \neq 0$ and $y \in I$. If we define $w : A \rightarrow K$ by $w(b_1) = \lambda$ and $w(b_i) = 0$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$ then by straightforward computations we can see that w is a non zero algebra homomorphism and (A, w) is a baric algebra. □

We note here that the above result had been shown by Wörz-Busekros in [8] for a real algebra.

In the following we prove some properties of baric algebras in which the following identity holds

$$(1) \quad x^3 = w(x)x^2.$$

It is well known that w is the only non zero algebra homomorphism from A to the ground field K . Moreover, for a field of characteristic different from 2 these algebras are Bernstein, see [3].

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Suppose (A, w) satisfies $x^3 = w(x)x^2$ and $\text{char } K \neq 2$. Then for every $x, y, z \in A$ we have:*

$$(2) \quad (xy)z + (yz)x + (zx)y = w(x)(yz) + w(y)(zx) + w(z)(xy).$$

The proof consists of linearising the identity $x^3 = w(x)x^2$ and cancelling out the factor 2.

If the characteristic of K is also different from 3, the relation (2) is equivalent to the identity $x^3 = w(x)x^2$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Suppose (A, w) is a baric algebra over K , $\text{char } K = 2$. Then the identity $x^3 = w(x)x^2$ holds in A if and only if for every $x, y \in A$:*

$$(3) \quad x^2y = w(y)x^2.$$

PROOF: Obviously relation (3) implies the identity $x^3 = w(x)x^2$. Conversely, linearising the identity $x^3 = w(x)x^2$ we have relation (3). \square

DEFINITION: A Jordan algebra is a commutative algebra in which the identity $x^2(yx) = (x^2y)x$ holds.

THEOREM 2.4. *Suppose (A, w) satisfies $x^3 = w(x)x^2$ and $\text{char } K \neq 2$. Then*

1. A is a Jordan algebra.
2. N is nilpotent.

PROOF: By setting $x = y$ in relation (2) we obtain for every $x, z \in A$

$$(4) \quad x^2z + 2(xz)x = 2w(x)xz + w(z)x^2.$$

Now replacing z by xz in (4) we have

$$(5) \quad x^2(xz) + 2(x(xz))x = 2w(x)x(xz) + w(x)w(z)x^2.$$

On the other hand, relation (4) implies

$$(6) \quad x(x^2z) + 2x((xz)x) = 2w(x)x(xz) + w(z)x^3.$$

Moreover, relations (1), (5), (6) and commutativity imply $x^2(xz) = x(x^2z)$ for every $x, z \in A$. Therefore A is a Jordan algebra.

Finally, since A is a Jordan algebra, then N is also Jordan. Moreover $x^3 = 0$ for every $x \in N$. Then N is nil and by a Theorem of Albert [6], N is nilpotent. \square

REMARK 2.5. We observe that none of the conditions 1 or 2, by itself, in the above Theorem implies $x^3 = w(x)x^2$ for every $x \in A$, as we can see in the following examples.

EXAMPLE 1. Let K be a field, $\text{char } K \neq 2$, V a finite dimensional vector space over K , $T : V \rightarrow V$ a linear operator and $w : V \rightarrow K$ a non zero linear form over V such that $w \circ T = w$. If we define $xy = (1/2)(w(x)T(y) + w(y)T(x))$ for every $x, y \in V$ then V is a baric algebra denoted by $A_{T,w}$ see [5]. By straightforward computations we can prove that if $T^2 = 2T$, $A_{T,w}$ is an associative algebra and then a Jordan algebra and $x^3 \neq w(x)x^2$.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $A = \langle c_0, c_1, c_2 \rangle_K$ be a K -algebra, $\text{char } K \neq 2$ with multiplication given by $c_0^2 = c_0$, $c_0c_1 = c_1c_0 = c_1$, $c_0c_2 = c_2c_0 = c_1$, $c_1^2 = c_2$, $c_1c_2 = c_2c_1 = c_2^2 = 0$ and let I be the ideal in A generated by c_1 and c_2 . Then $A^2 \not\subseteq I$. Hence, by Proposition 2.1, A is a baric algebra with weight function $w : A \rightarrow K$ defined by $w(c_0) = 1$, $w(c_1) = w(c_2) = 0$ and $I = \text{Ker}(w)$. Moreover $I^2 = \langle c_2 \rangle$, $I^3 = \langle 0 \rangle$. Therefore $\text{Ker}(w)$ is nilpotent but $(c_0 + c_1)^3 \neq w(c_0 + c_1)(c_0 + c_1)^2$.

REMARK 2.6. Walcher [7] and Ouattara [5] have shown that a baric algebra (A, w) over K , $\text{char } K \neq 2$, is a Bernstein and a Jordan algebra if and only if the identity $x^3 = w(x)x^2$ holds in A . In the case of a field K , $\text{char } K = 2$, this statement is not true, for instance if we take the algebra $A = Ke \oplus N$ where $N = \langle y \rangle$ and with multiplication table $e^2 = e$, $ey = y$, $y^2 = 0$, then A is a Bernstein and a Jordan algebra and $(e + y)^3 \neq w(e + y)(e + y)^2$.

THEOREM 2.7. *Suppose (A, w) satisfies $x^3 = w(x)x^2$ and $\text{char } K = 2$. Then*

1. A is a Bernstein algebra.
2. A is a Jordan algebra.
3. $eN = \{0\}$.

PROOF: By Proposition 2.3 relation (1) is equivalent to relation (3) and this identity implies that A is a Bernstein algebra.

Replacing y by xy in relation (3) and using relation (1) we have $x^2(yx) = (x^2y)x$. Therefore A is a Jordan algebra.

Finally, relation (3) implies $ey = 0$ for every $y \in N$. Then $eN = \{0\}$. □

THEOREM 2.8. *Let (A, w) be a baric algebra over K , $\text{char } K = 2$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. The identity $x^3 = w(x)x^2$ holds in A .
2. A is a Bernstein algebra such that $eN = \{0\}$.

PROOF: Since the identity $x^3 = w(x)x^2$ holds in A , then Theorem 2.7 implies that A is a Bernstein algebra such that $eN = \{0\}$. Conversely if A is a Bernstein algebra over K and $\text{char } K = 2$, then linearising the identity $(x^2)^2 = w(x)^2x^2$, we have $w(x)^2y^2 + w(y)^2x^2 = 0$. Thus, for every $y \in A$

$$(7) \quad y^2 = w(y)^2e.$$

Let $x = \alpha e + y$ be an element in A . Then by using $eN = \{0\}$ together with relation (7) we have $x^3 = (\alpha e + y)^3 = \alpha^2e(\alpha e + y) = \alpha^3e = \alpha(\alpha^2e) = w(x)x^2$. □

3. ANNIHILATORS

Let A be a commutative not necessarily associative algebra and $S \subseteq A$. The annihilator of S in A is the subspace $\text{Ann}(S) = \{x \in A \mid xS = \{0\}\}$.

For associative algebras, this subspace is an ideal, but it is not true in the non associative case. For instance, if A has the following multiplication table: $e^2 = e$, $eu = (1/2)u$, $ev = u^2 = v^2 = 0$, $uv = u$. Then the annihilator of the subspace S generated by u and v is not an ideal, because $(-2e + v)S = 0$ but $(e(-2e + v))u \neq 0$.

Now we prove that the identity $x^3 = w(x)x^2$ guarantees that the annihilator of N is an ideal in A , whatever the field K under consideration is.

THEOREM 3.1. *Suppose (A, w) satisfies $x^3 = w(x)x^2$, $\text{char } K \neq 2$ and let $A = Ke \oplus U \oplus V$ be its decomposition relative to the idempotent e . Then:*

1. *The annihilator of N is an ideal in A .*
2. *If $U = \{0\}$, then $\text{Ann}(N) = A$.*
3. *If $U \neq \{0\}$, then $\text{Ann}(N) \subseteq N$.*

PROOF: Since (A, w) satisfies $x^3 = w(x)x^2$ and $\text{char } K \neq 2$, A is a Bernstein and a Jordan algebra. Then $V^2 = \{0\}$ and $(Uv)v = \{0\}$ for every $v \in V$, see [2].

1. If $x = \alpha e + u_0 + v_0 \in \text{Ann}(N)$, then for every $u \in U$, $v \in V$, $xu = 0$, $xv = 0$ and $xu, xv \in \text{Ann}(N)$. It remains only to prove that $ex \in \text{Ann}(N)$. Since $xu = 0$, $xv = 0$ for every $u \in U$, $v \in V$, we have the followings relations:

$$(8) \quad \frac{\alpha}{2}u + uu_0 + uv_0 = 0,$$

$$(9) \quad vu_0 + vv_0 = 0.$$

Relation (8) implies that $uu_0 = 0$ and $(\alpha/2)u + uv_0 = 0$ for every $u \in U$. As $V^2 = \{0\}$, by relation (9) we have that $vu_0 = 0$ for every $v \in V$. Moreover $ex = \alpha e + (1/2)u_0$. Then using the previous relations one has $(ex)(u + v) = (\alpha/2)u$ for every $u \in U$, $v \in V$.

If $\alpha = 0$, then $(ex)(u + v) = 0$ for every $u \in U$, $v \in V$ and $ex \in \text{Ann}(N)$.

If $\alpha \neq 0$, then $((\alpha/2)u + uv_0)v_0 = 0$ for every $u \in U$. Since A is a Jordan and a Bernstein algebra, we have $(uv_0)v_0 = 0$ for every $u \in U$. Therefore $(\alpha/2)uv_0 = 0$ and $uv_0 = 0$. But $(\alpha/2)u + uv_0 = 0$, so that $(ex)(u + v) = (\alpha/2)u = 0$ for every $u \in U$, $v \in V$, and $ex \in \text{Ann}(N)$. Thus $\text{Ann}(N)$ is an ideal in A .

2. If $U = \{0\}$, then $A = Ke \oplus V$ and $\text{Ann}(N) = \{x \in A \mid xv = 0 \text{ for every } v \in V\} = \{\alpha e + v_1 \mid (\alpha e + v_1)v = 0 \text{ for every } v \in V\} = \{\alpha e + v_1 \mid \alpha \in K, v_1 \in V\} = A$.

3. Let $U \neq \{0\}$ and $x = \beta e + u_0 + v_0 \in \text{Ann}(N)$. By a similar argument to that used in 1 we have $(\beta/2)u = 0$ for every $u \in U$. Since $U \neq \{0\}$ there exists $u_1 \neq 0$, $u_1 \in U$ such that $(\beta/2)u_1 = 0$ and then $\beta = 0$ and $x = u_0 + v_0 \in N$. □

REMARK 3.2. Since N is nilpotent, the above Theorem implies that in the case $U \neq \{0\}$, $\text{Ann}(N)$ is nilpotent.

THEOREM 3.3. *Suppose (A, w) satisfies $x^3 = w(x)x^2$ and $\text{char } K = 2$. Then the annihilator of N is an ideal in A .*

PROOF: Since $x^3 = w(x)x^2$ holds in A , by Theorem 2.7 we have that A is a Bernstein algebra such that $eN = \{0\}$. Let $A = Ke \oplus N$ be its decomposition relative to the idempotent e and $x = \alpha e + y$ an element in $\text{Ann}(N)$. Then $tx = ty$ for every $t \in N$.

Now for every $a = \beta e + t$ in A , we have $ax = (\beta e + t)(\alpha e + y) = \beta \alpha e + ty = \beta \alpha e + tx = \beta \alpha e$. Then since $eN = \{0\}$ we have $axN = \{0\}$ for every $a \in A$. Therefore the annihilator of N is an ideal in A . \square

REMARK 3.4. It is not true that for a subspace S of N , $\text{Ann}(S)$ is an ideal in A , as we can see in the following examples.

EXAMPLE 3. Let A be a commutative real algebra with basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_4\}$ and with multiplication given by $x_1^2 = x_1$, $x_1x_2 = (1/2)x_2$, $x_1x_3 = (1/2)x_3$, $x_3x_4 = -(1/2)x_2$ and the other products being zero. If $N = \langle x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle$, then $A = \mathbb{R}x_1 \oplus N$ is a baric algebra with weight function $w : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $w(\lambda x_1 + n) = \lambda$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in N$. Moreover the identity $x^3 = w(x)x^2$ holds in A . If we take $S = \langle x_2 + x_4 \rangle$, then $x_1 + x_3 \in \text{Ann}(S)$ but $x_1(x_1 + x_3) \notin \text{Ann}(S)$. Thus $\text{Ann}(S)$ is not an ideal in A .

EXAMPLE 4. In the case of a field K , $\text{char } K = 2$, let A be a commutative algebra with basis $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and with multiplication given by $x_1^2 = x_1$, $x_2x_3 = x_2$ and the other products being zero. If $N = \langle x_2, x_3 \rangle$, then $A = Kx_1 \oplus N$ is a baric algebra with weight function $w : A \rightarrow K$ defined by $w(\lambda x_1 + n) = \lambda$ for every $\lambda \in K$, $n \in N$, and the identity $x^3 = w(x)x^2$ holds in A . Moreover the annihilator of the subspace S generated by $x_2 + x_3$ is not an ideal in A , because $(x_1 + (x_2 + x_3))S = \{0\}$ but $(x_2(x_1 + (x_2 + x_3)))(x_2 + x_3) \neq 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.T. Alcalde, C. Burgueño, A. Labra and A. Micali, 'Sur les algèbres de Bernstein', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **58** (1989), 51–68.
- [2] M.T. Alcalde, R. Baeza and C. Burgueño, 'Autour des algèbres de Bernstein', *Arch. Math.* **53** (1989), 134–140.
- [3] I.M.H. Etherington, 'Commutative train algebras of ranks 2 and 3', *J. London Math. Soc.* **15** (1940), 136–149.
- [4] P. Holgate, 'Genetic algebras satisfying Bernstein's stationarity principle', *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **9** (1975), 613–623.
- [5] M. Ouattara, *Algèbres de Jordan et algèbres génétiques*, Thèse de Doctorat (Université de Montpellier II, France, 1988).
- [6] R.D. Shafer, *Introduction to nonassociative algebras* (Academic Press, New York, 1966).

- [7] S. Walcher, 'Bernstein algebras which are Jordan algebras', *Arch. Math.* **50** (1988), 218–222.
- [8] A. Wörz-Busekros, *Algebras in genetics*, Lecture Notes in Biomathematics **36** (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1980).

Departamento de Matemáticas
Facultad de Ciencias
Universidad de Chile
Casilla 653
Santiago, Chile