cambridge.org/par

Research Article

Cite this article: Joekel DE, Nur S, Monné Rodriguez J, Kronenberg PA, Kipar A, LeibundGut-Landmann S, Deplazes P (2021). Agranulocytosis leads to intestinal *Echinococcus multilocularis* oncosphere invasion and hepatic metacestode development in naturally resistant Wistar rats. *Parasitology* **148**, 53–62. https://doi.org/ 10.1017/S0031182020002012

Received: 3 July 2020 Revised: 28 August 2020 Accepted: 30 August 2020 First published online: 22 October 2020

Key words:

Echinococcus multilocularis; granulocytes; innate immunity; oncosphere migration; resistance; susceptibility; Wistar rats

Author for correspondence: Peter Deplazes, E-mail: deplazesp@access.uzh.ch

© The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press



Agranulocytosis leads to intestinal *Echinococcus multilocularis* oncosphere invasion and hepatic metacestode development in naturally resistant Wistar rats

CrossMark

Deborah E. Joekel¹, Selim Nur², Josep Monné Rodriguez³, Philipp A. Kronenberg^{1,4}, Anja Kipar³, Salomé LeibundGut-Landmann² and Peter Deplazes¹

¹Institute of Parasitology, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 266a, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland; ²Section of Immunology, Institute of Virology, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 266a, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland; ³Laboratory for Animal Model Pathology (LAMP), Institute of Veterinary Pathology, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 268, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland and ⁴Graduate School for Cellular and Biomedical Sciences, University of Bern, Mittelstrasse 43, 3012 Bern, Switzerland

Abstract

Susceptibility to Echinococcus multilocularis infection considerably varies among intermediate (mostly rodents) and dead-end host species (e.g. humans and pig), in particular regarding intestinal oncosphere invasion and subsequent hepatic metacestode development. Wistar rats are highly resistant to infection and subsequent diseases upon oral inoculation with E. multilocularis eggs, however, after immunosuppressive treatment with dexamethasone, rats become susceptible. To address the role of the cellular innate immunity, Wistar rats were individually or combined depleted of natural killer (NK) cells, macrophages (M Φ) and granulocytes (polymorphonuclear cells, PMN) prior to E. multilocularis egg inoculation. Although NK cell and M Φ depletion did not alter the resistance status of rats, the majority of PMN-depleted animals developed liver metacestodes within 10 weeks, indicating that PMN are key players in preventing oncosphere migration and/or development in Wistar rats. In vitro studies indicated that resistance is not caused by neutrophil reactive oxygen species or NETosis. Also, light microscopical examinations of the small intestine showed that oral inoculation of E. multilocularis eggs does not elicit a mucosal neutrophil response, suggesting that the interaction of oncospheres and neutrophils may occur after the former have entered the peripheral blood. We suggest to consider granulocytes as mediators of resistance in more resistant species, such as humans.

Introduction

Alveolar echinococcosis (AE) is a serious zoonotic parasitic disease caused by the larval stage (metacestode) of the fox tapeworm Echinococcus multilocularis (Kern et al., 2017). However, despite high parasite prevalences in European foxes and high environmental contamination with E. multilocularis eggs, the disease is recorded at very low incidences in humans. Interestingly, susceptibility to oncosphere invasion following the natural infection route (egg inoculation) and subsequent AE development widely varies among species. In Europe, cricetid species (Microtus arvalis, Arvicola scherman) and the muskrat (Ondatra zibethicus) have been found to be highly susceptible, since field studies reported AE with high prevalences. However, only a few confirmed cases of infected murid species have been documented in Europe, namely in Apodemus agrarius in Eastern Europe, and a house mouse (Mus musculus) and a wild rat (Rattus norvegicus) in France (reviewed by Romig et al., 2017). Furthermore, mouse and rat infections have occasionally been reported in Asia (Okamoto et al., 1992; Iwaki et al., 1993; Romig et al., 2017). However, in this context it has to be considered that the ecological contribution of the different rodent species to the transmission of *E. multilocularis* is not only determined by their susceptibility to AE development, but also by the predation rate for the different rodent species by the definitive hosts (Beerli et al., 2017).

Primary infection models (inoculation of eggs) in rodents are of high value to identify variations in susceptibility in wildlife species such as voles (*M. arvalis, M. agrestis* and *Myodes* glareolus) (Woolsey et al., 2015a; 2015b; 2016) and cotton rats (*Sigmodon hispidus*) (Matsumoto et al., 2010). Considering laboratory rodents, several strains of mice (e.g. DBA/ 2, AKR/N, BALB/c and C57BL/6) showed rapid and progressive hepatic metacestode development (Matsumoto et al., 2010). Other rodent species, such as gerbils (*Meriones unguiculatus*), golden hamsters (*Mesocricetus auratus*) or Wistar rats (*R. norvegicus*), exhibit partial or complete resistance to oral inoculation with eggs (Webster and Cameron, 1961; Tang et al., 2006; 2010; Armua-Fernandez et al., 2016, respectively).

As recently shown for primary infected Wistar rats that were immunosuppressed by dexamethasone (DXM), an altered immune status of the host influences the course of disease in otherwise resistant animals, leading to progressive hepatic metacestode development (Armua-Fernandez et al., 2016). Interestingly, comparable to the situation in rats, immunocompetent humans are considered rather resistant to infection after ingestion of eggs (Vuitton, 2003; Gottstein et al., 2015), whereas severe progression of AE development occurs in patients with impaired immunological status due to pregnancy (Yang et al., 2005), HIV co-infection (Sailer et al., 1997) or drug-based immunosuppression (Bresson-Hadni et al., 1999; Chauchet et al., 2014; Vuitton et al., 2015). A retrospective hospital study in Switzerland revealed that the rate of newly diagnosed AE cases has significantly increased in this centre by 10-fold in immunocompromised patients of various backgrounds during the last decade (2008-2017), compared to a 4-fold increase in immunocompetent patients (Lachenmayer et al., 2019).

Experimental immunological investigations addressing susceptibility and resistance to E. multilocularis development have focused on studies with established liver metacestode infection or secondary infection (metacestode inoculation), associated with progressively established parasite-specific humoral and cellmediated immune responses in a variety of mouse strains (reviewed by Gottstein et al., 2017). Besides, Nakao et al. (2011) supported the genetic basis of susceptibility in different mouse strains after oral E. multilocularis egg inoculation, by identifying quantitative trait loci which may affect and regulate the establishment and development of AE. Our previous experiments showed that in contrast to the resistance of rats after inoculation with E. multilocularis eggs, intraperitoneal injection of oncospheres and metacestode material leads to parasite development at the site of inoculation. This suggested that the resistance mechanisms in rats to oncosphere invasion differ from the protective mechanisms controlling the development of AE in the liver and abdomen. Further experiments with nude rats did not result in hepatic progression of the parasite, suggesting that T cells do not play a key role in the resistance to oncosphere invasion (Armua-Fernandez et al., 2016). On the other hand, treatment of rats at time of inoculation with E. multilocularis eggs with DXM, a glucocorticoid immunosuppressant that severely affects various innate and adaptive immune cell populations (Coutinho and Chapman, 2011), resulted in liver infections with metacestodes (Armua-Fernandez et al., 2016; Joekel and Deplazes, 2017). This led to the hypothesis that innate immune cells may primarily be responsible for defense against *E. multilocularis* oncosphere invasion.

Here, we examined the role of three innate immune cell populations that are abundant in the intestinal mucosa and/or part of the intestinal immunological barrier in this process: macrophages (M Φ), polymorphonuclear cells (PMN) (i.e. neutrophils, eosinophils and basophils) and natural killer (NK) cells. We investigated whether these innate immune cell populations are involved in the natural resistance against intestinal *E. multilocularis* oncosphere invasion, by experimental egg inoculation of Wistar rats that were depleted of one or several cell types.

Materials and methods

Parasite eggs

Echinococcus multilocularis eggs were obtained from naturally infected foxes during the regular Swiss hunting season in spring 2017. They were collected according to Joekel and Deplazes (2017) and stored at 4°C in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with 100 IU penicillin, 100 μ g streptomycin (Life Technologies, Switzerland) until use (approximately 8 weeks). Eggs resistant

to sodium hypochlorite (SH) were considered as viable (Deplazes and Eckert, 1988). All experiments with *E. multilocularis* eggs were conducted under biosafety level 2 regulations approved by the Swiss Federal Office of Public Health (FOPH).

Experimental animals

The animal experiments described in this paper were authorized by the Cantonal Veterinary Office of Zurich, Switzerland (permission no. 017/16 and 018/16). Experiments were performed according to the Directive 2010/63/EU guidelines and relevant Swiss legislation. Female RccHanTM:WIST (Wistar) rats (2–3 months of age, mean weight: 217 g) were purchased from Envigo (Netherlands), and female BALB/cJRj mice (2 months of age) were obtained from Janvier Labs (France). Mice represented *in vivo* controls for infectivity of the utilized eggs and were inoculated alongside the rats in each experiment.

Experimental design of in vivo experiments

The design of the experiments is summarized in Table 1. Rats were divided into 11 groups: seven selectively depleted treatment groups (TG1-7; n = 7 per group) and four immunocompetent placebo treated groups (PG1-4; n = 4 per group). All treatments were administered intraperitoneally. Each rat from groups TG1, TG4, TG5 and TG7 received 100 µL anti-rat PMN rabbit serum (diluted 1/10 in sterile PBS; Acris Antibody GmbH) according to a protocol of Friedrich et al. (2011) and each rat from groups PG1 and PG4 received $100 \,\mu$ L rabbit serum (diluted 1/10 in sterile PBS; GibcoTM Fisher Scientific) 3, 2 and 1 days prior to parasite inoculation. Each rat from groups TG2, TG4, TG6 and TG7 received 1.2 mL clodronate-liposomes $(5 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}; \text{ClodronateLiposomes.})$ com), and each rat from groups PG2 and PG4 received 1.2 mL PBS-liposomes (ClodronateLiposomes.com) 5 and 3 days prior to parasite inoculation (protocol according to the manufacturer's recommendations). Each rat from groups TG3, TG4, TG5 and TG6 received $100\,\mu\text{L}$ (300 μg) of mouse anti-rat CD161 (anti-NK cell) monoclonal antibody [immunoglobulin g (IgG) isotype; kindly provided by Bernard Vanhove, University of Nantes, France] according to Schwarzkopff et al. (2010), and each rat from groups PG3 and PG4 received $100 \,\mu\text{L}$ (300 μg) of mouse anti-rat in vivo isotype control antibody (IgG1 isotype; LuBio Science GmbH) 3 and 1 days prior to parasite inoculation. All animals were orally inoculated with 1000 SH-resistant E. multilocularis eggs and euthanized 70 days after inoculation.

In addition, 14 rats [four non-treated, non-infected rats (histology control group 'HCG' in Table 1), five rats treated with unspecific rabbit serum (histology placebo group 'HPG' in Table 1) and five rats treated with anti-PMN rabbit serum (histology treatment group 'HTG' in Table 1)] were orally inoculated with 1000 SH-resistant *E. multilocularis* eggs and euthanized approximately 16–17 h after egg inoculation.

Flow cytometry

To analyse the cell counts at the day of oral inoculation with *E. multilocularis* eggs, approximately $100 \,\mu$ L of blood was taken prior inoculation. Erythrocytes were lysed using ACK lysing buffer (150 mM NH₄Cl, 10 mM KHCO₃ and 0.1 mM Na₂EDTA). For flow cytometric analysis, blood cells were subsequently stained with mouse anti-CD161 (anti-NK cell, clone 3.2.3, kindly provided by Bernard Vanhove, University of Nantes) and mouse anti-rat granulocyte HIS48 (Life Technologies) in ice-cold PBS supplemented with 1% FCS, 5 mM EDTA and 0.02% NaN₃. LIVE/DEAD Near-IR stain (Life Technologies) was used for exclusion of dead cells. For intracellular staining, blood cells

Group	Animal species	Treatment	Treatment regimen Agent (i.p.) (days pre inoculation)		No. of animals/ metacestode positive
М	BALB/c mouse	None	None	-	4/4
PG1	Wistar rat	PMN control	Rabbit serum	3, 2, 1	4/0
TG1	Wistar rat	Anti-PMN	Anti-PMN rabbit serum	3, 2, 1	7/3
PG2	Wistar rat	M Φ control	PBS-liposomes	5, 3	4/0
TG2	Wistar rat	Anti-M Φ	Clodronate-liposomes	5, 3	7/0
PG3	Wistar rat	NK cell control	in vivo mouse IgG1	3, 1	4/0
TG3	Wistar rat	Anti-NK cell	Anti-CD161 IgG1	3, 1	7/0
PG4	Wistar rat	PMN control M Φ control NK cell control	Rabbit serum; PBS-liposomes; <i>in vivo</i> mouse IgG1	3, 2, 1; 5, 3; 3, 1	4/0
TG4	Wistar rat	Anti-PMN anti-M Φ anti-NK cell	Anti-PMN rabbit serum; clodronate-liposomes; anti-CD161 lgG1	3, 2, 1; 5, 3; 3, 1	7/2
TG5	Wistar rat	Anti-PMN anti-NK cell	Anti-PMN rabbit serum; anti-CD161 lgG	3, 2, 1; 3, 1	7/5
TG6	Wistar rat	Anti-M Φ anti-NK cell	Clodronate-liposomes; anti-CD161 IgG	5, 3; 3, 1	7/0
TG7	Wistar rat	Anti-PMN anti-M Φ	Anti-PMN rabbit serum; clodronate-liposomes	3, 2, 1; 5, 3;	7/0
HCG	Wistar rat	None	None	-	4/-
HPG	Wistar rat	PMN control	Rabbit serum	3, 2, 1, 0	5/-
HTG	Wistar rat	Anti-PMN	Anti-PMN rabbit serum	3, 2, 1, 0	5/-

Table 1. Experimental design and results. Establishment of *Echinococcus multilocularis* metacestodes in Wistar rats that underwent the indicated treatment regimens. The inoculation dose (p.o.) for each animal consisted of 1000 SH-resistant *E. multilocularis* eggs; autopsy was done 10 weeks p.i.

were fixed and permeabilized using BD Cytofix/Cytoperm reagent (BD Bioscience) and subsequently incubated in Perm/Wash buffer (BD Bioscience) containing mouse anti-rat CD68 (clone ED1, BioRad). Cells were acquired on a FACS Gallios (Beckman Coulter) and the data were analysed using FlowJo software (Tristar) following the guidelines for the use of flow cytometry and cell sorting in immunological studies (Cossarizza *et al.*, 2019). In all experiments, the cells were pre-gated on viable, single cells for analysis.

Post-mortem examinations

Necropsy was performed on all rats immediately after CO_2 -euthanasia. From animals sacrificed 16–17 h after egg inoculation, the small intestines were dissected and processed for histological examination. In rats sacrificed at day 70, the livers were grossly examined and photographed.

Histological and immunohistological examinations, morphometric analysis

After fixation, the small intestine of the rats sacrificed 16–17 h after egg inoculation was dissected into the following segments: (a) duodenum (D): the proximal 8% of the intestine's length; (b) jejunum: the middle 90% of the intestine's length (divided into three segments of equal length; J1–J3) and (c) ileum (I): the distal 2% of the intestine's length. Each segment was then processed as a roll to prepare cross-sections of the entire intestine, following previous published protocols (Bialkowska *et al.*, 2016). The tissue was fixed in 10% buffered formalin for 48 h and routinely paraffin wax embedded. Consecutive sections (5 μ m) were prepared and routinely stained with haematoxylin-eosin (HE) or subjected to immunohistological staining. Immunohistology was carried out to detect neutrophils (myeloperoxidase (MPO)-positive) and M Φ (CD68-positive, MPO-positive) in the

intestinal segments J1-J3, applying the horseradish peroxidase (HRP) method. Briefly, after deparaffinization, for the detection of MPO, staining was undertaken with an autostainer (Discovery XT, Ventana), using EDTA buffer (pH 9.0, 20 min at 98°C) for antigen retrieval, followed by incubation with the primary antibody (rabbit anti-MPO; ThermoFisher) in dilution buffer (1:30; Roche) for 1 h at 37°C, followed by the secondary antibody (donkey anti-rabbit IgG; Jackson ImmunoResearch) and the detection kit (DAB-Map-Kit; Roche). For the detection of CD68, the slides were incubated with the primary antibody (mouse anti-rat CD68; BioRad) and diluted in dilution buffer (1:500; Dako) for 1 h at room temperature (RT) in an autostainer (Dako), followed by blocking of endogenous peroxidase (peroxidase block, S2023, Dako) for 10 min at RT and incubation with the secondary antibody and detection system (MACH4 HRP Polymer, Biocare Medical) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The antibody reaction was visualized with 3,3'-diaminobenzidin and sections counterstained with haemalaun.

A morphometric analysis was attempted to assess the small intestines for any quantitative difference in the number of MPO-positive cells present in the mucosa, as an indirect means to identify the number of neutrophils. Histological sections were scanned using a digital slide scanner (NanoZoomer-XR C12000; Hamamatsu, Japan) and the morphometric evaluation was undertaken with the computer program VIS (Visiopharm Integrator System, Version 2019.02.2.6239, Visiopharm, Hoersholm, Denmark). An application (APP) with a two-step process was developed. For each selected jejunal segment (J1-J3), an approximately 0.5 cm long random manual annotation of regions of interest (ROIs) was introduced. A first image segmentation to select the intestinal tissue was performed using the threshold classification method. Subsequently, the selected intestinal tissue was outlined as ROI (J1, J2 and J3). In the second step, MPO-positive cells (with brown cytoplasmic reaction) within the ROIs were selected with the threshold classification method. The results

were expressed as the area of positive cells in each ROI (area of positive cells/total area).

measured with an Infinite 200 plate reader (Tecan) (excitation at 485 nm and emission at 535 nm).

Echinococcus multilocularis antigen preparation for in vitro experiments

Preparation of AO (activated oncospheres), sAO (somatic antigen of AO) and AO E/S (excretory/secretory antigen of AO)

Echinococcus multilocularis oncospheres were processed by artificial enzymatic activation according to the protocol of Deplazes and Gottstein (1991). After addition of pancreatin, pig bile and 2% NaHCO₃, the tube was capped, vigorously shaken repeatedly and incubated in a water bath at 39°C for 15 min (until >80% of oncospheres showed active movement). Subsequently, the oncospheres were counted in a Neubauer counting chamber. After washing oncospheres three times with sterile PBS by centrifugation, $10 \,\mu\text{L}$ HBSS per 1000 AOs were added to the tube and the oncospheres were incubated for 2 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂ to produce E/S antigens. After incubation, the tube was centrifuged at 10 000 g for 5 min and the supernatant was stored at -80° C until use (referred to as AO E/S antigen). The pellet was lyophilized (VirTis freeze dryer), crushed with a pestle and HBSS-buffer ($10 \mu L$ per 1000 AOs) added to the homogenate. The suspension was frozen at -80°C until use (referred to as somatic (s)AO antigen).

Isolation of neutrophils from bone marrow

Neutrophils were separated from the femoral and tibial bone marrow of the rats using density gradient centrifugation. Briefly, 5 mL of Histopaque 1093 was laid upon 5 mL of Histopaque 1077 (both Sigma-Aldrich, Switzerland) in a 14 mL tube. Femur and tibia were dissected after CO_2 euthanasia and opened at the ends. The bone marrow was flushed out with HBSS-buffer using a 1 mL syringe and a 25G cannula. The bone marrow suspension was laid on top of the gradients (1–3 mL) and the tube was centrifuged at 400 *g* for 30 min without break. The cloudy phase containing the neutrophils (second cloudy ring from top) was harvested, washed two times with HBSS and counted in a Neubauer chamber.

Quantification of neutrophil reactive oxygen species (ROS)

Rat neutrophils $(2-4 \times 10^5 \text{ cells})$ were added to each well of a 96-well microtitre plate and co-cultured in duplicates or triplicates with the same volume of either AO E/S (corresponding to E/S of 500 AOs), sAO (corresponding to somatic antigen of 500 AOs), AOs (n = 250 or 1000), PMA (as positive control; $5 \mu \text{g well}^{-1}$, Sigma-Aldrich) or HBSS (as negative control; $5 \mu \text{g well}^{-1}$, Sigma-Aldrich) or HBSS (as negative control; containing CaCl₂ and MgCl₂, Sigma-Aldrich). Total ROS production was determined by adding luminol ($100 \mu \text{M}$, Sigma-Aldrich). Chemiluminescence was measured with an Infinite 200 plate reader (Tecan) every 2 min upon co-cultivation at 37° C over a total time period of 120 min, starting immediately after co-cultivation and addition of the substrate.

Quantification of extracellular DNA as an indicator of NETosis

Rat neutrophils $(2-4 \times 10^5 \text{ cells})$ were cultured in 96-well tissue culture plates in HBSS (containing CaCl₂ and MgCl₂) and stimulated in duplicates or triplicates with either AO E/S (corresponding to E/S of 500 AOs), sAO (corresponding to somatic antigen of 500 AOs), AOs (n = 250 or 1000), zymosan (as positive control; 5 μ g well⁻¹, Sigma-Aldrich) or HBSS (as negative control; containing CaCl₂ and MgCl₂, Sigma-Aldrich) for 2.5 h at 37°C. After incubation, Sytox Green (160 nm, Invitrogen) was added to each well for the detection of extracellular DNA. Fluorescence was

Results

Metacestode development in immune cell-depleted Wistar rats

To analyse whether selected immune cell populations are relevant for resistance against oncosphere invasion, PMNs, M Φ and/or NK cells were depleted from Wistar rats by treatment of the animals with anti-PMN rabbit serum, clodronate liposomes or anti-CD161 antibody, respectively, or combinations thereof prior to infection. Depletion efficiency was verified by flow cytometry at the day of inoculation with E. multilocularis eggs (Supplementary Fig. 1 and Table 1). Metacestode development in the liver was analysed 10 weeks after oral egg inoculation. All positive control BALB/cJRj mice (group M) developed metacestodes in the livers after inoculation, confirming the infectivity of the utilized eggs (Table 1). Placebo-treated Wistar rats (control groups PG1-4) showed no macroscopic evidence of infection. However, in all groups in which, inter alia, PMNs were depleted (groups TG1, TG4 and TG5) we observed active parasite development (alive metacestode tissue without protoscolex differentiation) in the livers (in total 10 of 21 rats), as shown in Fig. 1. Depletion of M Φ (group TG2) or NK cells (TG3) alone or in combination (TG6) and depletion of both $M\Phi$ and PMN (TG7), however, did not result in macroscopic signs of infection (Table 1). This suggests that PMN depletion results in loss of natural resistance to oncosphere migration and subsequent development in rats.

Oral inoculation of rats with E. multilocularis eggs does not elicit any pathological changes in the small intestine

In all rats examined at 16-17 h post oral inoculation with E. multilocularis eggs and in non-infected controls, the small intestine did not exhibit any histological changes. The lamina epithelialis was intact, and the lamina propria showed mild diffuse infiltration by M Φ (CD68+), with lower lymphocytes, some plasma cells and few globular leucocytes/mast cells and eosinophils (Supplementary Fig. 2), representing the type and extent of leucocyte infiltration seen in the normal small intestine of rats (Nolte et al., 2016). Neutrophils were not identified within the infiltrate in the non-treated, non-infected (control) rats, and in rats treated with anti-PMN rabbit serum (group HTG). In rats treated with unspecific rabbit serum prior to inoculation (group HPG), rare individual neutrophils (MPO-positive) were identified in the lamina propria surrounding the crypts (Fig. 2). In general, however, immunohistology suggested that MPO was expressed by 10-15% of infiltrating cells in the lamina epithelialis in all rats; apart from the rare individual neutrophils observed in the PG1 rats, these were mononuclear cells (i.e. $M\Phi$). In order to identify any quantitative differences, a morphometric analysis was undertaken. However, this did not reveal any significant differences in the number of MPO-positive cells in the different groups (Supplementary Table 2), providing further evidence that oral inoculation of rats with E. multilocularis eggs did not elicit a detectable inflammatory response 16-17 h post egg inoculation in the small intestinal mucosa.

Ex vivo PMN stimulation revealed no ROS production or NETosis against oncospheres in vitro

Based on our *in vivo* results, we hypothesized that neutrophils are responsible for the natural resistance of rats against the parasite. To examine possible mechanisms by which neutrophils might



Fig. 1. Necropsy results at day 70. *Echinococcus multilocularis*-infected livers of group TG1 (PMN-depleted) (A), group TG4 (PMN-, MΦ- and NK cell-depleted) (B) and group TG5 (PMN- and NK cell-depleted) (C) at time of necropsy (study day 70). Two representative examples are shown for each group. Arrows show metacestode tissue.



Fig. 2. Immunohistochemistry of rat intestines. Rats treated with unspecific rabbit serum (group PG1) and inoculated orally with *E. multilocularis* eggs 16–17 h post inoculation. Jejunum (J2). Crypts with surrounding lamina propria. (A) The lamina propria is diffusely infiltrated with a few M Φ and rare lymphocytes, eosinophils and globular leucocytes/mast cells. There is also one neutrophil (arrow). HE stain. (B) A proportion of mononuclear cells in the lamina propria exhibit MPO expression (M Φ). There is also one positive cell with a segmented nucleus (arrow), identified as a neutrophil. Immunohistology, haemalaun counterstain. Bars = 10 μ m.

block oncosphere invasion, we assessed the capacity of rat neutrophils to produce ROS and to undergo NETosis in response to *E. multilocularis*. Neutrophils were isolated from immunocompetent Wistar rats and exposed to *E. multilocularis* antigens (AO E/S, sAO and EmVF) *in vitro*. No significant induction of ROS (Table 2) or release of DNA into the extracellular space (as an

Table 2. Results of ROS activity *in vitro*. Rat neutrophils $(2-4 \times 105 \text{ cells})$ were co-cultured with either E. multilocularis AO E/S, sAO, AOs (n = 250 or 1000), PMA (positive control) or HBSS (negative control). Total ROS production was measured by chemiluminescence (CL) at 30, 60, 90 and 120 min. Mean = independent repeats (n) with 2–3 wells per condition each.

Time of stimulation	Stimulus	Cell number	п	Mean (CL)	S.D.	Significance (P value)
30 min	Control	2 × 10 ⁵	5	260.30	157.85	-
	AO250		3	308.89	169.35	0.655
	AO E/S	-	5	201.20	89.46	0.530
	sAO	-	5	216.70	100.18	0.834
	PMA	-	5	23 575.43	10 384.72	0.009*
	Control	4×10^{5}	3	159.50	152.05	-
	AO1000	_	3	260.67	152.73	0.275
	РМА		3	24 893.50	20 300.60	0.05*
60 min	Control	2 × 10 ⁵ -	5	406.90	225.10	-
	AO250		3	517.78	242.18	0.297
	AO E/S		5	369.33	192.14	0.602
	sAO		5	364.77	183.61	0.754
	РМА		5	11 895.27	4187.95	0.009*
	Control	4 × 10 ⁵	3	242.17	93.44	-
	AO1000		3	352.83	86.47	0.275
	РМА		3	17 499.83	4968.25	0.05*
90 min	Control	2 × 10 ⁵	5	523.90	262.14	-
	AO250		3	620.22	257.52	0.655
	AO E/S		5	492.83	215.73	0.917
	sAO		5	482.17	230.38	0.754
	РМА		5	6081.67	1700.87	0.009*
	Control	4×10 ⁵	3	360.17	82.62	-
	AO1000		3	430.83	42.61	0.275
	РМА		3	11 291.83	6551.98	0.05*
120 min	Control	2 × 10 ⁵	5	578.50	273.56	-
	AO250		3	690.83	259.21	0.655
	AO E/S		5	531.43	202.36	0.917
	sAO		5	548.73	249.18	0.834
	РМА		5	2780.07	511.15	0.009*
	Control	4 × 10 ⁵	3	430.67	50.24	-
	AO1000	_	3	471.33	47.90	0.275
	PMA		3	6091.33	4024.39	0.05*

s.p., standard deviation of mean.

*P<0.05.

indicator of NETosis, Table 3) could be detected, while positive control stimuli triggered a significant response. This indicates that the investigated strategies (ROS and NETosis) may not be relevant for the neutrophil-mediated defense against oncosphere invasion in resistant Wistar rats.

Discussion

Varying susceptibility or resistance to *E. multilocularis* oncosphere migration and development among mammal species including humans seems to be based on hitherto unknown immunological mechanisms, with the exception that immunosuppressed individuals of several species show increased susceptibility for AE development after oral inoculation of eggs compared to immunocompetent ones, illustrated by previous case reports and animal experiments (Chauchet *et al.*, 2014; Joekel and Deplazes, 2017). Our study aimed to investigate relevant innate immune cell populations and mechanisms behind the natural resistance of Wistar rats (a laboratory *R. norvegicus* strain). The main conclusion of our *in vivo* experiments is that PMN are key players in preventing oncosphere migration and development in *E. multilocularis* primary infected Wistar rats. Interestingly, NK cell and M Φ depletion alone were not associated with a reduction of this natural resistance. We further observed that M Φ seem to be important for PMN depletion in rats, as no PMN depletion was present when M Φ have initially been eliminated. The inability

Table 3. Results of extracellular DNA *in vitro*. Rat neutrophils $(2-4 \times 105 \text{ cells})$ were co-cultured with either AO E/S, sAO, AOs (n = 1000), zymosan (positive control) or HBSS (negative control). Total extracellular DNA was quantified by fluorescence after addition of Sytox green after 120 min of incubation. Mean = independent repeats (n) with 2–3 wells per condition each.

Time of stimulation	Stimulus	Cell number	n	Mean (fluorescence)	S.D.	Significance (P value)
120 min	Control	2 × 10 ⁵	3	7395.17	1230.24	-
	AO E/S	-	3	9568.17	3831.93	0.513
	sAO		3	7834.17	2072.28	0.827
	Zymosan	-	3	34 384.83	5759.13	0.05*
	Control	4×10^5	3	11 523.00	4717.79	-
	AO1000	-	3	11 363.00	6842.86	0.827
	Zymosan	-	3	41 160.33	785.46	0.05*

s.d., standard deviation of mean. *P < 0.05.

of neutrophil depletion by antibodies after depletion of M Φ by clodronate-liposomes was already described for mice, possibly due to the requirement of neutrophil opsonization by $M\Phi$ (Bruhn et al., 2015). The reason why not all PMN-depleted animals became infected remains unclear. One possible explanation would be that the injected amount of anti-PMN antibodies may have been too low for some rats to induce a necessary level of depletion. Although flow cytometry data at the day of egg inoculation confirmed that PMN counts were significantly decreased in all depleted animals, the circulating pool is replenished rapidly, since millions of neutrophils are released per minute from the bone marrow into the blood (Summers et al., 2010). We administered the last anti-PMN injection 24 h before egg inoculation and must consider that oncospheres need several hours to pass the stomach with the ingesta and for their activation and migration through tissue and vessels in the small intestine. Therefore, it might be recommendable to include a further anti-PMN dose at the day of egg inoculation and even 1 day after, to ensure sufficient PMN depletion at the time of intestinal oncosphere invasion. In this context, it has to be considered that the reproducibility of such experiments might also be affected by differences in batches of polyclonal antisera. PMN are key players of the innate immune mechanisms and often described as first line defense against pathogen invasion after their recruitment to the site of infection by host- and/or pathogen-derived chemotactic stimuli (Yang et al., 2017). Indeed, studies in mice demonstrated that neutrophils are key cells in the host defense against several fungal (Jensen et al., 1994; Romani et al., 1997), bacterial (Appelberg et al., 1994; Conlan, 1997) and protozoan infections (Sayles and Johnson, 1996). Furthermore, the importance of neutrophils for early protective immune responses against invading filarial third stage larvae of Litomosoides sigmodontis, mediated by the nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing protein 2-dependent neutrophil recruitment, was demonstrated in a recent study (Ajendra et al., 2016). Potential protective mechanisms by granulocytes during oncosphere migration have so far been rarely investigated, however, higher PMN counts were detected 4 days after oral inoculation with Taenia taeniaeformis eggs in moderate or highly resistant strains of mice compared to a susceptible mouse strain (Letonja and Hammerberg, 1987). An older study found that ovine neutrophils were able to kill Taenia hydatigena oncospheres only in the presence of serum from infected sheep in vitro, whereas oncospheres were not affected by neutrophils and serum of non-infected sheep. The authors hypothesized that during initial contact of neutrophils and oncospheres (without the presence of specific antibodies or other mediators), neutrophils may not have any impact on

oncosphere viability (Beardsell and Howell, 1984). Another *in vitro* study conducted with murine eosinophils in response to oncospheral extract of the cestode *Hymenolepis nana* had similar results. Intestinal eosinophil numbers increased during *H. nana* infection in mice (Niwa and Miyazato, 1996*a*) and after their isolation from the lamina propria ROS was generated in response to oncospheral extract *in vitro*. However, radical generation from eosinophils of uninfected mice was negligible (Niwa and Miyazato, 1996*b*). This may explain the results of our *in vitro* experiments, since neutrophils of naïve rats did not produce significant ROS after incubation with *E. multilocularis* AOs or oncospheral products such as E/S or somatic antigen.

Neutrophil extracellular trap (NET) formation is a potent defense mechanism by neutrophils against parasite infections i.e. several protozoan species (Guimarães-Costa et al., 2009; Abi Abdallah and Denkers, 2012; Villagra-Blanco et al., 2017) and nematode larvae, such as Strongyloides stercoralis (Bonne-Année et al., 2014), Haemonchus contortus (Muñoz-Caro et al., 2015) and Dirofilaria immitis (Muñoz-Caro et al., 2018). The inability of neutrophils to produce NETs in response to oncospheres, E/S or somatic antigen was surprising, since NETosis could have been an adequate mechanism to trap and immobilize oncospheres during migration in the intestinal mucosa or blood vessels, which has been shown for abovementioned motile nematode larvae. However, the results were in accordance with previous in vitro observations of the inability of bovine neutrophils to induce NETs (and also ROS) in response to (non-)AOs of Taenia saginata (Liliana Silva and Carlos Hermosilla, personal communication, University of Giessen, Germany). The results of the histopathological examination of the small intestines in our cohort of rats further support the in vitro findings, since there was no evidence of substantial neutrophil recruitment into the small intestinal mucosa in rats inoculated with E. multilocularis eggs without prior PMN depletion. Accordingly, we assume that another mechanism, other than ROS production or NETosis, of the defense repertoire of PMN might be considered during initial contact of oncospheres and PMN. Other cell types such as intestinal enterocytes could have potential impact on the initial priming and defense process of PMN during oncosphere invasion. It has been shown that depending on the luminal stimuli, intestinal epithelial cells regulate mucosal immune cells and vice versa, which determines control of disease and homoeostasis (reviewed by Turner, 2009). However, the lack of any pathological changes in the lamina epithelialis, together with the lack of neutrophil influx into the mucosa suggest that the interaction of oncospheres with PMN may takes place only after the former have entered the blood stream.

Conclusion

We were able to significantly improve our rat model concerning animal welfare by applying a commercially available anti-PMN polyclonal serum instead of DXM (which induces up to 20% weight losses), since no adverse effects were recorded in any rat in this study. However, compared to DXM, the antibody-based PMN depletion was less effective, since several rats did not develop AE after oral inoculation with E. multilocularis eggs. Possible improvements for further experiments are discussed above. Rats are considered a good animal model to investigate E. multilocularis oncosphere invasion processes, since they are, comparable to the situation in humans, highly resistant. Therefore, the discovery of relevant mechanisms inhibiting parasite invasion and establishment in animal species considered as resistant may contribute to the understanding of the hitherto unknown mechanisms of susceptibility in humans. If we extrapolate the findings of our in vivo experiment to humans, several open questions come to mind: Is an increased susceptibility of humans to larval E. multilocularis invasion associated with an impaired granulocyte number or function? And, if so, is this increased susceptibility congenitally determined or due to (temporary) pharmacological immunosuppression e.g. drug treatment that incidentally induces agranulocytosis (Andersohn et al., 2007)? In this context and with regards to increased AE susceptibility of HIV-infected patients, it has already been shown that neutropenia is common during HIV infection due to several reasons, inter alia viral cytopathic effects in haematopoietic tissue and the use of myelotoxic agents for treatment (Shi et al., 2014).

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182020002012

Acknowledgements. We highly appreciate Bernard Vanhove for kindly providing the mouse anti-rat CD161 (anti-NK cell) monoclonal antibody. The authors would like to thank Christina Lemberg for help with neutrophil functional assays. Furthermore, we wish to thank the Histology Laboratory, Institute of Veterinary Pathology, Vetsuisse Faculty Zurich, for excellent technical support.

Author contributions. Conceptualization and methodology: DEJ, PD; formal analysis: DEJ, SLL, JMR, AK; investigation: DEJ, SN, PAK, AK, JMR; writing – original draft: DEJ; writing – review and editing: PD, SN, SLL, AK, PAK, JMR; supervision: PD, SLL. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript before submission.

Financial support. This project was financed by the Forschungskredit of the University of Zurich (grant number FK-17-065) and by funds of the Institute of Parasitology, University of Zurich. The work in the LeibundGut-lab was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant number 310030_166206).

Conflict of interest. The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Ethical standards. The animal experiments described in this paper were authorized by the Cantonal Veterinary Office of Zurich, Switzerland (permission no. 017/16 and 018/16). Experiments were performed according to the Directive 2010/63/EU guidelines and relevant Swiss legislation.

References

- Abi Abdallah DS and Denkers E (2012) Neutrophils cast extracellular traps in response to protozoan parasites. *Frontiers in Immunology* **3**, 382.
- Ajendra J, Specht S, Ziewer S, Schiefer A, Pfarr K, Parčina M, Kufer TA, Hoerauf A and Hübner MP (2016) NOD2 Dependent neutrophil recruitment is required for early protective immune responses against infectious *Litomosoides sigmodontis* L3 larvae. *Scientific Reports* 6, 39648.
- Andersohn F, Konzen C and Garbe E (2007) Systematic review: agranulocytosis induced by nonchemotherapy drugs. Annals of Internal Medicine 146, 657–665.

- Appelberg R, Castro AG and Silva MT (1994) Neutrophils as effector cells of T-cell-mediated, acquired immunity in murine listeriosis. *Immunology* 83, 302–307.
- Armua-Fernandez MT, Joekel D, Schweiger A, Eichenberger RM, Matsumoto J and Deplazes P (2016) Successful intestinal *Echinococcus multilocularis* oncosphere invasion and subsequent hepatic metacestode establishment in resistant RccHanTM:WIST rats after pharmacological immunosuppression. *Parasitology* 143, 1252–1260.
- Beardsell PL and Howell MJ (1984) Killing of *Taenia hydatigena* oncospheres by sheep neutrophils. *Zeitschrift für Parasitenkunde* **70**, 337–344.
- Beerli O, Guerra D, Baltrunaite L, Deplazes P and Hegglin D (2017) Microtus arvalis and Arvicola scherman: key players in the Echinococcus multilocularis life cycle. Frontiers in Veterinary Science 4, 216.
- Bialkowska AB, Ghaleb AM, Nandan MO and Yang VW (2016) Improved Swiss-rolling technique for intestinal tissue preparation for immunohistochemical and immunofluorescent analyses. *Journal of Visualized Experiments* 113, 54161. doi: 10.3791/54161.
- Bonne-Année S, Kerepesi LA, Hess JA, Wesolowski J, Paumet F, Lok JB, Nolan TJ and Abraham D (2014) Extracellular traps are associated with human and mouse neutrophil and macrophage mediated killing of larval *Strongyloides stercoralis. Microbes and Infection* **16**, 502–511.
- Bresson-Hadni S, Koch S, Beurton I, Vuitton DA, Bartholomot B, Hrusovsky S, Heyd B, Lenys D, Minello A, Becker MC, Vanlemmens C, Mantion GA and Miguet JP (1999) Primary disease recurrence after liver transplantation for alveolar echinococcosis: long-term evaluation in 15 patients. *Hepatology* **30**, 857–864.
- Bruhn KW, Dekitani K, Nielsen TB., Pantapalangkoor P and Spellberg B (2015) Ly6G-mediated depletion of neutrophils is dependent on macrophages. *Results in Immunology* 6, 5–7. doi: 10.1016/j.rinim.2015.12.001.
- Chauchet A, Grenouillet F, Knapp J, Richou C, Delabrousse E, Dentan C, Millon L, Di Martino V, Contreras R, Deconinck E, Blagosklonov O, Vuitton DA, Bresson-Hadni S and FrancEchino Network (2014) Increased incidence and characteristics of alveolar echinococcosis in patients with immunosuppression-associated conditions. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 59, 1095–1104.
- Conlan JW (1997) Critical roles of neutrophils in host defense against experimental systemic infections of mice by *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella typhimurium*, and *Yersinia enterocolitica*. *Infection and Immunity* 65, 630–635.
- Cossarizza A, Chang HD, Radbruch A, Acs, A, Adam, D, Adam-Klages, S, Agace, WW, Aghaeepour, N, Akdis, M, Allez, M, Almeida, LN, Alvisi, G, Anderson, G, Andrä, I, Annunziato, F, Anselmo, A, Bacher, P, Baldari, CT, Bari, S, Barnaba, V, Barros-Martins, J, Battistini, L, Bauer, W, Baumgart, S, Baumgarth, N, Baumjohann, D, Baying, B, Bebawy, M, Becher, B, Beisker, W, Benes, V, Beyaert, R, Blanco, A, Boardman, DA, Bogdan, C, Borger, JG, Borsellino, G, Boulais, PE, Bradford, JA, Brenner, D, Brinkman, RR, Brooks, AES, Busch, DH, Büscher, M, Bushnell, TP, Calzetti, F, Cameron, G, Cammarata, I, Cao, X, Cardell, SL, Casola, S, Cassatella, MA, Cavani, A, Celada, A, Chatenoud, L, Chattopadhyay, PK, Chow, S, Christakou, E, Čičin-Šain, L, Clerici, M, Colombo, FS, Cook, L, Cooke, A, Cooper, AM, Corbett, AJ, Cosma, A, Cosmi, L, Coulie, PG, Cumano, A, Cvetkovic, L, Dang, VD, Dang-Heine, C, Davey, MS, Davies, D, De Biasi, S, Del Zotto, G, Dela Cruz, GV, Delacher, M, Della Bella, S, Dellabona, P, Deniz, G, Dessing, M, Di Santo, JP, Diefenbach, A, Dieli, F, Dolf, A, Dörner, T, Dress, RJ, Dudziak, D, Dustin, M, Dutertre, CA, Ebner, F, Eckle, SBG, Edinger, M, Eede, P, Ehrhardt, GRA, Eich, M, Engel, P, Engelhardt, B, Erdei, A, Esser, C, Everts, B, Evrard, M, Falk, CS, Fehniger, TA, Felipo-Benavent, M, Ferry, H, Feuerer, M, Filby, A, Filkor, K, Fillatreau, S, Follo, M, Förster, I, Foster, J, Foulds, GA, Frehse, B, Frenette, PS, Frischbutter, S, Fritzsche, W, Galbraith, DW, Gangaev, A, Garbi, N, Gaudilliere, B, Gazzinelli, RT, Geginat, J, Gerner, W, Gherardin, NA, Ghoreschi, K, Gibellini, L, Ginhoux, F, Goda, K, Godfrey, DI, Goettlinger, C, González-Navajas, JM, Goodyear, CS, Gori, A, Grogan, JL, Grummitt, D, Grützkau, A, Haftmann, C, Hahn, J, Hammad, H, Hämmerling, G, Hansmann, L, Hansson, G, Harpur, CM, Hartmann, S, Hauser, A, Hauser, AE, Haviland, DL, Hedley, D, Hernández, DC, Herrera, G, Herrmann, M, Hess, C, Höfer, T, Hoffmann, P, Hogquist, K, Holland, T, Höllt, T, Holmdahl, R, Hombrink, P, Houston, JP, Hoyer, BF, Huang, B, Huang, FP, Huber, JE, Huehn, J, Hundemer, M, Hunter, CA, Hwang, WYK, Iannone, A, Ingelfinger, F, Ivison, SM, Jäck, HM, Jani, PK, Jávega, B, Jonjic, S, Kaiser, T, Kalina, T, Kamradt, T, Kaufmann, SHE, Keller, B, Ketelaars, SLC, Khalilnezhad, A, Khan,

S, Kisielow, J, Klenerman, P, Knopf, J, Koay, HF, Kobow, K, Kolls, JK, Kong, WT, Kopf, M, Korn, T, Kriegsmann, K, Kristyanto, H, Kroneis, T, Krueger, A, Kühne, J, Kukat, C, Kunkel, D, Kunze-Schumacher, H, Kurosaki, T, Kurts, C, Kvistborg, P, Kwok, I, Landry, J, Lantz, O, Lanuti, P, LaRosa, F, Lehuen, A, LeibundGut-Landmann, S, Leipold, MD, Leung, LYT, Levings, MK, Lino, AC, Liotta, F, Litwin, V, Liu, Y, Ljunggren, HG, Lohoff, M, Lombardi, G, Lopez, L, López-Botet, M, Lovett-Racke, AE, Lubberts, E, Luche, H, Ludewig, B, Lugli, E, Lunemann, S, Maecker, HT, Maggi, L, Maguire, O, Mair, F, Mair, KH, Mantovani, A, Manz, RA, Marshall, AJ, Martínez-Romero, A, Martrus, G, Marventano, I, Maslinski, W, Matarese, G, Mattioli, AV, Maueröder, C, Mazzoni, A, McCluskey, J, McGrath, M, McGuire, HM, McInnes, IB, Mei, HE, Melchers, F, Melzer, S, Mielenz, D, Miller, SD, Mills, KHG, Minderman, H, Mjösberg, J, Moore, J, Moran, B, Moretta, L, Mosmann, TR, Müller, S, Multhoff, G, Muñoz, LE, Münz, C, Nakayama, T, Nasi, M, Neumann, K, Ng, LG, Niedobitek, A, Nourshargh, S, Núñez, G, O'Connor, JE, Ochel, A, Oja, A, Ordonez, D, Orfao, A, Orlowski-Oliver, E, Ouyang, W, Oxenius, A, Palankar, R, Panse, I, Pattanapanyasat, K, Paulsen, M, Pavlinic, D, Penter, L, Peterson, P, Peth, C, Petriz, J, Piancone, F, Pickl, WF, Piconese, S, Pinti, M, Pockley, AG, Podolska, MJ, Poon, Z, Pracht, K, Prinz, I, Pucillo, CEM, Quataert, SA, Quatrini, L, Quinn, KM, Radbruch, H, Radstake, TRDJ, Rahmig, S, Rahn, HP, Rajwa, B, Ravichandran, G, Raz, Y, Rebhahn, JA, Recktenwald, D, Reimer, D, Reis e Sousa, C, Remmerswaal, EBM, Richter, L, Rico, LG, Riddell, A, Rieger, AM, Robinson, JP, Romagnani, C, Rubartelli, A, Ruland, J, Saalmüller, A, Saeys, Y, Saito, T, Sakaguchi, S, Sala-de-Oyanguren, F, Samstag, Y, Sanderson, S, Sandrock, I, Santoni, A, Sanz, RB, Saresella, M, Sautes-Fridman, C, Sawitzki, B, Schadt, L, Scheffold, A, Scherer, HU, Schiemann, M, Schildberg, FA, Schimisky, E, Schlitzer, A, Schlosser, J, Schmid, S, Schmitt, S, Schober, K, Schraivogel, D, Schuh, W, Schüler, T, Schulte, R, Schulz, AR, Schulz, SR, Scottá, C, Scott-Algara, D, Sester, DP, Shankey, TV, Silva-Santos, B, Simon, AK, Sitnik, KM, Sozzani, S, Speiser, DE, Spidlen, J, Stahlberg, A, Stall, AM, Stanley, N, Stark, R, Stehle, C, Steinmetz, T, Stockinger, H, Takahama, Y, Takeda, K, Tan, L, Tárnok, A, Tiegs, G, Toldi, G, Tornack, J, Traggiai, E, Trebak, M, Tree, TIM, Trotter, J, Trowsdale, J, Tsoumakidou, M, Ulrich, H, Urbanczyk, S, van de Veen, W, van den Broek, M, van der Pol, E, Van Gassen, S, Van Isterdael, G, van Lier, RAW, Veldhoen, M, Vento-Asturias, S, Vieira, P, Voehringer, D, Volk, HD, von Borstel, A, von Volkmann, K, Waisman, A, Walker, RV, Wallace, PK, Wang, SA, Wang, XM, Ward, MD, Ward-Hartstonge, KA, Warnatz, K, Warnes, G, Warth, S, Waskow, C, Watson, JV, Watzl, C, Wegener, L, Weisenburger, T, Wiedemann, A, Wienands, J, Wilharm, A, Wilkinson, RJ, Willimsky, G, Wing, JB, Winkelmann, R, Winkler, TH, Wirz, OF, Wong, A, Wurst, P, Yang, JHM, Yang, J, Yazdanbakhsh, M, Yu, L, Yue, A, Zhang, H, Zhao, Y, Ziegler, SM, Zielinski, C, Zimmermann, J and Zychlinsky, A (2019) Guidelines for the use of flow cytometry and cell sorting in immunological studies (second edition). European Journal of Immunology 49, 1457–1973.

- Coutinho AE and Chapman KE (2011) The anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive effects of glucocorticoids, recent developments and mechanistic insights. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* 335, 2–13.
- Deplazes P and Eckert J (1988) Mass collection and storage of *Taenia hyda-tigena* eggs and isolation of viable oncospheres. *Schweizer Archiv für Tierheilkunde* 130, 307–320 (in German).
- **Deplazes P and Gottstein B** (1991) A monoclonal antibody against *Echinococcus multilocularis* Em2 antigen. *Parasitology* **103**, 41–49.
- Friedrich V, Flores R, Muller A, Bi W, Peerschke EI and Sehba FA (2011) Reduction of neutrophil activity decreases early microvascular injury after subarachnoid haemorrhage. *Journal of Neuroinflammation* 8, 103.
- Gottstein B, Wang J, Boubaker G, Marinova I, Spiliotis M, Müller N and Hemphill A (2015) Susceptibility versus resistance in alveolar echinococcosis (larval infection with Echinococcus multilocularis). Veterinary Parasitology 213, 103–109. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.07.029.
- Gottstein B, Soboslay P, Ortona E, Wang J, Siracusano A and Vuitton DA (2017) Immunology of alveolar and cystic echinococcosis (AE and CE). *Advances in Parasitology* **96**, 1–54.
- Guimarães-Costa AB, Nascimento MT, Froment GS, Soares RP, Morgado FN, Conceição-Silva F and Saraiva EM (2009) *Leishmania amazonensis* promastigotes induce and are killed by neutrophil extracellular traps.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **106**, 6748–6753.

- Iwaki T, Hatakeyama S, Nonaka N, Miyaji S, Yokohata Y, Okamoto M, Ooi H, Oku Y and Kamiya M (1993) Surveys on larval *Echinococcus multilocularis* and other hepatic helminths in rodents and insectivores in Hokkaido, Japan, from 1985 to 1992. *Japanese Journal of Parasitology* 42, 502–506.
- Jensen J, Warner T and Balish E (1994) The role of phagocytic cells in resistance to disseminated candidiasis in granulocytopenic mice. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* **170**, 900–905.
- Joekel DE and Deplazes P (2017) Optimized dexamethasone immunosuppression enables *Echinococcus multilocularis* liver establishment after oral egg inoculation in a rat model. *Experimental Parasitology* **180**, 27–32.
- Kern P, Meezes da Silva A, Akhan O, Müllhaupt B, Vizcaychipi KA, Budke C and Vuitton DA (2017) The Echinococcoses: diagnosis, clinical management and burden of disease. *Advances in Parasitology* 96, 259–369.
- Lachenmayer A, Gebbers D, Gottstein B, Candinas D and Beldi G (2019) Elevated incidence of alveolar echinococcosis in immunocompromised patients. *Food and Waterborne Parasitology* **16**, e00060.
- Letonja T and Hammerberg C (1987) *Taenia taeniaeformis*: early inflammatory response around developing metacestodes in the liver of resistant and susceptible mice I. Identification of leukocyte response with monoclonal antibodies. *Journal of Parasitology* **73**, 962–970.
- Matsumoto J, Kouguchi H, Oku Y and Yagi K (2010) Primary alveolar echinococcosis: course of larval development and antibody responses in intermediate host rodents with different genetic backgrounds after oral infection with eggs of *Echinococcus multilocularis*. *Parasitology International* **59**, 435–444.
- Muñoz-Caro T, Rubio RMC, Silva LM, Magdowski G, Gärtner U, McNeilly TN, Taubert A and Hermosilla C (2015) Leucocyte-derived extracellular trap formation significantly contributes to *Haemonchus contortus* larval entrapment. *Parasites and Vectors* 8, 607.
- Muñoz-Caro T, Conejeros I, Zhou E, Pikhovych A, Gärtner U, Hermosilla C, Kulke D and Taubert A (2018) Dirofilaria immitis microfilariae and third-stage larvae induce canine NETosis resulting in different types of neutrophil extracellular traps. Frontiers in Immunology 9, 968.
- Nakao R, Kameda Y, Kouguchi H, Matsumoto J, Dang Z, Simon AY, Torigoe D, Sasaki N, Oku Y, Sugimoto C, Agui T and Yagi K (2011) Identification of genetic loci affecting the establishment and development of *Echinococcus multilocularis* larvae in mice. *International Journal of Parasitology* 41, 1121–1128.
- Niwa A and Miyazato T (1996a) Enhancement of intestinal eosinophilia during *Hymenolepis nana* infection in mice. *Journal of Helminthology* **70**, 33–41.
- Niwa A and Miyazato T (1996b) Reactive oxygen intermediates from eosinophils in mice infected with *Hymenolepis nana*. *Parasite Immunology* **18**, 285–295.
- Nolte T, Brander-Weber P, Dangler C, Deschl U, Elwell MR, Greaves P, Hailey R, Leach MW, Pandiri AR, Rogers A, Shackelford CC, Spencer A, Tanaka T and Ward JM (2016) Nonproliferative and proliferative lesions of the gastrointestinal tract, pancreas and salivary glands of the rat and mouse. *Journal of Toxicologic Pathology* **29**, 1S–125S.
- Okamoto M, Fujita O, Arikawa J, Kurosawa T, Oku Y and Kamiya M (1992) Natural Echinococcus multilocularis infection in a Norway rat, Rattus norvegicus, in southern Hokkaido Japan. International Journal of Parasitology 22, 681–684.
- Romani L, Mencacci A, Cenci E, Sero GD, Bistoni F and Puccetti P (1997) An immunoregulatory role for neutrophils in CD4⁺ T helper subset selection in mice with candidiasis. *Journal of Immunology* 158, 2356–2362.
- Romig T, Deplazes P, Jenkins D, Giraudoux P, Massolo A, Craig PS, Wassermann M, Takahashi K and de la Rue M (2017) Ecology and life cycle patterns of Echinococcus species. Advances in Parasitology 95, 213–314.
- Sailer M, Soelder B, Allerberger F, Zaknun D, Feichtinger H and Gottstein B (1997) Alveolar echinococcosis of the liver in a six-year-old girl with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. *The Journal of Pediatrics* 130, 320–323.
- Sayles PC and Johnson LL (1996) Exacerbation of toxoplasmosis in neutrophil-depleted mice. *Nature Immunology* 15, 249–258.
- Schwartzkopff J, Schlereth SL, Berger M, Bredow L, Birnbaum F, Böhringer D and Reinhard T (2010) NK cell depletion delays corneal allograft rejection in baby rats. *Molecular Vision* 16, 1928–1935.

- Shi X, Sims MD, Hanna MM, Xie M, Gulick PG, Zheng YH, Basson MD and Zhang P (2014) Neutropenia during HIV infection: adverse consequences and remedies. *International Reviews of Immunology* 33, 511–536.
- Summers C, Rankin SM, Condliffe AM, Singh N, Peters AM and Chilvers ER (2010) Neutrophil kinetics in health and disease. *Trends in Immunology* **31**, 318–324.
- Tang CT, Wang YH, Peng WF, Tang L and Chen D (2006) Alveolar Echinococcus species from *Vulpes corsac* in Hulunbeier, Inner Mongolia, China, and differential development of the metacestodes in experimental rodents. *Journal of Parasitology* 92, 719–724.
- Turner JR (2009) Intestinal mucosal barrier function in health and disease. Nature Reviews. Immunology 9, 799–809.
- Villagra-Blanco R, Silva LMR, Muñoz-Caro T, Yang Z, Li J, Gärtner U, Taubert A, Zhang X and Hermosilla C (2017) Bovine polymorphonuclear neutrophils cast neutrophil extracellular traps against the abortive parasite *Neospora caninum. Frontiers in Immunology* 8, 606.
- Vuitton DA (2003) The ambiguous role of immunity in echinococcosis: protection of the host or of the parasite? Acta Tropica 85, 119–132.
- Vuitton DA, Demonmerot F, Knapp J, Richou C, Grenouillet F, Chauchet A, Vuitton L, Bresson-Hadni S and Millon L (2015) Clinical epidemiology of human AE in Europe. Veterinary Parasitology 213, 110–120.

- Webster GA and Cameron TWM (1961) Observation on experimental infections with *Echinococcus* in rodents. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 39, 877–891.
- Woolsey ID, Jensen PM, Deplazes P and Kapel CM (2015a) Establishment and development of *Echinococcus multilocularis* metacestodes in the common vole (*Microtus arvalis*) after oral inoculation with parasite eggs. *Parasitology International* 64, 571–575.
- Woolsey ID, Bune NE, Jensen PM, Deplazes P and Kapel CM (2015b) *Echinococcus multilocularis* infection in the field vole (*Microtus agrestis*): an ecological model for studies on transmission dynamics. *Parasitology Research* **114**, 1703–1709.
- Woolsey ID, Jensen PM, Deplazes P and Kapel CM (2016) Peroral Echinococcus multilocularis egg inoculation in Myodes glareolus, Mesocricetus auratus and Mus musculus (CD-1 IGS and C57BL/6j). International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife 5, 158–163.
- Yang YR, Vuitton DA, Jones MK, Craig PS and McManus DP (2005) Brain metastasis of alveolar echinococcosis in hyperendemic focus of Echinococcus multilocularis infection. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 99, 37–941.
- Yang F, Feng C, Zhang X, Lu J and Zhao Y (2017) The diverse biological functions of neutrophils, beyond the defense against infections. *Inflammation* 40, 311–323.