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#### Abstract

The Poincare map of mixed exterior algebra generalizes the Hodge star operator and it plays a central rôle in the proofs of many classical identities of linear algebra. The principal purpose of this paper is to derive a new formula for it. This formula is useful in circumstances when the definition is too implicit. Several applications are discussed.


§1. Introduction. Let $E$ be an $n$-dimensional vector space over a field $\Gamma$ of characteristic 0 . Let $E^{*}$ denote its dual, relative to a scalar product $\langle$,$\rangle . Then$ we may form vector spaces $\Lambda E^{*}, \Lambda E$ and their tensor product, which we denote by $\Lambda\left(E^{*}, E\right)$. This space has a natural inner product, which is induced from $\langle$,$\rangle and which we denote by the same symbol. Also the exterior algebra$ structures of $\Lambda E^{*}$ and $\Lambda E$ give rise to an algebraic structure on $\Lambda\left(E^{*}, E\right)$. This is denoted by a dot and is called the mixed exterior algebra. As well, $\Lambda\left(E^{*}, E\right)$ has a "composition" product " $\circ$ ". The inner product and both algebraic structures restrict to the diagonal subalgebra

$$
\Delta(E)=\bigoplus_{p=0}^{n} \Delta_{p}(E), \quad \Delta_{p}(E)=\Lambda^{p} E^{*} \otimes \Lambda^{p} E
$$

and the dot product is commutative there. Since $\Delta_{0}(E)=\Gamma$ and $\Delta_{1}(E)$ generate $\Delta(E)$, it is often easy to prove results for the dot product by working with decomposed elements and using linearity. On the other hand, many important results involve the composition algebra. Thus it is essential to know relations between the two products. Several such relations have been developed in [1] and used to give intrinsic proofs of many classical results of linear algebra. Others were announced in [2]. The purpose of this paper is to give a complete proof of one of the formulae of [2].
§2. Algebraic preliminaries. The proofs of all the results stated in this section are to be found in [1].

If $L(E)$ denotes the algebra of linear transformations of $E$, then the map

[^0]$T: \Delta_{1}(E) \rightarrow L(E)$, given by
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(x^{*} \otimes x\right) y=\left\langle x^{*}, y\right\rangle x, \quad x, y \in E, x^{*} \in E^{*} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

is an isomorphism of composition algebras. $T^{-1}(\iota)=t$ is called the unit tensor. It satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \circ t=t \circ z=z \quad z \in \Delta_{1}(E) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define $t^{p} \in \Delta_{\mathrm{p}}(E), p \in \mathbb{Z}$, by
(3) $\quad t^{0}=1, \quad t^{p}=\frac{1}{p!}\left(t \cdot \underset{\text { (p factors) }}{t} \cdots t, \quad p=1,2, \ldots, n\right.$ and $t^{p}=0, \quad$ otherwise.

For each $u \in \Lambda\left(E^{*}, E\right)$, let $\mu(u)$ denote left multiplication by $u$ in the dot algebra. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(u \cdot v)=\mu(u) \circ \mu(v) \quad u, v \in \Lambda\left(E^{*}, E\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dual of $\mu(u)$ is written $i(u)$ :

$$
\langle\mu(u) v, w\rangle=\langle v, i(u) w\rangle \quad u, v, w \in \Lambda\left(E^{*}, E\right)
$$

and thus (4) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
i(u \cdot v)=i(v) \circ i(u) \quad u, v \in \Lambda\left(E^{*}, E\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(t^{a}\right) t^{p}=\binom{n-p+q}{q} t^{p-a} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
The Poincaré map $D: \Lambda\left(E^{*}, E\right) \rightarrow \Lambda\left(E^{*}, E\right)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D u=i(u) t^{n}, \quad u \in \Lambda\left(E^{*}, E\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is a linear isomorphism and an isometry. It satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{2} u=u, \quad u \in \Delta(E) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4)' and the commutativity of $\Delta(E)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
i(u) \circ D=D \circ \mu(u), \quad u \in \Delta(E) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

as an operator identity on $\Delta(E)$. From (5), we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D t^{p}=t^{n-p} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following identity will play a central rôle in the sequel:

$$
\begin{align*}
i(z)\left(z_{1} \cdots z_{\mathrm{p}}\right)= & \sum_{\mu=1}^{p} z_{1} \cdots\left\langle z, z_{\mu}\right\rangle \cdots z_{\mathrm{p}} \\
& -\sum_{1 \leq \mu<\nu \leq p}\left(z_{\mu} \circ z \circ z_{\nu}+z_{\nu} \circ z \circ z_{\mu}\right)  \tag{10}\\
& \times z_{1} \cdots \hat{z}_{\mu} \cdots \hat{z}_{\nu} \cdots z_{p} \quad z, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{\mathrm{p}} \in \Delta_{1}(E)
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, since any element of $L(E)$ induces an unique derivation of $\Lambda E$, we write $\theta_{z}$ for the derivation generated by $T(z), z \in \Delta_{1}(E)$ (cf. (1)).
§3. The derivations $\lambda_{z}$ and $\rho_{z}$. For each $z \in \Delta_{1}(E)$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{z}=\iota \otimes \theta_{z}, \quad \rho_{z}=\lambda_{z}^{*}=\theta_{z}^{*} \otimes \iota \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then both $\lambda_{z}$ and $\rho_{z}$ are derivations of the dot algebra. They both restrict to derivations of $\Delta(E)$.

Throughout the remainder of this paper, we will restrict our consideration to $\Delta(E)$. For $u, v \in \Delta(E)$, then, (4), (4)' imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(u) \circ \mu(v)=\mu(v) \circ \mu(u) \quad \text { and } \quad i(u) \circ i(v)=i(v) \circ i(u) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda_{z}\left(z_{1} \cdots z_{p}\right)=\sum_{\nu=1}^{p} z_{1} \cdots\left(z \circ z_{\nu}\right) \cdots z_{p}  \tag{13}\\
\rho_{z}\left(z_{1} \cdots z_{p}\right)=\sum_{\nu=1} z_{1} \cdots\left(z_{\nu} \circ z\right) \cdots z_{p}  \tag{13}\\
z, z_{1} \cdots z_{p} \in \Delta_{1}(E) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. It is sufficient to assume that $z=x^{*} \otimes x, z_{\nu}=x^{* \nu} \otimes x_{\nu}$, where $x^{*}, x^{* \nu} \in E^{*}, \quad x, x_{\nu} \in E, \quad \nu=1, \ldots, p$. Then $\quad z_{1} \cdots z_{p}=\left(x^{* 1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x^{* p}\right) \otimes$ ( $x_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{p}$ ) and so, by (11),

$$
\lambda_{z}\left(z_{1} \cdots z_{p}\right)=\left(x^{* 1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x^{* p}\right) \otimes \sum_{\nu=1}^{p}\left(x_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_{z} x_{\nu} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{p}\right) .
$$

But $\theta_{z} x_{\nu}=T(z) x_{\nu}=\left\langle x^{*}, x_{\nu}\right\rangle x$ (cf. (1)). Thus

$$
\lambda_{z}\left(z_{1} \cdots z_{p}\right)=\sum_{\nu=1}^{p}\left(x^{* 1} \otimes x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(\left\langle x^{*}, x_{\nu}\right\rangle x^{* \nu} \otimes x\right) \cdots\left(x^{* p} \otimes x_{p}\right) .
$$

Since $z \circ z_{\nu}=\left\langle x^{*}, x_{\nu}\right\rangle x^{* \nu} \otimes x$, this is (13). Equation (13)' is proved similarly.

Next observe that (10) may be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
i(z) \mu\left(z_{1}\right)\left(z_{2} \cdots z_{p}\right)= & \left\langle z, z_{1}\right\rangle\left(z_{2} \cdots z_{p}\right)+\mu\left(z_{1}\right)\left[i(z)\left(z_{2} \cdots z_{\mathrm{p}}\right)\right] \\
& -\sum_{\nu=2}^{p}\left(z_{1} \circ z \circ z_{\nu}+z_{\nu} \circ z \circ z_{1}\right) \cdot z_{2} \cdots \hat{z}_{\nu} \cdots z_{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of the lemma, the last term is

$$
-\left(\lambda_{z_{1} \circ z}+\rho_{z \circ z_{1}}\right)\left(z_{2} \cdots z_{p}\right)
$$

and since $z_{2}, \ldots, z_{p} \in \Delta_{1}(E)$ and $p$ are arbitrary, we have an operator identity on $\Delta(E)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
i(z) \circ \mu\left(z_{1}\right)-\mu\left(z_{1}\right) \circ i(z)=\left\langle z, z_{1}\right\rangle \iota-\lambda_{z_{1} \circ z}-\rho_{z \circ z_{1}}, \quad z, z_{1} \in \Delta_{1}(E) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\theta(z), \Gamma(z)$ be the self-dual operators on $\Delta(E)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(z)=\lambda_{z}+\rho_{z}, \quad \Gamma(z)=\langle t, z\rangle_{七}-\theta(z), \quad z \in \Delta_{1}(E) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (14) implies

$$
i(t) \circ \mu(z)-\mu(z) \circ i(t)=\Gamma(z)=i(z) \circ \mu(t)-\mu(t) \circ i(z), \quad \begin{align*}
& z \in \Delta_{1}(E), \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where the latter equality follows from the former by dualizing.
§4. Commutation formulae. The lemma of $\S 3$ implies that

$$
\lambda_{z}\left(t \cdot z_{1} \cdots z_{\mathrm{p}}\right)=(z \circ t) \cdot z_{1} \cdots z_{\mathrm{p}}+t \cdot \lambda_{z}\left(z_{1} \cdots z_{\mathrm{p}}\right)
$$

and hence yields the operator identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{z} \circ \mu(t)-\mu(t) \circ \lambda_{z}=\mu(z), \quad z \in \Delta_{1}(E) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{z} \circ \mu(t)-\mu(t) \circ \rho_{z}=\mu(z) \quad z \in \Delta_{1}(E) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (15), these imply

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta(z) \circ \mu(t)-\mu(t) \circ \theta(z)=2 \mu(z), \quad \Gamma(z) \circ \mu(t)-\mu(t) \circ \Gamma(z)=-2 \mu(z),  \tag{18}\\
z \in \Delta_{1}(E),
\end{gather*}
$$

and their duals.
We will obtain higher order commutation formula via the
Lemma. Let $F$ be any vector space. Fix $\phi \in L(F)$ and for each $\psi \in L(F)$ consider the $\phi$-commutators $\psi_{p}=\psi \circ \phi^{p}-\phi^{p} \circ \psi, p=0,1, \ldots$ Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{p}=\sum_{\nu=0}^{p-1} \phi^{\nu} \circ \psi_{1} \circ \phi^{p-1-\nu} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From the definition

$$
\psi_{p+1}=\phi \circ \psi_{p}-\phi \circ \psi_{p-1} \circ \phi+\psi_{p} \circ \phi
$$

This recursion has an unique solution if $\psi_{0}$ and $\psi_{1}$ are specified. But it is easy to check that (19) satisfies it (with $\psi_{0}=0$ ).

Corollary 1. For all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Gamma(z) \circ \mu\left(t^{p}\right)-\mu\left(t^{p}\right) \circ \Gamma(z)=-2 \mu\left(t^{p-1}\right) \circ \mu(z)  \tag{20}\\
i\left(t^{p}\right) \circ \Gamma(z)-\Gamma(z) \circ i\left(t^{p}\right)=-2 i\left(t^{p-1}\right) \circ i(z), \quad z \in \Delta_{1}(E), \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. In the lemma, let $\phi=\mu(t), \psi=\Gamma(z)$ and note that $\psi_{1}=-2 \mu(z)$, by (18). This gives (20) for $p=1,2, \ldots$ For $p \leq 0$, it follows from (3). (20)* is its dual.

Corollary 2. For all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& i(z) \circ \mu\left(t^{p}\right)-\mu\left(t^{p}\right) \circ i(z)=\mu\left(t^{p-1}\right) \circ \Gamma(z)-\mu\left(t^{p-2}\right) \circ \mu(z),  \tag{21}\\
& i\left(t^{p}\right) \circ \mu(z)-\mu(z) \circ i\left(t^{p}\right)= \Gamma(z) \circ i\left(t^{p-1}\right)  \tag{21}\\
&-i(z) \circ i\left(t^{p-2}\right), \quad z \in \Delta_{1}(E) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. In the lemma, let $\phi=\mu(t), \psi=i(z)$ and note that $\psi_{1}=\Gamma(z)$, by (16). This gives

$$
\psi_{p}=\sum_{\nu=0}^{p-1} \mu(t)^{\nu} \circ\left[\mu(t)^{p-1-\nu} \circ \Gamma(z)-2(p-1-\nu) \mu(t)^{p-2-\nu} \circ \mu(z)\right]
$$

after the use of (20). Simplifying, we find

$$
\psi_{p}=p \mu(t)^{p-1} \circ \Gamma(z)-p(p-1) \mu(t)^{p-2} \circ \mu(z)
$$

which, in view of (3), yields (21). (21)* is its dual.
§5. The main identity. In this section we will prove the identity (26). It has many applications, some of which will be described in the next section.

For notational simplicity, we introduce the elements of $L(\Delta(E)$ ) defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{a}^{p}=\mu\left(t^{p+q}\right) \circ i\left(t^{p}\right), \quad p, q \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{q}=\sum_{p}(-1)^{p} \tau_{q}^{p}, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $\tau_{q}^{p}=0$, unless $p, p+q \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$. Thus the sum in (23) is finite and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathrm{p}}(-1)^{p}\left(\tau_{a}^{p}+\tau_{q}^{p-1}\right)=0, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Furthermore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{q}^{p}(1)=\delta_{0}^{p} t^{q}, \quad p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{0}^{0}=1$ and $\delta_{0}^{p}=0$, for $p \neq 0$. It follows from (23) and (25) that (25)'

$$
T_{q}(1)=t^{q}, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z} .
$$

Now, by (22), if $z \in \Delta_{1}(E)$, we have $i(z) \circ \tau_{a}^{p}=\left[i(z) \circ \mu\left(t^{p+a}\right)\right] \circ i\left(t^{p}\right)$. Thus successive use of (21), (20), (21)* and (20)* yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i(z) \circ \tau_{a}^{p}= {\left[\mu\left(t^{p+q}\right) \circ i(z)+\mu\left(t^{p+q-1}\right) \circ \Gamma(z)-\mu\left(t^{p+q-2}\right) \circ \mu(z)\right] \circ i\left(t^{p}\right) } \\
&= \mu\left(t^{p+q}\right) \circ i(z) \circ i\left(t^{p}\right)+\mu\left(t^{p+q-1}\right) \circ\left[i\left(t^{p}\right) \circ \Gamma(z)+2 i\left(t^{p-1}\right) \circ i(z)\right] \\
&-\mu\left(t^{p+q-2}\right) \circ\left\{i\left(t^{p}\right) \circ \mu(z)-\left[i\left(t^{p-1}\right) \circ \Gamma(z)+2 i\left(t^{p-2}\right) \circ i(z)\right]+i(z)\right. \\
&\left.\circ i\left(t^{p-2}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of (12), (22) this may be written

$$
i(z) \circ \tau_{q}^{p}=\left[\left(\tau_{q}^{p}+\tau_{q}^{p-1}\right)+\left(\tau_{q}^{p-1}+\tau_{q}^{p-2}\right)\right] \circ i(z)+\left(\tau_{q-1}^{p}+\tau_{q-1}^{p-1}\right) \circ \Gamma(z)-\tau_{q-2}^{p} \circ \mu(z) .
$$

Summation on $p$, therefore, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
i(z) \circ T_{q}=-T_{q-2} \circ \mu(z), \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad z \in \Delta_{1}(E), \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

because of the definition (23) and the identity (24).
This formula is the key to all that follows, as was pointed out to the author by W. H. Greub, to whom the author wishes to express his indebtedness.

From (25), we have $T_{q}(1)=t^{a}$ and hence

$$
T_{q}(z)=\left[T_{q} \circ \mu(z)\right](1)=-\left[i(z) \circ T_{q+2}\right](1)=-i(z) t^{q+2}, \quad z \in \Delta_{1}(E) .
$$

An inductive argument then leads to

$$
T_{q}\left(z_{1} \cdot z_{2} \cdots z_{p}\right)=(-1)^{p} i\left(z_{1} \cdot z_{2} \cdots z_{p}\right) t^{a+2 p}, \quad z_{1}, \ldots, z_{p} \in \Delta_{1}(E)
$$

Thus

$$
T_{q}(u)=(-1)^{p} i(u) t^{q+2 p}, \quad u \in \Delta_{p}(E) .
$$

This result has been proved for $p=1,2, \ldots$ but it is clearly true for $p \leq 0$ and, hence, for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. It may be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
i(u) t^{q+2 p}=(-1)^{p} \sum_{\nu}(-1)^{\nu} \mu\left(t^{\nu+q}\right) i\left(t^{\nu}\right) u, \quad p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, u \in \Delta_{p}(E) . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular (cf. (6)), if $q+2 p=n$, we have the following formula for the Poincaré map:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D u=(-1)^{p} \sum_{\nu}(-1)^{\nu} \mu\left(t^{n-2 p+\nu}\right) i\left(t^{\nu}\right) u, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}, u \in \Delta_{p}(E) . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the interesting properties of $D$ such as (7), (8), (9), the equation (28)
is the source of many other identities. The precise structure of $D$ may be found by using (28) and the orthogonal direct sum decomposition of $\Delta(E)$ announced in [2]. We will discuss this in a later paper; however, some immediate applications will be given in the next section.
§6. Some applications. Define subspaces $F_{p}, G_{p}$ of $\Delta_{p}(E)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mathrm{p}}=\Delta_{\mathrm{p}}(E) \cap \operatorname{ker} i(t), \quad G_{\mathrm{p}}=\Delta_{\mathrm{p}}(E) \cap \operatorname{ker} \mu(t), \quad p \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (28) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
D u=(-1)^{p} \mu\left(t^{n-2 p}\right) u, \quad u \in F_{p}, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $D u=0$ for $2 p>n$ and since $D$ is an isomorphism, we conclude that

$$
F_{p}=0 \text { for } 2 p>n
$$

Also, applying $\mu(t)$ to (30), we have

$$
F_{p} \subset \operatorname{ker} \mu\left(t^{n-2 p+1}\right), \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

in view of (8).
Next, we prove the
Lemma. $D$ maps $F_{p}$ isomorphically onto $G_{n-p}$.
Proof. If $u \in F_{p}$, then $\mu(t) D u=D i(t) u=0$, by (8). Thus $D F_{p} \subset G_{n-p}$. A similar argument shows that $D G_{n-p} \subset F_{p}$. The result then follows from (7).

Corollary 1:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D v=(-1)^{p} i\left(t^{n-2 p}\right) v, \quad v \in G_{n-p}, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Put $D u=v$ in (30) and apply $D$ to the result.
It follows, as above, that

$$
G_{n-p}=0 \text { for } 2 p>n
$$

and

$$
G_{n-p} \subset \operatorname{ker} i\left(t^{n-2 p+1}\right), \quad p \in \mathbb{Z} .
$$

From (30), (31) we also conclude that

$$
G_{n-p}=\mu\left(t^{n-2 p}\right) F_{p}, \quad F_{p}=i\left(t^{n-2 p}\right) G_{n-p}, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Corollary 2. If $u \in \Delta_{p}(E)$ is an eigenvector of $D$, then $n=2 p$. In this case $F_{p}=G_{p}$ are eigenspaces of $D$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $(-1)^{p}$.

For a different type of result, put $u=t^{p}$ in equation (28) and use (5) and (9). The result is

$$
t^{n-p}=(-1)^{p} \sum_{\nu=0}^{p}(-1)^{\nu}\binom{n-p+\nu}{\nu} t^{n-2 p+\nu} \cdot t^{p-\nu}
$$

Thus we obtain a new proof of the binomial identity:

$$
\sum_{\nu=0}^{p}(-1)^{\nu}\binom{n-p+\nu}{\nu}\binom{n-p}{p-\nu}=(-1)^{p}
$$

As a final application, let $q=0$ and $u \in F_{p}$ in (27):

$$
\begin{equation*}
i(u) t^{2 p}=(-1)^{p} u, \quad u \in F_{p} . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see the meaning of this in component form, choose a basis for $E$ and construct the dual basis for $E^{*}$. The components of $u \in F_{p}$ are then scalars $u_{j_{1} \cdots i_{p}, \cdots, i_{1}}^{i_{1}, \cdots i_{p}}=1,2, \ldots, n$, skew-symmetric in the $i$ 's and $j$ 's and such that $u_{k j_{2}}^{k i_{2} \cdots j_{0}}=0$ (summation convention). The components of $t^{2 p}$ are the generalized Kronecker deltas. Then (32) reads

This results may be proved directly but it does not seem to have been noticed.

## References

1. W. H. Greub, Multilinear Algebra (Second Edition), Springer-Verlag, New York (1978), Chapters 6 and 7.
2. J. R. Vanstone, Some New Identities in Mixed Exterior Algebra, C.R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada, Vol. II (1980), no. 5.

[^0]:    Received by the editors February 9, 1982 and, in revised form, June 3, 1982.
    AMS Subject Classification (1980): 15A75
    (C) 1983 Canadian Mathematical Society.

