## A NOTE ON EMBEDDING

## P.A. Pittas

Let  $Q_t = [0,1]$  be equipped with the topology consisting of  $Q_t$ , the empty set and all subsets of  $Q_t$  of the form [0,x),  $0 < x \leq 1$ . R. Nielsen and C. Sloyer [1, p. 514] proved that every  $T_0$ -space can be embedded in  $Q_t^F$  for a suitable F. The purpose of this note is to generalize this result.

THEOREM 1. Let X be any  $T_0$ -space which is not a  $T_1$ -space; let Y be any  $T_0$ -space and let F be the family of all continuous functions f: Y  $\rightarrow$  X. Then the evaluation map e: Y  $\rightarrow$  X<sup>F</sup>, where X<sup>F</sup> is taken in the product topology defined by e(y)<sub>f</sub> = f(y), is a homeomorphism of Y onto e[Y]  $\subset$  X<sup>F</sup>.

**Proof.** Since X is not a  $T_1$ -space, there is an  $x_1$  in X such that  $\{\overline{x_1}\} \neq \{x_1\}$ ; since X is a  $T_0$ -space there is a neighborhood U of  $x_1$  such that for  $x_2$  in  $\{\overline{x_1}\} \sim \{x_1\}, U \cap \{x_2\} = \phi$ . Given  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  distinct elements of Y, and V a neighborhood of  $y_1$  not containing  $y_2$ , the function  $f: Y \rightarrow X$ , defined by  $f(y) = x_1$  if y is in V and  $f(y) = x_2$  if y is not in V, is an element of F, and  $f(y_1) = x_1 \neq x_2 = f(y_2)$ . Also, if C is a closed set in Y and  $y_0$  is not in C, then  $g: Y \rightarrow X$ , defined by  $g(y) = x_1$  if y is not in C and  $g(y) = x_2$  if y is in C, is an element of F, and  $g(y_1) = x_2$  if y is in C, is an element of F, and  $g(y_1) = x_1$  is not in U. In other words F distinguishes points and also distinguishes points and closed sets; thus by the Embedding Lemma [2, p. 116], e is a homeomorphism of Y onto  $e[Y] \subset X^F$ .

 $Q_t$  is a  $T_0$ -space which is not a  $T_1$ -space, so the main theorem in [1] is a particular case of the above theorem. A particularly simple space which may serve as the X of the above theorem is the space  $\{a, b\}$  equipped with the topology consisting of  $\{a, b\}$ ,  $\phi$ , and  $\{a\}$ . (For an example showing that e is not onto  $X^F$  see [3].)

The following example shows that if we replace  $T_0$  by  $T_1$  and  $T_1$  by  $T_2$  in Theorem 1, then the theorem is false without additional conditions.

343

Let the set of natural numbers N be equipped with the finite complement topology (i.e. the topology whose closed sets are the whole space, the empty set and all finite sets). N is a  $T_1$ -space which is not  $T_2$ . Let A be an uncountable set equipped with the finite complement topology. Let F be the family of all continuous functions  $f: A \rightarrow N$ . Clearly f is in F if and only if f[A] is a singleton. Therefore F does not distinguish points and thus e is not a homeomorphism. However we can prove the following result.

PROPOSITION 1. Let X be an infinite set equipped with the finite complement topology, let Y be a  $T_1$ -space and F be the family of all continuous functions  $f: Y \rightarrow X$ . Then a sufficient condition for Y to be homeomorphic to a subspace of  $X^F$  is that for each y in Y there exists an open neighborhood  $U_y$  of y with card  $U_y \leq card X$ .

<u>Proof.</u> F distinguishes points and closed sets, for if C is closed in Y and  $y_0$  is not in C, then  $N = U_{Y_0} \cap (Y \sim C)$  is an open neighborhood of  $y_0$  with card  $N \leq \text{card } X$ . Thus  $g: Y \rightarrow X$ , defined by  $g[Y \sim N] = x_1$  and the restriction of g to N is a one-to-one mapping into  $X \sim \{x_1\}$ , is an element of F and  $g(y_0)$  is not in  $\{x_1\} = \overline{g[C]}$ . Since Y is a  $T_1$ -space, F also distinguishes points. Thus by the Embedding Lemma [2, p. 116], e is a homeomorphism of Y onto  $e[Y] \subset X^F$ .

The following example shows that the condition of Proposition 1 is not necessary. Let X be the set of natural numbers equipped with the finite complement topology. X is a  $T_1$ -space which is not  $T_2$ . Let  $Y = [0, \Omega]$  be the set of ordinal numbers which are less or equal to the first uncountable ordinal  $\Omega$ . Let Y be equipped with the order topology i.e., the topology generated by all sets of the form  $\{x : x < \alpha\}$  and  $\{x : x > \beta\}$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are members of Y. Y is a  $T_1$ -space and each neighborhood of  $\Omega$  has cardinality greater than  $\aleph_0 = \text{card } X$ . Let F be the family of all continuous functions from Y to X. It is easy to show that the evaluation map is a homeomorphism of Y onto  $e[Y] \subset X^F$ .

<u>Remark</u>. If card  $Y \leq card \ X$  then the space Y is the required neighborhood for each y in Y .

PROPOSITION 2. Let X, Y and F be as in Proposition 1 and card Y > card X. Then a necessary condition for Y to be homeomorphic to a subspace of  $X^F$  is that there be at least one proper closed subset C of Y with card C = card Y.

<u>Proof</u>. Suppose card C < card Y for each proper closed subset C of Y. Then clearly f is in F if and only if f[Y] is a singleton (because card X < card Y). Suppose for a contradiction that there is a

344

homeomorphism h of Y into  $X^F$ . If  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are distinct elements of Y, then  $h(y_1) \neq h(y_2)$  and, for some f in F,  $h(y_1)_f \neq h(y_2)_f$  so that the composition  $P_f \circ h: Y \rightarrow X$  of the projection  $P_f$  and h is a continuous mapping with  $P_f \circ h[Y]$  not a singleton, which is a contradiction.

The following example shows that the condition of Proposition 2 is not a sufficient one. Let X be a countable set equipped with the finite complement topology and A any set such that card A > c, where c is the power of the continuum. Let  $Y = \{A\} \cup A$  be equipped with the topology consisting of  $\{A\}$  and all the sets in the finite complement topology on Y. Let F be the family of all continuous  $f: Y \rightarrow X$ . Since  $f \in F$  if and only if f[A] is a singleton, we have card  $F = \aleph_0$ , so that card  $X^F = \aleph_0^{\aleph_0} = c < card A$  and Y cannot be homeomorphic to any subspace of  $X^F$ .

This research was supported by NRC Grant No. A-3999. The author wishes to thank Professor M. Edelstein for his most helpful suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- R. Nielsen and C. Sloyer, On embedding in quasi-cubes. Amer. Math. Monthly 75 (1968) 514-515.
- J.L. Kelley, General topology. (Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1955).
- 3. Problem No. 5566. Amer. Math. Monthly 75 (1968) 198.

Dalhousie University

345