Involutions in Janko's simple group J_4

Peter Rowley and Paul Taylor

Abstract

In this paper we determine the suborbits of Janko's largest simple group in its conjugation action on each of its two conjugacy classes of involutions. We also provide matrix representatives of these suborbits in an accompanying computer file. These representatives are used to investigate a commuting involution graph for J_4 .

Supplementary materials are available with this article.

1. Introduction

Janko's simple group J_4 was the last sporadic simple group to be uncovered: in 1976 by Janko [11]. There he presented a vast amount of information relating to the local subgroups and conjugacy classes of this (possible) group. Only later was J_4 first constructed by D. J. Benson, J. H. Conway, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker and J. G. Thackeray [15], making considerable use of machine calculations. More recently, Ivanov and Meierfrankenfeld [10] gave a computer-free existence proof for J_4 . As with all the sporadic simple groups, the maximal subgroups of J_4 have been extensively analysed and were eventually classified – see Kleidman and Wilson [12] and Lempken [13, 14].

That involutions play a central role in understanding finite simple groups was foreshadowed by the Brauer–Fowler theorem [6] and became ever more evident in the work that culminated in the classification of the finite simple groups. Recently, the involution conjugacy classes of a number of the sporadic simple groups have been investigated [2, 3, 17, 18]. Here the involutions of J_4 will occupy our attention and, as an application of the present work, we uncover the structure of a commuting involution graph for J_4 , so dealing with one of the open cases in [3]. So, for the rest of this paper, G denotes J_4 and t is some fixed involution of G. Set $X = t^{G}$, the G-conjugacy class of t. Of course, acting by conjugation yields a faithful transitive permutation representation of G on X, and it is this permutation action that we investigate here. Now G has two conjugacy classes of involutions, namely 2A and 2B — we shall employ ATLAS [8] notation and conventions. Also, the ATLAS and its electronic sibling [19] as well as [12] and [14] will be our primary sources for information about J_4 . The suborbits of G on X will be our main focus; that is, we wish to understand the $C_G(t)$ -orbits of X. Apart from finding the sizes of $C_G(t)$ -orbits of X, we obtain representatives for each of these orbits. In order to carry out these calculations, we shall use the 112-dimensional representation of G over GF(2) given in the electronic ATLAS [19] in concert with the algebra packages MAGMA [5] and GAP [9]. Calculations using GAP reveal very easily that the permutation rank of G on X is 20 when $t \in 2A$ and 119 when $t \in 2B$. So, not surprisingly, when $t \in 2B$ we face a much more challenging task.

Our main conclusions, contained in Section 2, appear in Table 1 (for $t \in 2A$) and Tables 2–9 (for $t \in 2B$). There we give not only the size of each $C_G(t)$ -orbit of X but also include a number of its properties which in many cases aid speedy identification of the given orbit. For more details of this, see Section 2. In order to facilitate further computation in J_4 , we supply a file — in the formats GAP and MAGMA [5] — containing t and for each $C_G(t)$ -suborbit a 112×112

Received 4 December 2009; revised 14 April 2011.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification 20D08.

taken from [19] as well as a MAGMA [5] function which outputs the homomorphisms λ and φ ;

matrix (over GF(2)) representative. Additionally, we also provide generators for $C_{C_G(t)}(x)$ for the representatives x. Accordingly, there are two main folders in the file labelled J4_2A_reps and J4_2B_reps. Additionally, there is a third folder called J4, which contains the generators

see Sections 2.1 and 2.2. The folder J4_2A_reps contains a single file J4_2A_reps.m, containing a matrix t, and, for each suborbit, a representative xCi, where C is the ATLAS [8] name of the G-conjugacy class containing tx and i indicates that x is a representative for the *i*th suborbit, with respect to Table 1 (if there is only one such orbit, the i is omitted). Note that we omit the so-called 'slave' class designations (see Chapter 7.5 of the ATLAS [8] for details) from all file and variable names, so that we have a representative called x20B1 and not x20B*1. Since there are 119 suborbits in the $t \in 2B$ case, to avoid the files being too large the folder J4_2B_reps contains several files each containing about a dozen suborbit representatives, in rough correspondence with the tables in Section 2.2. Within these files, the representatives are named following the same scheme as above. For example, after loading the J4_4AC_reps.m file from the J4_2B_reps folder into the computer algebra package MAGMA, the element stored as x4A3 corresponds to the suborbit representative x whose product tx is in class 4A and which lies in a suborbit of size 2⁶.3².5.7.11. (See Table 3.) The folders J4_2A_reps and J4_2B_reps also each contain a folder Centralizers, containing further files holding generators for the centralizers $C_{C_G(t)}(x)$ for the representatives x. The generators for the centralizer of a representative xCi are stored in an array called CtxCi. Centralizer generators are omitted when the centralizer is trivial, and where z = tx has even order 2m and the centralizer has order 2, whence it is generated by z^m .

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 begins with some general results and, then, in two subsections, gives in addition to the tabulated data for 2A and 2B details of how the calculations were performed as well as introducing relevant notation. The following section, using the information in Section 2.2 and the computer files, probes the structure of the commuting graph on X = 2B. This graph, denoted $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$, is defined to be the graph whose vertex set is X with two distinct involutions in X joined by an edge if they commute. The commuting involution graph for 2A has already been described in [1].

In Section 4 we gather together class constants for products of involutions in X and the dimension of the fixed point subspaces of elements of G acting on the 112-dimensional GF(2)-module for G. This information will aid our determination of $C_G(t)$ -orbits of X and the identification of which class a given element of G belongs to.

Finally, the authors acknowledge the contribution made to the project by Chris Bates.

2. Suborbits of $X = t^G$

When investigating centralizers of involutions in finite groups computationally, the Bray algorithm [7] is often a vital tool. So it is here, and we recall the essential part of it now.

LEMMA 2.1 [7]. Suppose that H is a finite group and s an involution of H. Let $h \in H$ and let n be the order of [s, h]. If n is even, then $[s, h]^{n/2}$, $[s, h^{-1}]^{n/2} \in C_H(s)$ and, if n is odd, then $h[s, h]^{(n-1)/2} \in C_H(s)$.

Suppose that C is a conjugacy class of G. Then we define the following subset of X:

$$X_C = \{ x \in X \mid tx \in C \}.$$

Plainly, X_C is either empty or a union of certain $C_G(t)$ -orbits of X (note that $X_{1A} = \{t\}$). Our usual strategy is to examine X_C for each conjugacy class of G, hunting for $C_G(t)$ -orbit representatives with $tx \in C$. Since, for each C, $|X_C|$ may be (and has been, see Tables 12 and 13 in Section 4) immediately calculated from the character table of J_4 , we can tell when we have found all such representatives. The task of breaking the sets X_C into $C_G(t)$ -orbits is where the hard work lies. We note that of the 62 classes of G, $|X_C|$ is non-zero for 14 classes when $t \in 2A$ and 47 classes when $t \in 2B$.

For $g \in G$, we recall that $C^*_G(g)$ is defined by

$$C_G^*(g) = \{h \in G \mid g^h = g \text{ or } g^h = g^{-1}\}.$$

Our interest in $C^*_{\mathcal{C}}(g)$ is prompted by the fact that if g = xy, where $x, y \in X$, then $x, y \in C^*_{\mathcal{C}}(g)$.

As intimated in the introduction, our calculations will be carried out using the 112-dimensional GF(2) representation for G supplied by [19]. So, $G = \langle a, b \rangle$, where a and b are type 1 generators (see [19]); that is, $a \in 2A$, $b \in 4A$, ab has order 37 and ababb has order 10. Throughout, V will denote the 112-dimensional GF(2)G-module.

LEMMA 2.2. Suppose that H is a finite group, $N \leq H$ and $K \leq H$. Put $\overline{H} = H/N$ and $\overline{K} = KN/N$. Let \mathcal{N} be a complete set of right coset representatives for $K \cap N$ in N and let $\mathcal{H} \subseteq G$ be such that $\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \{\overline{h} \mid h \in \mathcal{H}\}$ is a complete set of right coset representatives for \overline{K} in \overline{H} with $|\overline{\mathcal{H}}| = |\mathcal{H}|$. Then $\{nh \mid n \in \mathcal{N}, h \in \mathcal{H}\}$ is a complete set of right coset representatives for K in H.

Proof. Suppose that $Kn_1h_1 = Kn_2h_2$, where $n_1, n_2 \in \mathcal{N}$ and $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $n_1h_1h_2^{-1}$ $n_2^{-1} \in K$. Also, $\overline{h_1} = \overline{h_2}$ and, hence, as $|\overline{\mathcal{H}}| = |\mathcal{H}|$, $h_1 = h_2$. Thus, $n_1n_2^{-1} \in K \cap N$, which gives $n_1 = n_2$, so verifying the lemma.

LEMMA 2.3. Suppose that H is a finite group, s is an involution in H and $Y = s^H$. Let w = sy, where $y \in Y$, and let $w' \in \langle w \rangle$. Set $N = N_H(\langle w \rangle)$. If w is $C_H(s)$ -conjugate to w', then w is $C_N(s)$ -conjugate to w'.

Proof. By assumption, $w^c = w'$ for some $c \in C_H(s)$. Since w and w' have the same order, $\langle w \rangle = \langle w' \rangle$ and so $c \in N$. Therefore, w and w' are $C_N(s)$ -conjugate.

Here is an example of how we use Lemma 2.3. Assume that $t \in 2B$, $x \in X$ and $z = tx \in 15A$. Now $C_G(z) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{15}$ and so $C_{C_G(t)}(x) = C_{C_G(z)}(t) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. From the size of X_{15A} (see Table 13, Section 4), we see that X_{15A} consists of two $C_G(t)$ -orbits. Set $N = N_G(\langle z \rangle)$. Since $N \leq N_G(\langle z^3 \rangle)$ with the latter group of shape $D_{10} \times 2^3 : L_3(2)$ (see [12] or [14]), we see that $N \cong D_{10} \times S_3 \times 2$ (using the fact that the centralizer of an element of order 3 in $2^3 : L_3(2)$ has order 6). Because t must invert both z^3 and z^5 , t must project non-trivially into the D_{10} and S_3 direct factors. Thus, $C_N(t) \cong 2^3$ with $C_{C_N(t)}(z) \cong 2$. Therefore, the elements in $\langle z \rangle$ of order 15 have two orbits (under conjugation by $C_N(t)$, namely $\{z, z^4, z^{11}, z^{14}\}$ and $\{z^2, z^7, z^8, z^{13}\}$. Now suppose that x and x^{z^3} are in the same $C_G(t)$ -orbit. Then $x^c = x^{z^3}$ for some $c \in C_G(t)$. Consequently,

$$z^{c} = (tx)^{c} = tx^{c} = tx^{z^{3}} = tz^{-3}xz^{3} = z^{3}txz^{3} = z^{7},$$

which, by Lemma 2.3, means that z and z^7 are $C_N(t)$ -conjugate, but they are not. Therefore, x and x^{z^3} are not in the same $C_G(t)$ -orbit and so we may take x and x^{z^3} as our $C_G(t)$ -orbit representatives.

$2.1. \quad t\in 2A$

For an involution $t \in 2A$, the group $C_G(t)$ has structure $2^{1+12} \cdot 3.M_{22} : 2$, this being the second maximal subgroup of G listed in [19]. Thus, $|C_G(t)| = 2^{21} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5.7 \cdot 11$. Beginning with this group and following Section 5.2.2 of [12], we construct $Q = O_{2,3}(C_G(t)) \cong 2^{1+12} \cdot 3$ by randomly finding elements in $C_G(t)$ having order 21 or 33 and taking elements q that are respectively their 7th or 11th powers. Since $M_{22}: 2$ contains elements of orders 7 and 11 but not 21 or 33, these q

clearly lie in Q and are sufficient to generate it. We identify t as the unique non-trivial central element of Q.

Given an element $x \in 2A$, the size of $C_Q(x)$ is a $C_G(t)$ -orbit invariant, as are the values q_{2A} and q_{2B} , being respectively the numbers of 2A- and 2B-elements in $C_Q(x)$. (See Section 2.2 for more details.) As it transpires, these invariants, along with the order of z = tx and the dimension of its fixed space on V, are enough to distinguish all 20 $C_G(t)$ -orbits. Therefore, it is simple to find representative elements for the suborbits by random searching. We list these in Table 1.

The only remaining difficulty is calculating $C_{C_G(t)}(x)$, and hence the sizes of the orbits. Considering the action of $C_G(t)$ on Q by conjugation gives us a homomorphism λ from $C_G(t)$ to a subgroup S of Sym(24576). In MAGMA, we can (just) construct this homomorphism explicitly. Now, suppose that z = tx has even order 2m. Then $z^m \in C_{C_G(t)}(x)$ and $C_{C_G(t)}(x) \leq C_G(z) \leq C_G(z^m)$. In particular, $C_{C_G(t)}(x) \leq C_{C_G(t)}(z^m)$. So, we may compute $C_S(\lambda(z^m))$ and, if it is sufficiently small, compute $C_{C_G(t)}(x)$ in its inverse image. Similarly, if $C_Q(x)$ is non-trivial, we may compute its stabilizer in S and compute $C_{C_G(t)}(x)$ in the inverse image of this group. Note that this second approach could work where z has odd order, but we discover that $C_Q(x) = 1$ in all cases when z has odd order: 3, 5 and 11. However, since we have already found 17 $C_G(t)$ -orbits and the permutation rank of G on X is 20, we infer that X_{3A} , X_{5A} and X_{11B} are each single $C_G(t)$ -orbits. To compute their centralizers, we work in $C_G^*(z)$ (further details of this strategy are given in Section 2.2).

We note finally that, although 4A and 4B elements cannot be distinguished by the dimensions of their fixed spaces, one $C_G(t)$ -orbit in $X_{4A} \cup X_{4B}$ has the same size as X_{4A} and all the others are larger, so it is trivial to separate these orbits.

x	$ \mathcal{O}_x $	$ C_Q(x) $	q_{2A}	q_{2B}
t	1	$2^{13}.3$	1387	2772
$x2A_1$	$2^5.3^2.5.7.11$	2^{8}	107	84
$x2A_2$	$2.3^2.7.11$	2^{12}	747	1364
$x2B_1$	$2^7.3^2.5.11$	2^{7}	71	56
$x2B_2$	$2^4.3.5.7.11$	$2^{9}.3$	139	180
x3A	$2^{14}.3^2.5.11$	1	0	0
x4A	$2^8.3^2.5.7.11$	2^{6}	33	30
$x4B_1$	$2^{12}.3^3.5.7.11$	2^{2}	1	2
$x4B_2$	$2^{11}.3^3.5.7.11$	2^{2}	3	0
$x4B_3$	$2^{10}.3^2.5.7.11$	2^{3}	3	4
$x4B_4$	$2^{10}.3^2.5.7.11$	2^{4}	9	6
$x4C_1$	$2^{11}.3^2.5.7.11$	2^{3}	3	4
$x4C_2$	$2^{11}.3^2.5.7.11$	2^{3}	7	0
x5A	$2^{15}.3^2.5.7.11$	1	0	0
x6B	$2^{15}.3^3.5.7.11$	1	0	0
x6C	$2^{14}.3^2.5.7.11$	1	0	0
x8C	$2^{15}.3^3.5.7.11$	1	0	0
x10A	$2^{16}.3^3.5.7.11$	1	0	0
x11B	$2^{20}.3^3.5.7$	1	0	0
x12B	$2^{17}.3^2.5.7.11$	1	0	0

TABLE 1. $C_G(t)$ -orbits of X when $t \in 2A$.

 $2.2. \quad t\in 2B$

Just as in Section 2.1, we begin by summarizing the structure of $C_G(t)$. Set $Q = O_2(C_G(t))$. Then $Q \cong 2^{11}$ and $C_G(t)/Q \cong M_{22}: 2$ (= Aut (M_{22})). So, $|C_G(t)| = 2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$. Also, $M_1 = N_G(Q)$ is a maximal subgroup of G with $M_1/Q \cong M_{24}$ and is the first maximal subgroup (as listed in [19]) of G. We begin our calculations starting with M_1 as given in [19]. After determining Q, we choose $t \in Q \cap 2B$ (and of course then fix it). Using Lemma 2.1 (with H = G, s = t), we calculate $C_G(t)$ (generators for $C_G(t)$ are given in the file).

For $R \leq Q$, we define

$$q_{2A}(R) = |R \cap 2A|$$
 and $q_{2B}(R) = |R \cap 2B|$.

Then $q_{2A}(Q) = 1771$ and $q_{2B}(Q) = 276$. In Tables 2–9, for $x \in X$ we write q_{2A} for $q_{2A}(C_Q(x))$ and q_{2B} for $q_{2B}(C_Q(x))$. Furthermore, we have that $Q \cap 2A$ splits into two $C_G(t)$ -orbits of sizes 231 and 1540, while $Q \cap 2B$ splits into three $C_G(t)$ -orbits of sizes 1, 44 and 231. Such $C_G(t)$ orbits, from time to time, play a useful role in discriminating between certain $C_G(t)$ -orbits of X.

When trying to find new $C_G(t)$ -orbits (and representatives of such orbits), it is useful to quickly discover whether a (usually randomly chosen) $x \in X$ is in one of the $C_G(t)$ -orbits already catalogued at that point. Our first step for a given $x \in X$ is to calculate $C_Q(x)$ (computationally, this is relatively as quick as $|Q| = 2^{11}$). Then we determine $q_{2A}(C_Q(x))$ and $q_{2B}(C_Q(x))$ (by calculating dim $(C_V(\xi)), \xi \in C_Q(x)$ — for 2A-elements it is 62 and for 2B-elements it is 56). A further straightforward calculation is to determine dim $(C_V(t) \cap C_V(x))$, which we denote by d_x in the following tables. Put z = tx. If z has even order, say 2m, then z^m is an involution which commutes with both t and x. So, $z^m \in C_G(t)$. Hence, we can ask where $w = z^m$ is in $C_G(t)$. Set $\overline{C_G(t)} = \underline{C_G(t)}/Q$. Then either $\overline{w} = \overline{1}$ or \overline{w} belongs to one of the three involution conjugacy classes of $\overline{C_G(t)} \cong M_{22}: 2$, which we label by $\overline{2A}, \overline{2B}$ and $\overline{2C}$. We have that $\overline{2A}$ is in the derived subgroup of $\overline{C_G(t)}$ while $\overline{2B}$ and $\overline{2C}$ are not, and we choose our notation so that it agrees with [12]. So, when z has even order, column 3 in Tables 2–9 has entries $\overline{1A}, \overline{2A}, \overline{2B}$ or $\overline{2C}$.

We dwell a little longer on the case when for $x \in X$, z = tx has even order. Then $z^2 = txtx = tt^x$. Set $y = t^x$. So, $z^2 = ty$ and $y \in X$. Assume that $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $x_1^c = x_2$ for some $c \in C_G(t)$. Then $(tx_1)^c = t^c x_1^c = tx_2$. Hence, $((tx_1)^2)^c = (tx_2)^2$. That is, $ty_1^c = ty_2$, where $y_1 = t^{x_1}$ and $y_2 = t^{x_2}$. Therefore, $y_1^c = y_2$. This observation provides us with a possible way of discerning whether $x_1, x_2 \in X$, where $tx_1, tx_2 \in C = z^G$, are in different $C_G(t)$ -orbits. If we can see that $y_1 = t^{x_1}$ and $y_2 = t^{x_2}$ are in different $C_G(t)$ -orbits, then so must x_1 and x_2 be. Note that the $C_G(t)$ -orbits of y_1 and y_2 will be subsets of X_D , where $D = (z^2)^G$. As our strategy is to analyse X_E for class $E = w^G$ of G, starting with w of small order and working up, we will have to hand data about the $C_G(t)$ -orbits of y_1 and y_2 . In Tables 2–9, where relevant we have a final column giving the $C_G(t)$ -orbit of $y = t^x$.

There is a further invariant of a $C_G(t)$ -orbit which we mention after outlining our routine for calculating $C_{C_G(t)}(x), x \in X$. Since G is a large matrix group whose elements are 112×112 matrices and $|C_G(t)| = 2^{19} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 = 1$, 816, 657, 920, using standard MAGMA commands will not (unless you are very lucky) produce $C_{C_G(t)}(x)$. (While calculating $C_G(x)$ and then trying to work out $C_G(t) \cap C_G(x)$ brings you up against the membership problem.) In our initial setup, we define a permutation action of $C_G(t)$ upon $\Omega = Q$ (given by conjugation of $C_G(t)$ on Q). This gives a homomorphism

$$\varphi: C_G(t) \to \overline{C_G(t)} = M(\cong M_{22}: 2) \leqslant \operatorname{Sym}(2048),$$

whose kernel is Q. Calculations within Sym(2048) are quick. Also, we have an accompanying map

$$\psi: \Omega \to \{1, \ldots, 2048\}$$

that commutes with the conjugation action of $C_G(t)$ on Ω and the permutation action of M on $\{1, \ldots, 2048\}$. Thus, we may look at

$$S_x = \operatorname{Stab}_M(\psi(C_Q(x))),$$

and $|S_x|$ will be a further invariant of the $C_G(t)$ -orbit of x. Let K_x be the inverse image in $C_G(t)$ of S_x . Since $C_Q(x) \leq C_{C_G(t)}(x)$, it follows that $C_{C_G(t)}(x) \leq K_x$. So, this restricts the location of $C_{C_G(t)}(x)$. Now we use the Bray algorithm as in Lemma 2.1, where we take H = G, s = x and select random elements $h \in K_x$ to produce elements which commute with x. Suppose that L is the group generated by these elements. So, $L \leq C_G(x)$. However, we are investigating $C_{C_G(t)}(x)$ and we have no guarantee that L is contained in $C_G(t)$. Therefore, we must take $C_L(t)$ ($\leq C_{C_G(t)}(x)$). We repeat this procedure until we obtain a subgroup $L_{\infty} \leq C_{C_G(t)}(x)$ which has 'small index' in K_x . We may also suppose $C_Q(x) \leq L_\infty$. If S_x is of reasonable size, this process, so far, has always been successful. Our next step is to obtain a complete set of right coset representatives $\overline{\mathcal{K}_{\infty}}$ for $\overline{L_{\infty}}$ in S_x (= $\overline{K_x}$). Let \mathcal{K} be the set consisting of one arbitrary element k from each $\varphi^{-1}(\overline{k}), \overline{k} \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}$. Also, let \mathcal{Q} be a complete set of right coset representatives for $C_Q(x)$ in Q. Since $|Q| = 2^{11}$ and L_{∞} has 'small index' in K_x , this can be achieved using standard MAGMA commands. With $H = K_x$, $N = C_Q(x)$ and $K = L_\infty$, Lemma 2.2 implies that $\mathcal{R} = \{qk | q \in \mathcal{Q}, k \in \mathcal{K}\}$ is a complete set of right coset representatives for $L_{\infty} \in K_x$ (recall $C_Q(x) \leq C_{C_G(t)}(x)$ and $C_Q(x) \leq L_{\infty} \leq C_{C_G(t)}(x)$). Usually, the size of \mathcal{R} is at most 3000 and so it is straightforward to check through and find which elements commute with t, giving

$$\mathcal{R}_t = \{ r \mid r \in \mathcal{R}, [t, r] = 1 \}.$$

Then $C_{C_G(t)}(x) = \langle L_{\infty}, \mathcal{R}_t \rangle$ with $|C_{C_G(t)}(x)| = |L_{\infty}||\mathcal{R}_t|$ and very importantly we now have the size of the $C_G(t)$ -orbit of x.

We illustrate how the above pans out in a concrete example. Let $x = x4B_6$. Then $|C_Q(x)| = 2^2$. Calculating in the 2048-degree permutation representation gives $|S_x| = 768 = 2^8.3$. So, $|K_x| = 2^{11}.2^8.3 = 2^{19}.3$ and hence $|C_{C_G(t)}(x)|$ divides $2^{10}.3$. Using the Bray algorithm, we arrive at an L_∞ with $C_Q(x) \leq L_\infty$ and $|L_\infty| = 2^9$. Then $|\mathcal{Q}| = 2^9$, $|\overline{\mathcal{K}}| = |\mathcal{K}| = 2.3$ and so $|\mathcal{R}| = 2^9.2.3 = 2^{10}.3 = 3,072$. Checking reveals that $|\mathcal{R}_t| = 2$. Consequently, $|C_{C_G(t)}(x)| = 2^{10}$ and the $C_G(t)$ -orbit of x has size $2^9.3^2.5.7.11 = 1,774,080$.

The approach just outlined works very well when z = tx has order 4 for then we always have $C_Q(x) \neq 1$, and consequently $S_x \neq M$. When z = tx has order 8, then $C_Q(x) = 1$ in the majority of cases. In order to determine $C_{C_G(t)}(x)$ when z = tx has order 8, we calculate $C_{C_G(t)}(y)$, where $y = t^x$, in the manner described above. Since $C_{C_G(t)}(x) \leq C_{C_G(t)}(y)$ and the order of $C_{C_G(t)}(y)$ is not too large, we can then determine $C_{C_G(t)}(x)$.

We now give details of breaking X_C into $C_G(t)$ -orbits for various classes C of G. So, set z = tx, where $x \in X$. For certain classes C, there are some difficulties which must be overcome. However, the case when z has order 2 has been much studied.

2.2.1. Order of z is 2. The $C_G(t)$ -orbits (and $C_{C_G(t)}(x)$) for C = 2A or 2B may be read off from [12, Table 1].

2.2.2. Order of z is 3. We find one representative $y = x3A_2$ for which $C_Q(y)$ has order 2^3 and so it is easy to compute $C_{C_G(t)}(y)$. A second representative x can easily be found by random searching having $C_Q(x)$ trivial. To compute its centralizer, we first find $C_G(z)$ for z = tx using [4], since z is a strongly real element inverted by t. Computing $C_{C_G(t)}(x)$ in this smaller group, we determine that $X_{3A} = x3A_2^{C_G(t)} \cup x^{C_G(t)}$, so we take this x as our representative $x3A_1$ and we are done.

2.2.3. Order of z is 4. Finding randomly elements $x \in 2B$ with z = tx having order 4, and calculating the various invariants described above, we arrive at a collection of 19 representatives

known to be in different $C_G(t)$ -orbits (we also know the sizes of these orbits). Since 4C-elements can be distinguished by the dimensions of their fixed spaces on V, it is easy to partition these orbits into those in $X_{4A} \cup X_{4B}$ and those in X_{4C} . This method does not allow us to distinguish between 4A- and 4B-elements.

Unfortunately, the sizes of the orbits we have lying in $X_{4A} \cup X_{4B}$ do not total $|X_{4A}| + |X_{4B}|$. Continued random searching yields no new representatives, so we conclude that one or more orbits exist in $X_{4A} \cup X_{4B}$ that match in all the invariants we calculate and so are invisible to our search. Eventually, we determine that this is indeed the case and that two orbits of size $2^{10}.3^2.5.7.11$ are the culprits. We describe the procedure by which we arrive at this conclusion at the end of this section. We now describe how we determine which orbits are in X_{4A} and which in X_{4B} .

We know that 4A-elements can be found by powering down from elements of order 20, 40 or 44. So, we find elements $x' \in 2B$ with tx' having order 20, 40 or 44, and then $x = t(tx')^n$ (n = 5, 8 or 11, respectively) is a 2B-element with $tx = z \in 4A$. In this way, we find representatives matching in their invariants two of our representatives. These are the representatives $x4A_1$ and $x4A_2$ in Table 3. We know from the structure constants that X_{4A} has size not divisible by 5, and only one of our representatives is from an orbit \mathcal{O} with $5 \nmid |\mathcal{O}|$, so we conclude that this orbit must lie in X_{4A} . This is representative $x4A_4$ in Table 3. Now we have that $|X_{4A}| - |x4A_1^{C_G(t)}| - |x4A_2^{C_G(t)}| - |x4A_4^{C_G(t)}| = 2^6.3^2.5.7.11$, which is the size of the smallest remaining orbit for which we have a representative, so we conclude that it too lies in X_{4A} . Then the remaining orbits lie in X_{4B} .

2.2.4. Order of z is 5. In the case when $z = tx \in 5A$, it turns out that X_{5A} is a union of two $C_G(t)$ -orbits. We first locate $x = x5A_2$, which has $|C_Q(x)| = 2^3$. Proceeding as above, we then calculate that $C_{C_G(t)}(x)$ has order $2^6.3.7$ and so $x^{C_G(t)}$ is a $C_G(t)$ -orbit of size $2^{13}.3.5.11$. As a byproduct, by orders, $C_G^*(z) = \langle t, z, C_{C_G(t)}(x) \rangle$. Now searching within $C_G^*(z)$ we were able to find x_1 and zx_1 in 2B and calculate (directly) that $|C_{C_G(z)}(x_1)| = 2^4$. Let $g \in G$ be such that $(zx_1)^g = t$. Then $tx_1^g = z^g \in 5A$ and $C_{C_G(t)}(x_1^g) = C_{C_G(z)}(x_1)^g$, so x_1^g is in a different $C_G(t)$ -orbit than $x5A_2$, and $|(x_1^g)^{C_G(t)}| = 2^{15}.3^2.5.7.11$. To find our representative, we need to obtain such a g. To do this, we search for an involution $r \in G$ such that tr and zx_1r both have odd order. Then the groups $\langle t, r \rangle$ and $\langle r, zx_1 \rangle$ are dihedral groups with their respective involutions conjugate, and so we can find an element g conjugating zx_1 to t by multiplying suitably chosen elements from $\langle t, r \rangle$ and $\langle r, zx_1 \rangle$. We then take our representative $x5A_1 = x_1^g$.

2.2.5. Order of z is 6. The $C_G(t)$ -orbits within $X_{6A} \cup X_{6B} \cup X_{6C}$ turn out to be distinguished by scrutinizing the size of the $C_G(t)$ -orbit, the class of \overline{w} , $|C_Q(x)|$, q_{2A} , q_{2B} , d_x and y (see earlier in this section for the definitions of these parameters). For example, $x6C_3$ and $x6C_4$ are in different $C_G(t)$ -orbits, as \overline{w} is in $\overline{2A}$ for the former and $\overline{2B}$ for the latter.

2.2.6. Order of z is 8. There are three G-conjugacy classes of elements of order 8, which, unfortunately, are not distinguished by the dimension of their fixed space on V (see Table 11, Section 4.1). Consequently, we need to deal with X_{8A} , X_{8B} and X_{8C} simultaneously. However, elements in 8A, respectively 8B and 8C, can be obtained by powering down from any element of G of order 40, respectively order 24 and order 16. Thus, to find $x \in X$ so that $z = tx \in 8B$, we first find elements of order 24. In more detail, we choose a random $x' \in X$ and check whether tx' has order 24. On obtaining such an element, we then set $z = (tx')^3$ ($\in 8B$) and x = tz. Observe that $x \in X$. Hunting for $C_G(t)$ -representatives in this manner, we find that X_{8B} consists precisely of two $C_G(t)$ -orbits. Note that (see Table 4) we need to examine the class of \overline{w} (defined at the beginning of Section 2.2) or $y = x^t$ in order to tell these two orbits apart.

In investigating X_{8C} , we proceed as above except that we require tx' to have order 16. On looking through a number of such x and calculating $C_G(t)$ -orbit invariants such as $|C_Q(x)|$, $d_x(=\dim(C_V(t)\cap C_V(x)))$ and the $C_G(t)$ -orbit to which $y = t^x$ belongs, we were able to pin down the two $C_G(t)$ -orbits $x8C_1^{C_G(t)}$ and $x8C_4^{C_G(t)}$. However, despite extensive searching as described above, we were unable to find further representatives for $C_G(t)$ -orbits (although, because of the structure constants and the sizes of the known $C_G(t)$ -orbits, we knew that they were there). It appears that the powering down from elements of order 16 was giving us a skewed view in that we were not encountering any elements in (what turn out to be) two $C_G(t)$ -orbits of size $2^{14}.3^2.5.7.11$ (with representatives $x8C_2, x8C_3$). So, we employ a different strategy, as follows.

We know that for $z \in 8B \cup 8C$, $z^2 \in 4B$, while, for $z \in 8A$, $z^2 \in 4A$. However, 4A- and 4Belements are not distinguished by the dimensions of their fixed spaces. Fortunately, we have the element $y = t^x$ giving $ty = z^2$ and, since we have catalogued all of the order-4 orbits, we can determine the conjugacy class of z^2 and hence of z by examining y. So, we can now determine whether z is in 8A or in $8B \cup 8C$, and we have already found both orbits with $z \in 8B$ so we may place every orbit. Two orbits in X_{8A} have identical invariants, and we describe the procedure for determining this and for finding representatives at the end of this subsection.

2.2.7. Order of z is 10. From calculations performed for X_{5A} , we have $C_G^*(z_1)$ explicitly, where $z_1 = tx_1$ and $x_1 = x5A_2$. Also, $t \in C_G^*(z_1)$. Now suppose $z = tx \in 10A$ and, after conjugating, $z^2 = z_1$. So, $z \in C_G^*(z_1)$. Clearly, $C_G^*(z) \leq C_G^*(z_1)$ and working directly in the latter group we find $x10A_1^{C_G(t)} \cup x10A_2^{C_G(t)} = X_{10A}$ (and can distinguish the orbits by $d_x(=$ $\dim(C_V(t) \cap C_V(x)))$). Moving onto the case $z = tx \in 10B$, we encounter four $C_G(t)$ -orbits, two of which (having size $2^{16}.3^2.5.7.11$) do not appear to have any properties which would otherwise allow us to conclude that they are in fact in different $C_G(t)$ -orbits. We give the details of how this unfolds.

With $C_G^*(z_1)$ as above, we choose $t_1 \in C_G^*(z_1) \setminus C_G(z_1)$ (t_1 not in the same $C_G^*(z_1)$ -conjugacy class as t) and find s_i (i = 1, 2, 3) such that $s_i \in X \cap C_G(z_1) \cap C_G(t_1)$ and $t_1 z_1 s_i \in X$ (i = 1, 2, 3). Then $z_1 s_i \in 10B$ (i = 1, 2, 3). Set $x'_i = t_1 z_1 s_i$ ($\in X$). Calculating directly in $C_G^*(z_1)$ gives that $|C_{C_G(t_1)}(x'_i)| = 8$ for i = 1, 2 and that $|C_{C_G(t_1)}(x'_3)| = 16$. Using the odd order dihedral trick from Section 2.2.4, we find $g \in G$ such that $t_1^g = t$. Now set $x_i = (x'_i)^g$. Then we find that $C_Q(x_i) = 1$ for i = 1, 2, 3. By normal random searching, we can also find $x_4 \in X$ with $|C_Q(x_4)| = 4$ and $|x_4^{C_G(t)}| = 2^{15}.3^2.5.7.11$. By the sizes of $C_Q(x)$, we know that $x_3^{C_G(t)} \neq x_4^{C_G(t)}$, and by the orbit sizes that neither of these is equal to $x_1^{C_G(t)}$ or $x_2^{C_G(t)}$. Now we look at $x_1^{C_G(t)}$ and $x_2^{C_G(t)}$. Suppose that x_1 and x_2 are $C_G(t)$ -conjugate. Then x'_1 and x'_2 must be $C_G(t_1)$ -conjugate and so $x'_1^h = x'_2$ for some $h \in C_G(t_1)$. Therefore,

Now we look at $x_1^{C_G(t)}$ and $x_2^{C_G(t)}$. Suppose that x_1 and x_2 are $C_G(t)$ -conjugate. Then x_1' and x_2' must be $C_G(t_1)$ -conjugate and so $x_1'^h = x_2'$ for some $h \in C_G(t_1)$. Therefore, $(t_1x_1')^h = t_1x_2'$. We also know from our earlier calculations that $(t_1x_1')^2 = (t_1x_2')^2 \in \langle z_1 \rangle$ and thus $h \in C_G(t_1) \cap C_G((t_1x_1')^2) = C_G(t_1) \cap C_G(\langle z_1 \rangle)$. Looking at $C_G(\langle z_1 \rangle)$, we see that no such h exists. Consequently, x_1 and x_2 are not $C_G(t)$ -conjugate. Since $|x_1^{C_G(t)}| + |x_2^{C_G(t)}| + |x_3^{C_G(t)}| + |x_4^{C_G(t)}| = |X_{10B}|$, we are finished with X_{10B} .

2.2.8. Order of z is 11. Now we outline how X_{11A} was studied. Suppose $z = tx \in 11A$, $x \in X$. Recall from [8] or [12] that $N_G(\langle z \rangle)$ is a maximal subgroup of G of order $2^4.3.5.11^3$. A G-conjugate of this subgroup is available from [19]. Call this subgroup N_1 . Within N_1 , we found $z_1 \in 11A$ with $\langle z_1 \rangle \leq N_1$. Then $t_1, x_1 \in 2B \cap N_1$ were obtained (randomly) so that $t_1x_1 = z_1$. On calculating, we found that $|C_{C_G(z_1)}(t_1)| = 22$ and, thus, by the size of X_{11A}, X_{11A} is a $C_G(t)$ -orbit. Now lady luck was with us as tt_1 had order 33 and, so, by conjugating with a suitable $g \in \langle t, t_1 \rangle$, we obtained $x = x_1^g$ so that $tx \in 11A$ and $C_{C_G(t)}(x) = (C_{C_G(z_1)}(x_1))^g$. For $x_2 \in 2B \cap N_1$ with $z_2 = t_1x_2 \in 11B \cap N_1$, we have $|C_G(z_2)| = 2.11^2$ (see [8]). Clearly, 2 divides $|C_{C_G(z_2)}(t_1)|$ and, calculating in N_1 , we find that $|C_{C_G(z_2)}(t_1)| = 2$. So, X_{11B} is a $C_G(t)$ -orbit and conjugating by g gives x_{11B_1} .

2.2.9. Order of z is 12. Elements g of G of order 12 for which $\dim(C_V(g)) = 10$ must be in class 12C, and as a consequence it is easy to break X_{12C} into $C_G(t)$ -orbits. Such considerations do not distinguish between the classes 12A and 12B, although elements in G of order 24 always square to 12B-elements.

We describe how we deal with X_{12A} and X_{12B} . First, we find an $x \in X$ such that $z = tx \in 12A \cup 12B$ by checking that $\dim(C_V(z)) = 12$. We next calculate $C_G(z)$ (which has order $2^6.3$). Since $z^4 \in 3A$, $C_G(z^4) \cong 6^{\circ}M_{22}$. Employing [4] (since z^4 is a strongly real element inverted by t) and using the Meat-axe [16] to check that the order is correct quickly deliver $C_G(z^4)$. We note that when using [4] here, we already have generators for $C_G(t)$ and so can take random elements directly without having to use Bray's algorithm [7]. We generally find the full centralizer of $z^4 \in 3A$ after around 500 loops of the procedure. Within this smaller group, we then calculate $C_G(z)$. Then we get $C_G^*(z) = C_G(z)\langle t \rangle$. Define the following subset of $C_G^*(z)$:

$$\mathcal{I} = \{ w \mid w \in 2B, wz \in 2B, w \in C_G^*(z) \setminus C_G(z) \}.$$

Since $|C_G^*(z) \setminus C_G(z)| = 2^6.3$, it is straightforward to enumerate \mathcal{I} . We discover that $|\mathcal{I}| = 72$ when $z \in 12A$ and $|\mathcal{I}| = 84$ when $z \in 12B$ (recall that we can identify a 12B-element as the square of an element of order 24). The size of \mathcal{I} is what we use to distinguish between 12Aand 12B-elements. So now suppose that we have chosen $x \in X$ such that $z = tx \in 12A$ — the strategy we now follow works just as well for 12B. So next we determine the $C_{C}^{*}(z)$ -orbits (under conjugation) of \mathcal{I} . It turns out that there are four such orbits \mathcal{O}_1 , \mathcal{O}_2 , \mathcal{O}_3 , \mathcal{O}_4 with $|\mathcal{O}_1| = |\mathcal{O}_2| = 24$ and $|\mathcal{O}_3| = |\mathcal{O}_4| = 12$. Note that what we are doing is making z the subject and letting t 'vary'. So, at this stage, we see that X_{12A} consists of at most four $C_G(t)$ -orbits. Running through random elements of $C_G(z^4)$, we find $h \in C_G(z^4)$ for which $t^h = s \in \mathcal{O}_1$. So, we take $x_1 = (sz)^{(h^{-1})}$. Then $x_1 \in X$ and $tx_1 \in 12A$. Calculations then reveal that $C_Q(x_1) = 1$, $|C_{C_G(t)}(x_1)| = 8$ and $t^{x_1} \in X_{6B}$. We find that we may similarly conjugate with elements from $C_G(z^4)$ to make t the 'subject' for \mathcal{O}_3 and \mathcal{O}_4 . However, we did not find an $h \in C_G(z^4)$ such that $t^h \in \mathcal{O}_2$ (for different z this situation may, and can, vary). To deal with the case of \mathcal{O}_2 , we take $s_1 \in \mathcal{O}_1$ with $t^h = s_1$, where $h \in C_G(z^4)$, and choose some $s_2 \in \mathcal{O}_2$. Then hunt for an involution $r \in G$ such that both s_1r and s_2r have odd order — this is quickly done. Hence, t can be conjugated to s_2 by using h multiplied by suitably chosen elements from $\langle s_1, r \rangle$ and $\langle s_2, r \rangle$.

2.2.10. Order of z is 20. In $C_G(z_1)$ (z_1 as in Section 2.2.7), we find an element f of order 4. Set $z = fz_1$ and take this to define 20A. Then we calculate $C_G^*(z) = C_{C_G^*(z_1)}^*(z)$ and working in this group we discover that 20A breaks into two $C_G(t)$ -orbits of different sizes. As a representative for 20B, we use z^3 and repeat the above process.

2.2.11. Order of z is 40. Again calculating within $C_G^*(z_1)$ reveals that $|C_{C_G(t)}(x)| = 2$ for all $x \in X$ such that $tx \in 40A$. Thus, on account of $|X_{40A}|$, X_{40A} splits into two $C_G(t)$ -orbits. To locate representatives, we start with $v \in C_G^*(z_1)$ such that $vz \in X$ and look at $e = \dim(C_V(x) \cap C_V(v^{vz}))$. We quickly observe e as being 4 and 5 and this serves to distinguish orbits. We deal with 40B* similarly.

We end this section dealing with the following conundrum. Occasionally, we find that two $C_G(t)$ -orbits agree on every invariant we consider. In these cases, we use the following method to find representatives of such orbits.

Suppose that we have elements $x_1, x_2 \in X$ that produce the same invariants but that we suspect may lie in different orbits. We aim to find a subset $Y \subseteq C_G(t)$ of manageable size such that any element of $C_G(t)$ conjugating x_1 to x_2 must lie in Y. We may then simply test whether $x_1^y = x_2$ for all $y \in Y$.

247

Let H_1, H_2 be the images of $C_{C_G(t)}(x_1), C_{C_G(t)}(x_2)$ in the factor group $M \cong C_G(t)/Q$. (Recall $M \leq \text{Sym}(2048)$, where the action is given by conjugation on Q, so computation in M is straightforward.) If H_1, H_2 are not M-conjugate, then clearly x_1, x_2 are in different $C_G(t)$ -orbits. Suppose that they are conjugate by an element g. We compute $N = N_M(H_1)$, and so form the coset Ng consisting of all elements in M conjugating H_1 to H_2 . Note that any element of $C_G(t)$ conjugating x_1 to x_2 must have its image in Ng. Any such element must also conjugate $C_Q(x_1)$ to $C_Q(x_2)$ and, since our group action in M corresponds to conjugacy on Q, we can use this fact to narrow down the search further, since we need consider only those elements of Ng that map $\psi(C_Q(x_1))$ to $\psi(C_Q(x_2))$. Let Z be the set of elements of Ng satisfying this condition. (Of course, if $C_Q(x_1) = 1$, then Z = Ng.) Then our set Y is the inverse image of Z, this set having size $2^{11} \cdot |Z|$. We generally find that, by virtue of either the size of N or the restriction added when $C_Q(x_1)$ is non-trivial, that the set Y has size not more than a few hundred thousand, and a search through all these elements is feasible.

For example, the representatives $x4B_3$ and $x4B_4$ have precisely the same invariants (see Table 3). Let these elements act as x_1, x_2 above. Then we find that the normalizer N has size 768. In this case, the groups $C_Q(x_1), C_Q(x_2)$ are non-trivial, having size 2^2 , so we can pare down the set Ng to just those elements that conjugate $C_Q(x_1)$ to $C_Q(x_2)$. This gives us a set Z having size 128, and so $|Y| = 2^{11} \cdot |Z| = 262, 144$. We check each of the elements of Y in turn and, discovering that none of them conjugates $x_1 = x4B_3$ to $x_2 = x4B_4$, we conclude that they indeed lie in different $C_G(t)$ -orbits.

x	$ \mathcal{O}_x $	Class of \overline{w}	$ C_Q(x) $	q_{2A}	q_{2B}	d_x
t	1	$\overline{1A}$	2^{11}	1771	276	56
$x2A_1$	$2^6.3^2.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	2^{6}	51	12	31
$x2A_2$	$2^4.3.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	2^{7}	91	36	32
$x2A_3$	3.7.11	$\overline{1A}$	2^{11}	1771	276	36
$x2B_1$	$2^5.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	2^{7}	91	36	28
$x2B_2$	$2^5.3.5.7.11$	$\overline{2B}$	2^{7}	91	36	28
$x2B_3$	$2^2.11$	$\overline{1A}$	2^{11}	1771	276	36
$x3A_1$	$2^{13}.3^2.7.11$	_	1	0	0	20
$x3A_2$	$2^{12}.3.5.11$	_	2^{3}	7	0	20
$x5A_1$	$2^{15}.3^2.5.7.11$	_	1	0	0	12
$x5A_2$	$2^{13}.3.5.11$	—	2^{3}	7	0	12
x11A	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7$	—	1	0	0	1
x11B	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$	—	1	0	0	6
x23A	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$	_	1	0	0	1
x29A	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$	_	1	0	0	0
x31A	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$	_	1	0	0	1
x31B * 5	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$	_	1	0	0	1
x31C * 6	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$	_	1	0	0	1
x37A	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$	_	1	0	0	2
x37B * 2	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$	_	1	0	0	2
x37C * 4	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$	_	1	0	0	2
x43A	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$	_	1	0	0	0
x43B * 6	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$	_	1	0	0	0
x43C * 7	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$		1	0	0	0

TABLE 2. z = tx; z = 1 or z of prime order.

P. ROWLEY AND P. TAYLOR

3. Commuting involution graph for class 2B

Recall that for a group H and an involution conjugacy class Y of H, the commuting involution graph $\mathcal{C}(H, Y)$ is the graph with Y as its vertex set and two distinct vertices joined by an edge if and only if they commute. For $s \in Y$, we define $\Delta_i(s) = \{y \in Y \mid d(s, y) = i\}$, the *i*th disc of $\mathcal{C}(H, Y)$ relative to s. Each disc is a union of $C_G(s)$ -orbits.

x	$ \mathcal{O}_x $	Class of \overline{w}	$ C_Q(x) $	q_{2A}	q_{2B}	$ S_x $	d_x
$x4A_1$	$2^{12}.3^2.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	2	1	0	3840	16
$x4A_2$	$2^{10}.3.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	2^{3}	7	0	768	17
$x4A_3$	$2^6.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{1A}$	2^{7}	91	36	192	20
$x4A_4$	$2^7.3^2.7.11$	$\overline{1A}$	2^{6}	51	12	320	20
$x4B_1$	$2^{12}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	2	1	0	3840	16
$x4B_2$	$2^{10}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	2^{2}	3	0	768	16
$x4B_3$	$2^{10}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	2^{2}	3	0	128	17
$x4B_4$	$2^{10}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	2^{2}	3	0	128	17
$x4B_5$	$2^{10}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	2^{2}	3	0	128	16
$x4B_6$	$2^9.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	2^{2}	3	0	768	18
$x4B_{7}$	$2^8.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{1A}$	2^{6}	51	12	32	19
$x4B_8$	$2^8.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	2^{3}	7	0	768	19
$x4B_9$	$2^8.3.5.7.11$	$\overline{1A}$	2^{7}	91	36	192	18
$x4B_{10}$	$2^8.3.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	2^{3}	7	0	768	18
$x4C_1$	$2^{12}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	2^{2}	3	0	128	14
$x4C_2$	$2^{12}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2B}$	2^{2}	3	0	128	14
$x4C_3$	$2^{11}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	2^{2}	3	0	128	14
$x4C_4$	$2^{11}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2B}$	2^{2}	3	0	768	14
$x4C_5$	$2^9.3.5.7.11$	$\overline{1A}$	2^{7}	91	36	168	18
$x4C_6$	$2^9.3.5.7.11$	$\overline{2B}$	2^3	7	0	768	18

TABLE 3. z = tx of order 4.

TABLE 4. z = tx of order 8.

x	$ \mathcal{O}_x $	Class of \overline{w}	$ C_Q(x) $	q_{2A}	q_{2B}	d_x	y
$x8A_1$	$2^{15}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	2	1	0	9	$x4A_2$
$x8A_2$	$2^{15}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	9	$x4A_1$
$x8A_3$	$2^{13}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{1A}$	2	1	0	10	$x4A_4$
$x8A_4$	$2^{13}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{1A}$	2^{2}	3	0	10	$x4A_3$
$x8A_5$	$2^{13}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	10	$x4A_1$
$x8A_6$	$2^{13}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	10	$x4A_1$
$x8A_7$	$2^{13}.3^2.7.11$	$\overline{1A}$	2	1	0	10	$x4A_4$
$x8A_8$	$2^{13}.3^2.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	10	$x4A_1$
$x8B_1$	$2^{15}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	8	$x4B_5$
$x8B_2$	$2^{15}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	8	$x4B_1$
$x \otimes C_1$	$2^{16}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	8	$x4B_1$
$x \otimes C_2$	$2^{14}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	9	$x4B_2$
$x \otimes C_3$	$2^{14}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	9	$x4B_6$
$x8C_4$	$2^{13}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	10	$x4B_6$

We take $G = J_4$, $t \in 2B$ and $X = t^G$ as in Section 2.2, and examine $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$. Clearly, $\Delta_1(t) = X_{2A} \cup X_{2B}$. Lemma 2.2 of [1] allows us to determine quickly from the power maps in [19] the locations in the graph of several of the sets X_C . Part (ii) implies that all suborbits contained in $X_{4C} \cup X_{6C} \cup X_{10B} \cup X_{12C}$ are in $\Delta_2(t)$, while part (iv) allows us to determine that some suborbits have distance 3 or greater from t, in this case those X_C with C a class of elements of odd order greater than 10.

For each $C_G(t)$ -orbit representative $x \notin \Delta_1(t)$, we have the invariant q_{2B} being the number of 2*B*-elements in $C_Q(x)$. Since $C_Q(x) \leq C_{C_G(t)}(x)$, if $q_{2B} \neq 0$, then clearly $x^{C_G(t)} \in \Delta_2(t)$. Omitting those covered above, this is the case for suborbits with representatives $x4A_3, x4A_4, x4B_7, x4B_9$. For the remaining orbits, we can check if a representative is in $\Delta_2(t)$ by checking whether any of the elements in $C_{C_G(t)}(x)$ are 2*B*-elements. This allows us to find the remaining suborbits in disc 2.

Finally, we must determine whether the orbits not in $\Delta_1(t) \cup \Delta_2(t)$ comprise a single third disc or whether the graph has diameter greater than 3. Our strategy is as follows. Taking

x	$ \mathcal{O}_x $	Class of \overline{w}	$ C_Q(x) $	q_{2A}	q_{2B}	d_x	y
x6A	$2^{13}.3^2.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	10	$x3A_1$
$x6B_1$	$2^{15}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	11	$x3A_1$
$x6B_2$	$2^{13}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	12	$x3A_1$
$x6B_3$	$2^{13}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	2^{2}	3	0	12	$x3A_2$
$x6B_4$	$2^{13}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	2^{2}	3	0	11	$x3A_2$
$x6B_5$	$2^{13}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	11	$x3A_1$
$x6C_1$	$2^{15}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	10	$x3A_1$
$x6C_2$	$2^{13}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	2^{2}	3	0	10	$x3A_2$
$x6C_3$	$2^{13}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	10	$x3A_1$
$x6C_4$	$2^{13}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2B}$	1	0	0	10	$x3A_1$
$x6C_5$	$2^{12}.3.5.7.11$	$\overline{2B}$	2^{3}	7	0	10	$x3A_2$

TABLE 5. z = tx of order 6.

TABLE 6. z = tx of order 12.

x	$ \mathcal{O}_x $	Class of \overline{w}	$ C_Q(x) $	q_{2A}	q_{2B}	d_x	y
$x12A_1$	$2^{16}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	6	$x6B_1$
$x12A_2$	$2^{16}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	6	$x6B_1$
$x12A_3$	$2^{15}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	7	$x6B_2$
$x12A_4$	$2^{15}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	7	$x6B_3$
$x12B_1$	$2^{16}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	6	$x6B_1$
$x12B_2$	$2^{16}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	6	$x6B_1$
$x12B_3$	$2^{15}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	7	$x6B_3$
$x12B_4$	$2^{15}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	7	$x6B_2$
$x12B_5$	$2^{15}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	6	$x6B_3$
$x12C_1$	$2^{16}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	5	$x6C_1$
$x12C_2$	$2^{16}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	5	$x6C_1$
$x12C_3$	$2^{16}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	5	$x6C_1$
$x12C_4$	$2^{16}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2B}$	1	0	0	5	$x6C_4$
$x12C_5$	$2^{16}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2B}$	1	0	0	5	$x6C_4$
$x12C_6$	$2^{16}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2B}$	2	1	0	5	$x6C_3$

a representative $x \notin \Delta_1(t) \cup \Delta_2(t)$, we find elements $y \in C_G(x)$ using [7] until we find a 2*B*element for which the order of ty is 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12 or 16. Then we know from the above

x	$ \mathcal{O}_x $	Class of \overline{w}	$ C_Q(x) $	q_{2A}	q_{2B}	d_x	y
$x10A_1$	$2^{16}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	7	$x5A_1$
$x10A_2$	$2^{15}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	8	$x5A_1$
$x10B_1$	$2^{16}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	6	$x5A_1$
$x10B_2$	$2^{16}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	6	$x5A_1$
$x10B_3$	$2^{15}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	6	$x5A_1$
$x10B_4$	$2^{15}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	2^{2}	3	0	6	$x5A_2$
$x20A_1$	$2^{17}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	4	$x10A_1$
$x20A_2$	$2^{16}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	5	$x10A_2$
$x20B*_{1}$	$2^{17}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	4	$x10A_1$
$x20B*_{2}$	$2^{16}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	5	$x10A_2$
$x40A_1$	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	3	$x20B*_{1}$
$x40A_2$	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	3	$x20B*_{2}$
$x40B*_{1}$	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	3	$x20A_1$
$x40B*_{2}$	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	3	$x20A_2$

TABLE 7. z = tx of orders 10, 20 and 40.

TABLE 8. z = tx of orders 22, 33, 44 and 66.

x	$ \mathcal{O}_x $	Class of \overline{w}	$ C_Q(x) $	q_{2A}	q_{2B}	d_x	y
x22A	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	1	x11A
$x33A_1$	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$		1	0	0	0	_
$x33A_2$	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$	_	1	0	0	0	—
$x33B*_{1}$	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$	_	1	0	0	0	—
$x33B*_{2}$	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$		1	0	0	0	—
$x44A_1$	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	1	x22A
$x44A_2$	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	1	x22A
$x66A_1$	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	0	$x33B*_{1}$
$x66A_2$	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	0	$x33B*_{2}$
$x66B*_{1}$	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	0	$x33A_1$
$x66B*_2$	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	0	$x33A_2$

TABLE 9. z = tx of orders 15, 16, 24 and 30.

x	$ \mathcal{O}_x $	Class of \overline{w}	$ C_Q(x) $	q_{2A}	q_{2B}	d_x	y
$x15A_{1}$	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$	_	1	0	0	4	
$x15A_2$	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$	_	1	0	0	4	—
$x16A_1$	$2^{17}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	5	$x \otimes C_1$
$x16A_2$	$2^{17}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	5	$x8C_4$
$x24A_1$	$2^{17}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	3	$x12B_5$
$x24A_2$	$2^{17}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	3	$x12B_1$
$x24B*_{1}$	$2^{17}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2A}$	1	0	0	3	$x12B_5$
$x24B*_{2}$	$2^{17}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	3	$x12B_1$
$x30A_1$	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	2	$x15A_1$
$x30A_2$	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$	$\overline{2C}$	1	0	0	2	$x15A_2$

that y is in $\Delta_2(t)$ and so x is in $\Delta_3(t)$. For all remaining orbits, we were in fact able to find such a y, so we conclude that the graph has diameter 3.

These results are summarized in Table 10. We note that only X_{20A} and X_{20B} straddle two discs in the graph.

TABLE 10. Discs in the commuting involution graph C(G, X) for $G = J_4, X = 2B$.

Disc	Orbits
$\Delta_0(t)$	$\{t\}$
$\Delta_1(t)$	X_{2A}, X_{2B}
$\Delta_2(t)$	$ \begin{array}{l} X_{3A}, X_{4A}, X_{4B}, X_{4C}, X_{5A}, X_{6A}, X_{6B}, X_{6C}, X_{8A}, X_{8B}, X_{8C}, X_{10A}, X_{10B}, X_{11B}, X_{12A}, \\ X_{12B}, X_{12C}, X_{16A}, X_{24A}, X_{24B*}, x20A_1^{C_G(t)}, x20B*_1^{C_G(t)} \end{array} $
$\Delta_3(t)$	$ \begin{array}{l} X_{11A}, \ X_{15A}, \ X_{22A}, \ X_{23A}, \ X_{29A}, \ X_{30A}, \ X_{31A}, \ X_{31B*5}, \ X_{31C*6}, \ X_{33A}, \ X_{33B*}, \ X_{37A}, \\ X_{37B*2}, \ X_{37C*4}, \ X_{40A}, \ X_{40B}, \ X_{43A}, \ X_{43B*6}, \ X_{43C*7}, \ X_{44A}, \ X_{66A}, \ X_{66B*}, \ x^{20}A_2^{C_G(t)}, \\ x^{20}B*_2^{C_G(t)} \end{array} $

4. Structure constants and fixed spaces

4.1. Dimensions of $C_V(g), g \in G$

$g \in C$	$\dim(C_V(g))$	$g\in C$	$\dim(C_V(g))$	$g\in C$	$\dim(C_V(g)$
1A	112	12A	12	30A	4
2A	62	12B	12	31A	2
2B	56	12C	10	31B * 5	2
3A	40	14A	8	31C * 6	2
4A	32	14B * *	8	33A	0
4B	32	14C	8	33B*	0
4C	28	14D * *	8	35A	0
5A	24	15A	8	35B * *	0
6A	20	16A	8	37A	4
6B	22	20A	8	37B * 2	4
6C	20	20B*	8	37C * 4	4
7A	16	21A	4	40A	4
7B * *	16	21B * *	4	40B*	4
8A	16	22A	2	42A	2
8B	16	22B	6	42B * *	2
8C	16	23A	2	43A	0
10A	14	24A	6	43B * 6	0
10B	12	24B*	6	43C * 7	0
11A	2	28A	4	44A	2
11B	12	28B * *	4	66A	0
		29A	0	66B*	0

TABLE 11.

	TABLE 12.					
C	$ X_{C} $					
1A	1	1				
2A	112266	$2.3^{6}.7.11$				
2B	81840	$2^4.3.5.11.31$				
3A	8110080	$2^{14}.3^2.5.11$				
4A	887040	$2^8.3^2.5.7.11$				
4B	70963200	$2^{12}.3^2.5^2.7.11$				
4C	14192640	$2^{12}.3^2.5.7.11$				
5A	113541120	$2^{15}.3^2.5.7.11$				
6B	340623360	$2^{15}.3^3.5.7.11$				
6C	56770560	$2^{14}.3^2.5.7.11$				
8C	340623360	$2^{15}.3^3.5.7.11$				
10A	681246720	$2^{16}.3^3.5.7.11$				
11B	990904320	$2^{20}.3^3.5.7$				
12B	1362493440	$2^{17}.3^3.5.7.11$				

4.2. The structure constants for $G = J_4$ and $t \in 2A$

4.3. The structure constants for $G = J_4$ and $t \in 2B$

C	$ X_C $		C	$ X_C$	7
1A	1	1	20B*	681246720	$2^{16}.3^3.5.7.11$
2A	63063	$3^2.7^2.11.13$	22A	908328960	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$
2B	147884	$2^2.11.3361$	23A	1816657920	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$
3A	6352896	$2^{12}.3.11.47$	24A	908328960	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$
4A	4331712	$2^6.3.7.11.293$	24B*	908328960	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$
4B	32524800	$2^9.3.5^2.7.11^2$	29A	1816657920	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$
4C	43760640	$2^{10}.3.5.7.11.37$	30A	1816657920	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$
5A	114892800	$2^{13}.3.5^2.11.17$	31A	1816657920	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$
6A	5677056	$2^{13}.3^2.7.11$	31B * 5	1816657920	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$
6B	227082240	$2^{16}.3^2.5.7.11$	31C * 6	1816657920	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$
6C	203427840	$2^{12}.3.5.7.11.43$	33A	1816657920	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$
8A	351977472	$2^{14}.3^2.7.11.31$	33B*	1816657920	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$
8B	227082240	$2^{16}.3^2.5.7.11$	37A	1816657920	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$
8C	369008640	$2^{13}.3^2.5.7.11.13$	37B * 2	1816657920	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$
10A	340623360	$2^{15}.3^3.5.7.11$	37C * 4	1816657920	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$
10B	681246720	$2^{16}.3^3.5.7.11$	40A	1816657920	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$
11A	2575360	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7$	40B*	1816657920	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$
11B	908328960	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$	43A	1816657920	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$
12A	681246720	$2^{16}.3^3.5.7.11$	43B * 6	1816657920	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$
12B	794787840	$2^{15}.3^2.5.7^2.11$	43C * 7	1816657920	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$
12C	1362493440	$2^{17}.3^3.5.7.11$	44A	1816657920	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$
15A	1816657920	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$	66A	1816657920	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$
16A	908328960	$2^{18}.3^2.5.7.11$	66B*	1816657920	$2^{19}.3^2.5.7.11$
20A	681246720	$2^{16}.3^3.5.7.11$			

TABLE 13.

References

- 1. C. BATES, D. BUNDY, S. PERKINS and P. ROWLEY, 'Commuting involution graphs for sporadic groups', J. Algebra 316 (2007) 849-868.
- 2. C. BATES and P. ROWLEY, 'Involutions in Conway's largest simple group', LMS J. Comput. Math. 7 (2004) 337 - 351.
- 3. C. BATES, P. ROWLEY and P. TAYLOR, 'Involutions in the automorphism group of small sporadic groups', MIMS Eprint 2011.67, Manchester Institute for Mathematical Sciences, The University of Manchester, http://eprints.ma.man.ac.uk/1660/.
- 4. C. BATES and P. ROWLEY, 'Centralizers of real elements in finite groups', Arch. Math. 85 (2005) 485-489.
- 5. W. BOSMA, J. CANNON and C. PLAYOUST, 'The Magma algebra system I: the user language', J. Symbolic Comput. 24 (1997) 235-265.
- 6. R. BRAUER and K. A. FOWLER, 'On groups of even order', Ann. of Math. (2) 62 (1955) 565-583.
- 7. J. BRAY, 'An improved method for generating the centralizer of an involution', Arch. Math. 74 (2000) 241 - 245.
- 8. J. H. CONWAY, R. T. CURTIS, S. P. NORTON, R. A. PARKER and R. A. WILSON, ATLAS of finite groups (Clarendon, Oxford, 1985).
- 9. The GAP group, 'GAP-groups, algorithms, and programming, version 4.3', 2002, http://www.gap-system.org.
- 10. A. A. IVANOV and U. MEIERFRANKENFELD, 'A computer-free construction of J₄', J. Algebra 219 (1999) no. 1, 113–172.
- 11. Z. JANKO, 'A new finite simple group of order 86,775,571,046,077,562,880 which possesses M_{24} and the full covering group of M_{22} as subgroups', J. Algebra 42 (1976) no. 2, 564–596.
- 12. P. B. KLEIDMAN and R. A. WILSON, 'The maximal subgroups of J₄', Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 56 (1988) no. 3, 484-510.
- 13. W. LEMPKEN, 'Die Untergruppenstruktur der endlichen, einfachen Gruppe J_4 ', Thesis, Mainz, 1985. 14. W. LEMPKEN, 'On local and maximal subgroups of Janko's simple group J_4 ', Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat. (5) 13 (1989) no. 1, 47-103.
- 15. S. NORTON, 'The construction of J_4 ', The Santa Cruz conference on finite groups (University of California, Santa Cruz, CA, 1979), Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics 37 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1980) 271-277.
- 16. R. A. PARKER, 'The computer calculation of modular characters (the Meat-axe)', Computational group theory (Durham, 1982) (Academic Press, London, 1984) 267-274.
- 17. P. ROWLEY and P. TAYLOR, 'Point-line collinearity graphs of two sporadic minimal parabolic geometries', J. Algebra 331 (2011) no. 1, 301–310.
- 18. P. TAYLOR, 'Involutions in the Fischer groups', MIMS Eprint 2011.41, Manchester Institute for Mathematical Sciences, The University of Manchester, http://eprints.ma.man.ac.uk/1622/.
- 19. R. WILSON, P. WALSH, J. TRIPP, I. SULEIMAN, S. ROGERS, R. PARKER, S. NORTON, S. LINTON and J. BRAY, 'ATLAS of finite group representations', http://brauer.maths.qmul.ac.uk/Atlas/v3/.

Peter Rowley School of Mathematics University of Manchester Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL United Kingdom

peter.j.rowley@manchester.ac.uk

Paul Taylor School of Mathematics University of Manchester Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL United Kingdom

p.taylor@maths.manchester.ac.uk