



On the Endomorphism Rings of Local Cohomology Modules

Kazem Khashyarmansh

Abstract. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring and \mathfrak{a} a proper ideal of R . We show that if $n := \text{grade}_R \mathfrak{a}$, then $\text{End}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R)) \cong \text{Ext}_R^n(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R), R)$. We also prove that, for a nonnegative integer n such that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(R) = 0$ for every $i \neq n$, if $\text{Ext}_R^i(R_z, R) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and $z \in \mathfrak{a}$, then $\text{End}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R))$ is a homomorphic image of R , where R_z is the ring of fractions of R with respect to a multiplicatively closed subset $\{z^j \mid j \geq 0\}$ of R . Moreover, if $\text{Hom}_R(R_z, R) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{a}$, then $\mu_{H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R)}$ is an isomorphism, where $\mu_{H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R)}$ is the canonical ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow \text{End}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R))$.

1 Introduction

Let R be a commutative ring and M be an R -module. There is a canonical map

$$\mu_M: R \longrightarrow \text{End}_R(M)$$

such that for $r \in R$, $\mu_M(r)$ is the multiplication map by r on M . It is easy to see that μ_M is a homomorphism of (associative) R -algebras. In general, μ_M is neither injective nor surjective. So, we consider that it is of interest to determine some conditions on M that ensure that μ_M is bijective.

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(-)$ be the n -th local cohomology functor with support in an ideal \mathfrak{a} of R . Let $D(-)$ be the Matlis dual functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, E)$, where E is the injective hull of the field R/\mathfrak{m} (cf. [10]). There were some problems related to the module $D(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M))$. (See for example conjecture (*) in [2] and [4].) By using the theory of D -modules of [9], Hellus showed that, in a certain situation, for some positive integer n , $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(D(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R)))$ is either E or zero [3]. In [7], the present author obtained a generalization of Hellus' Theorem. By using this generalization in conjunction with the spectral sequences method, Hellus and Stückrad showed that if R is Noetherian local complete and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R such that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(R) = 0$ for every $i \neq n (= \text{height } \mathfrak{a})$, then $\mu_{H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R)}$ is bijective [5].

In this paper, by using a natural generalization of regular sequences, we first prove that $\text{End}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R)) \cong \text{Ext}_R^n(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R), R)$, where n is the grade of a proper ideal \mathfrak{a} of R . Moreover, we show that for a nonnegative integer n such that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(R) = 0$ for every $i \neq n$ if $\text{Ext}_R^i(R_z, R) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and $z \in \mathfrak{a}$, then $\text{End}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R))$ is a homomorphic image of R . (For an R -module L and an element z in R , we use the

Received by the editors October 3, 2007.

Published electronically July 26, 2010.

The author was partially supported by a grant from Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics (IPM) Iran (No. 86130027)

AMS subject classification: 13D45, 13D07, 13D25.

Keywords: local cohomology module, endomorphism ring, Matlis dual functor, filter regular sequence.

notation L_z for the module of fractions of L with respect to the multiplicatively closed subset $\{z^u \mid u \geq 0\}$ of R .) Also if, in addition, $\text{Hom}_R(R_z, R) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{a}$, then $\mu_{H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R)}$ is bijective. Finally, as a consequence, we deduce the above-mentioned main result of [5].

Throughout this paper, R will denote a commutative Noetherian ring with non-zero identity and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R . We shall use \mathbb{N}_0 (respectively \mathbb{N}) to denote the set of nonnegative (respectively positive) integers. Also M will denote a finitely generated R -module. Our terminology follows the textbook [1] on local cohomology.

2 Endomorphism Ring

In this note, we study the endomorphism ring of local cohomology module $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R)$ for a nonnegative integer n . To do this, we need a natural generalization of regular sequences, called filter regular sequences.

We say that a sequence x_1, \dots, x_n of elements of \mathfrak{a} is an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence on M if

$$\text{Supp}_R \left(\frac{(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M :_M x_i}{(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M} \right) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $V(\mathfrak{a})$ denotes the set of prime ideals of R containing \mathfrak{a} . Also, we say that an element $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ is an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular element on M if $\text{Supp}_R(0 :_M x) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$. The concept of an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence on M is a generalization of the concept of a filter regular sequence, which has been studied in [6, 8, 11, 12] and has led to some interesting results. Both concepts coincide if \mathfrak{a} is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of a local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Note that x_1, \dots, x_n is a weak M -sequence if and only if it is an R -filter regular sequence on M . It is easy to see that the analogue of [12, Appendix 2(ii)] holds true whenever R is Noetherian, M is finitely generated and \mathfrak{m} replaced by \mathfrak{a} . If x_1, \dots, x_n is an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence on M , then there is an element $y \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that x_1, \dots, x_n, y is an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence on M . Thus, for a positive integer n , there exists an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence on M of length n .

Now, we recall an exact sequence of local cohomology modules.

Proposition 2.1 (See [7, Lemma 2.2]) *For a nonnegative integer n and an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{a}$ on M , there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M) \longrightarrow H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(M) \longrightarrow (H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(M))_{x_{n+1}} \longrightarrow H_{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})}^{n+1}(M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The following lemma is important to further our investigation in this paper.

Lemma 2.2 *Let T be an \mathfrak{a} -torsion R -module and $x \in \mathfrak{a}$. Then, for every R -module L , $\text{Ext}_R^i(T, L_x) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

Proof Suppose that f is an arbitrary element of $\text{Hom}_R(T, L_x)$ and $t \in T$. Then $f(t) = \ell/x^u$ for some $\ell \in L$ and $u \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Since T is an \mathfrak{a} -torsion R -module, there exists a positive integer v such that $\mathfrak{a}^v t = 0$. Hence $x^v \ell/x^u = 0$ in L_x . This implies that $x^\omega \ell = 0$ for some $\omega \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and so $f(t) = 0$. Thus $\text{Hom}_R(T, L_x) = 0$.

Now, since T is \mathfrak{a} -torsion, by [1, Exercise 2.1.8], there exists an injective resolution of T in which each term is an \mathfrak{a} -torsion R -module. Hence, in view of the above paragraph, $\text{Ext}_R^i(T, L_x) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. ■

Remark 2.3 Let L be an R -module. Consider the map $\mu_L: R \rightarrow \text{End}_R(L)$ that maps r to the homomorphism given by multiplication by r on L . It is easy to see that μ_L is an R -algebra homomorphism. Let n be a non-negative integer such that $\text{End}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R)) \cong R$. Then the argument used in the last part of proof of [5, Theorem 2.2], showed that the R -algebra homomorphism $\mu_{H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R)}$ is bijective.

Proposition 2.4 Let n be a nonnegative integer and x_1, \dots, x_n be an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence on M . Let T be an \mathfrak{a} -torsion R -module. Then

$$\text{Hom}_R(T, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(T, H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(M)).$$

In particular, $\text{End}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M), H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(M))$.

Proof Let x_{n+1} be an element in \mathfrak{a} such that x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1} is an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence on M . (Note that the existence of such an element is explained in the beginning of this section.) By Proposition 2.1, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M) \longrightarrow H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(M) \longrightarrow (H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(M))_{x_{n+1}}.$$

Now, by applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(T, -)$ to the above exact sequence in conjunction with Lemma 2.2, we have the following isomorphism:

$$\text{Hom}_R(T, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(T, H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(M)). \quad \blacksquare$$

In the rest of the paper, we need the Čech complex of R with respect to a sequence of elements of R , so we mention the following notations.

Notations 2.5 Let $\underline{y} := y_1, \dots, y_n$ be a sequence of elements of R . Set $\mathfrak{b} := (y_1, \dots, y_n)$. Recall that the Čech complex $C(\underline{y}, R)^\bullet$ of R with respect to \underline{y} is the complex

$$0 \longrightarrow C^0 \longrightarrow C^1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow C^i \longrightarrow C^{i+1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow C^n \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $C^0 = R$ and for $1 \leq i \leq n$, C^i is a direct sum of some copies of $R_{y_{k(1)} \dots y_{k(i)}}$, where $1 \leq k(1) < k(2) < \dots < k(i) \leq n$ (cf. [1, Proposition and Definition 5.1.5]). Also note that, by [1, Theorem 5.1.19], $H^i(C(\underline{y}, R)^\bullet) \cong H_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(R)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

In the following theorem we study the endomorphism ring $\text{End}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\text{grade}_R \mathfrak{a}}(R))$ as we promised in the introduction.

Theorem 2.6 Let \mathfrak{a} be a proper ideal of R and $n := \text{grade}_R \mathfrak{a}$. Then, for every \mathfrak{a} -torsion R -module T , we have the following isomorphism:

$$\text{Hom}_R(T, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R)) \cong \text{Ext}_R^n(T, R).$$

In particular, $\text{End}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R)) \cong \text{Ext}_R^n(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R), R)$.

Proof In view of the case $n = 0$ of Proposition 2.4, we may assume that $n > 0$. Let $\underline{x} := x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a regular sequence on R contained in \mathfrak{a} and

$$C(\underline{x}, R)^\bullet: 0 \longrightarrow C^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} C^1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow C^i \xrightarrow{d^i} C^{i+1} \longrightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} C^n \longrightarrow 0$$

denote the Čech complex of R with respect to \underline{x} . Since $H^i(C(\underline{x}, R)^\bullet) \cong H^i_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}(R) = 0$ for all i with $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and $H^n_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}(R) = C^n / \text{Im } d^{n-1}$, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} C^1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow C^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} C^n \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} H^n_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}(R) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where ε is the natural homomorphism. Set $L^i := \text{Im } d^i$ for all i with $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Hence, we have the exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow L^{n-1} \longrightarrow C^n \longrightarrow H^n_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}(R) \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 \longrightarrow L^{i-1} \longrightarrow C^i \longrightarrow L^i \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all i with $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Now, in view of Lemma 2.2, we obtain the following isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_R(T, H^n_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}(R)) &\cong \text{Ext}_R^1(T, L^{n-1}) \cong \text{Ext}_R^2(T, L^{n-2}) \cong \dots \\ &\dots \cong \text{Ext}_R^{n-1}(T, L^1) \cong \text{Ext}_R^n(T, C^0) \cong \text{Ext}_R^n(T, R). \end{aligned}$$

Since x_1, \dots, x_n is also an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence on R , the result now follows from Proposition 2.4. ■

For an R -module M , the cohomological dimension of M with respect to \mathfrak{a} is defined as

$$\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) := \max\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) \neq 0\}.$$

Theorem 2.7 *Let \mathfrak{a} be a proper ideal of R such that $n := \text{grade}_R \mathfrak{a} = \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, R)$. Let $\text{Ext}_R^i(R_z, R) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in \mathfrak{a}$.*

- (i) $\text{End}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R))$ is a homomorphic image of R .
- (ii) If, moreover, $\text{Hom}_R(R_z, R) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{a}$, then $\text{End}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R)) \cong R$ and so $\mu_{H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R)}$ is bijective.

Proof First, suppose that $n > 0$ and that $\underline{y} := y_1, \dots, y_t$ is a generating set of \mathfrak{a} . Then, by [1, Corollary 3.3.3], we have that $t \geq n$. Consider the Čech complex of R with respect to \underline{y} as follows:

$$C(\underline{y}, R)^\bullet: 0 \longrightarrow C^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} C^1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow C^n \xrightarrow{d^n} C^{n+1} \longrightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{d^{t-1}} C^t \longrightarrow 0.$$

For every $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $0 \leq i \leq t - 1$, we put $L^i := \text{Im } d^i$. Since $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(R) = 0$ for all i with $i \neq n$, we have the exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow C^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} C^1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow C^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} L^{n-1} \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker } d^n \longrightarrow C^n \xrightarrow{d^n} C^{n+1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow C^t \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that L^n is not defined in the case $n = t$ (similarly for L^1 in the case $n = 0$). Hence we have the exact sequences

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker } d^n \longrightarrow C^n \longrightarrow L^n \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow L^{i-1} \longrightarrow C^i \longrightarrow L^i \longrightarrow 0,$$

for all i with $1 \leq i \leq t - 1$ and $i \neq n$. Now, by our assumption $\text{Ext}_R^j(C^i, R) = 0$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, by using the exact sequences (2.1) and (2.2), we have the following isomorphisms for $i \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Ker } d^n, R) &\cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(L^n, R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+2}(L^{n+1}, R) \cong \dots \\ &\dots \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+t-n}(L^{t-1}, R) = \text{Ext}_R^{i+t-n}(C^t, R) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(2.3) \quad \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Ker } d^n, R) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $H_a^n(R) = \text{Ker } d^n / L^{n-1}$, we have the following exact sequence

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow L^{n-1} \longrightarrow \text{Ker } d^n \longrightarrow H_a^n(R) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Whenever $n = 1$, by considering the R -module $\text{Hom}_R(L^0, R)$, the result immediately follows from Theorem 2.6 and the exact sequence (2.4). So we may also assume that $n \geq 2$. Now, Theorem 2.6, in conjunction with (2.2), (2.3), and (2.4), induces the following isomorphisms.

$$\text{End}_R(H_a^n(R)) \cong \text{Ext}_R^n(H_a^n(R), R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{n-1}(L^{n-1}, R) \cong \dots \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(L^1, R)$$

Also, (2.2) implies the exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}_R(C^1, R) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(L^0, R) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(L^1, R) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, $\text{End}_R(H_a^n(R))$ is a homomorphic image of $\text{Hom}_R(L^0, R)$ and the last module is R because d^0 is injective. If, moreover, $\text{Hom}_R(R_z, R) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{a}$, then $\text{Hom}_R(C^1, R) = 0$ and so $\text{End}_R(H_a^n(R)) \cong R$.

In the case that $n = 0$, by slight modifications in the first part of the above arguments, we conclude that there exist the exact sequences (2.2) and

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_a(R) \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow L^0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

So we have the exact sequence

$$(2.5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(L^0, R) \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\Gamma_a(R), R) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(L^0, R)$$

and the isomorphisms

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(L^0, R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^2(L^1, R) \cong \dots \cong \text{Ext}_R^t(L^{t-1}, R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^t(C^t, R).$$

But, by our assumption, $\text{Ext}_R^t(C^t, R) = 0$. Thus, in view of Theorem 2.6, $\text{End}_R(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(R))$ is a homomorphic image of R .

For the last assertion, in light of (2.2), we have the exact sequence

$$(2.6) \quad \text{Hom}_R(C^1, R) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(L^0, R) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(L^1, R)$$

and the isomorphisms

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(L^1, R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^2(L^2, R) \cong \dots \cong \text{Ext}_R^{t-1}(L^{t-1}, R) = \text{Ext}_R^{t-1}(C^t, R) = 0.$$

(Note that if $t = 1$, then $L^1 = 0$.) By our assumption, $\text{Hom}_R(C^1, R) = 0$ and so, by (2.6), $\text{Hom}_R(L^0, R) = 0$. Now, (2.5) completes the proof. ■

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.7, which is a main result of [5].

Corollary 2.8 (See [5, Theorem 2.2]) *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local complete ring and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R such that $n := \text{grade}_R \mathfrak{a} = \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, R)$. Set $H := H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R)$. Then*

$$\mu_H: R \longrightarrow \text{End}_R(H)$$

is an isomorphism of R -algebras.

Proof Let $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Since R is complete and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$, for every $z \in \mathfrak{a}$ we have the following isomorphisms

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(R_z, R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(R_z, \text{Hom}_R(E, E)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Tor}_i^R(E, R_z), E) = 0,$$

where E is the injective hull of R/\mathfrak{m} . Thus, by Theorem 2.7, $\text{End}_R(H) \cong R$. Now the result follows from Remark 2.3. ■

Acknowledgments The author is deeply grateful to Dr. Fahimeh Khosh-Ahang for helpful discussions about Corollary 2.8 and to the referee for helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] M. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 60, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [2] M. Hellus, *On the associated primes of Matlis duals of top local cohomology modules*. *Comm. Algebra* **33**(2005), no. 11, 3997–4009. doi:10.1080/00927870500261314
- [3] ———, *Finiteness properties of duals of local cohomology modules*. *Comm. Algebra* **35**(2007), no. 11, 3590–3602. doi:10.1080/00927870701512069
- [4] M. Hellus and J. Stückrad, *Local Cohomology and Matlis duality*. *Univ. Iagel. Acta. Math.* **45**(2007), 63–70.
- [5] ———, *On endomorphism rings of local cohomology*. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136**(2008), no. 7, 2333–2341. doi:10.1090/S0002-9939-08-09240-X
- [6] K. Khashyarmansh, *On the finiteness properties of extension and torsion functors of local cohomology modules*. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135**(2007), no. 5, 1319–1327. doi:10.1090/S0002-9939-06-08664-3
- [7] ———, *On the Matlis duals of local cohomology modules*. **88**(2007), no. 5, 413–418. doi:10.1007/s00013-006-1115-1

- [8] K. Khashyarmanesh and Sh. Salarian, *Filter regular sequences and the finiteness of local cohomology modules*. *Comm. Algebra* **26**(1998), no. 8, 2483–2490. doi:10.1080/009278798088826293
- [9] G. Lyubeznik, *Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules (an application of D -modules to commutative algebra)*. *Invent. Math.* **113**(1993), no. 1, 41–55. doi:10.1007/BF01244301
- [10] E. Matlis, *Injective modules over Noetherian rings*. *Pacific J. Math.* **8**(1958), 511–528.
- [11] P. Schenzel, N. V. Trung, and N. T. Cuong, *Verallgemeinerte Cohen-Macaulay-Moduln*. *Math. Nachr.* **85**(1978), 57–73. doi:10.1002/mana.19780850106
- [12] J. Stückrad and W. Vogel, *Buchsbaum rings and applications. An interaction between algebra, geometry and topology*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Department of Mathematics, Mashhad, Iran
e-mail: Khashyar@ipm.ir