# ON 3-DIMENSIONAL CONTACT SLANT SUBMANIFOLDS IN SASAKIAN SPACE FORMS 

Ion Mihai and Yoshiniko Tazawa

Recently, B.-Y. Chen obtained an inequality for slant surfaces in complex space forms. Further, B.-Y. Chen and one of the present authors proved the non-minimality of proper slant surfaces in non-flat complex space forms. In the present paper, we investigate 3-dimensional proper contact slant submanifolds in Sasakian space forms. A sharp inequality is obtained between the scalar curvature (intrinsic invariant) and the main extrinsic invariant, namely the squared mean curvature.

It is also shown that a 3 -dimensional contact slant submanifold $M$ of a Sasakian space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$, with $c \neq 1$, cannot be minimal.

## 1. Introduction.

In [3], Chen proved that the squared mean curvature $\|H\|^{2}$ and the Gauss curvature $K$ of a proper slant surface $M$ in a complex space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$ satisfy the following basic inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|H(p)\|^{2} \geqslant 2 K(p)-2\left(1+3 \cos ^{2} \theta\right) c \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

at each point $p \in M$.
The equality sign of (1.1) holds at a point $p \in M$ if and only if with respect to some suitable orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right\}$ at $p$, the shape operators at $p$ take the following forms:

$$
A_{e_{3}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
3 \lambda & 0  \tag{1.2}\\
0 & \lambda
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{e_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \lambda \\
\lambda & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The purpose of the present paper is to establish a sharp inequality for 3-dimensional proper contact slant submanifolds in Sasakian space forms, involving the scalar curvature $\tau$ and the squared mean curvature $\|H\|^{2}$.

More precisely, we prove that the following estimate holds.
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Theorem 1. Let $M$ be a 3-dimensional proper contact slant submanifold of a 5-dimensional Sasakian space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$. Then, we have

$$
\|H\|^{2} \geqslant \frac{8}{9} \tau-\frac{2}{9}\left[c+3+(3 c+5) \cos ^{2} \theta\right] .
$$

The case in which equality holds is investigated.
In [4], B.-Y. Chen and one of the present authors proved that there do not exist minimal proper slant surfaces in a non-flat complex space form. We show that there do not exist 3 -dimensional minimal proper contact slant submanifolds in a 5 -dimensional Sasakian space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$, with $c \neq 1$.

Finally, we obtain another inequality between an intrinsic invariant (scalar curvature) and extrinsic invariants (scalar normal curvature and squared mean curvature) of a 3-dimensional proper contact slant submanifold in a 5 -dimensional Sasakian space form, and investigate the case in which equality holds.

## 2. Submanifolds of a Sasakian space form.

Let ( $\widetilde{M}, g$ ) be a $(2 m+1)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold endowed with an endomorphism $\phi$ of its tangent bundle $T \widetilde{M}$, a vector field $\xi$ and a 1-form $\eta$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi^{2} X=-X+\eta(X) \xi, \phi \xi=0, \eta \circ \phi=0, \eta(\xi)=1  \tag{2.1}\\
g(\phi X, \phi Y)=g(X, Y)-\eta(X) \eta(Y), \eta(X)=g(X, \xi)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y \in \Gamma(T \widetilde{M})$.
If, in addition, $d \eta(X, Y)=g(\phi X, Y)$, then $\widetilde{M}$ is said to have a contact Riemannian structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$. If, moreover, the structure is normal, that is, if

$$
[\phi X, \phi Y]+\phi^{2}[X, Y]-\phi[X, \phi Y]-\phi[\phi X, Y]=-2 d \eta(X, Y) \xi
$$

then the contact Riemannian structure is called a Sasakian structure and $\widetilde{M}$ is called a Sasakian manifold. On a Sasakian manifold one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} \phi\right) Y=-g(X, Y) \xi+\eta(Y) X \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}$ is the Riemannian connection with respect to $g$. For more details and background, we refer to the standard references $[1,8]$.

A plane section $\sigma$ in $T_{p} \widetilde{M}$ of a Sasakian manifold $\widetilde{M}$ is called a $\phi$-section if is spanned by $X$ and $\phi X$, where $X$ is a unit tangent vector orthogonal to $\xi$. The sectional curvature $\bar{K}(\sigma)$ with respect to a $\phi$-section $\sigma$ is called a $\phi$-sectional curvature. If a Sasakian manifold $\widetilde{M}$ has constant $\phi$-sectional curvature $c$, then it is called a Sasakian space form and is denoted by $\widetilde{M}(c)$.

The curvature tensor $\widetilde{R}$ of a Sasakian space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$ is given by ([1]):

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{R}(X, Y) Z= & \frac{c+3}{4}(g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y)  \tag{2.3}\\
+ & \frac{c-1}{4}(\eta(X) \eta(Z) Y-\eta(Y) \eta(Z) X+g(X, Z) \eta(Y) \xi \\
& -g(Y, Z) \eta(X) \xi+g(\phi Y, Z) \phi X-g(\phi X, Z) \phi Y-2 g(\phi X, Y) \phi Z)
\end{align*}
$$

for any tangent vector fields $X, Y, Z$ to $\widetilde{M}(c)$.
An $n$-dimensional submanifold $M$ of a Sasakian space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$ is called a contact $\theta$-slant submanifold if the structure vector field $\xi$ is tangent to $M$ and for each non-zero vector $X$ tangent to $M$ at $p \in M$ and orthogonal to $\xi$, the angle $\theta(X)$ between $\phi X$ and $T_{p} M$ is independent of the choice of $X$ and $p$ (see, for instance, [3] and [2]). Moreover, $M$ is a proper contact slant submanifold if $0<\theta<\pi / 2$, that is, $M$ is neither invariant nor anti-invariant submanifold.

It is easily seen that the minimum codimension of an $n$-dimensional proper contact slant submanifold is $n-1$. The anti-invariant submanifolds have the same property (see [7]).

## 3. Main results.

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold. Denote by $K(\pi)$ the sectional curvature of the plane section $\pi \subset T_{p} M, p \in M$. For any orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ of the tangent space $T_{p} M$, the scalar curvature $\tau$ at $p$ is defined by

$$
\tau(p)=\sum_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n} K\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right)
$$

We consider a 3 -dimensional proper contact $\theta$-slant submanifold $M$ in a 5 -dimensional Sasakian space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$. For any vector $X$ tangent to $M$, we put

$$
\phi X=P X+F X
$$

where $P X$ and $F X$ denote the tangential and normal components of $\phi X$, respectively.
Let $e_{1}$ be a unit vector tangent to $M$ and orthogonal to $\xi$. We construct a canonical orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}\right\}$ defined by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
e_{2}=\frac{1}{\cos \theta} P e_{1}, & e_{3}=\xi \\
e_{4}=\frac{1}{\sin \theta} F e_{1}, \quad e_{5}=\frac{1}{\sin \theta} F e_{2}
\end{array}
$$

We call such a basis an adapted slant orthonormal basis.

THEOREM 1. Let $M$ be a 3-dimensional proper contact slant submanifold of a 5-dimensional Sasakian space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|H\|^{2} \geqslant \frac{8}{9} \tau-\frac{2}{9}\left[c+3+(3 c+5) \cos ^{2} \theta\right] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the equality sign of (3.1) holds at a point $p \in M$ if and only if with respect to some suitable adapted slant orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}\right\}$ at $p$, the shape operators at $p$ take the following forms:

$$
A_{e_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
3 \lambda & 0 & \sin \theta  \tag{3.2}\\
0 & \lambda & 0 \\
\sin \theta & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{e_{5}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \lambda & 0 \\
\lambda & 0 & \sin \theta \\
0 & \sin \theta & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Proof: Let $p \in M$ and $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}\right\}$ an adapted slant orthonormal basis. We have

$$
\tau(p)=K\left(e_{1} \wedge e_{2}\right)+K\left(e_{1} \wedge e_{3}\right)+K\left(e_{2} \wedge e_{3}\right)
$$

We recall the Gauss equation for the submanifold $M$ in the Sasakian space form $\widetilde{M}(c):$

$$
\widetilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W)=R(X, Y, Z, W)+g(h(X, W), h(Y, Z))-g(h(X, Z), h(Y, W))
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y, Z, W$ tangent to $M$, where $h$ denotes the second fundamental form and $R$ the curvature tensor of $M$. Then, by using (2.3) and Gauss equation, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
K\left(e_{1} \wedge e_{2}\right)=R\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=\frac{c+3}{4} & +\frac{3}{4}(c-1) \cos ^{2} \theta \\
& +g\left(h\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right), h\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)\right)-g\left(h\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right), h\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(e_{1} \wedge e_{2}\right)=\frac{c+3}{4}+\frac{3}{4}(c-1) \cos ^{2} \theta+h_{11}^{4} h_{22}^{4}+h_{11}^{5} h_{22}^{5}-\left(h_{12}^{4}\right)^{2}-\left(h_{12}^{5}\right)^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{i j}^{r}=g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), e_{r}\right), i, j \in\{1,2,3\}, r \in\{4,5\}$.
It is easily seen that

$$
A_{F e_{1}} e_{2}=A_{F e_{2}} e_{1}
$$

which implies $h_{12}^{5}=h_{22}^{4}$.
We choose the unit normal vector $e_{4} \in T_{p}^{\perp} M$ parallel to the mean curvature vector $H(p)$ of $M$ in $p$. Then one has $H(p)=\|H(p)\| e_{4}$, which leads to

$$
\|H(p)\|^{2}=\frac{1}{9}\left(h_{11}^{4}+h_{22}^{4}\right)^{2}, \quad h_{11}^{5}+h_{22}^{5}=0 .
$$

The relation (3.3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(e_{1} \wedge e_{2}\right)=\frac{c+3}{4}+\frac{3}{4}(c-1) \cos ^{2} \theta+h_{11}^{4} h_{22}^{4}-\left(h_{11}^{5}\right)^{2}-\left(h_{12}^{4}\right)^{2}-\left(h_{22}^{4}\right)^{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The trivial inequality $(\mu-3 \lambda)^{2} \geqslant 0$ is equivalent to $(\mu+\lambda)^{2} \geqslant 8\left(\lambda \mu-\lambda^{2}\right)$. If we put

$$
\mu=h_{11}^{4}, \quad \lambda=h_{22}^{4}
$$

the above inequality and the equation (3.4) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|H(p)\|^{2} \geqslant \frac{8}{9}\left[K\left(e_{1} \wedge e_{2}\right)-\frac{c+3}{4}-\frac{3}{4}(c-1) \cos ^{2} \theta\right] \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using Gauss equation we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(e_{1} \wedge e_{3}\right)=K\left(e_{2} \wedge e_{3}\right)=1-\sin ^{2} \theta=\cos ^{2} \theta \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain the inequality (3.1) to prove.
Moreover, equality holds in (3.1) at a point $p \in M$ if and only if

$$
h_{11}^{4}=3 h_{22}^{4}, \quad h_{12}^{4}=0, \quad h_{11}^{5}=0
$$

Then the shape operators take the desired forms.
Next, we shall prove the non-minimality of 3-dimensional proper contact slant submanifolds in 5-dimensional Sasakian space forms $\widetilde{M}(c)$, with $c \neq 1$.

Theorem 2. Let $M$ be a 3-dimensional proper contact slant subnmanifold in a 5-dimensional Sasakian space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$, with $c \neq 1$. Then $M$ is not minimal.

Proof: We assume that $M$ is a 3 -dimensional minimal proper contact slant submanifold in a 5 -dimensional Sasakian space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$, with $c \neq 1$. Let $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}\right\}$ be an adapted slant orthonormal local frame.

For any normal vector $U$, we put $\phi U=t U+f U$, where $t U$ and $f U$ denote the tangential and normal components of $\phi U$, respectively. Clearly one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
t e_{4} & =-(\sin \theta) e_{1}, \\
f e_{4} & =-(\cos \theta) e_{5}=-(\sin \theta) e_{2} \\
& f e_{5}=(\cos \theta) e_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the normal part of the relation (2.2), we get

$$
\nabla_{X}^{\perp} F Y-F \nabla_{X} Y=f h(X, Y)-h(X, P Y)
$$

where $\nabla^{\perp}$ is the normal connection of $M$.
In particular, one has

$$
\nabla_{e_{1}}^{\perp} e_{4}=\frac{1}{\sin \theta}\left\{\omega_{1}^{2}\left(e_{1}\right) F e_{2}+h_{11}^{4} f e_{4}+h_{11}^{5} f e_{5}-\cos \theta\left(h_{12}^{4} e_{4}+h_{12}^{5} e_{5}\right)\right\}
$$

where $\left\{\omega_{A}^{B}\right\}$ denote the connection 1 -forms on $\widetilde{M}(c)$.
The last equation implies

$$
\omega_{4}^{5}\left(e_{1}\right)=\omega_{1}^{2}\left(e_{1}\right)-(\cot \theta)\left(h_{11}^{4}+h_{22}^{4}\right)
$$

Since $M$ is minimal, it follows that $\omega_{4}^{5}\left(e_{1}\right)=\omega_{1}^{2}\left(e_{1}\right)$.
Similarly $\omega_{4}^{5}\left(e_{2}\right)=\omega_{1}^{2}\left(e_{2}\right)$. Then, one finds

$$
\omega_{4}^{5}=\omega_{1}^{2} .
$$

Let $p \in M$ be a non-totally geodesic point. Consider the function

$$
\gamma_{p}: T_{p}^{1} M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, \quad \gamma_{p}(v)=g(h(v, v), F v)
$$

where $T_{p}^{1} M=\left\{v \in T_{p} M \mid g(v, v)=1\right\}$. Since $T_{p}^{1} M$ is a compact set, there exists a vector $v \in T_{p}^{1} M$ such that $\gamma_{p}(v)=\inf \gamma_{p}\left(T_{p}^{1} M\right)=-\mu<0, \mu \in \mathbf{R}$. It is easily seen that $v$ is an eigenvector of the shape operator $A_{F v}$. Then we can choose an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ of $T_{p} M$, with $e_{1}=v$ and $e_{3}=\xi$, such that

$$
h\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=-\mu e_{4}, \quad h\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=\mu e_{5}, \quad h\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=\mu e_{4} .
$$

Consequently, there exists a local adapted slant orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}\right\}$ such that the second fundamental form $h$ satisfies

$$
h\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=-\lambda e_{4}, \quad h\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=\lambda e_{5}, \quad h\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=\lambda e_{4},
$$

for a certain smooth function $\lambda$ on $M$.
Using (2.3), a straightforward calculation leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\widetilde{R}\left(e_{2}, e_{1}\right) e_{1}\right)^{\perp}=\frac{3}{4}(c-1)(\sin \theta \cos \theta) e_{4} \\
& \left(\widetilde{R}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{2}\right)^{\perp}=-\frac{3}{4}(c-1)(\sin \theta \cos \theta) e_{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the Codazzi equation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{2} \lambda=3 \lambda \omega_{1}^{2}\left(e_{1}\right)-\frac{3}{4}(c-1) \sin \theta \cos \theta \\
& e_{2} \lambda=3 \lambda \omega_{1}^{2}\left(e_{1}\right)+\frac{3}{4}(c-1) \sin \theta \cos \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we obtain $(c-1) \sin \theta \cos \theta=0$, which is a contradiction.
It is known that any invariant submanifold of a Sasakian manifold is minimal. Combining this result with Theorem 2, we find the following.

Corollary 3. Let $M$ be a 3-dimensional minimal contact slant submanifold of a 5-dimensional Sasakian space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$. Then either $c=1$, or $M$ is invariant, or $M$ is anti-invariant.

A Sasakian space form $\widetilde{M}(1)$ is locally isometric to a sphere.
We characterise the 3-dimensional minimal proper contact slant submanifold in $S^{5}$.
Proposition 4. A 3-dimensional proper contact slant submanifold in the 5dimensional sphere $S^{5}$ is minimal if and only if with respect to some suitable local adapted slant orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}\right\}$, the shape operators take the following forms:

$$
A_{e_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\lambda & 0 & \sin \theta \\
0 & \lambda & 0 \\
\sin \theta & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{e_{5}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \lambda & 0 \\
\lambda & 0 & \sin \theta \\
0 & \sin \theta & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Proof: Let $M$ be a 3 -dimensional minimal proper contact slant submanifold in $S^{5}$. Then, as in the proof of Theorem 2, we can construct a local adapted slant orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}\right\}$ such that the second fundamental form $h$ satisfies

$$
h\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=-\lambda e_{4}, \quad h\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=\lambda e_{5}, \quad h\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=\lambda e_{4},
$$

for a certain smooth function $\lambda$ on $M$. Then the shape operators take the desired forms.
The converse statement is obvious.

## 4. Another inequality.

In this section, we prove another inequality between an intrinsic invariant, namely the scalar curvature $\tau$, and extrinsic invariants, namely the scalar normal curvature $\tau^{\perp}$ and squared mean curvature $\|H\|^{2}$, for a 3 -dimensional proper contact slant submanifold $M$ in a 5 -dimensional Sasakian space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$.

Let $p \in M$ and $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}\right\}$ an adapted slant orthonormal basis of $T_{p} M$. We define the scalar normal curvature $\tau^{\perp}$ at $p$ by

$$
\tau^{\perp}(p)=g\left(R^{\perp}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{4}, e_{5}\right)
$$

where $R^{\perp}$ denotes the curvature tensor of $\nabla^{\perp}$.
This definition is formally similar to the definition of the normal curvature of a surface in a 4-dimensional space form (see [6]). Also, since, in the case under consideration,

$$
g\left(R^{\perp}\left(e_{1}, \xi\right) e_{4}, e_{5}\right)=g\left(R^{\perp}\left(e_{2}, \xi\right) e_{4}, e_{5}\right)=0
$$

it follows that the above definition agrees, up to a constant factor, to the definition introduced in [5].

We observe that the normal connection of $M$ is flat if and only if $\tau^{\perp}=0$, which is equivalent to the simultaneous diagonalisability of all shape operators (see, for instance, [5]).

THEOREM 5. Let $M$ be a 3-dimensional proper contact slant submanifold of a 5-dimensional Sasakian space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|H\|^{2} \geqslant \frac{4}{9}\left(\tau+\tau^{\perp}\right)-\frac{2}{9}(c+1)-\frac{8}{9} \cos ^{2} \theta \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the equality sign of (4.1) holds at a point $p \in M$ if and only if with respect to some suitable adapted slant orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}\right\}$ at $p$, the shape operators at $p$ take the following forms:

$$
A_{e_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\lambda & \mu & \sin \theta  \tag{4.2}\\
\mu & \lambda & 0 \\
\sin \theta & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad A_{e_{5}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mu & \lambda & 0 \\
\lambda & -\mu & \sin \theta \\
0 & \sin \theta & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Proof: Let $p \in M$ and $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}\right\}$ an adapted slant orthonormal basis. By the definition of the mean curvature vector, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
9\|H\|^{2}=\left(h_{11}^{4}+h_{22}^{4}\right)^{2}+\left(h_{11}^{5}\right. & \left.+h_{22}^{5}\right)^{2}  \tag{4.3}\\
& =\left(h_{11}^{4}-h_{22}^{4}\right)^{2}+\left(h_{11}^{5}-h_{22}^{5}\right)^{2}+4\left(h_{11}^{4} h_{22}^{4}+h_{11}^{5} h_{22}^{5}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By using equation (3.3), (4.3) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
9\|H\|^{2}=\left(h_{11}^{4}-h_{22}^{4}\right)^{2}+\left(h_{11}^{5}-h_{22}^{5}\right)^{2} & +4\left(\tau-2 \cos ^{2} \theta\right)  \tag{4.4}\\
& \quad-(c+3)-3(c-1) \cos ^{2} \theta+4\left(h_{12}^{4}\right)^{2}+4\left(h_{12}^{5}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

We choose $e_{4}$ in the direction of the mean curvature vector. Then $\operatorname{tr} A_{e_{5}}=0$, and thus the shape operators have the following forms:

$$
A_{e_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha & \mu & \sin \theta \\
\mu & \lambda & 0 \\
\sin \theta & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{e_{5}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mu & \lambda & 0 \\
\lambda & -\mu & \sin \theta \\
0 & \sin \theta & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

It follows that (4.4) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
9\|H\|^{2}-4 \tau+(c+3)+(3 c+5) \cos ^{2} \theta=8 \mu^{2}+(\alpha-\lambda)^{2}+4 \lambda^{2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by the definition of the scalar normal curvature and Ricci equation, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau^{\perp} & =g\left(R^{\perp}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{4}, e_{5}\right)=g\left(\widetilde{R}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{4}, e_{5}\right)+g\left(\left[A_{e_{4}}, A_{e_{5}}\right] e_{1}, e_{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{c-1}{4}\left(1-3 \cos ^{2} \theta\right)+h_{11}^{5} h_{12}^{4}+h_{12}^{5} h_{22}^{4}-h_{11}^{4} h_{12}^{5}-h_{12}^{4} h_{22}^{5} \\
& =\frac{c-1}{4}\left(1-3 \cos ^{2} \theta\right)+2 \mu^{2}+\lambda(\lambda-\alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (4.5) and the trivial inequality $4 \lambda(\lambda-\alpha) \leqslant 4 \lambda^{2}+(\lambda-\alpha)^{2}$, the above equation implies

$$
4 \tau^{\perp} \leqslant 9\|H\|^{2}-4 \tau+2(c+1)+8 \cos ^{2} \theta
$$

which is equivalent to (4.1).
Equality holds in (4.1) at a point $p \in M$ if and only if $\alpha=-\lambda$, that is, the shape operators take the forms (4.2).

Corollary 6. Each 3-dimensional proper contact slant submanifold $M$ of a 5dimensional Sasakian space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$ which satisfies the equality case of (4.1) at every point $p \in M$ is a minimal submanifold.

The proof follows from (4.2).
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