Prebiotics and resistance to gastrointestinal infections
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Acute gut disorder is a cause for significant medicinal and economic concern. Certain individual pathogens of the gut, often transmitted in food or water, have the ability to cause severe discomfort. There is a need to manage such conditions more effectively. The route of reducing the risk of intestinal infections through diet remains largely unexplored. Antibiotics are effective at inhibiting pathogens; however, these should not be prescribed in the absence of disease and therefore cannot be used prophylactically. Moreover, their indiscriminate use has reduced effectiveness. Evidence has accumulated to suggest that some of the health-promoting bacteria in the gut (probiotics) can elicit a multiplicity of inhibitory effects against pathogens. Hence, an increase in their numbers should prove effective at repressing pathogen colonisation if/when infectious agents enter the gut. As such, fortification of indigenous bifidobacteria/lactobacilli by using prebiotics should improve protection. There are a number of potential mechanisms for lactic acid bacteria to reduce intestinal infections. Firstly, metabolic endproducts such as acids excreted by these micro-organisms may lower the gut pH to levels below those at which pathogens are able to effectively compete. Also, many lactobacilli and bifidobacteria species are able to excrete natural antibiotics, which can have a broad spectrum of activity. Other mechanisms include an improved immune stimulation, competition for nutrients and blocking of pathogen adhesion sites in the gut. Many intestinal pathogens like type 1 fimbriated *Escherichia coli*, salmonellae and campylobacters utilise oligosaccharide receptor sites in the gut. Once established, they can then cause gastroenteritis through invasive and/or toxin forming properties. One extrapolation of the prebiotic concept is to simulate such receptor sites in the gut lumen. Hence, the pathogen is ‘decayed’ into not binding at the host mucosal interface. The combined effects of prebiotics upon the lactic acid flora and anti-adhesive strategies may lead towards new dietary interventions against food safety agents.
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The problem of gastroenteritis

While viruses probably cause the most cases of gastroenteritis in children and adults, bacteria are the most problematic in terms of food safety. Eating foods containing pathogenic micro-organisms or their toxins may cause so-called ‘food poisoning’. Clinical features of gastroenteritis vary greatly and may range from mild to life-threatening. Symptoms include vomiting, nausea, abdominal cramps and/or diarrhoea, depending on the causative agents. A microbiological detection is usually carried out to confirm the diagnosis. Bacteria form a principal concern because they are very ubiquitous and are therefore likely to contaminate food in the first place. Moreover, the food environment can provide a good matrix and substrate supply for growth. Bacteria proliferate at a quick rate, although the minimum level for detection does vary markedly. Common sources of bacterial food contamination are shown in Table 1.

Many bacteria capable of causing food poisoning reside within the gut flora of humans and animals. As such, there is a risk of infection in meat and poultry, particularly at the time of slaughter. However, it is important to realise that poor slaughter practices may lead to infection, even in the cleanest of slaughterhouses. Similarly, contamination of dairy produce may occur through the use of non-pasteurised milk, while vegetables may be exposed to risk if animal manure is used as the fertiliser.

While infection through the food chain to the human gut is possible by various means, it is clear that steps are being taken to avoid the risk. Bacterial growth in foods can be affected by temperature, acidity and moisture content. In the kitchen this is readily controlled by adequate cooking of the food, with temperatures of around 100°C being lethal for vegetative bacterial cells. However, some bacteria (e.g. clostridia, bacilli) are able to produce spores that are more resistant to this. Thus, it is imperative that the cooking process is thorough, with correct handling and storage also adding significantly towards the hygienic process.

Microbiological food safety currently has a major consumer, industrial and research profile. Nevertheless, food for human consumption has never been so safe as is the current case. However, the incidence of food poisoning seems to increase on an annual basis in countries where there is a high standard of food production and processing. It would seem likely that this is due to the variety and frequency of food consumption, which is increasing in the developed world. Therefore, the development of methods to reduce the risk of food-borne illness is a priority for food safety and food hygiene.

Table 1. Examples of principal bacterial causes of food poisoning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial species</th>
<th>Common foods that may be contaminated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacillus cereus</em></td>
<td>Cooked rice, meat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Campylobacter</em> spp.</td>
<td>Poultry, unpasteurised milk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Clostridium botulinum</em></td>
<td>Fish, meat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Clostridium perfringens</em></td>
<td>Cooked meat, poultry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>Meat, raw milk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Listeria monocytogenes</em></td>
<td>Pâté, soft cheeses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salmonella</em></td>
<td>Meat, poultry, eggs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Shigella</em> spp.</td>
<td>Eggs, salads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td>Ham, poultry, dairy products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Yersinia enterocolitica</em></td>
<td>Milk, poultry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ALA, α-lactalbumin; EPEC, enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli*; GMP, glycomacropeptide.
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basis. Undoubtedly, this is closely related to better methods of detection and prediction, including the use of genetic-based methodologies that have high reliability and sensitivity. The economic costs and medical aspects of acute gastroenteritis are huge, e.g., time lost from employment, clinical costs and patient suffering.

Pathogens may either colonise and grow within the gastrointestinal tract and then invade host tissue, or they may secrete toxins contaminating food prior to its ingestion. Such toxins disrupt the function of the intestinal mucosa, causing nausea, vomiting and diarrhoea. The principal human intestinal bacterial pathogens can be characterised according to the virulence factors that enable them to overcome host defences. These include invasion that enables bacterial multiplication within enterocytes or colonocytes, for example Escherichia coli, Shigella spp., salmonellae and yersiniae. Cytotoxic bacteria, which include the enteropathogenic and enterohaemorrhagic strains of E. coli as well as some shigellae, are able to produce substances that directly cause cell injury. Toxigenic bacteria, such as Vibrio cholerae, and some shigellae are capable of producing enterotoxins, which affect salt and water secretion in the host. Lastly, enteropathogenic E. coli have the ability to tightly adhere to the colonic mucosa. Such mechanisms enable potentially pathogenic bacteria to establish infections in the gastrointestinal tract, evade the immune system and surmount colonisation resistance afforded by the indigenous gut microflora.

Acute gastroenteritis probably affects most people at one time or other during their lives. In particular, the consequences can be devastating in more susceptible persons, e.g. young children and the elderly. Persons who are frequent travellers or exposed to antibiotics are especially susceptible. Every effort is made to eradicate pathogens from the food chain. However, given the variability involved this is almost impossible to achieve. Hence, attention has turned to the gut microbial ecosystem as an effective ‘barrier’ to pathogens, i.e. fortification of the microflora such that improved colonisation resistance occurs.

**Role of the gut flora in colonisation resistance**

The gut microflora and the mucosa themselves may act as barriers against invasion by potential pathogens. Bifidobacteria and lactobacilli can inhibit pathogens like E. coli, Campylobacter and Salmonella spp. (Gibson & Wang, 1994a). The lactic microflora of the human gastrointestinal tract is thought to play a significant role in the improved colonisation resistance (Gibson et al. 1997).

A number of plausible mechanisms are in operation:

- metabolic endproducts, such as acids, excreted by these micro-organisms may lower the gut pH, in a microniche, to levels below those at which pathogens are able to effectively compete;
- competitive effects from occupation of normal colonisation sites;
- direct antagonism through natural antimicrobial excretion (lactic acid bacteria produce inhibitory peptides);
- competition for nutrients which may be limiting; and
- enhancement of the immune system.

The outbreak of E. coli O157 in Lanarkshire, Scotland, at the end of 1996, resulted in twenty-one fatalities and was one of the world’s most serious food poisoning incidents ever. The deaths have highlighted the continuing concern of bacterial gastroenteritis to consumers, the food industry, researchers and the medical profession. In laboratory tests we have also shown that some bifidobacteria exert powerful antagonistic effects towards E. coli O157. The inhibition was variable in species of bifidobacteria, with Bifidobacterium infantis and Bifidobacterium longum exerting the greatest effect on E. coli. The possibility exists, therefore, that increased levels of bifidobacteria (and consideration of the species type) in the large gut may, along with other factors such as immune status, offer improved protection. Above the age of about 55 years, faecal bifidobacteria counts are known to show a marked decrease in comparison to those of younger persons (Mitsuoka, 1990). It may be of some relevance that the UK fatalities, during the E. coli outbreak, all involved the elderly, while hundreds of people in different age groups reported the infection. A potential correlation exists with reduced pathogen resistance, decreased numbers of bifidobacteria in the elderly and the production of natural resistance factors. In essence, the natural gut flora may have been compromised through reduced bifidobacteria numbers and may have a diminished ability to deal with pathogens. If prebiotics are used to increase bifidobacteria or lactobacilli towards being the numerically predominant genus in the colon, an improved colonisation resistance will result.

**The use of prebiotics**

Inulin is a polysaccharide of the form Gluα1-2(β Fru 1-2)n, where \( n > 10 \) (Crittenden & Playne, 1996). The structural relatives of inulin, i.e. inulin-type fructans, are the best-documented oligosaccharides for their effect on intestinal bifidobacteria and are considered important prebiotic substrates. They are produced in large quantities in several countries and can be added to various products such as biscuits, drinks, yoghurts, breakfast cereals, spreads, dairy products, beverages, infant foods, bakery products, animal feeds, pet foods and sweeteners (Mizota, 1996). Inulin also occurs naturally in Western foods, such as onion, asparagus, leek, garlic, wheat and artichoke, although to a lesser extent than in chicory (Gibson et al. 1994).

Batch culture studies where faecal slurries were incubated with inulin, oligofructose (OF), starch, polydextrose, fructose and pectin for 12 h (Wang & Gibson, 1993) showed the greatest increase in bifidobacteria with OF and inulin, indicating the prebiotic nature of these substrates. Continuous culture systems inoculated with faecal slurries were later used to investigate fermentation of inulin-type fructans (Gibson & Wang, 1994a,b). In accordance with earlier studies, bifidobacteria, and to a lesser extent lactobacilli, preferred OF and inulin to glucose, whereas bacteroides could not grow on OF. By varying parameters in the chemostat, optimum conditions for growth of bifidobacteria, but inhibition of bacteroides, clostridia and coliforms, were concluded to be low pH (pH 5.5), high culture dilution rate (0.3/h) and 1 % (w/v) concentration of carbohydrate, i.e. similar to the physico-chemical environment of the proximal colon. Three-stage chemostats confirmed the enhanced proliferation of bifidobacteria by OF in conditions resembling the proximal colon (Gibson & Wang, 1994a; McBain & Macfarlane, 1997).

A later single-stage chemostat study, with inulin-type fructans (Sghir et al. 1998), demonstrated discrepancies between classical microbiological techniques and molecular approaches. Agar plate counts showed an increase in the combined populations of bifidobacteria and lactobacilli that reached 98.7 % of the total bacterial flora by steady state. However, 16S rRNA genus-specific probes indicated an initial increase in the bifidobacteria population that decreased after 6 d, while lactobacilli thrived in the low pH
fermenter (pH 5.2–5.4) maintaining a high population at steady state. The changes observed in the SCFA profile corresponded well with the population data obtained through probe methods.

Rats that were previously fed tyrosine and tryptophan (capable of producing putrefactive products) were administered a 10% (w/v) fructan diet, and this resulted in increased SCFA, decreased faecal pH, and significantly decreased concentrations of the tyrosine derivatives phenol and p-cresol (Hidaka et al. 1986).

Several studies have been conducted using human subjects, although the dose, substrate, duration and volunteers vary. A general observation was the greater bifidogenic effect of substrates in subjects with a low initial bifidobacteria count (10^7/g faeces) than in those with high initial numbers (10^9/g faeces; Hidaka et al. 1986). Also, a negative correlation between bifidobacteria and Clostridium perfringens was observed suggesting that the former may inhibit growth of the latter in the intestine, supporting earlier studies (Wang & Gibson, 1993; Gibson & Wang, 1994c). There were large variations between the subjects in their microflora compositions and response to the substrates (Hidaka et al. 1986; Williams et al. 1994; Buddington et al. 1996). Between Western and Eastern subjects (Buddington et al. 1996). However, a general observation was the decrease in bifidobacteria once administration of the prebiotic ceased (Bouhnik et al. 1994; Buddington et al. 1996). Human trials with oligofructose and inulin have consistently demonstrated an efficient prebiotic effect, most markedly a stimulation of bifidobacteria and therefore a reduced incidence of diarrhoea (Hidaka et al. 1995; Buddington et al. 1996; Kleessen et al. 1997; Tuohy et al. 2001).

Thus, an efficient prebiotic, like inulin, can stimulate changes in the gut flora that make the host more resistant to agents that cause gastroenteritis. Studies on this are currently few (Table 2), but given the ease of use and multiple mechanisms involved, there is much potential. One important study (Cummings et al. 2001) showed the positive effect of inulin on the incidence of diarrhoea in travellers.

### Enhancing functionality

The idea of combining prebiotic properties with anti-adhesive activities is currently under investigation. This would add major functionality to the approach of altering gut pathogenesis. Many intestinal pathogens utilise monosaccharides or short oligosaccharide sequences as receptors and knowledge of these receptor sites has relevance for biologically enhanced prebiotics (Table 3). Binding of pathogens to these receptors is the first step in the colonisation process (Finlay & Falkow, 1989; Karlsson, 1989). There are currently several pharmaceutical preparations based upon such oligosaccharides in clinical trials. These agents are multivalent derivatives of the sugars and act as ‘blocking factors’, dislodging the adherent pathogen (Heerze et al. 1994; Jayaraman et al. 1997). There is potential for developing prebiotics which incorporate such a receptor monosaccharide or oligosaccharide sequence. These molecules should have enough anti-adhesive activity to inhibit binding of low levels of pathogens. They can therefore be thought of as ‘decoy oligosaccharides’.

Our studies (Brück et al. 2003) have shown that prebiotics with anti-adhesive capabilities could reduce the symptoms of enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC) challenge in a monkey model. Glycoconjugates and protein (GMP) has the potential to mimic glycans that bacteria use for attachment, thereby inhibiting pathogen colonisation. α-Lactalbumin (ALA) aids in the production of lactose, which, upon fermentation, produces an environment inhospitable to pathogens. Infant macaques were breast- or formula-fed with ALA or GMP from birth to 5 months of age. At 4.5 months, 10^8 cfu of EPEC was given. Gut microflora (rectal swabs) were quantified by fluorescent in situ probing and the response to an infection assessed. At 4.5 months, 10^8 cfu of EPEC was given. Breast-fed primates experience no diarrhoea, as did those supplemented with ALA. Formula-fed groups had acute effects and those fed with GMP only experienced intermittent diarrhoea. These results show that supplemented formula could aid development of a more host-friendly flora and may improve the ability to resist acute infection in a model system very close to man.

The prebiotic concept may be extrapolated further by considering an attenuation of virulence in certain food-borne pathogens. For example, the plant-derived carbohydrate cellubiose is able to repress the pathogenicity of Listeria monocytogenes through down-regulation of its virulence factors (Park & Kroll, 1993). As such, this organism is avirulent in its natural habitat of soil, where it is exposed to rotting vegetation and therefore cellubiose. In the human body, an absence of cellubiose may allow the virus to be expressed, and it is possible that further incorporation of this disaccharide to foods susceptible to Listeria contamination could reduce this virulence.

### Table 2. Examples of studies showing that prebiotics may reduce acute pathogenesis of the gut

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prebiotic</th>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oligofructose and inulin protected against Listeria monocytogenes and Salmonella typhimurium as well as chemically induced tumours</td>
<td>Buddington et al. (2002)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced incidence of traveller’s diarrhoea with inulin</td>
<td>Cummings et al. (2001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inulin affects immunity through macrophage activation and through cell wall fragments of bifidobacteria</td>
<td>Meyer et al. (2000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inulin in an oral electrolyte solution accelerated beneficial bacteria and recovery from diarrhoea</td>
<td>Oli et al. (1998)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prebiotic fermentation increased organic acids, which may be useful for suppressing pathogens</td>
<td>Kleessen et al. (1997)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifidobacterium breve plus transgalactosylated oligosaccharides inhibited Salmonella enterica</td>
<td>Asahara et al. (2001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Receptor saccharides for gastrointestinal pathogens and toxins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Saccharide</th>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Galactose</td>
<td>Escherichia coli (P-piliated, Vero cytotoxin</td>
<td>Streptococcus pneumoniae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Haemophilus influenzae, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae</td>
<td>Clostridium difficile tox A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sialic acid</td>
<td>E. coli (S-fimbriated)</td>
<td>Vibrio cholerae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fucose</td>
<td>E. coli, V. cholerae</td>
<td>Mannose</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
bifidobacteria that, in turn, exert several anti-pathogenic mechanisms. Future challenges may include an extrapolation of the prebiotic concept into anti-adhesive aspects.

References


