QUICK OBSERVATIONS OF THE FADING X-RAYS FROM GAMMA-RAY BURSTS WITH ASCA

T. MURAKAMI, Y. UEDA, R. FUJIMOTO, M. ISHIDA, R. SHIBATA, S. UNO, F. NAGASE AND ISAS TEAM
Institute of Space and Astronautical Science
3-1-1, Yoshinodai, Sagamihara, Kanagawa 229, Japan

A. YOSHIDA, N. KAWAI, F. TOKANI, C. OTANI AND RIKEN TEAM
Institute of Physical and Chemical Research
2-1, Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351, Japan

AND

The Large International Collaborations

1. Introduction

Since the discovery of fading X-rays from Gamma-Ray Bursts (GRBs) with BeppoSAX (Piro et al. 1997, Costa et al. 1997), world-wide follow-up observations in optical band have achieved the fruitful results. The case of GRB 970228, there was an optical transient, coincides with the BeppoSAX position and faded (Paradijs et al. 1997, Sahu et al. 1997). These optical observations also confirmed the extended component, which was associated with the optical transient. The new transient are fading with a power-law function in time and the later observation of HST confirmed the extended emission is stable (Fruchter et al. 1997). This extended object seems to be a distant galaxy and strongly suggests to be the host.

In the case of GRB 970508, the optical transient showed the lines of absorptions which were consistent with z=0.835, but there was no extended
emission confirmed. The existence of the absorption and later emission at
the same $z$ suggest the transient at $z=0.835$ but again no galaxy was con-
formed yet (Djorgovski et al. 1997, Metzger et al. 1997). Based on these two
cases, most are now believing that the origin of GRBs is from distant galax-
ies probably not from the nuclei but in the arm. However, the examples are
too few to firmly convince that all GRBs come from the distant galaxies. In
fact, many GRBs described below have shown no optical transient. We hope
to confirm the scenario observing much local GRBs clearly associate with
a galaxy. ASCA was out of this scope, because of no capability of detecting
GRBs but the quick informations of SAX enabled us to monitor the fading
X-rays of GRB 970228, GRB 970402 (Yoshida et al. 1997a, Feroci et al.
1997). Recently RXTE has successfully localized GRBs responding to the
LOCBURST and informed their locations to us within several hours after
the detections. This also enables us to observe the fading X-rays within 2
days. We have done observations of GRB 970616, GRB 970815 and GRB
970828 following the RXTE detections (Connaughton et al. 1997, Marshall
the ASCA efforts briefly in this report.

2. Observations

2.1. GRB 970228

We have done monitor of this burst 1 week after the burst and detected
the flux. The observed flux was almost our detection limit of $7.2 \pm 2.1(1$
sigma)x10$^{-14}$ erg sec$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ in 2-10 keV. We have detected the flux but
could not say anything about the variability and the spectrum. However
the detected flux was fully consistent with the power-law decay in time even
one week after the burst (Costa et al. 1997, Yoshida et al. 1997a).

2.2. GRB 970402 AND GRB 970616

We have started the monitor of this burst 2.8 days after the burst on
April 5.74 (U.T.) but could not detect any flux. The 90% upper limit was
about $1\times10^{-13}$ erg sec$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ in 2-10 keV.
The observation of GRB 970616 was also done 3.5 days after the burst. At
least four X-ray sources were in the SIS FOV. Two were in the reported IPN
error and one was slightly outside but consistent with the IPN considering
the ASCA error (Murakami et al. 1997a, Kevin et al. 1997a). One X-ray
source labeled A1 in the ROSAT IAUC was very variable but fading during
our observation (Greiner et al., 1997). The most probable source; A1 faded
in factor of 22 between the observations of ROSAT and ASCA and A2 and
A3 were clearly out of the IPN error. However A4 in the IPN error was
not detected. Based on the informations above, we could not conclude that the A1 source was the X-ray counterpart. However, the fading during the ASCA observation strongly suggests that A1 X-ray source might be the fading X-ray counterpart of this GRB. If this were true, the fading was not simple, the X-rays faded in power-law in time in average but in short time scale, the flux was very variable. The time scale of variability was several hours. There were no optical transient for both cases.

2.3. GRB 970815 AND GRB 970828

We have done observation of GRB 970815, 3.2 days after the burst. Although there was an X-ray source in the FOV, which was consistent with the new IPN but not in the RXTE/ASM error (Smith et al. 1997, Kevin et al. 1997b). The intensity of this source did not show a fading and looked stable, so we rejected the possibility of an X-ray counterpart (Murakami et al. 1997b).

Soon after this burst during the IAU symposium at Kyoto, GRB 970828 was observed. We successfully reached to the source within 1.17 days after the burst. We have carried out the ASCA maneuver at the Kyoto International Hole and also from the Kyoto university through the network not from the operation room at ISAS. The precise location of the X-ray source was reported two days after the detection but there was no optical transient discovered (Murakami et al. 1997c). The detail of the ASCA observation was reported by Yoshida at the GRB workshop in Huntsville (Yoshida et al. 1997b). This was fading during our observation, so there was no doubt to be the fading X-ray counterpart but no optical counterpart discovered.

![Figure 1. ASCA X-ray lightcurve of GRB 970828 together with the reported RXTE fluxes. The power-law decay index in time, between the last RXTE flux and the ASCA was about 1.44 is much faster than the case of GRB 970228.](image-url)
3. Discussion

Our interest is to add another case of an optical transient and hope to find a galaxy associated with a fading X-ray counterpart. However, unfortunately there was no so far for the ASCA observations. Only the two SAX positions showed the optical transient but the four of the ASCA positions resulted no optical transient. Many ground-based observers such as Keck and Palomar have tried to find an optical transient following the ASCA notices but non. What were the differences between GRB 970228, GRB 970508 and others which were no optical transient? Let us make figure 1 of the X-ray light-curve of GRB 970828 in the same scale of GRB 970228 (figure 3 of Costa et al. 1997). The cases without optical transient faded much faster than the cases with the optical transient. However this is still a small sampling. It is clear that the size of the bursts such as the peak flux and/or the fluency did not relate to the existence of the optical transient. What comes from this difference? We do not know the reason why. Schaefer mentioned no host galaxy is common to his deep HST observations (Schaefer et al. 1997). In any case, we require further detections of a burst which shows a slower decay and brighter flux (probably local) in future to make the origin of the optical transient clear.
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