

TABLES

2.1 Impacts of IP-based knowledge transfer policies on universities/public research institutes and firms	42
2.2 Socioeconomic effects of IP-based knowledge transfer policies	44
2.3 Impacts on low- and middle-income countries	46
2.4 Knowledge transfer channels and data sources	51
2.5 Basic metrics from KTO surveys	53
2.6 Supplementary metrics from KTO surveys	57
2.7 Variables for standardizing knowledge transfer office (KTO) metrics	59
3.1 Comparison of PATSTAT national patent data coverage for featured countries	86
3.2 Share of patent applications filed in selected technology fields by applicant type, 2013–15	110
3.3 Top PCT applicants for the university sector in 2016	115
3.4 Top PCT applicants among governments and public research institutes in 2016	118
3.5 Top fifty-five patent applicants worldwide, based on total number of patent families, 2010–13	122
3.6 Top five university and public research institute patent applicants worldwide by selected origins, 2010–13	129
4.1 Public funding of universities and PSREs	148
4.2 Indicators of research commercialization activities in UK universities	159
4.3 Summary indicators of research commercialization activities in UK PSREs	165
4.4 Collaboration with universities and governments	169
4.5 Cooperation on innovation activities with universities and government at different geographical levels	170
5.1 Selected key features of German public research institutes	186
5.2 Top-ranking universities for patent applications, 1990–2009, and research	188
5.3 Public research institute heads' assessment of their institutes' key tasks (%)	192
5.4 KTT by leading German public research institutes at a glance	194
5.5 Leading collaboration partners by sector, 2008–10	197

5.6	Main users of public research institute research, as identified by public research institute heads	198
5.7	University researchers' patent activity by applicant type, 1995–2008	203
5.8	Academic entrepreneurship before and after the 2002 policy reform (annual mean values), 1995–2008	206
5.9	Importance of main knowledge transfer channels, by universities and public research institutes, 1997–9	210
5.10	External funding and channels of commercialization as reported by researchers in 2008	213
5.11	Key characteristics of the three case study universities	221
6.1	Public R&D expenditure and number of Korean public research institutes and universities, 2000–14	232
6.2	Number of domestic patent applications by public research institutes and universities 2000–15	234
6.3	Output of R&D activities by Korean public research institutes and universities – new technologies and knowledge transfer, 2007–14	235
6.4	Output of R&D activities by Korean public research institutes and universities – license income, 2007–14	237
6.5	University knowledge transfer contracts by industry, 2011–13	239
6.6	Firms reporting universities or research institutes as sources of innovation information, 2011–13	240
6.7	Primary types of cooperation with public research organizations among surveyed firms	241
6.8	Knowledge transfer contracts and share of different types of knowledge transfer, 2007–14	243
6.9	Laboratory companies – sales and employment, 2009–15	244
7.1	Main policies and instruments for S&T funding in Brazil in 2012	274
7.2	Number of research infrastructures in Brazil by launch period	275
7.3	Number of universities, research universities, and federal technological institutions in Brazil in 2015	276
7.4	R&D investment by the main public universities in Brazil in 2012	278
7.5	Budget or revenues of the main public research institutes in Brazil in 2014	279
7.6	Number of patents filed by Brazilian universities and research institutions at the National Institute of Industrial Property, 2000–12	281
7.7	Firms that innovated using a cooperation agreement with a university or public research institute in 2014	283
7.8	Knowledge transfer contracts undertaken by Brazilian public research institutes and public universities by type of contract in 2014	288
8.1	Number of SCI-indexed papers by different organizations in China, 2003–17	304

8.2	Share of transaction value of knowledge transfer contracts by seller types, 2009–16 (%)	312
8.3	Patent applications, grants, and transfers by 1,497 universities in 2015	317
8.4	R&D and licensing modes of universities and public research institutes (%)	318
8.5	Patent exploitation rates in 2014 (%)	319
8.6	Patent sales (assignments) rates in 2014 (%)	319
8.7	Patent licensing rates in 2014 (%)	320
9.1	R&D expenditure of leading universities, public research institutes, and state-owned enterprises, 2013–14	332
9.2	Innovation outputs in 2015	345
9.3	Share of innovative firms rating sources of information for innovation as “highly important”	346
9.4	R&D expenditure and knowledge transfer metrics for four leading universities in 2014	348
9.5	Metrics of the knowledge transfer activities of South African universities and public research institutes, fiscal year 2013–14	351
10.1	Policies to support knowledge transfer for differing capabilities of public research organizations and firms	370
11.1	Convergence of knowledge transfer policies	399
11.2	Differences between the national systems of innovation of six high- and middle-income countries	401
11.3	Differences in range of supporting policies	409
12.1	Data collected for IP-mediated knowledge transfer plus research agreements at the institutional level (results for six countries)	426
12.2	Data collected for IP policies at the national (✓) or institutional (✓✓) level (results for six countries)	433
12.3	Metrics at the institutional level for policies and practices to support knowledge transfer	434
12.4	Data collected in previous surveys of academic engagement	437
12.5	Knowledge transfer metrics from surveys of academics and firms	438