BULL. AUSTRAL. MATH. SOC. VOL. 36 (1987) 411-416 26A18, 54H20, 58F13

THERE ARE NO CHAOTIC MAPPINGS WITH RESIDUAL SCRAMBLED SETS

T. GEDEON

A continuous mapping f of a compact real interval I to itself which is chaotic in the sense of Li and Yorke, cannot have a scrambled set residual in an subinterval of I. This shows that chaos in this case cannot be large from a topological point of view.

We shall consider continuous mappings $f: I \rightarrow I$, where I is a real compact interval. The notion of chaos for such mappings was introduced by Li and Yorke [4]. However, the following equivalent formulation is more convenient.

DEFINITION ([2], [8]). A mapping $f: I \rightarrow I$ is δ -chaotic if there is a nonempty perfect set S such that for any $x, y \in S$, $x \neq y$, and any periodic point p of f,

(1)	$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup f^{n}(x) - f^{n}(y) > \delta$
(2)	$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf f^{n}(x) - f^{n}(y) = 0$
(3)	$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup f^{n}(x) - f^{n}(p) > \delta$

where f^n denotes the n-th iterate of f, for n = 0, 1, 2, ... The

Received 17 November 1986.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/87 \$A2.00 + 0.00. 411

set S is then called δ -scrambled (or simply scrambled when $\delta = 0$) set for f.

We recall that f is chaotic when the topological entropy of f is positive (or equivalently, when f has a cycle of order not a power of 2), but there are also chaotic mappings with zero topological entropy (see [δ]).

It is well-known that there exist functions with scrambled sets of positive Lebesgue measure (see [3], [5], [6], [7]). By a simple modification of the argument from [7] we can see that (assuming the continuum hypothesis) the standard "tent" mapping defined by f(x) = 1 - |1 - 2x| for $x \in [0, 1]$, has a scrambled set which is of the second Baire category on every subinterval of [0, 1]. Another construction can be found in [1].

However, none of the above examples yields a function with a scrambled set residual in a certain interval. The problem is whether such a function does exist. A partial negative solution, for functions satisfying a strong restrictive additional condition, is given by Bruckner and Thakyin Hu [1]. More precisely, they assume that the corresponding function f satisfies on the scrambled set S the condition lim sup $|f^n(x) = f^n(y)| = \mu(I)$ (the measure of I), instead of the much $n \neq \infty$ weaker condition (1) with $\delta = 0$.

In the present note we show that the answer is negative in general. Our main result is the following

THEOREM. Let $f: I \rightarrow I$ be a continuous function and S a scrambled set for f. Then S is residual in no subinterval of I.

Our proof of the theorem is based on some lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let $f: I \rightarrow I$ be a continuous function and S a scrambled set for f. Let $J_0 \subset I$ be an interval such that $S \cap J_0$ contains at least two different points u, v. Then there are positive integers r, s such that

int $(f^{r}(J_{\rho})) \cap int (f^{r+s}(J_{\rho})) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. By (1) $\limsup_{n \to \infty} |f^n(u) - f^n(v)| = \epsilon > 0$. Hence

413

lim sup (diam $f^n(J_0)$) > $\epsilon/2$, that is, diam $f^m(J_0)$ > $\epsilon/2$ for infinitely $n \to \infty$

many *m*'s . Since the measure $\mu(I)$ of *I* is finite, we get immediately the statement.

LEMMA 2. (see [1]). Let f be continuous on an interval [a, b]and S be a residual subset of [a, b]. If f is one to one on S, then f(S) is residual in f([a, b]).

Since [1] is not generally available, we give here also the proof.

Proof. of Lemma 2. Let *H* be a dense set of type G_{σ} contained in *S*. Then f(H) is a Borel set, being the one to one continuous image of a set of type G_{σ} .

Thus, if f(H) is not residual in f([a, b]), then there exists an open interval J such that $f(H) \cap J$ is of first category. Let I be a component interval of the open set $f^{-1}(J)$ and $H^* = H \cap I$. Since $f(H^*)$ is a first category subset of J, there exist nowhere dense sets B_1, B_2, \ldots such that $f(H^*) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k \subset \overline{J}$. Then $H^* \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (f^{-1}(\overline{B}_k) \cap \overline{I})$. Since H^* is residual in I, the same is true for $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (f^{-1}(\overline{B}_k) \cap \overline{I})$. This implies that there is a k such that the closed set $f^{-1}(\overline{B}_k) \cap \overline{I}$ contains an interval L. But H^* is residual in L and f is one to one on H^* , so the set f(L) is a non-degenerate interval. This is impossible since $f(L) \subseteq \overline{B}_k$, a nowhere dense set.

LEMMA 3. Let $f: I \rightarrow I$ be a continuous function, let J be a subinterval of I and S be a residual subset of J. If f is one to one on S, then f is monotonic on J.

Proof. Let f be not monotonic on J. Then there exist points a < b < c such that $f(a) = f(c) \neq f(b)$. Without loss of generality assume max $\{f(x): x \in [a, b]\} = f(b)$. Since f is one to one on S, by Lemma 2 $f(S \cap [a, b])$ and $f(S \cap [b, c])$ are residual subsets of f([a, b]). Thus these two sets have non-empty intersection, which is impossible since f is one to one on S.

LEMMA 4. Let f be continuous and S be a scrambled set for f. Then f^n is one to one on $f^m(S)$, for m, n = 0, 1, 2, ... Proof. Assume that there are $u, v \in f^{m}(S), u \neq v$, such that $f^{n}(u) = f^{n}(v)$. Choose $x, y \in S$ with $f^{m}(x) = u, f^{m}(y) = v$. Then $x \neq y$ and $f^{m+n}(x) = f^{m+n}(y)$, contrary to (1).

Proof of Theorem. Assume contrary to what we wish to show that S is a scrambled set for f, residual in a subinterval L of I. By Lemma 1 there are positive integers r, s such that

(4)
$$\operatorname{int} (f^{r+s}(L)) \cap \operatorname{int} (f^{r}(L)) \neq \emptyset$$
.

Let $f^{\mathcal{P}}(L) = J$, $f^{\mathcal{P}}(S) = S_0$. By (4), int $(f^{\mathcal{B}}(J)) \cap \text{int} (J) \neq \emptyset$ hence int $(f^{\mathcal{B}}(J)) \cap \text{int} (f^{\mathcal{B}}(J)) \supset \text{int} (f^{\mathcal{B}}(f^{\mathcal{B}}(J) \cap J)) \neq \emptyset$ and by induction we get immediately

(5) int
$$(f^{ks}(J)) \cap int (f^{(k-1)s}(J)) \neq \emptyset$$
, $k = 0, 1, 2, ...$

Consequently, $J_0 = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} f^{ks}(J)$ is a connected set, that is an interval. We show that f^{θ} is monotonic on J_0 . Since S is residual in L, Lemmas 4 and 2 imply

(6)
$$f^{ks}(S_0)$$
 is residual in $f^{ks}(J)$, $k = 1, 2, ...$

and Lemma 4 gives

(7)
$$f^{s}$$
 is one to one on $f^{ks}(S_0)$, $k = 1, 2, ...$

Now by (6), (7) and Lemma 3, f^s is monotonic on each of the intervals $\{f^{ks}(J)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and the intersection property (5) implies that f^s is monotonic on J_0 .

Put $g = f^{28}$. Since f^8 is monotonic, g is non-decreasing, and clearly $g^k(x) \in J_0$ for every $x \in S \cap J$ and every k.

Finally, consider the sequence $\{g^k(x)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, for $x \in S \cap J$. Since g is non-decreasing, g(x) < x implied $g^k(x) < g^{k-1}(x)$ and $\{g^k(x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is decreasing. Similarly $\{g^k(x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is increasing if g(x) > x. Thus

 $\lim_{k \to \infty} g^k(x) = \alpha \text{ exists. Since } g(\alpha) = g(\lim_{k \to \infty} g^k(x)) = \lim_{k \to \infty} g^{k+1}(x) = \alpha, \alpha$ is a fixed point of g.

For i = 1, 2, ..., 2s write $\alpha_i = f^i(\alpha)$. The points α_i form a periodic orbit and by the continuity of f, we have

$$\alpha_i = f^i(\lim_{k \to \infty} g^k(x)) = \lim_{k \to \infty} g^k(f^i(x)) = \lim_{k \to \infty} f^{2ks+i}(x)$$

that is the sequence $\{f^n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is asymptotically periodic, contrary to the fact that x belongs to a scrambled set (see (3)).

Remarks. 1. In the proof the condition (3) is not necessary, since every set S such that (1) and (2) is true for $x, y \notin S$, $x \neq y$, can clearly contain at most one point which has an asymptotically periodic trajectory.

2. A simple modification of the above argument shows that the theorem is also true for continuous mappings of the circle.

References

- [1] A. M. Bruckner and Thakyin Hu, "On scrambled sets for chaotic functions", (Preprint 1985).
- [2] K. Janková and J. Smital, "Characterization of chaos", Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 34 (1986), 283 - 292.
- [3] I. Kan, "A chaotic function possessing a scrambled set of positive Lebesgue measure", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 92 (1984), 45-49.
- [4] T. Y. Li and J. A. Yorke, "Period three implies chaos", Amer. Math. Monthly 82 (1975), 985-992.
- [5] M. Misiurewicz, "Chaos almost everywhere", Iteration Theory and its Functional Equations (Ed. R. Liedl et al.), Lecture Notes in Math. 1163 (Springer 1985), pp. 125-130.
- [6] J. Smital, "A chaotic function with some extremal properties", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 87 (1983), 54 - 56.
- [7] J. Smital, "A chaotic function with scrambled set of positive Lebesgue measure", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 92 (1984), 50-54.
- [8] J. Smital, "Chaotic functions with zero topological entropy", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 297 (1986), 269-282.

Katedra algebry Faculty of Mathematics and Physics Komensky University 842 15 Bratislava Czechoslovakia.