



Second language acquisition of grammatical rules: The effects of learning condition, rule difficulty, and executive function – CORRIGENDUM

Marta Rivera, Daniela Paolieri, Antonio Iniesta, Ana I Pérez and Teresa Bajo

Corrigendum

Cite this article: Rivera M, Paolieri D, Iniesta A, Pérez AI, Bajo T (2023). Second language acquisition of grammatical rules: The effects of learning condition, rule difficulty, and executive function – CORRIGENDUM.

Bilingualism: Language and Cognition 26, 636–636. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1366728923000238>

First published online: 2 March 2023

doi: [10.1017/S1366728922000815](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1366728922000815). Published online by Cambridge University Press, 18 January 2023.

The authors would like to apologize for a typographical error on page 6. In [Table 2](#), the data for 24-hours, line 3, is incorrect. The corrected Table should read:

Table 2. Mean rates (SD) for d' scores for dative and pseudoclefts rules. T-test reports for Rule-learning d' and Episodic-recognition d' on immediate, 24-hour and 1-week GJT tests.

d' score	Incidental condition		Explicit condition	
Rule Learning	<i>Dative</i>	<i>Pseudoclefts</i>	<i>Dative</i>	<i>Pseudoclefts</i>
Immediate	1.07 (.72)	.74 (.71)	2.13 (1.13)	.45 (.98)
t-test	$t(38) = 5.89, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.19, .39]$	$t(63) = 8.42, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.20, .32]$	$t(63) = 14.5, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.56, .74]$	$t(38) = 3.19, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.06, .26]$
24-hours	.89(.7)	.94(.73)	2.21 (1.05)	1 (.88)
t-test	$t(38) = 6.94, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.19, .35]$	$t(63) = 7.19, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.27, .40]$	$t(63) = 15.05, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.58, .75]$	$t(38) = 9.53, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.26, .40]$
One week	.95 (.72)	.89(.87)	2.16 (1.11)	.7 (1.01)
t-test	$t(38) = 9.23, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.24, .38]$	$t(63) = 8.43, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.20, .39]$	$t(63) = 14.5, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.52, .73]$	$t(38) = 3.19, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.06, .26]$
Episodic Recognition				
Immediate	1.56 (1.02)	1.46 (.88)	2.26 (.99)	.7 (1.06)
t-test	$t(38) = 6.29, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.66, .28]$	$t(63) = 12.89, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.36, .50]$	$t(63) = 17.1, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.61, .77]$	$t(38) = 4.36, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.12, .34]$
24-hours	1.42 (.87)	1.2 (.94)	2.3 (.97)	1.36 (.73)
t-test	$t(38) = 9.98, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.04, .35]$	$t(63) = 11.68, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.37, .52]$	$t(63) = 17, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.61, .77]$	$t(38) = 12.41, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.37, .52]$
One week	1.36 (.73)	1.2(.92)	2.17 (1.12)	.84 (1.03)
t-test	$t(38) = 11.64, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.37, .36]$	$t(63) = 8.7, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.26, .42]$	$t(63) = 13.08, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.55, .75]$	$t(38) = 4.46, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI } [.14, .37]$

Reference

Rivera M, Paolieri D, Iniesta A, Pérez A and Bajo T (2023) Second language acquisition of grammatical rules: The effects of learning condition, rule difficulty, and executive function. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition* 1–14. doi:[10.1017/S1366728922000815](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1366728922000815)

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

