

# On Segre Forms of Positive Vector Bundles

## Dincer Guler

Abstract. The goal of this note is to prove that the signed Segre forms of Griffiths' positive vector bundles are positive.

## 1 Introduction

Let *E* be a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle over a complex manifold *X*. Naturally, restrictions on the curvature of *E* will impose some restrictions on all constructions arising from it. The goal of this note is to prove that when *E* has a metric with positive (Griffiths') curvature, then certain combinations of Chern forms, known as signed Segre forms, are positive. This gives evidence for a conjecture of Griffiths ([2]), which predicts that if *E* has a positive curvature, then a combination of Chern forms is positive if and only if it can be written as a nontrivial combination of Schur polynomials of Chern forms with nonnegative coefficients. We remark that the signed Segre forms are Schur polynomials of Chern forms.

A very similar problem was considered by Fulton and Lazarsfeld ([1]) who confirmed the aforementioned conjecture for Chern classes of an ample vector bundle. An everywhere closed positive (p, p) form on a projective manifold  $X^n$  always gives a positive (p, p) cohomology class, but for 1 , the converse is not known.Before proceeding further we state our main theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** (Main Theorem) Let X be a projective manifold and let E be a Griffiths' positive vector bundle over X. If  $S_k(E)$  denote the Segre forms of E, then the form  $(-1)^k S_k(E)$  is a positive (k, k)-form for any k = 1, ..., n.

## 2 Preliminaries

**Positive forms.** Let  $X^n$  be a complex manifold equipped with a Hermitian metric  $\omega$ . A smooth (p, p)-form  $\alpha$  is said to be strongly positive if in local coordinates there is a representation  $\alpha = i^p \alpha_1 \wedge \overline{\alpha}_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \overline{\alpha}_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_p \wedge \overline{\alpha}_p$ , where each  $\alpha_j$  is a smooth (1, 0) form and  $\alpha_j$ 's are linearly independent. A smooth (p, p) form  $\varphi$  on X is said to be positive if in local coordinates we can write  $\varphi \wedge \alpha = f\omega^n$ , where f is a positive function on X, for any strongly positive form of bidegree (n - p, n - p). This definition is independent of the choice of the metric.

In fact a (p, p)-form  $\varphi$  is positive if and only if  $\varphi$  restricts to a volume form on any *p*-dimensional subvariety of *X* or if and only if for any  $x \in X$  and any linearly

Received by the editors December 2, 2008; revised July 30, 2009.

Published electronically May 20, 2011.

AMS subject classification: 53C55, 32L05.

independent (1, 0) type tangent vectors  $v_1, \ldots, v_p$  at x it holds that

$$(-i)^{p^2}\varphi(v_1,\ldots,v_p,\bar{v}_1,\ldots,\bar{v}_p)>0.$$

We finish this paragraph by remarking that if *X* and *Y* are complex manifolds and if  $f: X \to Y$  is a holomorphic submersion, then for any strongly positive form  $\alpha$  on *Y*,  $f^*\alpha$  is again a strongly positive form on *X*.

**Griffiths' positivity, Chern and Segre forms.** Let (E, h) be a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle over X. Recall that the curvature matrix of E is given by  $\Theta = (\Theta_j^i)$ , where each  $\Theta_j^i$  is a (1, 1)-form expressed in local coordinates by  $\Theta_j^i = R_{j\alpha\beta}^i dz_\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}_\beta$ . For sections u, v in E we define the (1, 1) form  $\Theta_{u\bar{v}}$  by

$$\Theta_{u\bar{v}} = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^r \Theta_i^k h_{kj} u_i \bar{v}_j,$$

where  $u = \sum u_i e_i$  and  $v = \sum v_i e_i$  under a frame  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$  of E. Then  $\Theta_{u\bar{v}}$  is a global (1, 1) form on X independent of the choice of e. The Hermitian bundle E is said to be positive in the sense of Griffiths, or Griffiths positive if  $i\Theta_{v\bar{v}}$  is a positive (1, 1) form for any  $x \in X$  and any nonzero  $v \in E_x$ .

Let  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  denote the projectivized bundle of lines of  $E^*$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  is a projective manifold which carries the so-called tautological line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_E(-1)$  defined by the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(-1) \longrightarrow \pi^* E^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(-1) \otimes T_{\mathbb{P}(E)/X} \longrightarrow 0.$$

The Hermitian metric *h* on *E* naturally induces a Hermitian metric on  $\mathcal{O}_E(-1)$  and it is well known that for any  $p \in X$  and any  $v \neq 0$ ,  $v \in E_p$  the curvature of  $L = \mathcal{O}_E(-1)$  at the point  $(p, [v]) \in \mathbb{P}(E)$  is given by

$$\Theta(L)|_{(p,[\nu])} = \frac{1}{|\nu|^2} \Theta(E^*)_{\nu\bar{\nu}} - \omega_{FS},$$

where  $\omega_{FS}$  is the Fubini–Study metric on the fibers. It follows that if *E* is a Griffiths positive vector bundle over *X*, then  $\mathcal{O}_E(1)$  is an ample line bundle over  $\mathbb{P}(E)$ .

Let *X* be a complex manifold and let (E, h) be a Hermitian vector bundle over *X*. The Chern forms  $C_k(E)$  are defined as  $f_k(\frac{i}{2\pi}\Theta)$ , where

$$\det(P + tI) = f_n(P) + f_{n-1}(P)t + \dots + f_1(P)t^{n-1} + t^n$$

and the Segre forms are defined inductively by the relation

$$S_k(E) + C_1(E)S_{k-1}(E) + \dots + C_k(E) = 0.$$

In particular,  $S_1(E) = -C_1(E)$ ,  $S_2(E) = C_1^2(E) - C_2(E)$  and so on.

If *E* is a positive line bundle, then all the forms  $C_1^k(E)$  are positive for *k* no greater than the dimension of *X*. For the rest of this paper define  $\Phi = C_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1))$ . Then the forms  $\Phi^k$  are positive for  $k \leq \dim(X) + \operatorname{rank}(E) - 1$ .

#### On Segre Forms of Positive Vector Bundles

**Push forward of forms.** Let *M* and *N* be oriented differentiable manifolds of respective dimensions *m* and *n* and let  $f: M \to N$  be a proper submersion. That is, *f* is surjective and has surjective differential everywhere and the fibers are compact and connected. Write r = m - n.

For any smooth (p + r)-form  $\eta$  on M there exists a unique smooth p-form  $\xi$  on N such that the equality

$$\int_M \eta \wedge f^* \varphi = \int_N \xi \wedge \varphi$$

holds for any smooth (n - p)-form  $\varphi$  on N with compact support. We call this form  $\xi$  the push-forward of  $\eta$  and denote it by  $f_*\eta$ .

**Lemma 2.1** Let X and Y be compact Kähler manifolds of respective dimensions m and n and let  $f: X \to Y$  be a holomorphic fibration without singular fibers. If  $\eta$  is a positive (p + r, p + r) form on X, then  $f_*\eta$  is a positive (p, p) form on Y, where r = m - n.

**Proof** First let  $\eta$  be a top degree positive form. Denote the volume form of *Y* by  $dV_Y$  and let  $\omega$  be the Kähler form on *X*. Since *f* is of maximum rank everywhere, the form  $\omega^r \wedge f^*(dV_Y)$  is a positive (m, m)-form on *X*. So we can write  $\eta = g(x)\omega^r \wedge f^*(dV_Y)$  for some positive function *g* on *X*. But then

$$f_*\eta = \left(\int_F g(x)\omega^r\right) dV_Y$$

is a positive form, since  $(\int_F g(x)\omega^r)$  is a positive function on *Y*, where *F* denotes a fiber of *f*.

Now let  $\eta$  be a positive form of degree (p + r, p + r) on X and let  $\tau$  be a strongly positive form on Y with complementary degree to  $f_*\eta$ . Then  $f^*\tau$  is strongly positive on X, so  $\eta \wedge f^*\tau$  is a positive top degree form on X. By the argument above,

$$f_*(\eta \wedge f^*\tau) = (f_*\eta) \wedge \tau$$

is positive. Thus the push forward  $f_*\eta$  is positive.

## **3 Proof of the Main Theorem**

Our main theorem follows from the next proposition and the previous lemma.

**Proposition 3.1** Let X be a projective manifold and (E, h) a Hermitian vector bundle over X of rank r. Let  $\pi \colon \mathbb{P}(E) \to X$  be the projectivization of E. Then the push forward form  $\pi_*(\Phi^{k+r-1})$  is exactly equal to the signed Segre form  $(-1)^k S_k(E)$  on X for any  $1 \le k \le n$ .

**Proof** Recall that  $\Phi = C_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)) = \frac{i}{2\pi}\Theta(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1))$  is a global (1, 1)-form on  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  and at  $p = (x, [v]) \in \mathbb{P}(E)$ , where  $v \in E^*$ , we have

$$\Phi = rac{i}{2\pi} \Big( -rac{1}{|
u|^2} \Theta_{
uar{
u}} + \omega_{FS} \Big) \, ,$$

where  $\Theta$  is the curvature form of  $E^*$  and  $\omega_{FS}$  is the Fubini–Study metric on the fiber  $\pi^{-1}(x) = \mathbb{P}(E_x^*) \cong \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$  induced from the metric on  $E_x^*$  (see [3] or [4]).

Since  $\omega_{FS}^k = 0$  for  $k \ge r$  we have by the binomial formula that for any  $1 \le k \le n$ ,

$$\Phi^{k+r-1} = \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^{k+r-1} \sum_{j=k}^{k+r-1} (-1)^j \binom{k+r-1}{j} \left(\frac{1}{|\nu|^2} \Theta_{\nu\bar{\nu}}\right)^j \wedge \omega_{FS}^{k+r-1-j}.$$

When we push forward this form, we are integrating over the fibers of  $\pi \colon \mathbb{P}(E) \to X$ , so only the first term in the right-hand side survives:

$$\pi_* \Phi^{k+r-1} = \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^{k+r-1} (-1)^k \binom{k+r-1}{k} \int_{[\nu] \in \mathbb{P}(E_x^*)} \left(\frac{1}{|\nu|^2} \Theta_{\nu \bar{\nu}}\right)^k \wedge \omega_{FS}^{r-1}.$$

Fix a point  $x \in X$  and let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$  be a local unitary frame of  $E^*$  near x. For  $v = \sum_{i=1}^{r} v_i e_i$  write  $U = \{ [v] \in \mathbb{P}(E_x^*) : v_r \neq 0 \}$  and  $t_i = v_i/v_r$ ,  $1 \le i \le r$ . Then  $U \cong \mathbb{C}^{r-1}$  is an open subset of the fiber  $\mathbb{P}(E_x^*)$  and  $(t_1, \ldots, t_{r-1})$  are its coordinates. On this fiber we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{|\nu|^2}\Theta_{\nu\bar{\nu}}\right)^k \wedge \omega_{FS}^{r-1} = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^r \Theta_j^i t_i \bar{t}_j\right)^k \frac{dt \wedge d\bar{t}}{(1+|t|^2)^{k+r}}$$

where  $|t|^2 = |t_1|^2 + \cdots + |t_{r-1}|^2$ ,  $dt = dt_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_{r-1}$  and we wrote for convenience  $t_r = 1$ . Plug in this expression for  $\pi_* \Phi^{k+r-1}$  and we get that at  $x \in X$ ,

$$\pi_* \Phi^{k+r-1} = (-1)^k \binom{k+r-1}{k} \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_k,j_1,\dots,j_k}^r B_{IJ} \Theta^{i_1}_{j_1} \dots \Theta^{i_k}_{j_k},$$

where

$$B_{IJ} = \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^{k+r-1} \int_{t\in\mathbb{C}^{r-1}} \frac{t_{i_1}\cdots t_{i_k}\overline{t}_{j_1}\cdots \overline{t}_{j_k}}{(1+|t|^2)^{k+r}} dt \wedge d\overline{t},$$

and  $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ ,  $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_k)$  are multi-indices, namely, they both belong to the set  $\{1, ..., r\}^k$ .

Let us denote for the moment I = J if I and J are equal as sets with multiplicities. If  $I \neq J$ , then in the expression of  $B_{IJ}$  there will be terms of the form  $e^{\pm i\theta}$  and since  $\int_0^{2\pi} e^{\pm i\theta} d\theta = 0$ , we observe that  $B_{II} = 0$  for  $I \neq J$ . If I = J, then using the wellknown formula

$$\left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^{r-1} \int_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}} \frac{|t_1|^{2m_1} \cdots |t_{r-1}|^{2m_{r-1}}}{(1+|t|^2)^{k+r}} \, dt \wedge d\bar{t} = \frac{(r-1)! \prod m_i!}{(r-1+k)!},$$

where  $\sum m_i = k$  we obtain that  $B_{II} = (i/2\pi)^k \beta$  for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Therefore,

$$\pi_* \Phi^{k+r-1} = P\left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\Theta\right)$$

becomes a homogeneous polynomial of degree k in the entries of the curvature  $\frac{i}{2\pi}\Theta$ of  $E^*$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . On the other hand, the push forward  $\pi_*\Phi^{k+r-1}$  is a global (k, k)-form on X independent of the choice of local frames of  $E^*$ . That is, the polynomial P is invariant under the change  $A \mapsto A\Theta A^{-1}$  for any  $A \in GL(r, \mathbb{C})$ . Therefore, it must be a polynomial of Chern forms  $\pi_*\Phi^{k+r-1} = f_1(C_1, \ldots, C_r)$ , where  $f_1$  is a weighted homogeneous polynomial of the Chern forms of E with rational coefficients. Of course,  $(-1)^k S_k(E) = f_2(C_1, \ldots, C_n)$  is also a weighted homogeneous polynomial of the Chern classes of E.

Let [*A*] denote the cohomology class of a given form *A*. From the theory of Chern classes we know that  $\pi_*[\Phi^{k+r-1}] = [(-1)^k S_k(E)] = (-1)^k s_k(E)$ , where  $s_k(E)$  is the *k*-th Segre class of *E*. Moreover the push forward commutes with the *d*-operator, hence  $[\pi_*\Phi^{k+r-1}] = \pi_*[\Phi^{k+r-1}]$ . It follows that the difference  $f = f_1 - f_2$  is a closed global (k, k)-form on *X* which represents the trivial cohomology class.

Note that  $f(C_1, \ldots, C_r)$  is the same weighted homogeneous polynomial of Chern forms and [f] = 0 regardless of what vector bundle we begin with. More precisely, if  $\mathcal{J}_k$  denote the set of all *r*-tuples of positive integers  $(j_1, \ldots, j_r)$  such that

$$j_1 + 2j_2 + \cdots + rj_r = k$$

and if for  $J \in \mathcal{J}_k$  we define  $C_J = C_1^{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge C_r^{j_r}$ , then we have

$$f(C_1,\ldots,C_r)=\sum_J a_J C_J,$$

where the coefficients  $a_I$  are independent of *E*.

In particular if we choose  $E = H^{x_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus H^{x_r}$ , where *H* is an ample line bundle on *X* and  $x_1, \ldots, x_r$  are positive integers, we obtain that *f* is a polynomial in  $x_i$ 's with coefficients  $a_J$ . On the other hand,

$$f(C_1(E), \ldots, C_r(E)) = h(x_1, \ldots, x_r)C_1(H)^k$$

and

$$[f] = h(x_1, \dots, x_r)c_1(H)^k = 0 \text{ in } H^{2k}(X)$$

for some homogeneous polynomial *h* of degree *k* with rational coefficients. It follows that  $h(x_1, ..., x_r) = 0$  for any positive integers  $x_1, ..., x_r$  and by the homogenity of *h* we get that  $h \equiv 0$ . This implies that all the coefficients  $a_J \equiv 0$ , so that  $f_1 = f_2$ . This establishes the fact that  $\pi_* \Phi^{k+r-1} = (-1)^k S_k(E)$  for any  $1 \le k \le n$ .

Combining the above proposition with Lemma 2.1, we obtain that the signed Segre forms  $(-1)^k S_k(E)$  are positive for all  $1 \le k \le n$ .

**Acknowledgements** The author would like to thank Fangyang Zheng for invaluable discussions during the preparation of this paper. He is also very grateful to the referee for his/her kind comments and suggestions which definitely made this paper more readable.

D. Guler

## References

- [1] W. Fulton and R. Lazarsfeld, Positive polynomials for ample vector bundles. Ann. of Math. 118(1983), no. 1, 35-60. doi:10.2307/2006953
- [2] P. Griffiths, Hermitian differential geometry, Chern classes, and positive vector bundles, Global Analysis, University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1969, pp. 85–251.
- [3] S. T. Yau, and F. Zheng, On a borderline class of non-positively curved compact Kähler manifolds. [4] F. Zheng, Complex Differential Geometry. AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics 18, American
- Mathematical Society, Providence, RI 2000.

Department of Mathematics, Park University, Parkville, MO, USA e-mail: dincer.guler@park.edu

## 6