# https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887100010066 Published online by Cambridge University Press

# WORLD POLITICS

Vol. 60 • July 2008 • No. 4

# CONTENTS

The Impact of International Trade on Democracy: A Long-Run Perspective  J. Ernesto López-Córdova and Christopher M. Meissner	539
The Political Economy of Women's  Support for Fundamentalist Islam  Lisa Blaydes and  Drew A. Linzer	576
Does Landholding Inequality Block Democratization? A Test of the "Bread and Democracy" Thesis and the Case of Prussia  Daniel Ziblatt	610
RESEARCH NOTE Social Stratification and Welfare Regimes for the Twenty-first Century: Revisiting The Three Worlds of Welfare Capitalism  Lyle A. Scruggs and James P. Allan	642
REVIEW ARTICLE Federalism in Europe and Latin America: Conceptualization, Causes, and Consequences  Kent Eaton	665
Index to Volume 60	699
The Contributors	ii
Abstracts	iii
Referees 2007	v

### THE CONTRIBUTORS

J. Ernesto López-Córdova is Senior Country Economist for Mexico at the Inter-American Development Bank. His research interests include international economics, firm productivity and performance, and access to finance. He can be reached at joselc@iadb.org.

CHRISTOPHER M. MEISSNER is an associate professor of economics at the University of California, Davis, and a faculty research fellow at the National Bureau of Economic Research. His current research interests are international trade costs, international capital flows, financial crises, and economic growth. He can be reached at cmmeissner@ucdavis.edu.

LISA BLAYDES is an assistant professor of political science at Stanford University. She is currently completing a book manuscript on elections and distributive politics in Egypt. She can be reached at blaydes@stanford.edu.

Drew A. Linzer is an assistant professor of political science at Emory University. His current research examines elections, ideology, and public opinion around the world. He can be reached at dlinzer@emory.edu.

DANIEL ZIBLATT is an associate professor of government and social studies and a faculty associate at the Minda De Gunzburg Center for European Studies at Harvard University. He is author of Structuring the State: The Formation of Italy and Germany and the Puzzle of Federalism (2006). He is working on a book on the historical development of democracy, tentatively entitled, "The Long Transition." He can be reached at dziblatt@fas.harvard.edu.

LYLE A. SCRUGGS is an associate professor of political science at the University of Connecticut. He is the author of Sustaining Abundance: Environmental Performance in Industrial Democracies (2003). He currently works on indicators of social policy reform in OECD countries and on a project examining the impact of political institutions on environmental pollution control. He can be reached at lyle.scruggs@uconn.edu.

JAMES P. ALLAN is an assistant professor of political science at Wittenberg University. He works on comparative social policy and has coauthored several papers and scholarly articles on elections and party system change in the Canadian province of Québec. He can be reached at jallan@wittenberg.edu.

KENT EATON is an associate professor of politics at the University of California, Santa Cruz. He is the author of *Politics beyond the Capital: The Design of Subnational Institutions in South America* (2004) and *Politicians and Economic Reform in New Democracies: Argentina and the Philippines in the 1990s* (2002). His current research focuses on the resurgence of territorial conflict within Latin American countries. He can be reached at keaton@ucsc.edu.

## ABSTRACTS

THE IMPACT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE ON DEMOCRACY A LONG-RUN PERSPECTIVE

### By J. ERNESTO LÓPEZ-CÓRDOVA and CHRISTOPHER M. MEISSNER

The likely endogeneity between democracy and trade is addressed with an instrumental variables strategy in this article about whether international trade fosters democracy. The authors use a measure of natural openness to obtain estimates of the causal impact of openness on democratization in three separate samples spanning the last 130 years. A positive impact of openness on democracy is apparent in the data over the long run. The post–World War II results suggest that with a rise in trade with other countries equal to a one standard deviation increase, countries such as Indonesia, Russia, and Venezuela could eventually become as democratic as the U.S., Great Britain, or France. There is some variation in the impact of openness by region that may be because trade seems to have a positive impact only when the capital-to-labor ratio is sufficiently high. This is consistent with the idea that openness promotes democracy when it strengthens the economic fortunes of the middle class.

# THE POLITICAL ECONOMY OF WOMEN'S SUPPORT FOR FUNDAMENTALIST ISLAM By LISA BLAYDES and DREW A. LINZER

Why do some Muslim women adopt fundamentalist Islamic value systems that promote gender-based inequalities while others do not? This article considers the economic determinants of fundamentalist beliefs in the Muslim world, as women look to either marriage or employment to achieve financial security. Using cross-national public opinion data from eighteen countries with significant Muslim populations, the authors apply a latent class model to characterize respondents according to their views on gender norms, political Islam, and personal religiosity. Among women, lack of economic opportunity is a stronger predictor of fundamentalist belief systems than socioeconomic class. Cross-nationally, fundamentalism among women is most prevalent in poor countries and in those with a large male-female wage gap. These findings have important implications for the promotion of women's rights, the rise of political Islam, and the development of democracy in the Muslim world.

# Does Landholding Inequality Block Democratization? A TEST OF THE "BREAD AND DEMOCRACY" THESIS AND THE CASE OF PRUSSIA By DANIEL ZIBLATT

Recent cross-national studies have returned their attention to the structural determinants of political regimes, highlighting in particular the factor of "landholding inequality" as a decisive barrier to democratization. This article provides the first systematic test of such hypotheses at the microlevel and proposes a new account of authoritarianism's durability by examining the crucial case of pre-World War I Prussia. The article analyzes the results of a roll-call vote on a watershed piece of legislation that was defeated on the eve of World War I—legislation that would have democratized suffrage rules in Germany's largest state. When examined systematically, this historically and theoretically important vote reveals two surprising lessons: first, landholding inequality undercuts the prospects of democratization even holding income inequality constant. Second, the nature of elite competition and electoral considerations, shaped by the institutional configuration of nondemocratic regimes, can also thwart democratization, even when socioeconomic conditions may appear to make a society ripe for regime change.

# SOCIAL STRATIFICATION AND WELFARE REGIMES FOR THE TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY

REVISITING THE THREE WORLDS OF WELFARE CAPITALISM

### By LYLE A. SCRUGGS and JAMES P. ALLAN

In the last decade, the literature surrounding the political economy of welfare states in advanced industrial democracies has relied extensively on the welfare state typology developed by Gøsta Esping-Andersen in his seminal book, *The Three Worlds of Welfare Capitalism*. Since that time there has been much debate about whether and to what degree the features of welfare policies highlighted in this work have changed in the last twenty-five years. While scholars have used these data as a framework, the substance of the framework itself—the underlying indicators of benefit generosity and stratification—has largely escaped criticism due to the absence of data that permit any. Yet such knowledge is essential to understand fully the welfare states of Europe and other industrial countries in the twenty-first century. The authors have recently updated Esping-Andersen's decommodification index. This article examines the "social stratification" index, reanalyzing and updating through the 1990s the indicators used by Esping-Andersen. As with earlier reexaminations of the index of decommodification, this reanalysis produces considerably less empirical support for coherent welfare "regimes." Moreover, evidence of coherent regimes has become even less clear-cut over the last quarter century.

# FEDERALISM IN EUROPE AND LATIN AMERICA CONCEPTUALIZATION, CAUSES, AND CONSEQUENCES

# By KENT EATON

Recent events in Europe and Latin America have triggered serious debate over federalism. In response, political scientists have turned to the new institutionalism literature in the attempt to understand both the causes and the consequences of federal institutions. Continuing a long tradition in the scholarship on federalism, each of the books under review defines the term differently, reflecting a lack of conceptual agreement that may complicate the development of more robust theories.

Despite these conceptual differences, and their focus on very different time periods, the four books under review are alike in the emphasis they place on bargaining between national and subnational politicians. While this interest in bargaining clearly demonstrates the continuing impact of William Riker's work, much of the new research challenges parts of the Rikerian framework. As a measure of their quality, these four books will significantly shape the course of the emerging literature on comparative federalism, but future work should pay greater attention to interests, ideas, and international factors.

Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by The Johns Hopkins University Press for libraries and other users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) Transactional Reporting Service, provided that the fee of \$3.25 per article is paid directly to CCC, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923.