Distribution and correlation of *Sabellidites cambriensis* (Annelida?) in the basal Cambrian on Baltica
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**Abstract**

*Sabellidites cambriensis* is a tubular non-mineralized metazoan that appears as compressed ribbon-shaped imprints with transverse wrinkling, thick walls and an even tube diameter of up to 3 mm. The distribution of *Sabellidites* is investigated in three Ediacaran–Cambrian sections on the Digerumlen Peninsula in Arctic Norway, spanning the Manndrapselva Member of the Stähpogieddi Formation and the lower member of the Breidvik Formation. Here, the Ediacaran–Cambrian boundary is located in the lower part of the upper parasequence (third cycle) of the Manndrapselva Member. Specimens of *Sabellidites* are rare but consistently present close to the lowest level of *Treptichnus pedum* and upsection, whereas the taxon is common and abundant in the lower part of the lower member of the Breidvik Formation, with an upper record at c. 55 m above the base. The range is comparable with that of the GSSP section in Newfoundland, Canada, establishing *Sabellidites* as an index fossil for the lowermost Cambrian. In the Manndrapselva Member, *Sabellidites* co-occurs with the acritarch *Granomarginata*, indicative of the lowermost Cambrian *Granomarginata* Zone, whereas in the Breidvik Formation it co-occurs with *Asteridium*. *Sabellidites* is widely distributed in Baltica, through the Rovnian and Lontovan regional stages but confined to the Fortunian global stage. In its lower range, *Sabellidites* is associated with a *Treptichnus pedum* trace fossil association and a depauperate leiosphaerid acritarch assemblage, followed by a *Granomarginata* assemblage. In its upper range, *Sabellidites* co-occurs with acritarchs of the *Asteridium–Comasphaeridium* Zone and the tubular foraminiferan *Platysolenites*. In Baltica, *Sabellidites* is a useful index fossil.

1. **Introduction**

Metazoan macrofossils become ubiquitous in the fossil record during the Ediacaran Period, from c. 575 Ma, signifying a novel development of marine ecosystems, stepwise oxygenation of Earth’s oceans and global diversification of bilaterian metazoans (Droser & Gehling, 2015; Budd & Jensen, 2017; Darroch et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2019; Wood et al. 2019 and references therein). Ediacaran shelly, soft-bodied, micro- and trace fossil assemblages are known from some 30 localities around the world (McCall, 2006; Fedonkin et al. 2007; Smith et al. 2016; Muscente et al. 2019; Shahkarami et al. 2020) representing various palaeoenvironments, depositional depths and palaeolatitudes, and often with a particular set of fossil organisms. Three distinct assemblages, the Avalon, White Sea and the Nama (oldest to youngest), are generally recognized (Waggoner, 2003; Laflamme et al. 2013) with the Miaohe biota potentially representing a fourth type (Muscente et al. 2019). These associations have also been interpreted as bio-communities, forming the basis for biozones that could be useful for inter-regional correlation (Muscente et al. 2019).

Ediacara-type organisms are rarely found in Cambrian strata (Jensen et al. 1998; Budd & Jensen, 2017), and the more diverse metazoan-dominated assemblages with shelly taxa appearing in the Cambrian have long been seen as clearly distinct and without continuity from the
older assemblages (see discussions in Erwin & Valentine, 2013; Budd & Jensen, 2017; alternatively Yang et al. 2016). While the dynamics and mechanisms of the Ediacaran–Cambrian transition are widely discussed (see for instance Laflamme et al. 2013; Smith et al. 2016; Darroch et al. 2018; Linnemann et al. 2019; Muscente et al. 2019), it is evident that there is an intimate and sustained relationship between the development of the Ediacaran biota and the evolution of bilaterian metazoans (Budd & Jensen, 2017; Buatois et al. 2018; Cai et al. 2019; Wood et al. 2019 and references therein).

Associated with the decline of the Ediacaran soft-bodied biota is the appearance of unique terminal Ediacaran assemblages/biota (Muscente et al. 2019), among which a group of mineralized to lightly mineralized or non-mineralized tubular fossils are prominent (Cohen et al. 2009; Tarhan et al. 2014; Schiffbauer et al. 2016; Selly et al. 2020); these are sometimes referred to as the ‘wormworld’ biota (Schiffbauer et al. 2016; Darroch et al. 2018). Several of these tubes are potentially useful taxa for biostratigraphy, and a few tubular forms span the Ediacaran–Cambrian boundary (Tarhan et al. 2014; Darroch et al. 2018; Cai et al. 2019; Muscente et al. 2019; Selly et al. 2020).

The tubular non-mineralized metazoan Sabellidites cambriensis Yashinovsky, 1926 has been considered one of the ‘wormworld’ taxa straddling the Ediacaran–Cambrian boundary. It is a regional index fossil for Baltica, but sabelliditids also occur in the Avalonian region of Newfoundland, Canada, where the Ediacaran–Cambrian boundary stratotype section is located. Furthermore, Sabellidites has been reported from Siberia, China, Australia and Spain (see online Supplementary Material). However, as is demonstrated herein, the notion that Sabellidites cambriensis is a diagnostic index fossil of a Terminal Ediacaran Stage (Muscente et al. 2019) cannot be supported.

In the present study, the distribution of Sabellidites cambriensis in three Ediacaran–Cambrian sections on the Digerumlen Peninsula in Arctic Norway is investigated in the context of associated records of trace fossils and organic-walled microfossils. Sedimentary successions spanning the Ediacaran–Cambrian boundary are well exposed on the NE side of the Digerumlen Peninsula and are seemingly continuous. Trace fossils, Ediacaratype soft-bodied metazoans, sphaeromorphic acritarchs and vendotaenids are known from the upper Ediacaran part, while Sabellidites cambriensis has earlier been reported from the lower Cambrian Breidvik Formation (Banks, 1970; Vidal, 1981; Farmer et al. 1992; Crimes & McIlroy, 1999; McIlroy & Logan, 1999; Högström et al. 2013; McIlroy & Brasier, 2017). Combined with sedimentological and trace fossil evidence, the distribution of Sabellidites cambriensis at the Ediacaran–Cambrian boundary is tightly constrained and allows correlation across Baltica and with the Avalonian Newfoundland successions.

2. Geological setting

2.a. General setting

The Digerumlen Peninsula is located in Finnmark in the northeastern part of Norway, outlined by Tanafjorden to the SE and Langfjorden to the NW (Fig. 1a). It is c. 35 km long and 18 km wide at the base of the fjords, ending to the NE in the pointed Digerumlen headland. Its highest point is 639 m above sea level, but the mountain plateau forms a denuded expanse, with steep transitions to the coast and several incised valleys. The strata are well exposed and dip slightly to the west with the strike sub-parallel to the coastline. They form part of the Lower Allochthon Gaissa Thrust Belt of the Finnmark Caledonides (Rice, 2014). Siliciclastic rocks dominate, belonging to the Smallfjorden, Nyborg, Mortensnes, Ståhpogieddi and Breidvik formations, forming the Cryogenian to basal Cambrian Vestertana Group (Fig. 1b). Both the Smallfjorden and Mortensnes formations contain diamicrites related to a glacial regime, with the Nyborg Formation representing an inter-glacial deposit. The Ståhpogieddi and Breidvik formations are post-glacial marine sequences. Above this follow the Duolbagáis, Kistedalen and Bearlágáisá formations, constituting the younger marine lower Cambrian (Stage 3) to Lower Ordovician (Tremadocian) Digerumlen Group (Reading, 1965) (Fig. 1b). The rocks have undergone tectonometamorphic deformation related to the Scandian Orogeny (Meinhold et al. 2019a,b, 2020), resulting in a postmature overprint of 200–250 °C with very localized, low epizonal metamorphic conditions of ~300 °C (Meinhold et al. 2019b).

The Cryogenian–Ordovician succession on the Digerumlen Peninsula is c. 3000 m thick and is the only fossiliferous site in Scandinavia with sedimentation across the Ediacaran–Cambrian transition, seemingly without any significant breaks (Högström et al. 2013). Age constraints on the Vestertana Group are based on glacial unconformities and the presence of trace and body fossils (Banks et al. 1971; Högström et al. 2013; McIlroy & Brasier, 2017; Jensen et al. 2018b).

2.b. The Ediacaran–Cambrian boundary beds on the Digerumlen Peninsula

The post-glacial shallow marine succession of the Vestertana Group starts with the Ståhpogieddi Formation, which is divided into the Lillevannet, Indreelva and Manndrāpselva members (Fig. 1b). In the latter unit, Reading (1965) recognized three sets of resistant quartzite bands in his ‘red quartzitic sandstone member’, and although the modern name was used already by Banks (1970), the member was formally named the Manndraperelva Member by Banks et al. (1971), now referred to as the Manndrāpselva Member in accordance with the modern spelling (Siedlecka et al. 2006). It is estimated to be c. 190 m thick, with three parasequences (McIlroy & Brasier, 2017), that probably represent fourth order units. They are referred to as cycles herein to conform to previous literature.

The basal cycle shows a gradual transition from the underlying Indreelva Member into reddish sandstone (c. 33 m thick), followed by two coarsening-upward regressive cycles c. 60 m and c. 36 m thick, respectively (Banks et al. 1971; McIlroy & Brasier, 2017; Meinhold et al. 2019a; this study). Each of the parasequences consists of mudstone and fine sandstone beds of various thickness and lateral extension, with the sandstone beds becoming thicker towards the top of each parasequence and ending in a set of massive sandstones (see Banks et al. 1971; McIlroy & Brasier, 2017, fig. 2 for sedimentological details).

In the field (NE side of the peninsula), the massive sandstone units in each parasequence form weathering resistant ridges that have a reddish lower part and a whitish upper part. The uppermost sandstone package of the third cycle of the Manndrāpselva Member is c. 9 m thick (red and white quartzite), but the boundary to the lower member of the succeeding Breidvik Formation is somewhat arbitrarily placed at the top of the last red sandstone (Reading, 1965), which is at the transition to the whithis
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sandstone in the middle of this set (see field photos and logs for further details). The name Breidvika Formation was first used by Føyen (1960) but described in detail by Reading (1965), who recognized two members, 240 m and 340 m thick, respectively (McIlroy & Brasier, 2017); these are treated as informal (Högström et al. 2013). The lowermost part of the lower member of the Breidvika Formation appears similar to the upper paracone of the underlying Manndrapselva Member, forming a c. 30 m thick paracone ending in a c. 5 m thick sandstone set with a red to white transition within.

Whereas the depositional environment of the Manndrapselva Member broadly represents the offshore to wave-dominated lower shoreface, the lower member of the Breidvika represents a nearshore shelf and offshore facies (McIlroy & Brasier, 2017). The rocks of the lower member consist of alternating mudstone and thin sandstone beds interpreted as tempestites (Banks et al. 1971; McIlroy & Brasier, 2017).

The Ediacaran–Cambrian boundary is indicated by palaeopaschids in the second cycle, and trace fossils and organic-walled microfossils close to the base of the third cycle of the Manndrapselva Member; the latter, together with the succeeding lower member of the Breidvika Formation, encompasses the Fortunian Stage of the Terreneuvian Series (Högström et al. 2013; McIlroy & Brasier, 2017; Jensen et al. 2017, 2018a,b). McIlroy & Logan (1999) and McIlroy & Brasier (2017) reported the conical skeletal fossil Ladathea cylindrica (Grabau, 1900), in association with the tubular foraminiferan Platysolenites antiquissimus (Eichwald, 1860), from the boundary interval between...
the lower and upper members of the Breidvik Formation. In the Avalonian successions in Newfoundland, the Ladaetheca cylindrica Zone lies in the upper part of the Fortunian Stage, although the taxon extends through the overlying Watsonella crosbyi Zone in Newfoundland, where it co-occurs with Platysolenites antiquissimus. The top of the Fortunian (and the base of the succeeding Stage 2) has been proposed to lie within the Watsonella crosbyi Zone (Landing et al. 2013).

2.c. The Ediacaran–Cambrian boundary beds in Baltica

The traditional Baltic and East European stratigraphical terminology encompasses horizons, series and suites, which are broadly used as regional stages, groups and formations, respectively (Mens et al. 1987, 1990). The base of the Cambrian has been placed at the base of the Lontovan Regional Stage (Sokolov, 1984, 1997), but is more commonly placed at the base of the Rovnian Stage (Mens et al. 1987, 1990; Nielsen & Schovsbo, 2011; Meidla, 2017), which has been inferred to approximate with the Global Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) for the base of the Cambrian in Newfoundland, Canada (Landing, 1994). The basal two stages, the Rovnian and Lontovan, are of importance in relation to the succession on the Digermun Peninsula (Fig. 2).

The Rovo layers were named by Kir’yanov (1968) for strata in Ukraine that are characterized by abundant sabelliditids and the predominance of simple, smooth acritarchs. The lower boundary was drawn at the appearance of sabelliditids. Subsequently, the layers were taken to comprise the Rovo Horizon in the East European Platform characterized by the widespread occurrence of Sabellidites cambriensis and the absence of Platysolenites (Kir’yanov, 1969), encompassing the Sabellidites cambriensis Zone (Mens et al. 1987, 1990). However, in the traditional usage (Areên & Lendzion, 1978; Mens et al. 1987, 1990), Platysolenites co-occurs with Sabellidites in the uppermost part of the Sabellidites cambriensis Zone. The Sabellidites cambriensis Zone is rarely referred to in more recent studies in Baltica (but see Meidla, 2017), while it is used as a biozone in the GSSP section in Newfoundland (Landing et al. 1989) (Fig. 2).

Definitions of the Lontova beds and the Platysolenites antiquissimus Zone were developed in the 1920s and 1930s by the Estonian geologist Armin Õpik (Mens & Pirrus, 1977, 1997; Mens, 1987, 1990) for the ‘Blue clay’ of Estonia. The stratotype for the formation and stage is in the Kunda quarry in NE Estonia. The base of the Platysolenites Zone was drawn at the appearance of the acritarchs Granomarginata, Tasmanites tenellus and leiosphaerids and not by the first occurrence of Platysolenites (Mens et al. 1987, 1990). Moczydłowska (1991) refined the acritarch stratigraphy, proposing the Asteridium tornumatic-Comasphaeridium velutenum Zone to encompass the Lontovan Stage, and a Platysolenites antiquissimus Interval-Zone with the lower boundary at the first occurrence of Platysolenites. Thus, it corresponds to the Asteridium tornumatic-Comasphaeridium velutenum Zone and includes the upper part both of the traditional Sabellidites cambriensis Zone and the uppermost part of the Rovnian Stage (Moczydłowska, 1991, fig. 11). The upper boundary corresponds to the base of the Sikhia ornata–Fimbriaglomerella membranacea Zone (Moczydłowska, 1991) (Fig. 2).

On the Digermun Peninsula most of the Rovnian Stage encompasses the third cycle of the Mannndrapselva Member, while the upper part of the Rovnian and all of the Lontovan Stage are encompassed by the Breidvik Formation (Fig. 2) (see also McIroy & Brasier, 2017, fig. 2).

3. Material and methods

3.a. Sample collection

Sampling for this study was carried out during fieldwork by the Digermun Early Life Research Group (DELRG) in 2011 and 2016–2018 on the Digermun Peninsula. Three localities were chosen for closer examination with sections spanning the third cycle of the Mannndrapselva Member and the lower member of the Breidvik Formation (Fig. 1c). For all sites, the transition from red to white quartzite marking the formation boundaries was used as a reference datum. In addition, a few horizons with conglomerate developed as channel fills (?) below distinct trace fossils of cf. Psammichnites circularis could be correlated between the southernmost and northernmost sections.

A total of 90 samples were collected for this study (online Supplementary Material Table S1), and the sample numbers are indicated in the logs. Additional samples, e.g. for trace fossils, microfossils and provenance analysis, were collected from the same sections, and some of these are also indicated in the logs. All recovered samples are stored in the palaeontological collection of The Arctic University Museum of Norway in Tromsø (museum number prefix TSG).

3.b. Sections

The sections are described from the south to the north. Each are situated c. 2 km apart along strike (Fig. 1c). The general strike direction is c. 220°–225° and the strata dip at c. 20° to the NNW. The northernmost section was described and partly sampled by Högstöm et al. (2013). All sections span the third cycle of the Mannndrapselva Member and the lower member of the Breidvik Formation, although it is difficult to safely reach the third cycle in the Avžejohka section. The succession between the lower boundary of the Breidvik Formation and the top of the first thick red/white quartzite unit is referred to as the first parasequence of that formation. This quartzite unit serves as another marker bed in all three sections.

The Mannndrapselva section runs alongside the Mannndrapselva River (Olmmo–uohppanjohka in Sami). Around 70 m were logged, mainly along the right-side bank of the river (relative to the direction of flow) (Fig. 3). A scratch circle was described from the lower part of the third cycle of the Mannndrapselva Member in this section by Jensen et al. (2018a) (Fig. 3a). A patchy conglomerate with small pebbles occurs a few centimetres below a surface with the trace fossil cf. Psammichnites circularis (Figs 3b, c, 7b, e) and allows for a precise correlation with the northernmost section. At about mid-section the river follows the top of the third cycle of the Mannndrapselva Member along strike (Fig. 3d, e). Below this level the outcrop is essentially continuous, while larger parts of the section upstream are covered where the landscape is flatter (Fig. 3f). Consequently, the log was divided into parts subsequently combined into one final log, and the gaps were interpolated.

The Avžejohka section runs alongside, and partly inside, a ravine at the Avžejohka rivulet (Fig. 4). The lower part of the lower member of the Breidvik Formation is well exposed and was easily sampled along the steep cliff on the right bank of the rivulet (relative to the direction of flow) (Fig. 4a), and good exposures continue upstream through the entire unit. Although the Mannndrapselva Member is exposed deep in the ravine, it is inaccessible for sampling and logging (Fig. 4b), and for practical reasons the top of the white quartzite serves as the reference level for the log here. A total of 55 m was logged.
The Bárdeluovttjohka section is a coastal outcrop south of the outlet of the Bárdeluovttjohka rivulet (Fig. 5). Here the third cycle of the Manndrapselva Member is accessible while well-exposed outcrops of the lower member of the Breidvika Formation crop out near the river and can be followed upstream on the right side of the river (relative to the direction of flow) (Fig. 5a, b). The Breidvika Formation is also exposed along the coast on the north side of the river, albeit with a displacement relative to the southern section. The steepness of the cliffs at the shore does not allow continuous access to the Manndrapselva Member to the south along the coastline, and the section discussed by Jensen et al. (2018a, fig. 6a) lies c. 250 m south of the main section logged herein. However, the thick sandstone unit seen in Figure 5c is a good marker bed for correlation between the two sites, and the top of the third cycle is clearly visible. The rocks are exposed to the sea, and the more resistant sandstone beds stand out. Högström et al. (2013) described the trace fossil Treptichnus pedum from just above a massive light coloured sandstone unit (Fig. 5c–e), where also several horizons of small channel fill (?) conglomerates are seen. Jensen et al. (2018a) reported the occurrence of Treptichnus pedum some 10 m below this level in the section to the south. In addition, the acritarch Granomarginata prima was found a few metres above the 2013 Treptichnus pedum level (Högström et al. 2013), while Asteridium tornatum was found in the basal part of the lower member of the Breidvika Formation, some 35 m higher in the section (Palacios et al. 2018).

4. Sabellidites

Sabellidites cambriensis Yanishevsky, 1926 appears as compressed ribbon-shaped carbonaceous fossils in the fissile mudstone in the succession on the Digerumlen Peninsula. The taxon was first described from the soft clay of the Cambrian Lontova Formation (Lomonosov Formation in Russian terminology) near St Petersburg, Russia, by Yanishevsky (1926) and placed in the family Sabelliditidae by Sokolov (1965). The holotype was refigured by Sokolov (1997, pl. 15, fig. 1). Yanishevsky (1926) also described Serpulites petropolitanus from the same beds, a taxon now placed in synonymy with the tubular foraminiferan Platysolenites antiquissimus (see McIlroy et al. 2001).

Sabellidites was diagnosed and described by Sokolov (1965, 1967, 1968, 1972) as long (70–120 mm), flexible tubes with coarse to fine transverse wrinkling, thick walls and a constant tube diameter of 0.5–2.0 mm (rarely reaching 2.8–3.0 mm). Sabellidites cambriensis is the type species by original designation and monotypy. Other compressed and ribbon-shaped Cambrian tubular fossils in the family comprise Sokolovina Kir’yanov, 1968 (smooth or flanged tube; see also Slater et al. 2018), Paleolina Sokolov, 1965 (long, thin-walled tubes, 0.2–1.0 mm wide, often preserved with a crumpled appearance) and Parasabellidites Sokolov, 1967 (finitely wrinkled tubes with a distinct fibrous structure and periodical constrictions). Sokolov (1965) placed other sabelliditid-like forms in the family Saarinidae Sokolov, including Saarina and Calyptrina, which can be found in both the Cambrian and the Ediacaran. A morphological similarity between Saarina and Cloudina has been noted upon (e.g. Selly et al. 2020).

Sabellidites, Parasabellidites and Paleolina were included in the analyses by Muscente et al. (2019) and the supplementary information therein, p. 5), and placed in the form category Sabelliditiomorpha, ‘being long, slender tube- and ribbon-shaped forms with regularly spaced, narrow transverse annihilations or segmentation’.

Based on overall morphology the specimens recorded in the present study are attributed to Sabellidites cambriensis, although the three sections offer a range of preservations, mostly due to different weathering effects (Fig. 6). Typical specimens are preserved as black opaque, but shiny tubes of various lengths and widths also occur. The fossils are often more compressed in the fissile mudstone than in the siltier or sandier beds. The thick appearance of the walls is usually a distinct feature (Fig. 6a). The transverse annihilations are rarely distinct in specimens from the Digerumlen Peninsula, and the surface tends to be fractured and broken up into irregular reticulate fragments, partly following the transverse annihilations (Fig. 6a, b). If the upper surface is peeled off, the tube will appear smooth to various degrees, as the non-annulated inner wall is exposed (Fig. 6c, d, f). In the specimen shown in Figure 6c,
the upper part shows the inner side, gradually fading downward in the image so that the lowermost part of the specimen only shows an external mould with faint traces of the black material. The inner surface in the specimen in Figure 6d, f is uneven in the lower part of the image and smooth in the upper part, reflecting various degrees of deterioration. Specimens may also appear as rusty impressions only (possibly iron hydroxide), as illustrated in Figure 6e, g where a ‘normal’ specimen is compared to a ‘rusty’ specimen. Both specimens are from approximately the same level in the Manndrapselva section. The stratigraphically highest
recovered specimens are also ‘rusty’ (Fig. 6h). Some specimens show partly a ‘normal’ preservation, and partly a ‘rusty’ preservation (Fig. 6i). This type of preservation and the fact that ‘rusty’ and ‘normal’ specimens appear in the same intervals suggest that these are preservational variants of Sabellidites. It may therefore be difficult to distinguish atypical fragments of Sabellidites unless several specimens are available to demonstrate the variability.

5. Trace fossils

Banks (1970), in his pioneering study on Finnmark trace fossils, proposed the occurrence of Treptichnus pedum low in the Breidvika Formation as a potential indicator of Cambrian age. Trace fossils have remained the principal focus in discussions on the placement of the base of the Cambrian in the Digerumlen succession (Farmer et al. 1992; Högström et al. 2013; McIlroy & Brasier, 2017; Jensen et al. 2018a,b). The current status is summarized below, with the addition of new material (Fig. 7) and their distribution (Fig. 8).

Treptichnus pedum and Gyrolithes isp. first appear within the lower 10 m of the third cycle of the Manndrapselva Member in a section south of Bärdeluvttjohka (Jensen et al. 2018a). These Treptichnus pedum are relatively small and with limited development of probes (Jensen et al. 2018a, fig. 6c). At comparable stratigraphical levels in the Manndrapselva section a trace fossil with angular turns and local thickenings that could be positions of probes (Fig. 7f) is potentially a Treptichnus. Larger and more extensively developed Treptichnus pedum are found higher in the third cycle, both at the Manndrapselva and Bärdeluvttjohka sections (Fig. 7a, d, e; Högström et al. 2013, fig. 5d). At a slightly higher stratigraphical level in both sections, trace fossils are found that form tightly circling bilobed positive epireliefs, in some instances giving the appearance of closed loops (Fig. 7b, e). This material is similar to the circling parts of the type material of ‘Taphrhelminthopsis circularis’ which we believe is better attributed to Psammichnites, cf. Mángano et al. 2019) from the lower Cambrian of northern Spain. Trace fossils showing the full range of the circling and irregular paths of the type material of this ichnospecies appear in the lower member of the Breidvika Formation. The Manndrapselva material is tentatively compared with Psammichnites circularis, although taxonomic treatment of this ichnospecies is currently under investigation (Mángano et al. 2019). In addition to the already mentioned forms, the upper part of the third cycle also yields three-lobed trace fossils and Bergaueria isp. (Banks, 1970; Högström et al. 2013; McIlroy & Brasier, 2017).

In comparison with the trace fossil successions in the Chapel Island Formation on the Burin Peninsula in Newfoundland, Canada, the upper part of the third cycle of the Manndrapselva Member clearly is Cambrian in age (Högström et al. 2013; McIlroy & Brasier, 2017). The presence of cf. Psammichnites circularis raises the question of whether this level could already correspond to the Rusophycus avalonensis Zone, for which this ichnospecies is characteristic. However, the cf. Psammichnites circularis does not show the full morphological range of the ichnospecies, and the first Rusophycus appears some 70 m higher in the lower member of the Breidvika Formation (Banks, 1970; Högström et al. 2013), along with Monomorphichnus (Fig. 7i) appearing at 47 m in the same unit. The upper part of the third cycle of the Manndrapselva Member is therefore better assigned to the Treptichnus pedum Zone, with the Rusophycus avalonensis Zone corresponding to the lower member of the Breidvika Formation.
Returning to trace fossils in the lower part of the third cycle, both *Treptichnus pedum* and *Gyrolithes* extend a few metres below the GSSP level on the Burin Peninsula (Gehling et al. 2001; Laing et al. 2018). Additional trace fossils low in the third cycle are *Helminthopsis* isp., found at comparable stratigraphical levels both in the Manndrapselva section (Fig. 7c) and the section south of Bárdeluovttjohka (Fig. 7h). These *Helminthopsis*, which are preserved as positive hyporeliefs, locally show a rectangular cross-section. On large exposures these *Helminthopsis* can be seen to occur with, and apparently integrate with, trace fossils that are less winding but otherwise identical in dimensions (Fig. 7g, h); such intergradations of *Helminthopsis* are not uncommon (e.g. Carbone & Narbonne, 2014). A fragment of what seems to be a similar form both in size and cross-section was reported as *Cochlichnus* isp. 3 from the Fortunian Khmelnitski Formation of Ukraine (Palij et al. 1983). In the Chapel Island Formation, the first occurrence of *Helminthopsis* is c. 8 m above the GSSP level. Although *Helminthopsis* is recorded elsewhere from the uppermost Ediacaran, the Manndrapselva material is relatively large (c. 5 mm wide), and the trace fossil association from the lower part of the third cycle of the Manndrapselva Member most likely represents the *Treptichnus pedum* Zone.

No trace fossils have been found in the sandstone-dominated upper part of the second cycle. Palaeopascichnids from the upper part of the heterolithic portion of the second cycle demonstrate a latest Ediacaran age, which is also consistent with

---

**Fig. 5.** Field photos from the Bárdeluovttjohka section. (a) Composite panorama of the section. Left arrow points to the massive sandstone bed at 18–20 m below the top of the third cycle of the Manndrapselva Member, with *Treptichnus pedum* occurring just above this bed (see log in Fig. 7a). The arrow to the right points to the top of the third cycle of the Manndrapselva Member. (b) Upper section of the coastal outcrop near Bárdeluovttjohka River. Arrow points to the top of the red boundary quartzite. (c) Massive sandstone bed with Teodora Palacios sitting at the *Treptichnus pedum* level. (d) Local flat-pebble conglomerate just above the thick sandstone bed. (e) Section at the thick sandstone bed. Guido Meinhold standing on top of the massive sandstone and pointing to the surface with *Psammichnites circularis*.
trace fossils from this unit (McIlroy & Brasier, 2017; Jensen et al. 2018b). It should be noted that earlier reports of treptichnids from the second cycle are revised. Material consisting of a series of aligned sediment pods that are connected by a faintly visible horizontal ridge (Högström et al. 2013, fig. 5a) by them compared with treptichnids is better interpreted as having been formed through a sinusoidal vertical movement (Jensen et al. 2017). What is considered the first authentic treptichnid (Högström et al. 2013, fig. 5b; cf. Fig. 7c) is now known to derive from the third cycle.

Fig. 6. Specimens of Sabellidites from the Digerum Peninsula, illustrating variations in their preservation. (a) TSGf 18538 (sample D16-F03) displaying typical preservation in slightly sandy matrix. Bárdeluovttjohka section, −10.3 m. (b) TSGf 18500a (sample D16-F51), detail of large specimen showing the fractured surface. Manndrapselva section, 17 m. (c) TSGf 18466 (sample D16-F14), specimen showing smooth inner surface of the tube fading into external mould (lower part). Manndrapselva section, 17.5 m. (d) TSGf 18511 (sample D17-J04), specimen showing the smooth inner surface. Detail seen in Figure 6f. Avžejohka section, −27.9 m. (e) TSGf 18500b (sample D16-F51), small curved ‘normal’ specimen. Manndrapselva section, 17 m. (f) TSGf 18511 (sample D17-J04), detail of smooth inner surface. (g) TSGf 18502 (sample D16-F53/54), ‘rusty’ specimen to compare with specimen in Figure 6e from nearly the same level. Manndrapselva section, 17 m. (h) TSGf 18516 (sample D17-J09), ‘rusty’ specimen from the highest recorded stratigraphical level. Avžejohka section, 54 m. (i) TSGf 18541 (sample D16-F06), long, twisted specimen with partly ‘rusty’ sections. Bárdeluovttjohka section, −15.5 m. Scale bars = 1 mm.
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6. Stratigraphical distribution of Sabellidites on the Digerumlen Peninsula

6.A. Results

About 70 m of the Manndrapselva section were logged (Fig. 8a). The lower parts of the lower member of the Breidvik Formation are partly covered by vegetation, which prevented sampling. The flat landscape and low dip of the strata preferentially exposed upper surfaces of the beds, while lower surfaces with trace fossils are more difficult to find. In total, 46 samples with Sabellidites cambriensis were recovered. In the lower part of the section (Manndrapselva Member) specimens are rare and non-gregarious. The trace fossil Treptichnus pedum was registered at c. 9 m and 16 m below the reference level (= 0 m) in the section (Fig. 7d, e), together with Sabellidites cambriensis. The 9 m level below the reference level correlates with the position of Treptichnus pedum recorded by Högström et al. (2013) from the Bárdeluovttjohka section. Specimens of Sabellidites are more common already in the lower part of the lower member of the Breidvik Formation, often with abundant specimens on the bedding surfaces. The stratigraphically youngest samples were found just above the upper boundary of the first parasequence in the Breidvik Formation (Fig. 8).

The Ávžejohka section offered the best exposures of the fissile mudstone (Fig. 8b), with 18 samples collected. Some of the surfaces were covered with Sabellidites specimens. Sabellidites is common within the first 5 m above the thick red/white quartzite and with a few specimens occurring more than 25 m above the quartzite.

Farmer et al. (1992) recorded Sabellidites at 9 m and 16 m above the base of the lower member of the Breidvik Formation in the Bárdeluovttjohka section, while Högström et al. (2013) extended the stratigraphic range in the first parasequence. For the present study, nearly 70 m of the section was logged. A total of 26 samples were collected (including 12 samples from the 2011 expedition). Specimens are found stratigraphically lower in the Bárdeluovttjohka section, and Treptichnus pedum is also recorded at a lower level than in the Manndrapselva section (Jensen et al., 2018a, fig. 7c; but see above). The acritarch Granomarginata prima is found at c. 12 m below the reference level and Asteridium tornatum at c. 23 m above the reference level (data from Högström et al. 2013; Palacios et al. 2018).

The sections correlate well across the c. 5 km distance between the southernmost and northernmost outcrop, with a similar distribution and abundance of Sabellidites. Specimens are rare in the third cycle of the Manndrapselva Member, but they are consistently present from c. 6.5 m above the lowest level of Treptichnus pedum and upwards (Fig. 8). A single specimen was registered by Jensen et al. (2018a) c. 2 m below the lowest Treptichnus pedum specimen in the outcrop to the south of the Bárdeluovttjohka section (Fig. 8c). Sabellidites is common and abundant in the first 5 m above the base of the first parasequence of the Breidvik Formation with two specimens recognized further...
Fig. 8. (Colour online) Correlation of the three sections discussed herein, with marker beds, sample numbers and other key features. (a) Manndrapselva section. (b) Avžejohka section. (c) Bárdeluovttjohka section. The grey area indicates correlation of the Ediacaran–Cambrian transition beds between the sections. Samples only recorded in the field do not carry sample numbers.
15 m upsection (Figs 6b, 8b). The observed upper range of *Sabellidites* is thus at c. 55 m into the lower member of the Breidvika Formation.

7. Distribution and correlation of *Sabellidites cambriensis*

7.a. General remarks

Nielsen & Schovsbo (2011, p. 223) adopted the Rovnian and Lontovan stages developed in Eastern Europe (Mens et al. 1987, 1990) for the lower Cambrian of Scandinavia, with the Kotlinian being the uppermost stage of the Ediacaran. A detailed overview of the distribution of *Sabellidites* in a number of sections on Baltica and elsewhere is found in the online Supplementary Material.

The Rovnian Stage stratotype is taken at level 100–152.7 m of core 4 at Klevan in the Rivne region of Ukraine, being 29 to 53 m thick in the area (Kir'yanov, 1969) (Fig. 9b, locality 11a), with an additional reference section at the outcrop along the Ternava River (a tributary of the Dniester River) at the village of Kitaygorod, Khmelnytsky region, Ukraine (Fig. 9b, locality 11c) (Konstantinenko & Kir'yanov, 2013). The Rovnian Stage is characterized by a mass-occurrence of *Sabellidites* occurring without *Platysolenites antiquissimus* (Kir’yanov, 1968, 1969) but with a low-diversity leiosphaerid acritarch assemblage (Konstantinenko & Kir’yanyov, 2013).

The stratotype of the Lontovan Stage is in the Kunda quarry in NE Estonia with additional data from the Lontova drill core (interval 14.0 to 88.3 m) (Mens & Pirrus, 1977, 1997). The Lontovan Stage has been considered equivalent in age to provisional Stage 2 of the Terreneuvian (Nielsen & Schovsbo, 2011; Meidla, 2017 and references therein). However, it seems to be older and corresponds mostly to the Fortunian, and to Stage 2 only in part (Moczylowska & Yin, 2012; Palacios et al. 2018, 2020; Slater et al. 2018).

Two acritarch assemblages and subzones were originally recognized in the basal Cambrian of the East European Platform and considered typical for the Rovnian and Lontovan stages (Mens & Posti, 1984; Mens et al. 1990; Jankauska & Lendzion, 1992; Raevskaya, 2005 and references therein). The low-diversity *Asteridium–Comasphaeridium* assemblage Zone was developed as the first recognizable zonation for the Polish part of the East European Platform and Baltoscandian successions, and considered equivalent to the latest Rovno and Lontova horizons (Moczylowska, 1991). The zone is characterized by *Asteridium tornatum*, *Comasphaeridium velutum*, *Granomarginata prima* and *Granomarginata squamacea*. Jachowicz-Zdanowska (2013) recorded microfossils, believed to be older but including *Granomarginata*, in the *Pulvinosphaeridium antiquum–Pseudoasmatinus* assemblage Zone on the Brunovistulicum Terrane in southern Poland and northeastern Czech Republic (west of the Teisseyer–Tornquist Zone). Szczepanik & Zylińska (2016) placed this zone in the earliest Fortunian.

The post-Lontovan *Skiagia–Fimbriaglomerella* Zone corresponds to the Dominoplayan Stage and the occurrence of the first trilobites in Baltoscandia of the *Schmidtiiellus mickwitzii* Zone (Moczylowska, 1991; Nielsen & Schovsbo 2011; Palacios et al. 2020). In the review by Moczylowska & Yin (2012), the *Asteridium–Comasphaeridium* Zone took a wider scope and encompasses the entire Fortunian Stage and extends into the defined Stage 2, thus including both the Rovnian and Lontovan in terms of Baltic stages.

The acritarchs *Granomarginata prima* and *Granomarginata squamacea*, together with rare *Asteridium tornatum*, are present at the base of a Lontovan Stage on the East European Platform (Volkova et al. 1979, 1983; Moczylowska, 1991). The first two occur in the Fortunian type section in Newfoundland, but here the name-bearing taxa of the assemblage zone are missing (Palacios et al. 2018, 2020). Nearly the entire Terreneuvian and most of Stage 2 instead encompass the *Granomarginata Zone*, and identification of the *Asteridium–Comasphaeridium* assemblage Zone can only be made when the index fossils of these small acanthomorphic acritarchs are present (Palacios et al. 2018, 2020). *Granomarginata* itself may be older (Agić et al., 2021) and the Cambrian occurrences may represent the tail end of a more typical Ediacaran assemblage.

The Vendian (Period) has been used in East European literature since the mid-1960s, with the Vendian–Cambrian boundary usually placed at the base of the Lontovan Regional Stage (Sokolov, 1984, 1997). Estonian and many Ukrainian workers on the other hand preferred to place the boundary at the base of the Rovnian Stage, especially following the definition of the basal Cambrian GSSP (Mens et al. 1990; Kir’yanov, 2006; Velikanov, 2009; Velikanov & Melnychuk, 2013; Meidla, 2017). The Vendian of older Polish literature, with the upper boundary placed at the base of the Lontovan, is often replaced by Ediacaran in more modern studies without adjustments of the Ediacaran–Cambrian boundary level (see discussion pertaining to this in Section 7b and 7d).

7.b. *Sabellidites cambriensis* as an index fossil for the lowermost Cambrian

*Sabellidites cambriensis* has been recognized as a lowermost Cambrian zonal fossil since the mid-1960s and is widely used in correlation across Scandinavia and the East European Platform and with Siberia (Sokolov, 1965, 1997; Kir’yanov, 1969; Martinsson, 1974; Mens, 1980, 1987; Bergström & Ahlberg, 1981; Lendzion, 1983; Bergström & Gee, 1985; Mens et al. 1987, 1990). A general sequence with *Sabellidites cambriensis* in the oldest strata (Rovno), followed by, or slightly overlapping with, *Platysolenites antiquissimus*, and the appearance of a more diverse shelly fauna in the succeeding strata (Lontovan) became well established. The lower Cambrian faeces on the East European Platform shows a strong lateral continuity (Rozanov & Zhuravlev, 1992), which facilitates a broad correlation across the region.

However, in the 1990s and onwards the stratigraphical value of both *Sabellidites cambriensis* and *Platysolenites* became questioned. It was argued that the lack of associated diagnostic organic-walled microfossils (OWM) for the older strata, long ranges of the macroscopic taxa, strong facies dependence and diachronic faeces distribution gave a low biostratigraphical value (e.g. Vidal & Moczylowska, 1992, 1995; Nielsen & Schovsbo, 2011; Pacześa, 2014; Szczepanik & Zylińska, 2016), and the once widespread use of *Sabellidites* for correlation was disbanded.

Although the arguments against the stratigraphical usefulness to some extent are valid, they do not render *Sabellidites cambriensis* and *Platysolenites* biostratigraphically uninteresting. The evolutionary faunal sequence during earliest Cambrian time in Baltica is consistent and was developed in broadly the same type of widespread dominantly siliclastic facies within a relatively short time interval; the Rovnian and Lontovan succession in Baltica span perhaps 10–15 Ma (Nielsen & Schovsbo 2011, p. 287). The flooding of an essentially flat craton (penep lain) in earliest Cambrian
time was stepwise and proceeded by a series of rapid transgressive–regressive cycles with initially large clastic supplies to the basins, followed by less clastic supply as the sea level rose (Nielsen & Schovsbo, 2011). The peri-cratic deposits are thick and complete, while epi-cratic deposits lack the oldest strata and the facies are much reduced in thickness. _Sabellidites_ and _Platysolenites_ are found in the fine-grained facies, representing periods of drowning and sea level highstand. This may pose a
problem as the facies may develop differently along the platform and also be diachronous.

The distribution of OWM and small carbonateous fossils (SCF) is therefore crucial. These are often restricted to certain facies or rocks with certain organic content (Woltz et al. 2021), but this is not the same as their occurrence being facies dependent. As pelagic organisms, they may be reliable index fossils and especially useful for correlation, regardless of their distribution being better represented in particular fine-grained facies. As such, it is possible to state that the distribution of these fossils, for the purpose of biostratigraphy, are independent of facies. Thus, the co-occurrence of assemblages with Sabellidites and/or Platysolenites, trace fossils and certain OWM and potentially SCF is therefore important for dating and correlation of the sedimentary rocks in Baltica regardless of facies.

The terminal Ediacaran and very earliest Cambrian has a depauperate microfossil record with mainly leiosphaerids, although new studies have demonstrated a higher diversity (Jachowicz-Zdanowska, 2011, 2013; Szczepanik & Żylińska, 2016; Arvestad & Willman, 2020; Agić et al., 2021). The lowest Cambrian strata are followed by an assemblage with the first small acanthomorphic acritarchs of the Asteridium–Comasphaeridium assemblage Zone, where Asteridium is a good marker for the start of Cambrian-type OWM assemblages. Note that the occurrence of Asteridium does not necessarily indicate the lowermost possible Cambrian.

As not all the stratigraphical components (i.e. Sabellidites, Platysolenites, trace fossils, OWM, SCF, shelly fossils) are present or well documented in all sections, correlation across Baltica is still challenging (see online Supplementary Material). However, Sabellidites is a useful taxon to include, as it is a distinct component of the earliest Cambrian evolutionary fauna, has a wide distribution and is fairly easy to recognize. The supposed Ediacaran range of Sabellidites cambriensis is equivocal for a number of reasons. Sabellidites cambriensis is found just below both the GSSP and Treptichnus pedum on Newfoundland and possibly just below Treptichnus pedum also on the Digermulen Peninsula, and as such is present in the Ediacaran. However, at both sites the co-occurrences of these two taxa are less than 5 m apart, and defining confident intervals for the extremely close stratigraphic proximity in these several hundred metre thick sequences is essentially irrelevant for the distribution. For practical purposes, it is found that they both first occur at the very base of the Cambrian and that the distribution of Sabellidites cambriensis therefore is truly basal Cambrian. It is thus not a diagnostic index fossil of a Terminal Ediacaran Stage as suggested by Muscente et al. (2019), but essentially of the basal Cambrian.

Stratigraphically old occurrences of Sabellidites cambriensis on the East European Platform cited in the literature are largely erroneous as pointed out by Sokolov (1997), although sabelliditid-like forms such as the saarinids Calyptraida and Saarina are already present in the Redkino Stage (Gnilovskaya, 1996; Sokolov, 1997) (see online Supplementary Material). In Poland, the original lower Cambrian boundary was traditionally placed at the base of the Wlodawa Formation, encompassing the old usage Rozno Sabellidites Zone (Aren & Lendzion, 1978; Lendzion, 1983). Subsequent works, however, followed the Russian usage of the Vendian, with the upper boundary near the top of the Wlodawa Formation. Thus the Sabellidites Zone, associated with a low-diversity leiosphaerid acritarch assemblage, was placed in the uppermost Vendian of the Russian usage (Moczydłowska & Vidal, 1986; Moczydłowska, 1991, 1998). Therefore, the ensuing Asteridium–Comasphaeridium assemblage Zone encompassed the lower Cambrian, including the top of the Rovnian and the entire Lontovan and the Platysolenites Zone.

Later, however, the Vendian was simply equated with the Ediacaran in Poland (see for instance Moczydłowska, 2008; Pczesna, 2014), therefore by default assigning an Ediacaran age for the Sabellidites Zone. Furthermore, the Asteridium–Comasphaeridium Zone was taken to encompass the entire Fortunian Stage and extend into the undefined Stage 2 (Moczydłowska & Yin, 2012), thus encompassing both the Rovnian and Lontovan in terms of the traditional Baltic stages. This view contrasts markedly with that in which a basal Fortunian leiosphaerid assemblage occurs prior to the Asteridium–Comasphaeridium Zone in both the Newfoundland type section and in Baltica (Nielsen & Schovsbo, 2011; Szczepanik & Żylińska, 2016; Palacios et al. 2018, 2020; Slater et al., 2018). With addition of the stratigraphical data on the distribution of Sabellidites cambriensis in Newfoundland and on the Digermulen Peninsula it seems clear that the taxon has an insignificant Ediacaran range, and a more extensive Ediacaran distribution of this taxon in Poland or elsewhere is most likely not the case (see also online Supplementary Material).

The sections with Sabellidites cambriensis on the Digermulen Peninsula described herein are the only outcrops in Baltica that offer a comprehensive record of the stratigraphical distribution of this taxon around the Ediacaran–Cambrian transition. The success- cession is directly comparable to that at Fortune Head on Newfoundland, with Sabellidites co-occurring with a diverse trace fossil association and OWM in a section seemingly without significant sedimentary breaks. The lower range of Sabellidites on the Digermulen Peninsula is firmly established, whereas the observed upper range may still prove to overlap with that of Platysolenites antiquissimus, as seen elsewhere in Baltic.

7.c. Platysolenites

The tubular foraminiferan Platysolenites has been regarded as a long-ranging taxon in Scandinavia, believed to be extending from the sub-trilobitic succession (Lontovan Stage) into the trilobite-bearing Holmia kjerulfi assemblage Zone (Vergalain–Rausvian stages) (Skjeseth, 1963; Bergström, 1981; Nielsen & Schovsbo, 2011). This assumption is partly based on two minute and doubtful fragments found in the Redalen Member of the Ringstrands Formation in the Mjøsa area of Norway reported by Vogt (1924). A much-cited correlation of this finding within the younger Brennsetersaga Member of the Ringstrands Formation by Skjeeth (1963) is probably erroneous and its occurrence is likely older, i.e. in the Skiagia–Fimbriaglomerella assemblage Zone (see discussion in Høyberget et al. 2019).

Rare specimens attributed to Platysolenites antiquissimus have been reported from the Sõru Formation (Dominopolian Stage, Rusophycus parallelum Zone) and basal Lükati Formation of western Estonia (Dominopolian Stage, Skiagia–Fimbriaglomerella assemblage Zone) (Mens & Pirrus, 1977, 1997; Mens, 2003), which then represent the highest stratigraphical occurrence in Baltic. In Newfoundland, McLoroy et al. (2001) reported one specimen of Platysolenites in the West Centre Cove Member of the Bonavista Formation, comprising the upper Aldanella attleborensis interval of the Sunnanginia imbricata Zone (Fletcher, 2006). This is close to the upper part of the Terreneuvian (Palacios et al. 2011) and only slightly older than the Lükati Formation and Mjøsa occurrences. Kouchinsky et al. (2017) found Platysolenites antiquissimus
in carbonate facies in the Anabar Uplift on the Siberian Platform, where it ranges from low in the Anabarites trisulcatus Zone of the Nemakit–Dalny Formation through the overlying Emyaksin/ Medvezhya formations, its upper range possibly being within the Stage 2 – Stage 3 transition beds, which is close to the range in the Lukati Formation. Thus, the youngest records of *Platysolenites* seem to extend at least to the end of the Terreneuvian, being slightly younger on the Siberian Platform than most of the occurrences in Baltica (Lontovan and lowermost Dominopolitan stages).

The earliest occurrences of *Platysolenites* in Newfoundland are within the lowermost Wattonella croshyi Zone, while its range starts earlier in Siberia in the lower part of the Fortunian (*Anabarites trisulcatus* Zone) (Kouchinsky et al. 2017). On the Digerumpen Peninsula the oldest occurrence is within the lower part of the upper member of the Breidvik Fm., co-occurring with specimens of *Ladatica* (McIlroy & Logan, 1999; McIlroy & Brasier, 2017), which places the occurrence temporally very near to that in Newfoundland. In other parts of Finnmark, *Platysolenites* is found in beds attributed to the upper part of the lower member of the Breidvik Fm. (see Högström et al. 2013).

*Platysolenites* is also found in Cambrian strata of California, Avalonian England and Wales, and southwestern Spain (Firby-Durham, 1977; Vidal et al. 1999; McIlroy et al. 2001) generally associated with helcionelloid molluscs (Gubanov, 2002).

Moczydłowska (1991) proposed a *Platysolenites antiquissimus* Interval–Zone, ranging from its first occurrence to the *Schmidtillus mickwiitz Zone* (*Skagia–Fimbriaglomerella* assemblage Zone). Based on other occurrences discussed herein (see online Supplementary Material) and in McIlroy et al. (2001), the distribution of *Platysolenites* is largely restricted to the Lontovan Stage of Baltica and it is a useful marker across this palaeocontinent.

### 7.d. Correlation of *Sabellidites cambriensis* in Baltica

The sections illustrated in Figure 10 are used to draw broad inferences on the correlation of *Sabellidites cambriensis* in Baltica (for discussion of sections see online Supplementary Material). It should be emphasized that the range and occurrences of macrofossils in the cores is partly a matter of chance and the OWM record is therefore of great importance. Additionally, diagnostic OWM zones are unknown in several successions, and these are marked as ‘arbitrary’ in Figure 10. Clearly, more detailed studies are needed to assess the biostratigraphical potential of *Sabellidites*, evaluated in the context of other macrofossils, OWM and the SCF record within each region. The correlation of *Sabellidites cambriensis* outside Baltica is not discussed further here, but it would be helpful to understand more about its distribution in the type sequences on Newfoundland.

*Sabellidites cambriensis* co-occurs in its oldest range with simple leiosphaerids and *Granomarginata* in Newfoundland, the Digerumpen Peninsula, Poland and Ukraine (*Granomarginata* leiosphaerids in Fig. 10). These two OWM components are reported with *Sabellidites* in other areas of Baltica, but usually also include *Asteridium*, although precise ranges are often unknown.

*Platysolenites* and *Sabellidites* show partly overlapping ranges in Estonia, the Moscow Basin, Ukraine and the East European Platform of Poland, whereas they do not overlap in Newfoundland, Scandinavia, Polish territories west of the Teissuye–Tornquist Zone and Belarus. The situation in the White Sea area is unresolved. A single *Sabellidites* specimen in the ‘member 5’ on Newfoundland occurs well above the earliest record of *Platysolenites*, although the main distribution is within ‘member 2’ and directly comparable with the range observed on the Digerumpen Peninsula. On the other hand, *Platysolenites* also occurs stratigraphically above the highest *Sabellidites* record, in the upper Terreneuvian Bonavista Formation, giving it a range close to that seen elsewhere in Baltica, i.e. in the Lontovan (see the Fortune Head column in Fig. 10). The main difference is that the thicker succession in Newfoundland would give a larger overlap of the ranges than in the more condensed successions elsewhere, but with only two single occurrences this assumption is at the moment conjectural.

*Aldanella* co-occurs with *Platysolenites* in Newfoundland, at one locality in Northern Norway, in Estonia and in Poland. In Poland the uppermost range of *Sabellidites* may overlap with the occurrences of *Aldanella*, while in Estonia the recorded occurrences are c. 40 m apart. The presence of OWM is noted in all areas, although the precise range of OWM taxa relative to *Sabellidites* and *Platysolenites* is not always clear and more studies are needed.

The northernmost occurrences in Scandinavia, outside of the Digerumpen Peninsula, are in the Luobàkì section (see online Supplementary Material). Jensen & Grant (1998) suggested an early Cambrian age for the entire succession, contrasting the earlier notion that it was late Precambrian (Vidal, 1981); Nielsen & Schovsbo (2011) placed it entirely within the Dominopolitan (*Skagia–Fimbriaglomerella* Zone). Stodt et al. (2011) suggested that the oldest beds, the lower c. 10 m, correlate with the Ediacaran Lillevannet and Manndrapselva members in Finnmark, which poses challenges. The much discussed Vakkejokk breccia overlying the *Treptichnus pedum* layer in the area may represent a proximal impact ejecta layer (Ormo et al. 2017), and if this is correct it is only of use in the local correlation. *Sabellidites* occurs in the lower siltstone member (see online Supplementary Material), which suggests a position in the basal Lontovan following the arguments presented here (unit 2 in Fig. 10), with *Platysolenites* in the lower part of the red and green mudstone (unit 3 in Fig. 10). The top part of the upper siltstone member of the Torneträsk Formation (unit 5 in Fig. 10, called the Grømmajukku Formation by Nielsen & Schovsbo, 2011) contains *Holmia kjerulf* and stratigraphically higher trilobites (*Vergalian–Rauvian*), which then gives the upper age constraint at this section. Without a diagnostic OWM record (Vidal & Moczydłowska, 1996) a firm correlation of this section is difficult, especially for the lowermost part of the succession (Stodt et al. 2011).

The Bornholm succession contains abundant *Sabellidites* in the Hadeborg Member but no other fossils. The boundary with the underlying Nexo Formation is sharp and conformable but may represent a sequence boundary (Nielsen & Schovsbo, 2011). A Lontovan or older age for this occurrence is inferred (Nielsen & Schovsbo, 2011), and the older option (Rovnian) is tentatively preferred here owing to the lack of *Platysolenites*.

The wide spatial distribution of the *Sabellidites*-bearing level in the White Sea area is even more extensive than on the Digerumpen Peninsula, but the widespread occurrence of *Sabellidites* in a narrow stratigraphical interval is comparable. The presence of *Asteridium* and *Granomarginata* in the upper range of *Sabellidites* is confirmed in drill cores, but the ranges of the OWM relative to the ranges of *Sabellidites* and *Platysolenites* and the position relative to the Padun Group is unknown. At the moment the Padun Group succession is attributed to the lower
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Fig. 10. (Colour online) Tentative correlation of a selection of basal lower Cambrian sections in Baltica compared to the GSSP section at Fortune Head on the Burin Peninsula, Newfoundland, Canada. Note that this section is half the vertical scale in order to fit within the figure. The section names and locality numbers correspond to those found in the map (Fig. 9) and are referred to in the text and in the online Supplementary Material. Stratigraphical column, left-hand side: C. t. – Cruziana tenella; A. – Asteridium tornatum – Comasphaeridium velvetum assemblage Zone. Fortune Head: Ran. – Random Formation. Luobákti section: 1 – Lower sandstone member; 2 – Lower siltstone member; 3 – Red and green siltstone member; 4 – Upper sandstone member; 5 – Upper siltstone member; AS – Alum Shale Formation. Bornholm: H – Hådeborg Member. Estonia, Kunda section: V. Fm. – Voronka Formation; S – Sämi Member; K – Kestla Member; L – Lükati Formation.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Member 1</th>
<th>Member 2</th>
<th>Member 3</th>
<th>Member 4</th>
<th>Member 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fortunian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brevdika Formation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapel Island Formation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marindrapelva Member</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lontova Fm.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronella</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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Cambrian (Lontovan) (Kuznetsov et al. 2014), although the lower part of the Nyugus and Zolotitsa formations may very well prove to be older.

The Estonian section serves as a model for the St Petersburg area and central Moscow Basin. The upper range of *Sabellidites* overlaps with *Platysolenites*, which is found throughout the Lontova Formation coinciding with the appearance of acritarchs of the *Asteridium–Comasphaeridium* Zone. The Lomonosov Formation of the St Petersburg area contains *Platysolenites* and *Granomarginata*, but seemingly not *Asteridium* (Podkoryov et al. 2017). A comparable distribution is seen in, for instance, the Toropets core in the Moscow Basin where *Sabellidites* in the Danilov beds (approximately equivalent with the Nekrasovo Formation) co-occurs with *Asteridium* in its lowest range and with *Platysolenites* in its upper range (Kirsanov, 1974). Both *Asteridium* and *Platysolenites* range into the overlying Rusanov beds (= Lezha Formation), where other sabelliditids are also present.

The Polish sections are re-interpreted here, placing the Ediacaran–Cambrian boundary at the lowest occurrence of *Sabellidites* in the Wlodawa Formation with reference to the Łopiuńnick core (see online Supplementary Material). The distribution of fossils is quite similar to that seen in the comparably thick sequences of Newfoundland and the Digerumlen Peninsula, starting with *Sabellidites* and simple leiosphaerids before the appearance of *Granomarginata*. In the Mazowsze Formation, *Sabellidites* generally co-occurs with acritarchs of the *Asteridium–Comasphaeridium* Zone, resembling the situation seen in the Estonian successions. Other OWM and SCP, presumed to be of late Ediacaran age, occur just 6 m below the lowest occurrence of *Sabellidites* in the Wlodawa Formation of the Łopiuńnick IG 1 core (Moczydłowska et al. 2015). They represent depauperate assemblages dominated by mainly Protobezoic prokaryotes, including some long-ranging taxa like *Ceratophyton*, known from the upper Ediacaran and lower Cambrian. Two specimens identified as the Tonian (c. 800 Ma) taxon *Valkyria borealis* (Moczydłowska, 2008, fig. 8a, b) also resemble the early Cambrian problematicus *Baltinema rana* (Slater et al. 2017, fig. 11a–m). The Wlodawa specimens have shorter lateral protrusions and lack a longitudinal stripe within the main body characteristic of *Valkyria* (Butterfield et al. 1994) and are truncated, so more specimens need to be examined to confidently distinguish between *Valkyria* and *Baltinema*. *Palaepaschichnium delicatus* has been reported from the underlying Lublin Formation, but the figured specimen (Paczesa 1986, pl. 1, fig. 2) is unusual in having a marginal border. Paczesna (1989) listed *Harlaniella* from the Lublin Formation, but this material has not been figured or described.

Although not exhibited in the section of Ukraine in Figure 10, where only the Bolshoi Obzyr drill core section is shown, the biostratigraphy appears similar to that of Poland, with *Sabellidites* occurring with leiosphaerids, *Granomarginata* and simple trace fossils followed by co-occurrence with *Platysolenites*. In the Ukrainian succession, the overlap of the ranges of sabelliditids and *Platysolenites* is short.

**7.e. Rovnian and Lontovan**

The *Asteridium–Comasphaeridium* Zone has since the 1990s been the first recognized OWM zone in the lower Cambrian of Baltica and characteristic of the Lontovan, originally including the occurrence of *Granomarginata* in the East European Platform of Poland (Moczydłowska, 1991). *Granomarginata* is widespread and common in the Terreneuvian across the globe but also found infrequently in the upper Ediacaran (Agić et al. 2021). In Newfoundland, the oldest occurrence is well below the first occurrence of the *Asteridium tornatum* and the *Asteridium–Comasphaeridium* Zone as interpreted by Palacios et al. (2018), who established a *Granomarginata* Zone.

However, this distribution of a *Granomarginata* Zone in Baltica is not clear-cut (Fig. 10). On the Digerumlen Peninsula, *Granomarginata* is found with *Sabellidites* close to the Ediacaran–Cambrian boundary, while in Newfoundland *Granomarginata* appears just above *Sabellidites* and leiosphaerids. Furthermore, *Asteridium* appears in the upper range of *Sabellidites* on the Digerumlen Peninsula, but both occurrences are well below that of *Platysolenites*. The distribution of *Sabellidites* and OWM in the Ukraine is comparable to that in Newfoundland, with a simple leiosphaerid assemblage followed by an assemblage with *Granomarginata*, whereas *Asteridium* seems to first appear in the Dominopolian (Talsy) Stage (Volkova et al. 1979, 1983; Konstantinenko & Kir’yanov, 2013); *Sabellidites* co-occurs with the first two assemblages, and *Platysolenites* with the *Granomarginata* assemblage (correlated with the Lontovan). In the East European part of Poland, it is not clear that the range of *Granomarginata* is lower than the Lontovan, while it seems to range into the Rovnian in the Polish territories west of the Teisey–Tornquist Zone where it occurs with simple leiosphaerids (Jankauskas & Lendzion, 1992; Jachowicz-Zdanowska, 2013; Szczepanik & Zylitiska, 2016).

The base of the Lontovan is not well constrained or defined, but it may be possible to reach a better biostratigraphical resolution for the stage. A *Granomarginata* Zone may either be distinguished as a lower zone, apart from the *Asteridium–Comasphaeridium* Zone, or included as a lowermost subzone of the latter zone. The base of the stage would also encompass the lower range of *Platysolenites* (the *Platysolenites Interval-Zone sensu* Moczydłowska, 1991) and the upper range of *Sabellidites*, giving an overlapping range zone of the two. Additional sabelliditids like *Paleolina* and *Sokolovitina*, a shelly fauna including *Aldanella* and well-developed OWM of the *Asteridium–Comasphaeridium* Zone further distinguish the stage.

The assemblage zone itself is inadequate to establish the lower boundary of the Lontovan, for which OWM first appearance datum and range zones are needed (Szczepanik & Zylitiska, 2016; Palacios et al. 2018). The tentative correlation of the local stages in Figure 10 is based on the assumption that the base of the Rovnian coincides with the base of the *Treptichnus pedum* Zone, and that the base of the Lontovan essentially corresponds to the first occurrence of *Platysolenites* following the *Platysolenites Interval-Zone* of Moczydłowska (1991), and close to the base of the *Asteridium–Comasphaeridium* Zone.

However, both on the Digerumlen Peninsula and Newfoundland, the occurrence of *Platysolenites* and *Asteridium* (as an index species for the *Asteridium–Comasphaeridium* Zone) does not follow this pattern, and the base of the Lontovan would be high up in the *Platysolenites* level in those sections if the first occurrence of *Platysolenites* is used to define the base of this zone. This conundrum is difficult to resolve as long as the first appearance of *Asteridium* or *Comasphaeridium* is not well known in several areas, or the distribution differs as it does in Newfoundland. Thus, because of the lack of adequate fossil data from many sections, the placement of the Rovnian–Lontovan boundary in Baltica still remains somewhat arbitrary.
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8. Summary

*Sabellidites cambriensis* is a worm-like metazoan, consisting of long (70–120 mm) flexible tubes with coarse to fine transverse wrinkling, thick walls and a constant tube diameter up to 3 mm in width. It is a regional index fossil for Baltica but has been reported also from Siberia, China, Australia and Spain. The distribution of *Sabellidites cambriensis* was studied in three Ediacaran–Cambrian sections on the Digerumlen Peninsula in Arctic Norway, in the context of associated records of trace fossils and OWM. The succession encompasses the upper parasequence (third cycle) of the Mannhalspina Member of the Stångehuvud Formation and the first parasequence of the lower member of the Breidvik Formation. The Ediacaran–Cambrian boundary is located in the lower part of the third cycle of the Mannhalspina Member. Specimens of *Sabellidites* are rare but consistently present close to the lowest level of *Treptichnus pedum* and upwards, whereas the taxon is common and abundant in the lower part of the lower member of the Breidvik Formation; the youngest record is at c. 55 m above the base.

The acritarch *Granomarginata prima* co-occurs with *Sabellidites* and *Treptichnus pedum* in their lower ranges, while *Asteridium tornatum* co-occurs with *Sabellidites* in the lower part of the lower member of the Breidvik Formation. Thus, the third cycle encompasses the *Granomarginata* Acritarch Zone, while the Breidvik Formation encompasses the *Asteridium–Comasphaeridium Acritarch Zone*. Contrary to other sections in Baltica, the range of the foraminiferan *Platysolenites antiquissimus* is neither close to, nor does it overlap with that of *Sabellidites* on the Digerumlen Peninsula, but occurs much higher in the Breidvik Formation. The succession of fossils on the Digerumlen Peninsula is directly comparable to that of the GSSP section at Fortune Head on Newfoundland, except for the *Asteridium–Comasphaeridium Zone*, which appears higher in the Newfoundland succession.

Correlation between several sections in Baltica is attempted, with the distribution of *Sabellidites* in Newfoundland and on the Digerumlen Peninsula as a proxy. It is shown that *Sabellidites* essentially is an index fossil for the lowermost Cambrian. Broadly speaking, the taxon co-occurs in the Rovnian Stage with a distinct trace fossil association that can be attributed to the *Treptichnus pedum* Ichnozone, and OWM including leiosepharids and *Granomarginata*. Its upper range is in the base of the Lontovan, overlapping with and being close to the lower range of the *Platysolenites* range zone. The Lontovan is otherwise characterized by OWM of the *Asteridium–Comasphaeridium Zone*. However, as not all the stratigraphical components (i.e. *Sabellidites*, *Platysolenites*, trace fossils, OWM, SCF, small shelly fossils) are present or well documented in all sections, the correlation across Baltica is still challenging.
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