## Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 23 (4), 1980

## PERIODIC AND NIL POLYNOMIALS IN RINGS

BY

BERNARDO FELZENSZWALB AND ANTONINO GIAMBRUNO

Let R be an associative ring and  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$  a polynomial in noncommuting variables. We say that f is periodic or nil in R if for all  $r_1, \ldots, r_d \in$ R we have that  $f(r_1, \ldots, r_d)$  is periodic, respectively nilpotent (recall that  $a \in R$ is periodic if for some integer n(a) > 1,  $a^{n(a)} = a$ ).

In [2, Theorem 3.12] it was proved that if R is a primitive ring and f a homogeneous polynomial periodic in R, then R is finite dimensional over its center F; moreover if f is not a polynomial identity of R, then F is algebraic over a finite field and  $R \cong F_n$  with  $n \le \deg(f)$ . In this note we shall prove that in case f is multilinear then f is a central polynomial for R and, so,  $n \le \frac{1}{2}[\deg(f)+2]$ . It will follow that if R is any ring in which f is a multilinear periodic polynomial, then R satisfies a polynomial identity of degree  $\le 2 \deg(f)$ ; moreover f is central in R/N, where N is the nil radical of R.

We shall also remark that if R is a ring with no non-zero nil right ideals and f is a multilinear polynomial which is nil in R then f vanishes in R. This result is known when R is a semisimple ring or R is a ring with no non-zero nil ideals which either satisfies a polynomial identity or is an algebra over an uncountable field (see [3]).

In what follows all rings will be algebras over C, a commutative ring with 1. We assume that  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$  is a multilinear polynomial in d noncommuting variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_d$  with coefficients in C. Moreover if c(f) denotes the ideal generated by the coefficients of f, we assume that  $c(f)r \neq 0$  for all  $0 \neq r \in R$ .

**1.** Let R be a ring and  $R_n$  the ring of  $n \times n$  matrices over R. By adjoining a unit element if necessary, and considering the elements of R as scalar matrices, we can write every matrix of  $R_n$  as  $\sum a_{ij}e_{ij}$ , where the  $a_{ij} \in R$  and the  $e_{ij}$  (i, j = 1, ..., n) are the usual matrix units.

Given a sequence  $u = (A_1, \ldots, A_d)$  of matrices from  $R_n$ , the value of u is defined to be  $|u| = A_1 A_1 \cdots A_d$ . If  $\sigma$  is a permutation of  $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ , we write  $u^{\sigma} = (A_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, A_{\sigma(d)})$ . A sequence of the form  $u = (a_1 e_{i_1 j_1}, a_2 e_{i_2 j_2}, \ldots, a_d e_{i_d j_d})$ , where the  $a_i \in R$ , is called simple. A simple sequence u is called even if for some  $\sigma$ ,  $|u^{\sigma}| = b e_{ii} \neq 0$ , and odd if for some  $\sigma$ ,  $|u^{\sigma}| = b e_{ij} \neq 0$  where  $i \neq j$ . By [3, Lemma 1] these terms are well defined.

The first author was supported by CNPq (Brazil) at the University of Palermo.

473

Received by the editors March 5, 1979.

[December

We begin with the following

LEMMA 1. Let R be a ring and  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$  a multilinear polynomial. If f vanishes for all odd substitutions from  $R_n$ , then  $f(u) \in R$  for all substitutions from  $R_n$ .

**Proof.** Let  $u = (A_1, \ldots, A_d)$  be a sequence of matrices from  $R_n$ . Since f is multilinear and vanishes for all odd substitutions we can write  $f(u) = \sum f(u^{(r)})$  where the  $u^{(r)}$  are simple even sequences. By [3, Lemma 2] the  $f(u^{(r)})$  are diagonal matrices; hence f(u) is diagonal, say  $f(u) = \sum b_i e_{ii}$ .

Now, for  $j \neq 1$ , let  $\varphi$  be the automorphism of  $R_n$  given by  $A \rightarrow (1+e_{1j})A(1-e_{1j})$ . If  $u^{\varphi}$  is the image of the sequence u under  $\varphi$ , we have, as before, that  $f(u^{\varphi})$  is diagonal. But

$$f(u^{\varphi}) = f(u)^{\varphi} = (\sum b_i e_{ii})^{\varphi} = (1 + e_{1j}) \sum b_i e_{ii} (1 - e_{1j}) = \sum b_i e_{ii} + (b_j - b_1) e_{1j}$$

Thus we must have  $(b_j - b_1)e_{1j} = 0$ ; that is,  $b_j = b_1$ . As j varies between 2 and n, we get the desired conclusion.

An immediate consequence is the following

COROLLARY. Let R be a ring and  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$  a multilinear polynomial. If f is periodic in  $R_n$ , then  $f(u) \in R$  for all substitutions from  $R_n$ . Moreover if n > 1 then f vanishes in  $R_{n-1}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose f is periodic in  $R_n$  and let u be an odd sequence in  $R_n$ . By [3, Lemma 2],  $f(u) = be_{ij}$  for some  $b \in R$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Thus, since f(u) is both nilpotent and periodic, f(u) must be zero. By Lemma 1, f(u) is in R. Now, if n > 1, considering  $R_{n-1} \subset R_n$ , we get that f vanishes in  $R_{n-1}$ .

Thus we now have the

THEOREM 1. Let R be a primitive ring and  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$  a multilinear polynomial which is periodic in R. Then f is central in R. If f is not a polynomial identity of R, then  $R \cong F_n$  where F is a field algebraic over a finite field and  $n \leq \frac{1}{2}[\deg(f)+2]$ .

**Proof.** Suppose f is not a polynomial identity. By [2, Theorem 3.12]  $R \cong F_n$  where F is a field algebraic over a finite field. Thus, by the above Corollary, f is central in  $F_n$  and, so,  $n \le \frac{1}{2} [\deg(f) + 2]$ .

We finish the periodic case with

THEOREM 2. Let R be a ring and  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$  a multilinear polynomial which is periodic in R. Then

(1) R satisfies a polynomial identity of degree  $\leq 2 \deg(f)$ 

(2) the ideal generated in R by the elements  $f(r_1, \ldots, r_d)r_{d+1} - r_{d+1}f(r_1, \ldots, r_d)$ ,  $r_i \in R$ , is nil.

**Proof.** Let J be the Jacobson radical of R. Since f is periodic, it vanishes in J. Since R/J is a subdirect product of primitive rings, applying Theorem 1 we also get that f is central in R/J. Thus for all  $r_1, \ldots, r_{2d}$  in R,

$$f(f(r_1,\ldots,r_d)r_{d+1}-r_{d+1}f(r_1,\ldots,r_d),r_{d+2},\ldots,r_{2d})=0,$$

and (1) follows.

If N is the nil radical of R, R/N is a subdirect product of prime rings  $R_{\alpha}$  satisfying a polynomial identity. Since a prime ring satisfying a polynomial identity is an order in a finite dimensional central simple algebra, we can apply Theorem 1 to these algebras, getting that f is central in R/N.

2. We treat now multilinear nil polynomials

THEOREM 3. Let R be a ring with no non-zero nil right ideals and let  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$  be a multilinear polynomial nil in R. Then f is a polynomial identity for R.

**Proof.** Suppose f is not an identity for R. Let  $r_1, \ldots, r_d$  in R be such that  $f(r_1, \ldots, r_d) \neq 0$ , and let k be minimal such that  $f(r_1, \ldots, r_d)^k = 0$ . Then  $a = f(r_1, \ldots, r_d)^{k-1} \neq 0$  is such that  $a^2 = 0$ . By the proof of Lemma 6 in [1], aR satisfies a polynomial identity. Now, since  $a \neq 0$  and R is semiprime there exists a prime ideal P with  $a \notin P$ . Then  $\overline{R} = R/P$  is a prime ring with a non-zero right ideal,  $\overline{aR}$ , satisfying a polynomial identity. Hence  $\overline{R}$  satisfies a generalized polynomial identity; by a theorem of Martindale [4], the central closure of  $\overline{R}$  is a primitive ring with non-zero socle. By [5, Corollary 1 of Lemma 6], either  $\overline{R}$  satisfies a polynomial identity (PI) or for every integer  $n \ge 1$ ,  $\overline{R}$  contains a subring  $\overline{R}^{(n)}$  which is prime PI and does not satisfy any identity of degree < 2n. By a repeated application of [3, Theorem 7], the second possibility cannot occur and f vanishes in  $\overline{R}$ . Since  $a = f(r_1, \ldots, r_d)^{k-1} \notin P$  we get a contradiction.

Combining the above result with [3, Theorem 4], we have the

COROLLARY. Let R be a ring with no non-zero nil right ideals. If  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$  is a multilinear polynomial nil in  $R_n$ , then f vanishes in  $R_n$ .

## REFERENCES

3. U. Leron, Nil and power-central polynomials in rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 202 (1975), 97-103.

1980]

<sup>1.</sup> B. Felzenszwalb and A. Giambruno, Centralizers and multilinear polynomials in non-commutative rings, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 19 (1979), 417-428.

<sup>2.</sup> I. N. Herstein, C. Procesi and M. Schacher, Algebraic valued functions on noncommutative rings, J. Algebra, **36** (1975), 128-150.

## B. FELZENSZWALB AND A. GIAMBRUNO

4. W. S. Martindale 3rd, Prime rings satisfying a generalized polynomial identity, J. Algebra, 12 (1969), 186-194.

5. M. Smith, Rings with an integral element whose centralizer satisfies a polynomial identity, Duke Math. J., **42** (1975), 137-149.

Instituto de Matematica Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro C.P. 1835 ZC-00 20.000 Rio de Janeiro, R. J. Brazil

Istituto di Matematica Università di Palermo Via Archirafi 34 90100 Palermo, Italy

476