Perception of facial and vocal affect by people with schizophrenia in early and late stages of illness
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Background Emotion recognition impairments have been demonstrated in schizophrenia, but few studies have examined whether these reflect generalised or specific perceptual deficits or are associated with illness course.

Aims To examine the nature of emotion recognition abnormalities in patients with schizophrenia at different stages of illness.

Method We examined the performance of 50 in-patients with early-stage schizophrenia, 50 with chronic schizophrenia and 50 healthy controls on the Benton Facial Recognition Test, Facial Emotion Recognition Test and Voice Emotion Recognition Test.

Results Patients with chronic schizophrenia were significantly more impaired than other groups on the emotional tasks, even after controlling for impairments in non-emotional stimuli. Individual emotion recognition accuracy for the two sensory modalities was not significantly positively correlated for either group with schizophrenia.

Conclusions Emotion recognition deficits in schizophrenia are trait features of the disorder and increase with illness duration.
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Impaired emotion identification has been repeatedly demonstrated in patients with schizophrenia (Dougherty et al., 1974; Kerr & Neale, 1993; Borod, 2000; Edwards et al., 2001; Silver et al., 2002) and may contribute to the dysfunction in social communication observed in this population (Hooker & Park, 2002; Pinkham et al., 2003). However, several findings require further clarification. We therefore examined emotion recognition impairments in schizophrenia in order to determine whether: (a) these were independent of any deficits in the identification of non-emotional facial and vocal stimuli; (b) the magnitudes of positive and negative emotion recognition impairments in either modality were comparable; (c) these impairments were stable or progressive; (d) these impairments were significantly correlated with symptom severity, demographic factors or neuroleptic dose.

METHOD

Participants Participants were 100 in-patients from Lublin University Psychiatric Hospital with schizophrenia in remission (diagnosed on the basis of clinical interview according to DSM-IV criteria; American Psychiatric Association, 1994); 50 patients (25 male) were recruited during the first or second episode of illness (<2 episodes; mean duration of illness = 2.0 years (s.d. = 1.3)) and 50 (26 male) during later stages of illness (>2 episodes; mean duration of illness = 13.2 years (s.d. = 6.6)). All patients were clinically stable after 4 weeks of neuroleptic treatment (65% atypical antipsychotics) and were aged between 18 and 60 years. The mean daily dose in chlorpromazine equivalents was 365 mg (s.d. = 165).

The 30-item Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS; Kay et al., 1987) was administered to all patients by a trained rater (K. K.-P.) at the time of recruitment (Table 1). Controls were 50 healthy individuals (24 male; mean age = 36.8 years (s.d. = 13.4)) with no history of psychiatric illness recruited from the non-professional staff at Lublin University Medical School and Lublin Psychiatric Hospital.

All participants were right-handed (Annett, 1970). Exclusion criteria included the presence of a neurological disorder (e.g. epilepsy, dementia), habitual drug or alcohol misuse and cognitive impairment (a minimum score <24 out of 30 on the Mini-Mental State Examination; Folstein et al., 1975). Those who had difficulties with vision (including poor acuity and lack of correction) or hearing (threshold greater than 35 dB at any frequency using a free-field voice test; Browning, 1998) were also excluded. Informed consent was obtained prior to participation in the study. Approval for the study was obtained from the ethics committee of the Psychiatry Department of Lublin University Medical School. Six patients with schizophrenia who volunteered to take part in the study were not included in the final sample because either they were unable to complete the full set of tests owing to akathisia (three) or agitation (one) or they were discharged before completing the three experimental sessions (two).

Procedures All participants undertook three facial recognition tasks and an emotion prosody task on three separate occasions. Individuals rated their mood at the time of testing on a 10 cm scale ranging from ‘most depressed ever’ on the extreme left (scoring 0) to ‘most happy ever’ on the right (scoring 100). The midpoint was not indicated on the scale (David, 1989).

Facial Emotion Recognition Test The Facial Emotion Recognition Test (FERT) consists of a set of 36 photographs of human ‘emotional’ faces standardised and cross-validated on an independent group of healthy volunteers. These photographs were presented in slide form on the screen for approximately 10 s each, with an interval of 10 s between photographs. The projector was positioned 2 m away from the participant. Before this test, participants were provided with a written list and definitions of nine fundamental emotions: interest/excitement, enjoyment/joy, surprise/startle, distress/anguish, disgust, contempt, anger/rage, shame/humiliation,
fear/terror, and were asked to study the names and definitions. After each slide part-
icipants selected the one emotion from the list that best described the photograph and
wrote the number of this photograph in the appropriate space under the defined emo-
tion category (Izard, 1971). The order of the items in both emotion facial tasks
was randomised across participants.

**Benton Facial Recognition Test**

The Benton Facial Recognition Test (BFRT) is a standardised objective procedure for
the assessment of the ability to identify and discriminate photographs of unfami-
lar, non-emotional human faces. The long version of the test, comprising 54 response
items, was employed in this study (Benton et al., 1994).

**Voice Emotion Recognition Test**

In the Voice Emotion Recognition Test (VERT) participants were presented with
a series of five semantically neutral sentences (e.g. ‘In winter there are short days and
long nights’, ‘They will go first, the others will follow them’).

Each sentence was spoken aloud by a professional male actor in such a manner as
to convey one of the six basic emotions: happiness, sadness, fear, anger, surprise
and disgust, in addition to a neutral tone of voice. Thirty-five sentences were
recorded, digitised and normalised for average amplitude in a recording studio.
Each of five sentences was presented in the six emotional and neutral states in a ran-
domised order (interstimulus interval = 7 s; total time = 20 min). Participants listened
to each sentence then circled on an answer sheet which of the six emotions or neutral
state best described the speaker’s tone of voice. The presentation order of all 35
sentences was randomised across participants. Internal consistency was determined on
a sample of 101 students (48 males; mean age = 34.2 (s.d. = 3.2) years) on which
the test was first validated (Cronbach’s z = 0.614). Retest was performed after 3
days under the same experimental conditions; test–retest reliability was 0.96
(Kucharska-Pietura et al., 2003).

**Analyses**

The emotion items were grouped into two valence categories. Happiness (enjoyment),
interest and surprise were categorised as ‘positive’ and sadness (distress), anger, fear,
shame, contempt and disgust as ‘negative’ for both facial and vocal stimuli (Izard,
1971). The mean recognition accuracy (percentage correct) was computed for each
valence category for each stimulus type for each group of participants. A repeated-
measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was employed to determine the main effects
of group, emotion category and individual emotion, and interactions between these
factors, on both facial and vocal tasks. Post hoc Tukey tests were then employed
to examine specific differences in performance between groups. The influence of indepen-
dent variables (e.g. age, education and current mood) on the performance of
the perception tasks was controlled via analyses of covariance (ANCOVAs).
Finally, Pearson correlations were performed between mean accuracy scores and
clinical variables (e.g. PANSS score, neuroleptic dose, number of admissions).

**RESULTS**

**Clinical and demographic data**

Patients in the early stages of schizophrenia were significantly younger than healthy
controls (P < 0.001); there was no significant age difference between patients with
chronic schizophrenia and healthy controls (P = 0.90). Furthermore, healthy controls
had more years of education than patients in the early stages of illness (P < 0.05);
other group comparisons were not statistically significant (Table 1).

**Benton Facial Recognition Test**

Analysis of variance revealed a main effect of group on performance on this task
(F = 16.85; d.f. = 2, 147; P < 0.001). Patients in later stages of schizophrenia were less
accurate than those in the early stages of illness (mean difference = 4.63; P < 0.05)
and healthy controls (mean difference = 10.18; P < 0.001); patients in the early
stages of schizophrenia were more impaired than healthy controls (mean difference = 5.55; P = 0.01; Table 1).

Analysis of covariance (mean score as dependent variable) were carried out with
age, education and current mood as covariates. The effect of group upon performance
on this test remained highly significant (F = 16.17; d.f. = 2, 147; P < 0.001) after con-
trolling for these covariates. Years of education, current mood, severity of illness,
neuroleptic dose, duration of illness and number of prior admissions did not corre-
late significantly with mean BFRT score in patients. Performance of males and females
did not differ significantly on this test in any of the groups.

**Facial Emotion Recognition Test**

The effect of group

A significant main effect of group was found for performance on the FERT
(F = 51.34; d.f. = 2, 147; P < 0.001). Patients in later stages of schizophrenia demon-
strated a significantly greater impairment in their recognition of facial emotions
compared with those in the early stages of schizophrenia.
illness (mean difference = 8.33; *P < 0.001) and healthy controls (mean difference = 21.74; *P < 0.001). A significantly greater impairment was found in patients in the early stages of schizophrenia compared with healthy controls (mean difference = 13.41; *P < 0.001; Table 2).

**The effect of valence**
Mean recognition accuracy was computed for both valence categories (positive and negative) for the FERT. There was a main effect of valence for recognition (F = 144.93; d.f. = 1, 147; *P < 0.001), with mean accuracy scores higher for positive emotions (Table 2).

**Individual emotions**
Over all participants, there was a significant main effect for expression on the recognition task (F = 132.37; d.f. = 8, 140; *P < 0.001). There was a significant interaction between group and facial expression on the recognition task (F = 2.62; d.f. = 16, 280; *P < 0.01).

Taken together, these findings indicated that patients in the early and later stages of schizophrenia were more impaired on the FERT for both positive and negative expressions than healthy controls, and that those with chronic schizophrenia were generally more impaired than those in the early stages of illness (Table 2).

**BFRT performance, clinical and demographic variables as covariates and gender effect**
Analyses of covariance were carried out to determine whether in patients with schizophrenia the impairment on the FERT occurred independently of the impairment on the BFRT, and with clinical and demographic variables (age, current mood and years of education) as covariates. The main effect of group remained highly significant even after controlling for variables (F = 32.77; d.f. = 2, 149; *P < 0.001), for the recognition of positive (F = 21.40; d.f. = 2, 149; *P < 0.001) and negative (F = 24.72; d.f. = 2, 149; *P < 0.001) emotions. There were no significant correlations between PANSS score, illness course, or neuroleptic dose and performance on the FERT in each patient group.

After covarying for the above factors, univariate analysis of variance was performed to determine the effect of gender upon performance on the FERT. This revealed a main effect of gender on the overall performance on the FERT (F = 15.44; d.f. = 1, 149; *P < 0.001). Overall, females were more accurate than males on the FERT (t = 3.74; d.f. = 148; *P < 0.001). There were also t-test gender differences among patients in the early stages of schizophrenia and healthy volunteers, but not among those with chronic schizophrenia, with females in the early stages of illness and female controls more accurate on the test than the males in each group (t = 2.12; *P < 0.001 for both comparisons) on this task (Table 3; Fig. 1).

**Voice Emotion Recognition Test**
One-way ANOVA revealed a main effect of group (F = 108.77; d.f. = 2, 147; *P < 0.001) on the total performance on the VERT. Patients with chronic schizophrenia were significantly more impaired than patients in the early stages of illness (mean difference = 12.62; *P < 0.001), and both patient groups were significantly more impaired than healthy controls (mean difference = 27.94; *P < 0.001 for both comparisons) on this task (Table 3; Fig. 1).

**Individual emotions**
There was a significant interaction between group and the categories of vocal expression (F = 5.25; d.f. = 10, 286; *P < 0.001).

Both groups of patients were significantly impaired compared with healthy controls in the identification of all vocal expressions (P < 0.001 for all comparisons between each patient group and healthy controls), but patients in the later stages of schizophrenia were significantly more impaired than those in the early stages in the identification of all vocal expressions except fear (P < 0.03; Table 3).

**Neutral tone and clinical and demographic variables as covariates**
When the neural tone of voice, age, years of education and current mood were taken into account, the effect of group remained highly significant (F = 72.25; d.f. = 2, 149; *P < 0.001). The adjusted mean difference between patients with chronic schizophrenia and those in the early stages of illness was −7.12 (95% CI −12.39 to −1.85); the difference between those with chronic schizophrenia and healthy controls was −28.78 (95% CI −33.60 to −23.88) and that between patients in the early stages of schizophrenia and healthy controls.

---

**Table 2** Facial expression recognition accuracy for the three groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stimuli</th>
<th>Healthy controls (% correct)</th>
<th>Patients in early stages of schizophrenia (% correct)</th>
<th>Patients with chronic schizophrenia (% correct)</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>70.5 (21.2)</td>
<td>60.0 (22.0)***</td>
<td>41.5 (23.4)***</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happiness</td>
<td>100.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>90.0 (14.9)**</td>
<td>90.5 (5.8)***</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surprise</td>
<td>87.5 (19.1)</td>
<td>72.50 (17.6)**</td>
<td>68.0 (23.1)***</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadness</td>
<td>89.0 (12.5)</td>
<td>75.5 (17.8)***</td>
<td>72.5 (22.1)***</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disgust</td>
<td>65.0 (27.7)</td>
<td>51.5 (22.5)**</td>
<td>39.5 (24.7)***</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contempt</td>
<td>51.5 (26.9)</td>
<td>37.5 (25.8)*</td>
<td>32.50 (27.3)**</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anger</td>
<td>93.5 (12.2)</td>
<td>88.5 (16.1)**</td>
<td>78.5 (23.6)***</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shame</td>
<td>55.0 (24.7)</td>
<td>37.0 (22.7)***</td>
<td>26.5 (17.8)***</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear</td>
<td>91.5 (15.6)</td>
<td>76.5 (22.81)*</td>
<td>63.0 (28.2)***</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive emotions</td>
<td>86.1 (10.6)</td>
<td>74.5 (13.2)***</td>
<td>66.6 (15.0)***</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative emotions</td>
<td>74.3 (11.9)</td>
<td>61.1 (11.8)***</td>
<td>52.0 (14.0)***</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>79.1 (8.9)</td>
<td>65.6 (9.7)***</td>
<td>57.3 (13.1)***</td>
<td>51.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 for post hoc Tukey test comparisons between control and patient groups. 
*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 post hoc Tukey test comparisons between patient groups.

I. All ANOVA significant at *P < 0.001.
controls was $-21.60$ (95% CI $-26.93$ to $-16.38$).

Furthermore, the main effect of group remained highly significant after controlling for all these variables for recognition of positive ($F=14.16; \text{d.f.}=2,149; P<0.001$) and negative emotions ($F=77.13; \text{d.f.}=2,149; P<0.001$).

Pearson correlations between the mean score on the VERT and neuroleptic dose, PANSS score, duration of illness, and number of prior admissions were not significant in either of the two patient groups.

Finally, there was no significant effect of gender on VERT performance after covarying for the above variables ($F=1.76; \text{d.f.}=1,149; P=0.18$).

**DISCUSSION**

We aimed to examine the nature of facial and vocal emotion recognition abnormalities in patients with schizophrenia at different stages of illness. Four major findings emerged from the study.

**Cross-modal emotion recognition impairment**

First, all patients with schizophrenia were impaired in the recognition of emotional and non-emotional facial and vocal stimuli, supporting findings from previous studies demonstrating a generalised perceptual deficit in schizophrenia (Kerr & Neale, 1993; Mandal et al., 1998; Hooker & Park, 2002). The deficit in emotion recognition in all patients with schizophrenia remained significant even after covarying for the impairment in non-emotional face and voice recognition, supporting previous data indicating a specific deficit in emotion recognition.

**Correlation between facial and vocal emotion recognition tasks**

After covarying for the above variables, correlational analyses were performed to examine any association between performance on facial and vocal emotion recognition tests. A significant but small positive correlation between performance on the FERT and VERT was found in each group (patients with early schizophrenia: Pearson's $r=0.27; P=0.05$; patients with chronic schizophrenia: Pearson's $r=0.39; P=0.005$; healthy controls: Pearson's $r=0.46; P=0.001$). Further correlation matrices were constructed to examine the strength of association between processing of individual emotion categories in the two modalities (i.e. facial and vocal emotion recognition). In the healthy control group the only significant cross-modal correlation was in the recognition of anger in faces and voices ($r=0.49; P<0.01$). In both patient groups there were no significant correlations between performance on individual emotion recognition in facial and vocal recognition tests.

**Table 3** Prosody recognition accuracy for the three groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stimuli</th>
<th>Healthy controls (% correct)</th>
<th>Patients in early stages of schizophrenia (% correct)</th>
<th>Patients with chronic schizophrenia (% correct)</th>
<th>$F$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Happiness</td>
<td>92.9 (11.3)</td>
<td>70.4 (21.8)**</td>
<td>59.6 (24.0)**</td>
<td>36.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadness</td>
<td>85.0 (16.7)</td>
<td>48.4 (28.5)**</td>
<td>36.0 (16.1)**</td>
<td>56.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear</td>
<td>84.2 (17.9)</td>
<td>50.0 (31.1)**</td>
<td>44.0 (31.2)**</td>
<td>29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anger</td>
<td>97.1 (7.1)</td>
<td>71.2 (21.0)**</td>
<td>54.8 (30.4)**</td>
<td>48.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surprise</td>
<td>84.6 (11.8)</td>
<td>70.4 (21.4)**</td>
<td>58.8 (28.4)**</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disgust</td>
<td>72.5 (24.3)</td>
<td>31.6 (23.9)**</td>
<td>17.9 (22.3)**</td>
<td>68.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>94.2 (11.9)</td>
<td>79.2 (23.8)**</td>
<td>66.8 (26.3)**</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive expressions</td>
<td>88.7 (8.1)</td>
<td>70.4 (17.1)**</td>
<td>59.2 (22.9)**</td>
<td>36.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative expressions</td>
<td>84.7 (11.8)</td>
<td>50.3 (16.2)**</td>
<td>38.1 (17.2)**</td>
<td>122.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>86.9 (9.2)</td>
<td>58.6 (14.7)**</td>
<td>46.1 (17.0)**</td>
<td>108.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*$P<0.05$, **$P<0.01$, ***$P<0.001$ for post hoc Tukey test comparisons between healthy and patient groups.

1. All ANOVA significant at $P<0.001$. 

**Fig. 1.** Facial and vocal emotion recognition (% correct) in healthy controls ( ), patients in early stages of schizophrenia ( ) and patients in late stages of illness ( ).
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Impairments in positive and negative emotion recognition

Second, patients with schizophrenia demonstrated marked impairments overall in the recognition of negative and positive emotions, consistent with some (Schneider et al, 1995) but not all previous findings (Mandal et al, 1998; Borod, 2000). We also examined the ability of patients with schizophrenia to identify individual emotions. Overall, all patients were significantly less accurate than healthy volunteers in recognising all emotional stimuli, but were relatively less impaired in the identification of happiness, particularly from faces. Others have also demonstrated greater accuracy in the recognition of happiness than negative emotions by patients with schizophrenia (Dougherty et al, 1974), and a relatively greater impairment in the identification of negative compared with positive emotional stimuli in these patients in facial (Mandal et al, 1998) and non-visual modalities (Borod, 2000; Edwards et al, 2001).

Greater impairment in later stages of illness

Third, our findings also demonstrated that patients in later stages of schizophrenia were significantly impaired compared with those in the early stages of illness and healthy controls (Silver et al, 2002) in recognising all examined expressions. This suggests a progressive impairment in emotion identification in schizophrenia, which may have resulted from treatment with typical antipsychotics, institutionalisation or the illness itself. We concede, however, that only a prospective design would allow us to determine whether emotion perception deficits were truly progressive in schizophrenia. An alternative explanation is that individuals in later stages of illness represented a distinct subgroup of patients, and would support the presence of heterogeneity within schizophrenia rather than illness progression. These two possibilities are not mutually exclusive, however.

No correlation between symptom severity and emotion recognition deficits

Finally, we did not demonstrate significant correlations between performance on any of the emotion recognition tasks and the examined clinical variables. This might be explained by some recent models that advocate progressive, essentially neurodegenerative processes involving excitotoxicity in addition to neurodevelopmental processes (Garver et al, 1999). Here, illness duration rather than symptom ratings would then be predicted to be correlated with task performance deficits. We did not, however, demonstrate such a correlation either, rather we merely demonstrated that individuals in the later stages of illness were more impaired than those in earlier stages.

Deficits in emotion recognition and social dysfunction

We have provided evidence for the presence of pervasive deficits in emotion recognition in more than one sensory modality in schizophrenia, which appears to increase with illness chronicity. These deficits are
not specifically related to positive or negative symptoms, medication or current mood state. Our results are some of the first to suggest that in schizophrenia there might be progressive emotion processing deficits, which appear to be unrelated to specific symptom profiles but may be responsible for the social dysfunction observed in people with this disorder.
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