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Abstract

Antibiotic prescribing practices across the Veterans’ Health Administration (VA) experienced significant shifts during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. From 2015 to 2019, antibiotic use between January and May decreased from 638 to 602 days of therapy (DOT) per 1,000 days present (DP), while the corresponding months in 2020 saw antibiotic utilization rise to 628 DOT per 1,000 DP.

Methods

Data on antibacterial use from 2015 through 2020 were extracted through May 2020 with corresponding periods in prior years. Days of therapy (DOT) per 1,000 days present (DP) were calculated and stratified by CDC-defined standardized antimicrobial administration ratio (SAAR) antibiotic classes.

Because of changes in healthcare utilization that have accompanied the COVID-19 pandemic, we also examined changes in the total number of days of antibiotic therapy to provide insight into whether more days of therapy were being administered or whether the changes in therapy reflected the same amount of antibiotic use distributed across a smaller hospital population. To evaluate the impact of initial COVID-19 case burden, we conducted a subset analysis excluding facilities with the highest initial COVID-19 case loads (New England, New York, New Jersey, Michigan, Chicago, and New Orleans). This study was approved by the VA Central Institutional Review Board.

Results

Data were available for 84 inpatient VA facilities. From 2015 through 2019, antibiotic use during January–May of each year decreased from 638 to 602 DOT per 1,000 DP (mean decrease, 9.1 DOT per 1,000 DP per year) (Table 1A). Consistent year-to-year decreases were observed for broad-spectrum agents used for hospital-onset infections (−2.4 DOT per 1,000 DP), broad-spectrum agents used for community-onset infections (−5.2 DOT per 1,000 DP), and agents used for resistant gram-positive infections (−5.1 DOT per 1,000 DP). Consistent increases occurred in the use of narrow-spectrum β-lactam agents (2.9 DOT per 1,000 DP). The same reversal in the trends (up and down) in antibiotic use were observed when facilities in regions with the highest initial rates of COVID-19 were excluded (Table 1).

In contrast, antibiotic use in the same period in 2020 increased from 602 to 628 DOT per 1,000 DP. Increases were most prominent for agents not within one of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)-defined SAAR classes (12.2 DOT per 1,000 DP), broad-spectrum agents used for community-onset infections (9.1 DOT per 1,000 DP), and broad-spectrum agents used for hospital-onset infections (7.5 DOT per 1,000 DP). Use of narrow-spectrum β-lactam agents decreased (−4.5 DOT per 1,000 DP). Lesser changes were
observed in the use of antibacterial agents predominantly used for resistant gram-positive infections (1.6 DOT per 1,000 DP). The greatest increases in the use of individual antibiotics (DOT per 1,000 DP) were for ceftriaxone (14.7 DOT per 1,000 DP), cefepime (10.5 DOT per 1,000 DP), doxycycline (6.2 DOT per 1,000 DP), and azithromycin (6.2 DOT per 1,000 DP).

For the period of January–May in 2015 through 2019, the number of acute–care DP at all VA facilities was 1,245,309 ± 31,178 (mean ± SD), and the total antibiotic DOT were 770,799 ± 35,288 (Table 2). In 2020, DP decreased to 1,024,473, representing a decrease of 174,822 DP from 2019 (14.5% decrease), and total antibiotic DOT decreased to 643,455, a decrease of 78,306 from 2019 (10.8% decrease). Compared with 2019, DP and DOT decreased starting in March reaching a nadir of −32% and −23% for April 2020.

### Discussion

We have demonstrated a substantial increase in the density of antimicrobial utilization during the period of January–May of 2020 at 84 VA medical facilities, which largely negated the downward trend of antibiotic use achieved through antimicrobial stewardship efforts over the prior 5 years. The largest increase in the rate of use was for antibiotics that are typically used for empiric therapy for community-acquired pneumonia (CAP), but increases were also seen in the use of broad-spectrum antibiotics that are typically used to treat hospital-acquired pathogens.

In addition to considering the density of antibiotic use (DOT per 1,000 DP), we also evaluated total antibiotic use to partially account for decreases in healthcare utilization both for elective procedures and emergency conditions during the COVID-19 pandemic. The absolute number of antibiotic days decreased, albeit at a lesser rate than did the number of hospital days. However, although overall institutional use of antibiotics has decreased, the increased density of antibiotic use as measured by the use per patient day may still adversely impact patient-level outcomes and institutional antimicrobial resistance patterns.

Our findings confirm and extend prior smaller-scale studies. A single-center study at an academic hospital in Virginia reported significantly increased use of ceftriaxone and azithromycin but not of other broad-spectrum antibiotics coincident with the onset of the pandemic. Another single-center study from Spain reported increased use of amoxicillin-clavulanate during the early phase of the pandemic, followed by later increased utilization of broad-spectrum antibiotics.

There are several potential explanations for the observed increases in antimicrobial use including concerns of bacterial coinfection in suspected or newly diagnosed COVID-19 patients, increased risk of nosocomial infection due to administration of immunomodulatory therapy, reluctance to obtain diagnostic respiratory specimens, diversion of clinical resources from stewardship activities during a time of crisis, and an increased proportion of hospitalizations due to respiratory infections, which typically prompt antibiotic therapy. Our finding that increases in antibiotic use were generalized across the VA and not restricted to facilities in areas with the highest case burden of COVID-19 suggests that issues not directly related to the care of COVID-19 patients contribute to the increased density of antibiotic use.

The World Health Organization recommends prompt administration of empiric antimicrobials in persons with suspected or
confirmed severe COVID-19.\(^6\) In view of the similarities in the presentation of bacterial CAP and severe COVID-19, the co-chairs of the 2019 American Thoracic Society and Infectious Diseases Society of America CAP guidelines recommend empiric antibiotics for patients with CAP without confirmed COVID-19 while indicating that antibiotics are not required in all patients with confirmed COVID-19–related pneumonia.\(^7\)

However, the value of routine antibiotic therapy for patients with confirmed COVID-19 is questionable. While including publications with differing definitions of infection, and inconsistent timing and settings of sample collection, it is notable that recent meta-analyses found that the estimated rates of identified initial co-infection in COVID-19 cases average 3.5% while reports of secondary bacterial infection emerging during the course of hospitalization ranged from 0% to 45.5% with pooled rates of 7%–8%\(^8\)–\(^10\).

This study has several limitations. First, although this was a multicenter study, the participating institutions were all VA facilities, which may limit generalizability. Additionally, we did not analyze patient-level data; thus, appropriateness, indication, or duration of antibiotics were not evaluated. We did not assess the degree to which each facility was affected by the pandemic, changes in the composition of the hospitalized patient populations, or other facility characteristics that may influence antimicrobial use. Future studies looking specifically at appropriateness of antibiotics administered to patients with COVID-19 should examine these issues.
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**Table 2. Days Present and Antibiotic Days of Therapy (DOT)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Days Present</th>
<th>Narrow β-lactams</th>
<th>Broad Community</th>
<th>Broad Hospital</th>
<th>Anti-MDRO</th>
<th>Anti-MRSA</th>
<th>All Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1,291</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1,265</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1,242</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1,230</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1,199</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>722</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Change per year | −23 | 1.5 | −9.5 | −6.5 | −0.1 | −9.0 | −2.0 | −26 |
| Change per year | 2020 | 1024 | 91 | 132 | 156 | 1.8 | 105 | 171 | 643 |
| Change per year | 2020 vs 2019 | −174 | −21 | −12 | −18 | −0.2 | −16 | −12 | −78 |

Note. MDRO, multidrug-resistant organism; MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus.*

*Days are in thousands.*