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ABSTRACT: Background: We tested the effect of thiopental on the excitability of the corticospinal-motoneuron axis in normal human subjects. Methods: Magnetic stimulation was used to excite the neurons in the motor cortex which give rise to the fast conducting corticospinal pathway. The characteristics of the composite excitatory post-synaptic potentials (EPSPs) produced in individual spinal motoneurons by cortical stimulation were derived from changes in the firing probability of voluntarily activated motor units of the first dorsal interosseous muscle. Results: In 5 normal subjects, we found that thiopental, in incremental doses sufficient to sustain drowsiness (total dose 75 to 175 mg), significantly reduced the amplitude of these composite EPSPs. Conclusions: Thiopental reduced the facilitation of motoneurons from the cortex most likely by depressing cortical neurons.


The principal action of the anesthetic agent thiopental is considered to be enhancement of gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) mediated inhibition. It is not known which populations of neurons in the central nervous system (CNS) are affected. The present study was undertaken to determine whether intravenous thiopental could be shown to alter the excitability of the corticospinal-motoneuron axis in humans.

Magnetic stimulation applied over the human motor cortex excites corticospinal neurons and causes a short latency facilitation of contralateral spinal motoneurons. The corticospinal neurons are excited both directly and indirectly (that is transsynaptically). The descending volleys in corticospinal axons facilitate spinal motoneurons. The short latency and brief duration of the facilitation is compatible with the presence of monosynaptic projections to motoneurons. If the projections of corticospinal neurons to spinal motoneurons are monosynaptic, then the magnitude of the composite excitatory postsynaptic potential (EPSP) produced in a single spinal motoneuron by a given magnetic stimulus should reflect moment to moment changes in the excitability of the pool of cortical neurons activated by the magnetic stimulus. In man the characteristics of composite EPSPs in single spinal motoneurons can be derived from the changes in the firing probability of single voluntarily activated motor units, as measured in post-stimulus time histograms (PSTH). Thus changes in the firing probability of single motor units in response to magnetic stimulation over the cortex can provide an estimate of the excitability of the corticospinal-motoneuron axis. We used this method to investigate the action of thiopental.

METHODS

Subjects

Six healthy subjects who had no allergy to thiopental volunteered for the study after providing informed consent. The study received approval from the local ethics committee.

Magnetic stimulation

A brief, rapidly changing magnetic field was generated with a Cadwell MES-10 magnetic stimulator. The stimulating coil was placed flat over the scalp, centered over C3 (a point 20% of the distance from the vertex on a line drawn between the vertex and the tragus of the right ear). The stimulus intensity was adjusted...
so that it was well below the intensity which resulted in a visible contraction of the weakly contracted first dorsal interosseous muscle of the left hand, but sufficient to cause short latency facilitation of voluntarily activated first dorsal interosseous muscle on a trial run. Stimuli were delivered to the scalp at random intervals between 3 and 5 seconds, with 100 stimuli in each run, so each run took approximately 7 minutes. The stimulus site and intensity remained constant throughout the entire recording session.

**Motor unit recordings**

A disposable, concentric needle electrode was inserted into the first dorsal interosseous muscle of the left hand, and positioned close to a motor unit activated by gentle voluntary contraction. The action potentials of this single motor unit were extracted with a window discriminator and displayed on a storage oscilloscope using a delay line. The pulse from the window discriminator was led to a loudspeaker and a tachometer. The subject was provided with visual and auditory feedback of the unit’s discharges and its instantaneous firing rate and instructed to keep the single unit discharging at a constant rate.

The same motor unit was used for the entire study. With each run of 100 magnetic stimuli, a PSTH of the unit’s discharge times was generated by a laboratory computer. A peak of increased firing probability in the PSTH was recognized where the bin contents of one or more adjacent bins exceeded the mean plus 2 standard deviations of the 200 bin pre-stimulus portion of the record. The area of the peak (number of additional counts above the prestimulus mean) was recorded and expressed as extra counts per 1,000 stimuli. For further details of the method see Mao et al. and Palmer and Ashby.

**Protocol**

**Control run**

The subject kept the unit discharging regularly while 100 magnetic stimuli were delivered. The instantaneous firing rate was observed on the tachometer.

**Thiopental run(s)**

Intravenous thiopental was administered by an anaesthetist. An initial dose of 50 mg was followed by increments of 25 mg until the subject felt slightly drowsy and nystagmus was observed on lateral gaze. Then a further 100 magnetic stimuli were delivered while the subject maintained the firing of the motor unit as close to the previous rate as possible. During this run, further “top-up” doses of thiopental (in increments of 25 mg) were given so that the subject was maintained in a slightly drowsy state. The total dose given ranged from 75-175 mg (1.5-2.5 mg/kg). Two subjects continued to feel the effects of the thiopental more than 10 minutes after the last dose was given. This provided an opportunity for a further run of 100 magnetic stimuli, and the mean result of the two experimental runs was used in the statistical analysis.

**Recovery run(s)**

When the subject reported that they felt normal again, and no nystagmus was observed, another recording during 100 magnetic stimuli was completed. One subject reported feeling even more alert after his run was completed, and another recovery run was performed. The mean of the two recovery runs was used.

**Statistical Analysis**

The means of the areas and durations of the PSTH peaks, the duration of the subsequent period of decreased firing probability and the motor unit firing rates (calculated from the number of discharges per unit time) were compared between the control and recovery runs (pooled) and the run with thiopental with the Student’s t test of differences. Probabilities < 0.05 were taken as significant.

**RESULTS**

Successful studies were completed in 5 subjects; 3 males, 2 females, between 29 and 53 years of age (mean 39 yrs). In each of these studies all observations were made on a single motor unit. In a sixth subject a single unit could not be recorded in isolation for the required time and the study was discarded. An example of the changes in firing probability in a single motor unit produced by magnetic stimulation over the motor cortex is shown in Figure 1. In first run (control), the stimulus resulted in a period of increased firing probability which is represented in the PSTH by a cluster of extra counts occurring at a latency of 26 ms. The area of the peak was 248 extra counts. During the administration of intravenous thiopental (a total dose 2.5 mg/kg was used), the peak area was 94 extra counts. During the recovery run, the peak area was 172 extra counts.

In the group of 5 subjects, intravenous thiopental resulted in a decrease in the area of the PSTH peaks produced by magnetic stimulation (mean peak areas, standard errors in brackets: control 337 (63), thiopental 168 (28), recovery 297 (48); see Figure

![Figure 1: Post stimulus time histograms of the same single motor unit in response to 100 magnetic stimuli over the contralateral motor cortex given 2 ms before time zero. On the control run (top trace) the stimulus over the cortex resulted in a peak of increased firing probability with a latency of 26 ms and an area of 248 extra counts. Following intravenous thiopental, total dose 175 mg (middle trace) the peak area is 94 extra counts. After recovery (bottom trace) the peak area is 172 extra counts.](https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms.https://doi.org/10.1017/S0317167100039871)
There was no significant difference between the control and recovery runs so these data were pooled. The mean of the peak areas in the thiopental runs (168 (28)) was significantly less than the mean of the peak areas in the pooled control and recovery runs (317 (48)), p < 0.025. There was no significant change in the firing rate of the motor unit between runs (mean control 6.5 (0.37) Hz, thiopental 6.4 (0.42) Hz, recovery 5.8 (0.21) Hz). The duration of the peak was not significantly shorter (mean control 3.4 (0.68) ms, thiopental 2.2 (0.25) ms, recovery 2.1 (0.24) ms) and there was no indication that the period of decreased firing probability that follows the peak was proportionally greater after thiopental.

**DISCUSSION**

Transcranial stimulation of the cortex provides a new way of examining the action of anesthetic agents on the human brain. There have been a number of such studies (Table) but the information that can be obtained from them depends considerably on the experimental method (Figure 3).

Transcranial stimulation of the cortex in man excites corticospinal neurons both directly and indirectly (transsynaptically). The direct activation of corticospinal neurons, which gives rise to direct or "D" waves in corticospinal axons, probably occurs at the initial segment with magnetic stimulation, while with strong electrical stimulation corticospinal axons appear to be excited deep in the brain.2,10 Both forms of stimulation give rise to one or more "I" (indirect) waves in corticospinal axons from transsynaptic activation of corticospinal neurons. These D and I volleys, in turn, generate a composite EPSP in spinal motoneurons which, if sufficiently large, will bring motoneurons to threshold and result in activation of the muscle which can be recorded as a "motor evoked potential".

The most direct way to use transcranial stimulation to detect changes in the excitability of cortical neurons is to record the D and I waves in corticospinal axons with spinal epidural electrodes. Such studies are limited to circumstances in which it is ethical to place electrodes in the epidural space, for example during spinal surgery. The I waves from magnetic or electrical stimulation and the D waves from magnetic stimulation would be expected to reflect the excitability of cortical neurons, whereas the D waves to strong electrical stimulation would only reflect changes in the excitability of axons. Such recordings have not been made with thiopental anesthesia but the volatile anesthetic agents isoflurane and enflurane increase the threshold and reduce the amplitude and number of I waves in response to electrical10-12 and to magnetic transcranial stimulation. Isoflurane can also depress D waves resulting from magnetic stimulation4 and from weak electrical stimulation.12 The findings imply that

![Figure 3: Schematic of the corticospinal system showing the postulated sites of action of transcranial stimulation and sites where evoked activity can be recorded. Magnetic stimulation and weak electrical stimulation excite corticospinal neurons both directly, probably at the initial segment, and indirectly; that is, through axons synapsing onto corticospinal neurons. With strong electrical stimulation the direct activation of corticospinal neurons takes place at their axons deep in the brain. Direct activation of corticospinal neurons gives rise to a "D" volley and indirect activation to one or more "I" volleys in corticospinal axons. D and I waves can be recorded directly with spinal epidural electrodes. The composite excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSP) generated by the D and I volleys in single spinal motoneurons can be derived from post stimulus time histograms (PSTH) of single motor units. If the composite EPSP is large enough to bring a number of motoneurons to threshold a muscle compound action potential ("motor evoked potential") can be recorded with surface electrodes over the activated muscle.](https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms)
these volatile agents depress the excitability of cortical neurons. On the other hand, the addition of halothane or propofol to nitrous oxide anaesthesia did not further depress the amplitude of the first (presumably D) wave produced by supramaximal electrical stimulation over the scalp, implying that halothane and propofol do not depress the excitability of corticospinal axons.

In the present study we used a technique which is based on the assumption that the composite EPSP evoked in single spinal motoneurons by a magnetic stimulus over the motor cortex could provide an estimate of the excitability of corticospinal-motoneuron axis. This method is not as invasive as recording spinal evoked potentials but it does require a cooperative subject and not all of our studies were successful. The firing rate of the spinal motoneuron must be kept constant.14

We found that composite EPSPs evoked in single spinal motoneurons by magnetic stimulation over the cortex were depressed by thiopental. The most likely explanation for this finding is that thiopental depresses cortical neurons or reduces transmitter release at their terminals. Thiopental is believed to facilitate the action of the inhibitory neurotransmitter GABA probably at multiple sites but certainly including the hippocampal cortex.1

Thiopental probably has effects throughout the central nervous system. Could the present findings be explained by an action at the level of the spine? It is possible that the short latency facilitation of spinal motoneurons produced by transcranial stimulation is transmitted, in part, through spinal interneurons which are depressed by thiopental. However, the peaks of increased firing probability produced by magnetic stimulation over the cortex are short enough to be monosynaptic (see discussion in reference 5) and, in the present study, there was no significant reduction in the duration of the peaks of increased firing probability in the runs with thiopental to suggest the loss of an oligosynaptic component. It could be argued that thiopental depresses motoneurons and that the additional corticospinal activity required to maintain their firing rate occluded the volley produced by transcranial stimulation. This seems unlikely as the experiments were carried out with a very weak contraction (a few percent of maximum) implying that, if the cortex is involved in generating a maximum contraction there must be plenty of cortical “reserve”. In addition, corticospinal neurons have short refractory periods rendering occlusion unlikely. Finally, it could be argued that, if thiopental increased the conductance of the motoneuron membrane the EPSP in response to a given descending volley would be reduced. Changes in conductance, however, have little effect on large, monosynaptic EPSPs even in motoneurons at rest and, during rhythmic firing, shunting effects are not seen and only the driving current seems to be important.16 Thus this explanation seems unlikely. All of these spinal mechanisms could be excluded by examining the effects of thiopental on the D and I waves recorded with epidural electrodes, by repeating the present experiments with strong anodal electrical stimulation or by recording the changes in firing probability of the same single motoneuron to a segmental input.14

A third method of using transcranial stimulation to investigate anesthetic agents is to record the motor potential evoked by

---

**Table: Classification of anesthetic agents and their effects on muscle evoked potentials and on waves recorded from the epidural space following transcranial magnetic or electrical stimulation in humans. The references are numbered in column 7.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Mechanism of action</th>
<th>Anesthetic agent</th>
<th>Stimulation mode</th>
<th>Recording site</th>
<th>Amplitude change*</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barbiturate</td>
<td>GABA receptor complex</td>
<td>Thiopental</td>
<td>electrical</td>
<td>muscle</td>
<td>moderate depression</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkylphenol</td>
<td>GABA receptor complex</td>
<td>Propofol</td>
<td>magnetic/electrical</td>
<td>muscle</td>
<td>severe depression</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imidazole carboxylate</td>
<td>GABA receptor complex</td>
<td>Etomidate</td>
<td>magnetic</td>
<td>muscle</td>
<td>moderate depression</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imidazole carboxylate</td>
<td>GABA receptor complex</td>
<td>Midazolam</td>
<td>magnetic/electrical</td>
<td>muscle</td>
<td>severe depression</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo diazepine</td>
<td>GABA receptor complex</td>
<td>Flunitrazepam</td>
<td>electrical</td>
<td>muscle</td>
<td>mild depression</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narcotic</td>
<td>mu receptor agonist</td>
<td>Fentanyl</td>
<td>magnetic</td>
<td>muscle</td>
<td>moderate depression</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anesthetic gas</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>Nitrous oxide (66%)</td>
<td>electrical</td>
<td>muscle</td>
<td>severe depression</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halogenated vapour</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>Halothane (0.3%)</td>
<td>electrical</td>
<td>epidural</td>
<td>no change in D wave</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Isoflurane (0.5 to 2%)</td>
<td>electrical</td>
<td>epidural</td>
<td>moderate/severe depression; I wave</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.4%)</td>
<td>electrical</td>
<td>muscle</td>
<td>severe depression</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.5%)</td>
<td>magnetic</td>
<td>epidural</td>
<td>mild depression; D wave</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Isoflurane/enflurane (0.5%)</td>
<td>electrical</td>
<td>epidural</td>
<td>severe depression; I wave</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Changes from baseline amplitude:
a) mild depression: 0-33% decrease from baseline
b) moderate depression: 34-66% decrease from baseline
c) severe depression: 67-100% decrease from baseline
transcranial stimulation (Table). This potential depends not only on the excitability of cortical neurons but on the excitability of spinal motoneurons, and the function of the endplate and muscle fibers, so such studies cannot distinguish between cerebral, spinal and peripheral sites of actions. Not surprisingly, motor evoked potentials are reduced by thiopental and it is of interest that Hufnagel et al. found that the threshold for evoking a motor evoked response was higher in patients who were taking regular oral doses of anticonvulsants (24/53 of whom were taking barbiturates). The motor evoked potential is reduced in rats by isoflurane and nitrous oxide and in human subjects by a number of agents (see Table).

We conclude that the present method (particularly with the addition of a segmental input to monitor spinal excitability) may be used to elucidate the actions of drugs on the corticospinal-motoneuronal axis in a non-invasive manner.
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