COMMUTING WELL-BOUNDED OPERATORS ON HILBERT SPACES

by T. A. GILLESPIE[†] (Received 5th February 1975)

1. Introduction

A bounded linear operator T on a complex reflexive Banach space is said to be *well-bounded* if it is possible to choose a compact interval J = [a, b]and a positive constant M such that

$$\| p(T) \| \le M\{ \| p \|_{J} + \operatorname{var} p \}$$
(1)

for every complex polynomial p, where $||p||_J$ denotes sup $\{|p(t)|: t \in J\}$. Such operators were introduced and first studied by Smart (4). They are of interest principally because they admit (and in fact are characterised by) an integral representation similar to, but in general weaker than, the integral representation of a self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space. (See (2) and (4) for details.) It is easily seen, by verifying (1) directly, that T is well-bounded if it is a scalar-type spectral operator with real spectrum.

It is known that the sum and product of two commuting scalar-type spectral operators on a Hilbert space are themselves scalar-type spectral operators. (See the proof of Corollary XV.6.5 on p. 1948 of (1).) The purpose of this note is to show that, in contrast, neither the sum nor the product of two commuting well-bounded operators on a Hilbert space is necessarily well-bounded. On the other hand, it is shown in a positive direction that, if one of the two well-bounded operators is in fact a scalar-type spectral operator, then their sum and product are well-bounded.

2. Notation

Given a complex Hilbert space H, B(H) denotes the set of all bounded linear operators on H, I is the identity operator on H, and $\sigma(T)$ is the spectrum of an element T of B(H). The strong operator topology on B(H) is denoted by s and, given a sequence $\{T_n\}$ in B(H), we write

$$T = s - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$$

to mean that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$ is s-convergent in B(H), with sum T. R is the

† This research was undertaken at Indiana University with the support of the Science Research Council.

T. A. GILLESPIE

set of real numbers and \mathcal{P} is the set of all polynomials in a single variable with complex coefficients.

3. An example

The example of two commuting well-bounded operators S and T on a Hilbert space such that neither S+T nor ST is well-bounded is based on Example 14.5 on pp. 429-431 of (3). In that example, sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in the space l_2 are constructed having the following properties:

(a) $\{x_n\}$ is a (conditional) basis of l_2 ;

(b)
$$(x_n, y_m) = \delta_{nm}$$
 for $n, m = 1, 2, ...;$

(c)
$$(e_{2n-1}, y_{2m-1}) = \delta_{nm}$$
 for $n, m = 1, 2, ...;$
(d) $\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} x_{2j-1} \right\| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ (see (3), p. 431, line 3);

where e_1, e_2, \ldots is the usual orthonormal basis of l_2 and δ_{nm} is the Kronecker delta.

It follows from (b) that the coefficient functional associated with the element x_m of the basis $\{x_n\}$ is given by

$$x \to (x, y_m) \quad (x \in l_2).$$

Defining $P_n \in B(l_2)$ by

$$P_n x = (x, y_n) x_n \quad (x \in l_2)$$

for n = 1, 2, ..., it is thus seen that each P_n is a projection, $P_n P_m = 0$ $(n \neq m)$, and

$$I = s - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n.$$
 (2)

Also, if $z_n = n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(e_1 + e_3 + \dots + e_{2n-1})$, then z_n is a unit vector and so, using (c), $\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n P_{2j-1} \right\| \ge \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n P_{2j-1} z_n \right\| = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n n^{-\frac{1}{2}} x_{2j-1} \right\|.$

 $\| j = 1^{-2j-1} \| - \| j = 1$ Hence, by (d),

$$\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{2j-1}\right\| \to \infty \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$
(3)

By (2) and the principle of uniform boundedness, the partial sums of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n$ are bounded in norm, and so (3) implies that

$$\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{2j}\right| \to \infty \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$
(4)

Lemma 1. Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a monotonic bounded sequence in \mathbb{R} . Then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n P_n$ converges strongly in $B(l_2)$ and its sum is a well-bounded operator.

168

Proof. Setting $Q_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_j$, Abel's summation lemma gives $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j P_j = \lambda_{n+1} Q_n + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1}) Q_j$ (5)

for n = 1, 2, ... Since $\{\lambda_n\}$ is convergent and $Q_n \rightarrow I$ strongly, the sequence $\{\lambda_{n+1}Q_n\}$ converges strongly in $B(l_2)$. As remarked above, the sequence $\{Q_n\}$ is bounded in norm. This, together with the monotonicity and boundedness of $\{\lambda_n\}$, readily implies that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1})Q_j$ is norm convergent. Thus the right-hand side of (5) s-converges in $B(l_2)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and so $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n P_n$ is s-convergent.

Let
$$T = s - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n P_n$$
, let M be a positive constant such that
 $\| Q_n \| \leq M \quad (n = 1, 2, ...),$

let J be a compact interval containing $\{\lambda_n : n = 1, 2, ...\}$, and let $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Since $P_n^2 = P_n$ and $P_n P_m = 0$ $(n \neq m)$,

$$p\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\lambda_{j}P_{j}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}p(\lambda_{j})P_{j};$$

and, by applying Abel's lemma again, this gives

$$p\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\lambda_{j}P_{j}\right)=p(\lambda_{n+1})Q_{n}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(p(\lambda_{j})-p(\lambda_{j+1})\right)Q_{j}.$$

Therefore

$$\left\| p\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} P_{j}\right) \right\| \leq M \left\{ |p(\lambda_{n+1})| + \sum_{j=1}^{n} |p(\lambda_{j}) - p(\lambda_{j+1})| \right\}$$
$$\leq M \left\{ \|p\|_{J} + \operatorname{var}_{J} p \right\}$$

for n = 1, 2, ... Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

$$|| p(T) || \leq M \{ || p ||_J + \operatorname{var}_J p \},$$

showing that T is well-bounded and completing the proof.

Define sequences $\{\lambda_n\}$ and $\{\mu_n\}$ by putting

$$\lambda_n = (n+1)/n, \quad \mu_{2n-1} = \mu_{2n} = (2n-1)/2n$$

for n = 1, 2, ..., and let

$$S = s \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n P_n, \quad T = s \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n P_n.$$

T. A. GILLESPIE

Then S and T are well-bounded operators on l_2 by Lemma 1, and clearly ST = TS. We show that neither S+T nor ST is well-bounded.

Suppose that S+T is well-bounded. Then, by Theorem A (ii) of (4), there is a unique bounded projection Q mapping l_2 onto the eigenspace

$$\{x \in l_2 \colon (S+T)x = 2x\}$$

such that Q belongs to the bicommutant of S+T. In particular, $QP_n = P_nQ$ for all n. Noting that

$$(S+T)P_n = (\lambda_n + \mu_n)P_n$$
 (n = 1, 2, ...)

and that

170

$$\lambda_n + \mu_n = 2$$
 (*n* even), $\lambda_n + \mu_n > 2$ (*n* odd),

it is easily verified that

$$QP_n = P_n (n \text{ even}), \quad QP_n = 0 (n \text{ odd}).$$

Since $I = s - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n$, it follows that

$$Q = s - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} QP_n = s - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{2n}.$$

Therefore the partial sums of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{2n}$ are bounded in norm by the principle of uniform boundedness, contradicting (4). Hence S+T is not well-bounded.

Since $\lambda_n \mu_n = 1$ (*n* odd) and $\lambda_n \mu_n < 1$ (*n* even), a similar argument shows that ST is not well-bounded, for otherwise (3) would be contradicted.

4. A theorem

Throughout this section, let H be a complex Hilbert space. Given a wellbounded operator T on H, we say that T is implemented by (M, J) if M is a positive constant and J a compact interval such that (1) holds for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$.

Lemma 2. Let T be a well-bounded operator on H implemented by (M, J), let $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, and let K be a compact interval such that $\lambda + t$, $\lambda t \in K$ for all $t \in J$. Then $\lambda I + T$ and λT are well-bounded operators, and are implemented by (M, K).

Proof. This is a straightforward application of the definition of well-boundedness.

Lemma 3. Let T be a well-bounded operator on H implemented by (M, J), let S be a self-adjoint operator on H with finite spectrum such that ST = TS, and let K be a compact interval such that $\lambda + t$, $\lambda t \in K$ whenever $t \in J$ and $\lambda \in \sigma(S)$. Then S+T and ST are well-bounded operators, and are implemented by (M, K). **Proof.** Let $\sigma(S) = \{\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n\}$, where $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n$ are distinct. Then there are self-adjoint projections $P_1, ..., P_n$ in B(H) such that $I = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i$,

$$P_i P_j = 0 \ (i \neq j)$$

and $S = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j P_j$. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Since

et
$$p \in \mathcal{P}$$
. Since T commutes with S, $TP_j = P_jT$ for $j = 1, ..., n$, and hence

$$p(S+T) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} p(\lambda_j I + T) P_j.$$

Noting that $p(\lambda_j I + T)P_j H \subset P_j H$ for j = 1, ..., n, it is thus seen that

$$|| p(S+T)x ||^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} || p(\lambda_j I + T) P_j x ||^2$$

for $x \in H$. By Lemma 2,

$$|| p(\lambda_{j}I+T)P_{j}x ||^{2} \leq M^{2} \{|| p ||_{K} + \operatorname{var}_{K} p\}^{2} || P_{j}x ||^{2}$$

for $x \in H$ and j = 1, ..., n. Hence, for $x \in H$,

$$\| p(S+T)x \|^{2} \leq M^{2} \{ \| p \|_{K} + \operatorname{var}_{K} p \}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \| P_{j}x \|^{2}$$
$$= M^{2} \{ \| p \|_{K} + \operatorname{var}_{K} p \}^{2} \| x \|^{2},$$

giving the required result for S+T. The result for ST is proved similarly.

Theorem. Let T be a well-bounded operator on H, and let S be a scalar-type spectral operator on H with real spectrum such that ST = TS. Then S+T and ST are well-bounded.

Proof. Since S has real spectrum, Theorem XV.6.4 on p. 1947 of (1) implies that S is similar to a self-adjoint operator. Furthermore, it is easily seen that the property of well-boundedness is invariant under a similarity transformation. Consequently, we may assume without loss of generality that S is self-adjoint.

Let T be implemented by (M, J) and let K be a compact interval such that $\lambda + t$, $\lambda t \in K$ whenever $\lambda \in \sigma(S)$ and $t \in J$. By the spectral theorem,

$$S=\int \lambda F(d\lambda)$$

for some spectral measure $F(\cdot)$ on H with support $\sigma(S)$, and T commutes with $F(\cdot)$ since it commutes with S ((1), Corollary XV.3.7, p. 1935). By approximating the integrand in this integral by step functions, a standard argument gives the existence of a sequence $\{S_n\}$ of self-adjoint operators, each with finite spectrum contained in $\sigma(S)$ and each commuting with T, such that $|| S - S_n || \to 0$. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$. By Lemma 3,

$$|| p(S_n + T)|| \le M\{|| p ||_K + \operatorname{var}_K p\}$$

for all *n*. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$|| p(S+T)|| \leq M\{|| p ||_{K} + \operatorname{var}_{K} p\},\$$

which shows that S+T is well-bounded. The well-boundedness of ST is obtained similarly.

REFERENCES

(1) N. DUNFORD and J. T. SCHWARTZ, *Linear operators*, *Part III: Spectral operators* (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1971).

(2) J. R. RINGROSE, On well-bounded operators, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 1 (1959/60), 334-343.

(3) I. SINGER, Bases in Banach spaces I (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970).

(4) D. R. SMART, Conditionally convergent spectral expansions, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 1 (1959/60), 319-333.

UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH

172