A prevalence study of *Salmonella* spp., *Yersinia* spp., *Toxoplasma gondii* and porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus in UK pigs at slaughter
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**SUMMARY**

An abattoir-based study was undertaken between January and May 2013 to estimate the prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. and *Yersinia* spp. carriage and seroprevalence of antibodies to *Toxoplasma gondii* and porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSv) in UK pigs at slaughter. In total, 626 pigs were sampled at 14 abattoirs that together process 80% of the annual UK pig slaughter throughput. Sampling was weighted by abattoir throughput and sampling dates and pig carcasses were randomly selected. Rectal swabs, blood samples, carcass swabs and the whole caecum, tonsils, heart and tongue were collected. *Salmonella* spp. was isolated from 30·5% [95% confidence interval (CI) 26·5–34·6] of caecal content samples but only 9·6% (95% CI 7·3–11·9) of carcass swabs, which was significantly lower than in a UK survey in 2006–2007. *S. Typhimurium* and *S. Derby* were the most commonly isolated serovars, followed by *S. Enteritidis* and *S. Bovismorbificans*. The prevalence of *Yersinia enterocolitica* carriage in tonsils was 28·7% (95% CI 24·8–32·7) whereas carcass contamination was much lower at 1·8% (95% CI 0·7–2·8). The seroprevalence of antibodies to *Toxoplasma gondii* and PRRSv was 58·3% (95% CI 53·1–63·4), respectively. This study provides a comparison to previous abattoir-based prevalence surveys for *Salmonella* and *Yersinia*, and the first UK-wide seroprevalence estimates for antibodies to *Toxoplasma* and PRRSv in pigs at slaughter.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Foodborne diseases are estimated to cost the UK nearly £1·5 billion per year [1]. *Salmonella* spp. is the second most frequently reported cause of food poisoning in the UK [2], with an estimated 33 000 cases per year [3]. There has been a reduction in reported human cases over the last 5 years, which is in part due to the successful implementation of National Control Programmes (NCPs) in the poultry sector [2, 4]. The declining risk from poultry meat and eggs means that the proportion of human cases attributable to pork and pork products may rise. The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) recently estimated that over 50% of *Salmonella* infections in
humans across the European Union (EU) may be attributable to pigs and pork [5] while the contribution within the UK has been estimated at 11.7% [6].

Between 2006 and 2008, EU-wide Salmonella prevalence surveys were conducted to obtain baseline and comparable data for all Member States for both breeding and slaughter pigs (Commission Decisions 2008/55/EC and 2006/668/EC). The results for slaughter pigs indicated that UK levels of Salmonella were above the EU average, with Salmonella isolated from 21.7% of ileo-caecal lymph node samples and 15.2% of carcass swabs (compared to an average of 10.3% and 8.3% across the EU, respectively) [7, 8].

An EU-wide and UK National Control plan for Salmonella in pigs was expected to begin by 2015 [9] although the focus has since moved to increased abattoir-based controls [Commission Regulation (EU) No. 217/2014] with no targets set for primary production. In order to ascertain an updated prevalence estimate prior to the implementation of these controls, a prevalence study was launched in 2013. This provided an opportunity to simultaneously investigate the prevalence of other organisms of public and/or animal health relevance in UK pigs, including Toxoplasma gondii, Yersinia spp. and porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSv).

Yersinia was identified in an EFSA Opinion on pig meat inspection published in 2011 as one of the four major public health hazards [10]. Pigs are considered to be the primary reservoir of human pathogenic Y. enterocolitica strains, mainly because of the high prevalence of such strains in pigs and the genetic similarity between human and porcine isolates [11]. Estimates of Y. enterocolitica intestinal carriage in British pigs have been reported previously at 10.2% [12] and 26.1% [13]. Human cases of yersiniosis in the UK are rare compared to other European countries, most likely due to less frequent consumption of raw pork [14, 15]. Nevertheless, EU Member States are recommended to gather prevalence data for pigs at slaughter at regular intervals [16] and the survey provided an opportunity to fulfill this recommendation.

An estimated 350 000 people become infected with Toxoplasma each year in the UK, of which 10-20% are symptomatic [17]. Tissue cysts are highly infectious for both people and animals and undercooked meat has been identified as an important source of human infection. However, there is little data on meat contamination in the UK and further studies have been recommended to establish the seroprevalence for antibodies to Toxoplasma gondii in UK livestock [17]. A recent serological survey had been carried out in sheep [18] but there had never been a UK-wide serological survey in pigs.

PRRSv has a significant economic impact on pig farming with direct and indirect costs associated with production losses, increased mortality, treatment, and disruption to breeding programmes. The cost to a 500-sow herd in the first year of infection has been estimated at £52 000 and £94 000 in a growing herd and breeding herd, respectively [19]. Better understanding of the prevalence and epidemiology of PRRSv in the UK is needed in order to target control measures appropriately and assess the effectiveness of interventions. The survey provided an opportunity to carry out the first UK-wide study of PRRSv in pigs at slaughter.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study design**

The study design was consistent, where possible, with the technical specifications for the previous EU baseline survey for Salmonella in slaughter pigs (Commission Decision 2006/668/EC). The target sample size for that survey was 600 pigs, based on sample size calculations using an estimated prevalence of 50% with an accuracy of 4% and 95% confidence [7]. Additional sample size calculations showed that by sampling about 600 pigs in this survey, it would be possible to determine (with 80% power and 95% confidence) a 20% overall change (reduction or increase) in Salmonella prevalence. In anticipation of non-responses or inadequate samples, a further 10% of additional pigs were scheduled for sampling. The target population was all slaughtered pigs (finishers plus cull sows and boars) in the UK.

**Abattoir recruitment and sampling schedule**

Sampling was scheduled to take place between 14 January 2013 and 12 April 2013 at 14 high-throughput abattoirs that together processed 80% of all pigs slaughtered in the UK. Abattoirs were recruited by the British Pig Executive (BPEX) and the Food Standards Agency (FSA) organizations in Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

Sampling was weighted so that the number of carcasses to sample in each abattoir was proportional to their annual throughput; the total number of pigs scheduled for sampling by each abattoir thus ranged between 12 and 98. The total number of carcasses to
be sampled by each abattoir was then stratified by calendar month. The dates of sampling within each month and the carcass to be sampled on each of those days were then both randomly selected using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corp., USA). For most of the abattoirs, the maximum number of pigs sampled per day was one pig (three abattoirs) or two pigs (seven abattoirs). In the four remaining abattoirs, the maximum number sampled per day was three pigs (two abattoirs), five pigs (one abattoir) and six pigs (one abattoir); however, these were rare exceptions and these abattoirs most commonly sampled fewer pigs per day.

Sample and data collection

Samples were collected by trained staff of the FSA in Great Britain and the Veterinary Public Health Unit of the Department of Agriculture and Rural Development (DARD) in Northern Ireland. Eight samples were collected along the processing line from each selected carcass: one rectal swab immediately post-stun; one blood sample post-bleed; the whole caecum, tonsils, heart and tongue at the evisceration point; and two pre-chill carcass swabs (Polywipe™ pre-moistened blue sponge swabs, MWE, UK). The carcass swabs were taken from opposite sides of the carcass, using one single sponge for all four sites described in Annex A of Standard ISO 17604 (i.e. the hind limb, abdomen, mid-dorsal region and jowl); two sites were swabbed with one side of the sponge and two sites with the other side. Each sample was separately packaged and labelled with a unique identifier. Samples were chilled and transported by courier to the Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA) at Bury St Edmunds following Annex D of ISO 6579:2002. The caecum was opened aseptically and the contents were thoroughly mixed. The whole carcass swab and 10 g caecal contents were suspended in 90 ml buffered peptone water (BPW) (Merck 1·07228–500) for pre-enrichment while the rectal swab was suspended in 20 ml BPW (sample to BPW ratio 1:10). The pre-enrichment culture was incubated at 37 °C for 16–20 h then subcultured into selective modified semi-solid Rappaport–Vassiliadis (MSRV) (Mast DM440D) medium (with novobiocin at 0·001%) and incubated for up to 48 h at 41·5 °C. MSRV plates were examined at 24 h for growth typical of Salmonella, suspect growths were subcultured onto Brilliant Green agar (BGA) (Oxoid CM329) and xylose lysine desoxycholate media (XLD) (Becton Dickinson 278820). MSRV plates without spreading growth were re-incubated for a further 24 h and the process repeated. BGA plates and XLD media were incubated for 18–24 h at 37 °C and examined for the presence of Salmonella-like colonies. Presumptive Salmonella spp. colonies were confirmed using standard biochemical and serological procedures. There are no sensitivity and specificity estimates for this test. All strains isolated and confirmed as Salmonella spp. were sent to APHA Weybridge for serotyping according to the White–Kauffmann–Le Minor scheme [20]. Isolates of S. Typhimurium and S. 4,[5],12:i:- were phage-typed using the Public Health England, Colindale scheme [21].

Toxoplasma gondii

The blood sample (EDTA plasma), heart and tongue were sent by courier to the Toxoplasma Reference Unit, Public Health Wales for testing. The Sabin–Feldman Dye Test was used for serodiagnosis [22]. The heart and tongue tissue from seropositive pigs have been stored for possible future molecular investigations using nucleic acid amplification testing (NAAT). The Dye Test is the recognized international gold standard test for Toxoplasma serology but, in the

Eligibility criteria

All samples were taken from carcasses deemed fit for consumption by the competent authority. The following were excluded: carcasses that were totally condemned or for which the intestinal tract was condemned; pigs with a live weight of <50 kg; pigs that had undergone emergency slaughter; and pigs kept in the UK for <3 months prior to slaughter. Bacteriological examination was to be carried out within 24 h of the samples arriving at the laboratory; any samples examined more than 96 h after sample collection were excluded.

Microbiological methods

Salmonella spp.

Salmonella isolation was performed at APHA Bury St Edmunds following Annex D of ISO 6579:2002. The caecum was opened aseptically and the contents were thoroughly mixed. The whole carcass swab and 10 g caecal contents were suspended in 90 ml buffered peptone water (BPW) (Merck 1·07228–500) for pre-enrichment while the rectal swab was suspended in 20 ml BPW (sample to BPW ratio 1:10). The pre-enrichment culture was incubated at 37 °C for 16–20 h then subcultured into selective modified semi-solid Rappaport–Vassiliadis (MSRV) (Mast DM440D) medium (with novobiocin at 0·001%) and incubated for up to 48 h at 41·5 °C. MSRV plates were examined at 24 h for growth typical of Salmonella, suspect growths were subcultured onto Brilliant Green agar (BGA) (Oxoid CM329) and xylose lysine desoxycholate media (XLD) (Becton Dickinson 278820). MSRV plates without spreading growth were re-incubated for a further 24 h and the process repeated. BGA plates and XLD media were incubated for 18–24 h at 37 °C and examined for the presence of Salmonella-like colonies. Presumptive Salmonella spp. colonies were confirmed using standard biochemical and serological procedures. There are no sensitivity and specificity estimates for this test. All strains isolated and confirmed as Salmonella spp. were sent to APHA Weybridge for serotyping according to the White–Kauffmann–Le Minor scheme [20]. Isolates of S. Typhimurium and S. 4,[5],12:i:- were phage-typed using the Public Health England, Colindale scheme [21].
absence of any large cohort sensitivity/specificity evaluations, no absolute measurement of sensitivity and specificity was performed.

Yersinia spp.

Yersinia spp. was isolated by the cold enrichment method at APHA Bury St Edmunds. A tonsil scrape was added to one universal of phosphate buffer solution (PBS) and a carcass swab was rinsed in PBS to achieve a ~10% v/v suspension. Tonsils are the sample type recommended by EFSA for pathogenic strain recovery [16]. Samples were stored at 2–8 °C and subcultured weekly; 0·1 ml was subcultured onto Yersinia selective agar (Oxoid CIN MED PO0287A) for three successive weeks. Plates were incubated at 30 °C and examined at 24 h and 48 h. Identification of Y. enterocolitica was confirmed by colony morphology and API 20E biochemical strips. The sensitivity and specificity performance for detecting Yersinia have not been defined.

PPRSv

The blood (EDTA plasma) samples were tested for antibodies to PRRSv by ELISA at APHA Weybridge, using the IDEXX PRRS X3 enzyme-linked immunosassay (IDEXX Laboratories Inc., USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The sensitivity for the ELISA was estimated to be 98·8%, while the specificity was 99·9% (J.-P. Frossard, personal communication).

Analysis

Questionnaire data and laboratory test results were registered on a survey-specific Microsoft Access database then cleansed and analysed using Microsoft Excel and Stata v. 12 (StataCorp., USA). The prevalence was calculated using the survey command (svy) in Stata to account for clustering of pigs within farms. Variation by month and age group, and associations between the presence of organisms on the same carcass, were investigated using χ² tests, while taking into account within-farm clustering. Where multiple sample types were tested for the same organism, the agreement between sample types was examined using kappa tests.

RESULTS

Sample and data collection

A total of 654 pigs were scheduled for sampling in the allotted time period, although 5·2% were rearranged for sampling in late April/early May. Samples were collected from 648 pigs and for most (>96%) pigs all eight samples were taken. Two pigs originated from the Republic of Ireland and one pig had not been kept in the UK for 3 months prior to slaughter, so these were excluded. A further 19 pigs were excluded as testing was carried out >96 h after sample collection. Consequently, 626 pigs were included in the analysis.

These pigs originated from 439 farms, between 1 and 10 pigs from each. Most of the pigs were from farms in England (81·7%), followed by Northern Ireland (13·4%), Scotland (4·5%) and Wales (0·3%), which is comparable with the UK pig population [15]. More than half (53·5%) were from finishing-only farms, a fifth (20·3%) were from farrow-to-finish farms, and the production type for the remainder (26·2%) was reported as not known. The majority (89·5%) of pigs were aged <12 months with 9·0% reported as being aged >12 months. Most (77·6%) were kept in controlled housing or all-in/all-out systems. Only 3·5% were reported as born outdoors and kept in controlled housing since weaning and a further 0·5% were kept outdoors until slaughter, which is lower than expected although the housing information was not known for 18·4% of pigs.

Salmonella spp.

A total of 619 caeca, 625 rectal swabs and 624 carcass swabs were tested for Salmonella spp. The caecal contents were collected to measure Salmonella carriage and the carcass swab to measure carcass contamination; the rectal swab was taken to explore whether these could offer a simpler and more efficient method for monitoring carriage in the future. The prevalence of Salmonella in caecal samples was 30·5% [95% confidence interval (CI) 26·5–34·6], in rectal swabs 24·0% (95% CI 20·5–27·5) and in carcass swabs 9·6% (95% CI 7·3–11·9). Caecal carriage varied by abattoir from 11·3% to 46·8% and carcass contamination ranged from 0·0% to 21·0%. The prevalence ratio of carcass contamination:carriage by abattoir ranged from 0·0 (0·0:27·3%) to 1·17 (13·2:11·3%) with an average of 0·31 (9·4:30·5%). Two abattoirs had a higher prevalence on carcasses than in caeca.

Caecal carriage of Salmonella ranged from 25·9% in pigs aged <6 months to 40·7% in pigs aged >12 months (P = 0·21) while Salmonella presence in the carcass swabs ranged from 7·3% to 10·9% in the different age groups, respectively (P = 0·79). In contrast, Salmonella positivity in the rectal swabs was highest in
younger pigs (<6 months: 21·8%; 6–12 months: 26·0%; >12 months: 7·1%; \( P = 0·008 \)). Caecal carriage did not vary significantly between months (\( P = 0·43 \)).

Of the 618 pigs from which both caecum and rectal swab were taken, 11·7% tested positive in both. There was a fair agreement between the caecal content and rectal swab results (kappa = 0·21) (Table 1). However, the caecal sample identified significantly more positive pigs (\( P = 0·006 \)). The agreement between caecal contents and rectal swab results was also tested for each of S. Typhimurium, S. 4,5,12:i:-, S. 4,12:i:- and S. Derby in turn; the kappa values ranged between 0·16 (S. 4,12:i:-) and 0·37 (S. Derby). More than half of the positive carcass swabs were from pigs that were not carrying Salmonella (Table 1).

Twenty Salmonella serovars were identified, as well as two rough strains (Table 2). The most commonly isolated serovars were S. 4,[5],12:i:-, S. Typhimurium, S. Derby and S. Bovismorbificans. No pigs or carcasses tested positive for S. Enteritidis. Salmonella Choleræsuis was isolated from three rectal swabs and one carcass swab; two of the three pigs with this serovar originated from the same farm. One third (24/72) of the pigs that were positive for both caecal content and rectal swab tested positive for different serovars in the two samples (Table 3). Ten carcasses were contaminated with a serovar that was not found in the Salmonella-positive caecal sample from the same pig. Definitive phage-type DT193 was the most common phage type of S. 4,[5],12:i:- and S. Typhimurium, although roughly a third of the S. Typhimurium-positive rectal swabs were phage-type U288 (Table 4).

### Toxoplasma gondii

Plasma samples from 626 pigs were tested for antibodies to Toxoplasma. For six pigs, it was not possible to test all dilutions of blood required by the Dye Test. While no antibodies were detected at the dilutions tested, we cannot unequivocally exclude the possibility that lower levels of antibody might have been detected at lower dilutions so these pigs were excluded. Of the remaining 620 pigs, 46 were seropositive giving a seroprevalence of antibodies to Toxoplasma of 7·4% (95% CI 5·3–9·5). Seropositivity ranged from 5·5% in pigs aged <6 months to 11·1% in pigs aged >12 months (\( P = 0·42 \)).

### Yersinia spp.

Overall, 624 carcass swabs and 620 tonsil samples, from 624 pigs, were tested for Yersinia. The prevalence of carriage, determined by tonsil examination, was 32·9% (95% CI 28·8–37·0), while carcass contamination was 1·9% (95% CI 0·8–3·0). Carriage did not vary significantly by month (\( P = 0·22 \)).

Most of the positive pigs (87·3%) and carcasses (91·7%) carried Y. enterocolitica. A further 21 (10·3%) pigs were infected with Y. pseudotuberculosis; four had Y. kristensenii and two had Y. frederiksenii/intermedia. The prevalence of Y. enterocolitica carriage in tonsils was 28·7% (95% CI 24·8–32·7) while the prevalence on carcasses was 1·8% (95% CI 0·7–2·8). The prevalence of Y. pseudotuberculosis carriage in tonsils was 3·4% (95% CI 2·0–4·8).

Approximately one third of the pigs aged 6–12 months were carrying Yersinia, this was greater than the approximate quarter of pigs with Yersinia aged either <6 months or >12 months (\( P = 0·22 \)). All of the positive carcass swabs were from pigs aged 6–12 months.

### PRRSv

A total of 621 plasma samples were tested for antibodies to PRRSv, of which 362 were seropositive.
giving a seroprevalence of antibodies to PRRSv of 58.3% (95% CI 53.1 – 63.4). The lowest seroprevalence was found in pigs aged >12 months (32.1%, 95% CI 15.0 – 49.3) and the highest in pigs aged <6 months (68.5%, 95% CI 54.5 – 82.5) (P = 0.002).

Co-infections
Six hundred and six pigs were tested for all of the following: Salmonella caecal carriage, Yersinia tonsil carriage, Toxoplasma antibodies and PRRSv antibodies. A fifth (19.5%) of these pigs tested negative for all of these organisms while one pig had evidence of having been infected with all four. The combinations of infections are shown in Table 5. Based on these 606 pigs, there was no evidence that PRRSv-seropositive pigs were more likely to carry Salmonella (P = 0.15) or Yersinia (P = 0.69) or have antibodies to Toxoplasma (P = 0.51) nor any evidence that they were more likely to have another infection of any type (Salmonella carriage and/or Yersinia carriage and/or Toxoplasma antibodies) (P = 0.23). There was no significant association between the pairwise combinations of the other pathogens: 10.4% of pigs were Salmonella and Yersinia positive (P = 0.77); 2.5% positive for Salmonella and Toxoplasma (P = 0.70); 2.1% positive for Toxoplasma and Yersinia (P = 0.55). There was weak evidence that pigs carrying Salmonella were more likely to have at least one of the other three infections as well (80.7% vs. 71.9%, P = 0.03).

DISCUSSION
The abattoirs participating in this survey processed 80% of the UK pig slaughter throughput. This coverage combined with the randomized sampling approach provides robust and representative prevalence estimates. However, the sampled population included 9% of pigs aged >12 months which was greater than the proportion of culled sows against all pigs slaughtered in 2014 (243 000 culled sows among 10 227 000 total slaughtered; [23]. This difference in population...
may have biased the results. Analysis of the association of each test with age detected only one significant association with younger pigs more associated with being seropositive to PRRSv. This association may reflect the fact that active and primary infection with PRRSv is more likely to occur during the rearing period up to slaughter age, at around 6 months age, than later and antibody levels may then fall with age in the absence of challenge.

The study design and main laboratory methods were as similar as possible to the previous UK Salmonella study, although there were two main differences: the last study was over a 12-month period and there were separate surveys for breeding and finishing pigs. The level of Salmonella caecal carriage in this study was 30.5% (95% CI 26.5–34.6), which compares to carriage rates of 23.0% (95% CI 21.4–24.7), 23.4% (95% CI 19.9–27.3) and 22.0% (95% CI 18.7–25.6) in the 1999–2000 and 2003 GB abattoirs surveys, and the 2006–2007 UK study, respectively [8, 12, 24]. None of these surveys found a significant seasonal variation in carriage, so it is unlikely that the shorter period of sampling in this study had a significant effect. The UK breeding pig survey in 2008 found a Salmonella prevalence of 52.2% (95% CI 44.6–61.5) for breeding holdings and 44.0% (95% CI 37.8–50.9) for production holdings [25]. This was estimated from freshly voided pooled faecal samples collected on farm so results are not directly comparable. There was some indication that Salmonella caecal carriage was higher among the older, presumably breeding, pigs in the present study. However, the sample size in the youngest and oldest age groups was extremely small, so this result must be treated with caution. The inclusion of a relatively small number of adult breeding pigs in this survey is unlikely to have been responsible for the apparent increase in Salmonella carriage observed.

Previous studies have shown a significant association between the Salmonella status of caecal contents and carcass swabs [8, 26–28] with 70% of carcass contamination at slaughter thought to originate from infection in the same pig and 30% from cross-contamination [26, 29]. In this study, more than half of the positive carcass swabs were from pigs which were not carrying Salmonella, indicating that cross-contamination in the abattoir may have been responsible for more positive carcasses than in previous studies. However, carcass contamination was at a much lower level than intestinal carriage at 9.6% (95% CI 7.3–11.9). This was a significant decrease from the 2006–2007 survey of finishing pigs, which found a prevalence of 34.8% (95% CI 30.9–38.8).

### Table 3. Combinations of Salmonella serovars identified in pigs for which both intestinal samples tested positive (n = 72)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rectal swab</th>
<th>Caecal contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4,12:i:-</td>
<td>4,12:i:-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,5,12:i:- Bovismorbiotics</td>
<td>4,5,12:i:- Bovismorbiotics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derby</td>
<td>Derby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldcoast</td>
<td>Goldcoast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kedougou</td>
<td>Kedougou</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Panama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rissen</td>
<td>Rissen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanley</td>
<td>Stanley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typhimurium</td>
<td>Typhimurium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 4. *Phage types of Salmonella Typhimurium and Salmonella 4,5,12:i:- identified from each sample type*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serovar</th>
<th>Phage type</th>
<th>Caecal contents</th>
<th></th>
<th>Rectal swab</th>
<th></th>
<th>Carcass swab</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of positive samples</td>
<td>% of all positive samples</td>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>No. of positive samples</td>
<td>% of all positive samples</td>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>No. of positive samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,12:i:-</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3·2%</td>
<td>1·0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0·7%</td>
<td>0·2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>193</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12·7%</td>
<td>3·9%</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8·7%</td>
<td>2·1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>208</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0·7%</td>
<td>0·2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UNTY</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1·6%</td>
<td>0·5%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2·0%</td>
<td>0·5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U302</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0·5%</td>
<td>0·2%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U311</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U323</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1·6%</td>
<td>0·5%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2·0%</td>
<td>0·5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NOPT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0·5%</td>
<td>0·2%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UNTY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0·5%</td>
<td>0·2%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0·7%</td>
<td>0·2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typhimurium</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1·1%</td>
<td>0·3%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1·1%</td>
<td>0·3%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104b</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1·1%</td>
<td>0·3%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2·1%</td>
<td>0·6%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>193</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5·8%</td>
<td>1·8%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4·7%</td>
<td>1·1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>208</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1·1%</td>
<td>0·3%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1·3%</td>
<td>0·3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U288</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3·2%</td>
<td>1·0%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4·0%</td>
<td>1·0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U302</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1·6%</td>
<td>0·5%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2·0%</td>
<td>0·5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UNTY</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2·1%</td>
<td>0·6%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1·3%</td>
<td>0·3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOPT, Not phage-typed; UNTY, cultures which did not react with any of the phages in the typing scheme.
The most common serovars found were S. Typhimurium and S. 4,[5],12:i:-, which together accounted for more than half of the positive caecal samples and carcass swabs, followed by S. Derby and S. Bovismorbi. This differs to the previous survey when S. Typhimurium (40.3% of positive caeca and 49.5% of positive carcass swabs) and S. Derby (28.7% of positive caeca and 22.0% of positive carcass swabs) were most frequently recovered [8]. Salmonella 4,[5],12:i:- has been increasingly reported in both pig populations and human salmonellosis cases in many European countries in recent years [31]. The caecal prevalence of these monophasic variants of Typhimurium was 0·8% in 2007 whereas in this study it had risen to 10·5%. Their emergence may be, at least partly, responsible for the overall increase in Salmonella prevalence observed since 2007. Several cases of human illness from Salmonella 4,[5],12:i:- in the UK in the last few years have been traced back to hog roasts [32, 33] potentially reflecting the changing serovar distribution in pigs.

The prevalence of S. Bovismorbi has also increased since 2007 in both caecal samples (3·2% vs. 0·7%) and carcass swabs (0·8% vs. 0·2%) [8]. This serovar has caused a number of foodborne outbreaks in Europe in recent years, in some cases associated with consumption of pork [34–36]. The isolation of S. Choleraesuis in this study is also of concern, particularly from a veterinary perspective since this serovar can cause substantial clinical disease in pigs [37], although it can also be invasive in the small number of human cases that occur. This serovar was not isolated in the 2006–2007 survey.

Control of Salmonella in pig herds and pig meat is complex and requires a multi-factorial approach to reduce contamination throughout the food chain. Results from this study indicate a rise in intestinal carriage but a potential reduction in carcass contamination during processing and, consequently, potential lower risk to public health from contaminated meat. Continued reliance on sound abattoir procedures to minimize or prevent carcass contamination is advisable while efforts continue to reduce the prevalence of Salmonella in pigs while on farms.

The seroprevalence of antibodies to Toxoplasma gondii in pigs in this study was 7·4% (95% CI 5·3–9·5). Previous seroprevalence data for UK-reared pigs is sparse [17] although this figure is comparable with those published several decades ago [38, 39]. Comparisons with more recent serological surveys in other countries are problematical due to differences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infection</th>
<th>No. of pigs</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>(19·5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRRSv</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>(23·9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRRSv, Salmonella</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>(12·4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRRSv, Yersinia</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>(12·2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yersinia</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>(8·7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>(5·9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRRSv, Salmonella, Yersinia</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>(5·8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella, Yersinia</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>(4·1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRRSv, Toxoplasma</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>(2·1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxoplasma</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(1·2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRRSv, Salmonella, Toxoplasma</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(1·0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella, Toxoplasma</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(1·0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxoplasma, Yersinia</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(1·0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRRSv, Yersinia, Toxoplasma</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(0·7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella, Yersinia, Toxoplasma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(0·3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRRSv, Salmonella, Toxoplasma, Yersinia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(0·2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Co-infections identified in the 606 pigs that were tested for all of the microorganisms (Salmonella carriage in the caecum, Yersinia carriage in the tonsils, Toxoplasma antibody seropositivity and PRRSv antibody seropositivity)
in sampling procedures, serological testing methods and choices of threshold for positive cut-off values [17]. Nevertheless the estimate from this study falls broadly within the range of recent *Toxoplasma* antibody seroprevalence estimates from other European countries, including 4-7% in Ireland [40], 16-6% in Spain [41], 23-3% in Switzerland [42] and 26% in the Czech Republic [43]. It is difficult to gauge the precise public health implications of the findings, as the correlation between seropositivity and the number of viable *T. gondii* cysts in edible tissue has not yet been fully elucidated [17, 44]. In addition, the relative contribution of the foodborne route of transmission to the overall human disease burden, as well as the contribution of different food vehicles, is unclear [17, 44]. Thus, while the seroprevalence in this survey is considerably lower than that in a recent survey of sheep [18], the significance of this difference to UK consumers is uncertain. Nevertheless, the data provide a nationally representative baseline seroprevalence in pigs against which future survey results and the effectiveness of control measures can be monitored.

The prevalence of *Y. enterocolitica* carriage was significantly higher in this study than in the 2003 GB abattoir survey [28-7% (95% CI 24-8–32-7) vs. 10-2% (95% CI 8-9–11-5)] [12]. However, the sample and testing methods are not directly comparable as caecal samples were collected in the 2003 survey rather than tonsils. Higher rates of carriage were also found in the 2003 survey during December to May [12], and from January to March in a study by Bhaduri et al. [45], which includes the sampling time-frame for this study. If the methodology had been identical, lower isolation rates may have been observed.

Given that more than one quarter of the pigs were found to carry *Y. enterocolitica*, it is encouraging that so few carcasses (≤2%) were contaminated, indicating that the processes applied at the abattoir to reduce contamination are having a positive effect. This is similar to the prevalence of carcass contamination observed in pigs at slaughter in Italy [46]. Biotyping of the *Y. enterocolitica* isolates was not undertaken because of the low prevalence, and therefore low potential public health hazard, on the carcasses.

The seroprevalence of antibodies to PRRSv observed in pigs in this study was 58-3% (95% CI 53-1–63-4). The inclusion criterion of a live weight of ≥50 kg guarantees that the ELISA was detecting an active immune response rather than maternal antibody. Comparisons with previous surveys in Britain are complicated due to methodological differences. Richardson [47] reported a similar seroprevalence of 56% but from non-randomized, non-structured testing of 356 pig herds between 2001 and 2003. Velasova et al. [48] reported a lower herd-level seroprevalence in 2008–2009, but in that case vaccinated herds were only classified as PRRSv-positive if there was evidence of virus from PCR testing of growing pigs [48]. Evans et al. [49] also reported a lower herd-level seroprevalence of 39-8% in 2003–2004, although an additional 26-2% of herds were classified as vaccinated. Pig veterinarians estimated at that time that growing pigs were vaccinated for PRRSv in between 10% and 55% of the herds they attended in East Anglia (S. Williamson, unpublished observations). Reports from 110 commercial pig units elsewhere in GB in 2013 also indicated that about 11% were vaccinating growing pigs (BPEX, Health and Welfare monitoring project).

The lack of data on PRRSv vaccination status of the pigs in the current study is a limitation and the seroprevalence observed is likely to be an overestimate of the prevalence of field infection since vaccinal response is not differentiated. PRRSv is a pathogen of concern for the pig industry and controlling the disease is a target for the British pig industry’s health and welfare strategy [50]. This study has provided an indication of the extent of PRRSv infection in the national herd and a baseline against which to evaluate changes over time.

There was no evidence for a significant association between the presence of multiple combinations of pathogens. Over 10% of the sampled pigs were positive for both *Salmonella* and *Yersinia* but the analysis result suggests that this was a function of the relatively high prevalence of each pathogen. However, previous studies have shown significant associations such as that between PRRSv presence and *Salmonella* shedding [51]. Associations between the presence of different pathogens can arise from synergistic effects, such as the dampening of the immune system by an initial infection, or from pathogens sharing similar risk factors. The lack of significant associations may have been influenced by mixing serology results, indicating historical infection, for PRRSv and *Toxoplasma* and bacterial detection for *Yersinia* and *Salmonella*.

A limitation of this study was the inability to provide true prevalence estimates, adjusted for test performance. The sensitivity and specificity for the use of the tests under these conditions were largely unavailable and would have been affected by sample type and the number of target organisms and competing flora in each individual sample. The apparent
prevalence was instead calculated, adjusted for clustering, to ensure comparability with previous UK studies.

This study will help government and industry policy-makers to clarify and prioritize work aimed at controlling these important infectious organisms along the pig meat supply chain. Through the extension and strengthening of links across government and industry, this study has also enabled a more collaborative and broad approach to addressing public and animal health concerns in relation to pigs in the UK.
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Steve Wyllie (APHA), Giles Paiba (APHA), John Tayleur (Defra), Mary Howell (FSA), Derek Armstrong (BPEX), Emma Bailey-Beech (BPEX), Richard Tedder (PHE), Edward Guy (PHW), Nicole Batey (VMD)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
The authors thank the industry for supporting this work, the abattoirs for participating in this study, the Consortium for Pig and Public Health and FSA Operations and DARD for collecting the samples. We also thank Laboratory Testing staff at APHA Bury St Edmunds and APHA colleagues for their contribution to the study.

This project was funded by Defra, the Food Standards Agency (FSA), BPEX – a division of the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board, the Veterinary Medicines Directorate (VMD), Public Health England (PHE), Public Health Wales (PHW), Quality Meat Scotland (QMS) and the Ulster Pork and Bacon Forum (UPBF).

DECLARATION OF INTEREST
None.

REFERENCES
Berends BR, Sorensen LL, Davies RH, Arnold ME, Cook A, Davies R.

Anon.


Davies RH, et al.


Anon.


Botteldoorn N, et al.


Sorensen LL, et al.

The correlation between salmonella serology and isolation of salmonella in Danish pigs at slaughter. Veterinary Microbiology 2004; 101: 131–141.

McDowell SW, et al.


Berends BR, et al.


Anon.


HPA.


Paranthaman KS, et al.


Gilsdorf A, et al.


Rimhanen-Finne R, et al.


CDC.


Bartova E, Sedlak K. Seroprevalence of Toxoplasma gondii and Neospora caninum in slaughtered pigs in the Czech Republic. Parasitology 2011; 138: 1369–1371.

Anon. Scientific Opinion of the Panel on Biological Hazards on a request from EFSA on Surveillance and monitoring of toxoplasma in humans, foods and animals. EFSA Journal 2007; 583: 1–64.


Evans CM, Medley GF, Green LE. Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) in GB pig herds: farm characteristics associated with heterogeneity in seroprevalence. BMC Veterinary Research 2008; 4: 48.
