Field evidence for the exposure of ground beetles to Cry1Ab from transgenic corn
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Non-target organisms associated with the soil might be adversely affected by exposure to the Cry1Ab protein from Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) in transgenic corn (Zea mays L.). To check for such exposure, we used ELISA to test for Cry1Ab in ground beetles collected live from fields with Bt corn residues and Bt corn (Bt/Bt), Bt corn residues and non-Bt crops (Bt/non-Bt), or non-Bt corn residues and non-Bt crops (non-Bt/non-Bt). In fields with Bt corn residues (Bt/Bt and Bt/non-Bt), Cry1Ab was present in all seven species of ground beetles examined (Agonum placidum, Bembidion rupicola, Clivina impressefrons, Cyclotrachelus iowensis, Harpalus pensylvanicus, Poecilus chalcites, and Poecilus lucublandus). For the two most abundant species, P. chalcites and P. lucublandus, the proportion of beetles with Cry1Ab was significantly higher in Bt/Bt fields (0.50–1.0) and Bt/non-Bt fields (0.41–0.50) than in non-Bt/non-Bt fields (0.0). This is the first field evidence that some ground beetle species are exposed to Cry1Ab. The implications of exposure on the performance of these non-target organisms are unclear.
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INTRODUCTION

The large-scale cultivation of transgenic crops expressing Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) proteins targeted against various lepidopteran (e.g., Cry1Ab in corn, Cry1Ac in cotton) and coleopteran pests (e.g., Cry3Bb1 in corn) may possibly have direct or indirect effects on non-target organisms, potentially changing food web dynamics in agricultural ecosystems. Direct effects involve interactions of the transgene product with another organism (e.g., lethal or sublethal effects of the Cry1Ab on non-target species) while indirect effects are the result of interactions subsequent to a direct effect (e.g., natural enemies are less abundant in Bt corn fields due to a lower abundance of prey species). The existence of such effects requires both exposure to the transgene product and an ecological consequence of exposure. In the soil ecosystem, the detection of ecological consequence of exposure to Bt corn is difficult because sampling is time consuming and there is high variability among samples.

In this paper we examine the first part of this issue by focusing on possible exposure of ground beetles to Cry1Ab from Bt corn (Zea mays L.) in the field. Most, if not all, ground beetles are omnivorous and/or polyphagous (Lövei and Sunderland, 1996; Toft and Bilde, 2002). If the beetles are exposed to Cry1Ab, the food source should be corn residues (Zwahlen et al., 2003a; 2003b), living corn plants, prey containing Cry1Ab (Dutton et al., 2002; Head et al., 2001; Raps et al., 2001; Wandeler et al., 2002), or any combination of the three. Studies like the one we present here are a first step towards characterizing potential risks of transgenic plants in the field on non-target organisms. In this paper, we suggest that ground beetles may acquire Cry1Ab either directly or indirectly from Bt corn residues. Our hypotheses were: (H1) ground beetles in fields with Cry1Ab Bt corn residues planted with either Bt corn or non-Bt crops will contain Cry1Ab (either residue, the living crop, or both are sources of Cry1Ab); and (H2) ground beetles in fields with Cry1Ab Bt corn residues planted with non-Bt crops will contain Cry1Ab, thus suggesting that Bt corn residues are a significant source of Cry1Ab in ground beetles.
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To test the first hypothesis, we collected ground beetles in fields that contained Bt corn residues from the previous year and were planted with either Bt corn (referred to as “Bt/Bt” for residues/plants) or a non-Bt crop (referred to as “Bt/non-Bt”) and compared these beetles to ones collected in fields containing non-Bt corn residues from the previous year that were planted with a non-Bt crop (referred to as “non-Bt/non-Bt”). To test our second hypothesis we compared the Cry1Ab presence in ground beetles collected in Bt/non-Bt fields with beetles collected in non-Bt/non-Bt fields.

### RESULTS

Samples from all seven species of ground beetles tested contained Cry1Ab when collected in fields containing Bt corn residues (Bt/Bt and Bt/non-Bt) (Tab. 1). In contrast, only one individual of *Cyclotrachelus iowensis* from fields containing non-Bt corn residue (non-Bt/non-Bt) fields showed Bt protein exposure. This individual contained only 1 ng Cry1Ab.g⁻¹ beetle and may have been a false positive. The sample size was largest for *Poecilus chalcites*, *Poecilus lucublandus*, and *C. iowensis* with 37, 33, and 15 individuals, respectively, and much lower for the other four species, *Agonum placidum*, *Bembidion rupicola*, *Clivina impresefrons*, and *Harpalus pensylvanicus*, with three to seven samples per species. We tested our hypotheses 1 and 2 only with the two most abundant species *P. chalcites* and *P. lucublandus* (Tab. 1).

The Fisher’s exact test of hypothesis 1 (Bt/Bt and Bt/non-Bt vs. non-Bt/non-Bt) showed the Cry1Ab was present in a significantly higher proportion of *P. chalcites* and *P. lucublandus* from fields with Bt corn residue/plants than from fields with non-Bt corn residue and non-Bt crops (Tab. 1). These results suggest that exposure is associated with living Bt corn, Bt corn residues, or both.

The Fisher’s exact test of our second hypothesis (Bt/non-Bt vs. non-Bt/non-Bt) showed that a significantly higher proportion of *P. chalcites* and *P. lucublandus* contained Cry1Ab from fields with Bt corn residue than from fields with non-Bt corn residue (Tab. 1). These results suggest that exposure is associated with Bt corn residues.

### DISCUSSION

Our results are the first field evidence that ground beetles are exposed to Cry1Ab from transgenic corn. All of the seven species tested were exposed when collected from fields with Bt corn residues. Our first hypothesis, that beetles in fields with Bt corn will contain Cry1Ab, is well supported by our finding that the Cry1Ab was detected in a significantly higher proportion of *P. chalcites* and *P. lucublandus* than from fields without Bt corn residue. The two most common species, from fields with Bt corn than from fields without Bt corn. Laboratory feeding trials indicate that these two species may feed on armyworms (*Pseudaletia unipuncta* Haworth), which sporadically also occur as pests in corn (Clark et al., 1994). Both species are considered polyphagous predators of arthropods, but the degree of omnivory, if any, and the possible preferences among prey species in the field are poorly known.

Several pathways of exposure of ground beetles to the Cry1Ab are possible, including feeding directly on corn residues or living plant tissue (see Hladilék, 2003; Toft and Bilde, 2002), or on prey containing Cry1Ab (Kromp,

---

**Table 1.** Bt protein exposure. ELISA of adult ground beetles found in the three different field types Bt/non-Bt, Bt/Bt, and non-Bt/non-Bt. Given is the mean ± SE concentration of Cry1Ab in ng.g⁻¹ fresh tissue of ground beetles that contained the Bt protein, and the number of samples tested positive/number of samples tested (n). Samples represent individuals except for *B. rupicola* where the total number of individuals tested per field type is given in parentheses. Hypotheses H1 (Bt/Bt and Bt/non-Bt vs. non-Bt/non-Bt) and H2 (Bt/non-Bt vs. non-Bt/non-Bt) were only tested for the species *P. chalcites* and *P. lucublandus*. Given are the results of the Fisher’s exact test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species analyzed</th>
<th>Bt/Bt</th>
<th>Bt/non-Bt</th>
<th>non-Bt/non-Bt</th>
<th>H1</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>H2</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ng Cry1Ab.g⁻¹</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>ng Cry1Ab.g⁻¹</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>df</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>df</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Agonum placidum</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13.4 ± 11.2</td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bembidion rupicola</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/1(1)</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>1/2(8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/2(6)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Clivina impresefrons</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cyclotrachelus iowensis</em></td>
<td>20.9 ± 12.6</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>34.7 ± 14.6</td>
<td>3/7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Harpalus pensylvanicus</em></td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Poecilus chalcites</em></td>
<td>117.3 ± 104.8</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>38.3 ± 24.3</td>
<td>10/20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Poecilus lucublandus</em></td>
<td>47.9 ± 19.2</td>
<td>3/6</td>
<td>27.5 ± 15.8</td>
<td>7/17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>0.0148</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0261</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1999; Toft and Bilde, 2002). Our results testing the second hypothesis suggest that the Cry1Ab in beetles from Bt/non-Bt cornfields was likely to originate from Bt corn residues, which can contain Cry1Ab for >8 months (Zwahlen et al., 2003a; 2003b), which is consistent with findings of Ahmad et al. (2005) for Cry3Bb Bt corn. Exposure may be by ingestion of residues or prey containing Cry1Ab. Whether living Bt corn plants can be a significant source of exposure remains to be determined.

Can results such as these be extrapolated to species in other regions? We suggest that a similar feeding niche may be a reasonable basis for extrapolation to other species. To get a relatively complete picture of the similarity of a feeding niche, it would be ideal to compare multiple morphological characteristics, the results of gut dissections, and biochemical analyses (Ingerson-Mahar, 2002). However, for most of the species such information will rarely be readily available. Instead, it may be sufficient only to compare the morphology of the eyes and mouthparts (Ingerson-Mahar, 2002), the diel activity pattern, and the microhabitat.

Further investigations may be needed to determine whether Bt corn has adverse effects on exposed ground beetles. A few studies investigated the potential effect of transgenic Bt plants on ground beetle populations (French et al., 2004; Lozzia and Rigamonti, 1998; Riddick et al., 1998). While Lozzia and Rigamonti (1998) did not find any significant effects of Bt corn (Cry1Ab) on the abundance of the most common carabids, French et al. (2004) found that Calosoma calidum Fabricius was less abundant in Bt corn (Cry1Ab) than in soybean, which might have been due to its high degree of specialization on lepidopteran larvae as prey. Additionally, Lebia grandis Hentz was less common in fields containing Bt potato (expressing the Cry3A against the Colorado potato beetle) than in non-transgenic potato fields (Riddick et al., 1998). In a laboratory study Meissle et al. (2005) found that Poecilus cupreus L. larvae had a significantly higher mortality when fed with Cry1Ab corn-fed Spodoptera littoralis Boisduval than when fed with non-Bt corn-fed prey. These results suggest that some adverse effects of Bt crops on ground beetle abundance may be possible and need further investigation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fields

The fields, from which beetles were collected for the exposure analysis, were located in the University of Minnesota Outreach, Research and Education Park, Rosemount, Minnesota, USA. Beetles were collected from a total of 30 fields during 2003 and 2004. Median size of fields was 10.3 ha (range: 0.8–23.5 ha). Twenty-three fields contained Bt corn residues and four of them were planted with Bt corn (Bt/Bt) when sampled, while the other nineteen were planted with non-Bt crops (non-Bt/). The seven fields that contained non-Bt corn residues were planted with non-Bt crops when they were sampled (non-Bt/non-Bt). All of the Bt corn residues and plants were varieties that expressed Cry1Ab, and were DKC (DeKalb) 44-42, P (Pioneer) 36R11, P 3609, K (Kaltenberg) 5454, and M (Mycogen) 4521. The non-Bt corn hybrids were DKC 440, DKC 46-28, DKC 46-26, and G (Garst) 8707.

Sampling Methods

We placed four wood boards (0.3 × 0.3 m²) on the soil surface at least 15 m from the margin of each experimental field. The minimum distance between wood boards in adjacent fields was 50 m but was likely to be much larger. Approximately seven days later, we collected live adult ground beetles that were hiding under these boards. In 2003, beetles were collected in June (5th, 6th, 8th June), or July (1st, 2nd, 29th, 31st July). In 2004, ground beetles were collected in June (16th, 23rd June). Beetles were frozen in dry ice immediately upon collection in the field and brought back to the laboratory where they were stored at −80 °C until the analyses were carried out. Using this live-catch methodology ensured that the beetles did not empty their gut before they were frozen. As a consequence, however, sample size was limited. In case that the Cry1Ab exposure has a direct effect on ground beetle survival, mobility, or responsiveness to our trapping method, our live-catch methodology may have underestimated the proportion of exposed beetles.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

The Cry1Ab concentration was quantified by ELISA as described by Gugerli (1979, 1986) and Zwahlen et al. (2003a, b). ELISAs were carried out on 105 samples of seven Carabidae (Coleoptera) species: Agonum placidum Say, Bembidion ripicola Kirby, Clivina impressionis LeConte, Cyclotrachelus iowensis Freitag, Harpalus pensylvanicus DeGeer, Poecilus chalcites Say, and Poecilus lucublandus Say. Each sample consisted of one individual except for the tiny B. ripicola where one to four individuals from the same field and sample date were used per sample. Ground beetles were washed thoroughly in deionized water to remove all particles on the body surface.
of the beetles that could cause interference with the ELISA results. Microscopic examination of random samples and shams covered with pollen confirmed that washing was effective. After washing, the ground beetles were dried at room temperature for approximately one hour and weighed. The samples were prepared as described by Howald et al. (2003), except that each sample was homogenized in 0.3 to 1 mL extraction buffer using a pestle (EnviroLogix Inc.) and a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube. Supernatants were used for the analysis. Each sample was divided into two subsamples except for the small C. impressifrons, from which only one subsample could be taken.

Calibration curves were estimated on each ELISA plate using reference samples of purified, trypsin-activated Cry1Ab suspended in extraction buffer at concentrations of 1000, 100, 50, 20, 10, 5, 2, 1, 0.5, 0.2, 0.1, and 0.01 ng Cry1Ab.mL⁻¹. Each concentration was divided into two subsamples. Microtiter immunoassay plates Immulon®4 (Dynatech Laboratories Inc.) were analyzed with a VERSAmax microplate reader operated with the SOFTmax®PRO 3.0 software package (Molecular Devices Corp.). Optical density (OD) was measured at 405 nm.

Quantitative analysis. The means of the two subsamples were used for the analysis. ODs were log-transformed and a non-linear regression was carried out to calculate the calibration curve for Cry1Ab concentrations for each plate. The equation followed first-order Michaelis-Mention kinetics:

\[ \log_{10}Y = B + (T-B)/(1+EC50/X), \]

where \( Y \) is the optical density OD, \( B \) the estimated bottom asymptote of the curve, \( T \) the estimated top asymptote of the curve, \( EC50 \) the estimated response halfway between the top and bottom, and \( X \) the Cry1Ab concentration.

Detection level (DL). The threshold value of detectable Cry1Ab was defined as

\[ DL_{\log OD} = B + 3\times SE_B, \]

where \( SE \) is the estimated standard error of \( B \). This is approximately the upper 99% confidence interval of \( B \). The threshold value was calculated separately for each immunoassay plate. Beetle samples were considered as positive when both subsamples were above the threshold and as negative when at least one of the subsamples was below the threshold.

Statistical analyses. For both hypotheses a Fisher’s exact test was carried out.
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