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Background
Residual symptoms and cognitive impairment are among important sources of disability in patients with bipolar disorder. Methylene blue could improve such symptoms because of its potential neuroprotective effects.

Aims
We conducted a double-blind crossover study of a low dose (15 mg, ‘placebo’) and an active dose (195 mg) of methylene blue in patients with bipolar disorder treated with lamotrigine.

Method
Thirty-seven participants were enrolled in a 6-month trial (trial registration: NCT00214877). The outcome measures included severity of depression, mania and anxiety, and cognitive functioning.

Results
The active dose of methylene blue significantly improved symptoms of depression both on the Montgomery–Åsberg Depression Rating Scale and Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (P = 0.02 and 0.05 in last-observation-carried-forward analysis). It also reduced the symptoms of anxiety measured by the Hamilton Rating Scale for Anxiety (P = 0.02). The symptoms of mania remained low and stable throughout the study. The effects of methylene blue on cognitive symptoms were not significant. The medication was well tolerated with transient and mild side-effects.

Conclusions
Methylene blue used as an adjunctive medication improved residual symptoms of depression and anxiety in patients with bipolar disorder.
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second, by attenuating the production of nitric oxide. The role of nitric oxide signalling in cognition is complex. Nitric oxide may be important in long-term potentiation and synaptic plasticity, but its effect may not be dependent on cyclic guanosine monophosphate, and in fact, inhibition of nitric oxide synthase may enhance neurogenesis. Based on the hypothesised mechanisms of action of methylene blue and on clinical case observations of several patients successfully treated in an open-label manner, we decided to study the drug in a randomised double-blind trial with the objective of investigating the efficacy of methylene blue as an add-on treatment of residual symptoms of bipolar disorder in participants treated with lamotrigine.

**Method**

**Study design**

This was a double-blind crossover study (Fig. 1). Patients with bipolar disorder received subtherapeutic (15 mg) and therapeutic (195 mg) doses of methylene blue, each given for 3 months in a random order. We chose this design because methylene blue discolours urine, and thus we could not use a traditional placebo. The study was conducted in two centres (The Mood Disorders Program at Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia and The Mood Disorders Program, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario). The enrolment for the study took place from 2004 to 2008. The study protocol was approved by Health Canada and by the Research Ethics Boards at Capital District Health Authority in Halifax and St Joseph’s Healthcare Centre in Hamilton. All participants signed written informed consent prior to their participation. The trial has been registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (registration number NCT00214877).

**Participants**

Patients with bipolar disorder partially stabilised on lamotrigine were eligible to take part in the study if they met the following criteria.

**Inclusion criteria**

Men or women between the ages of 18 and 65 who meet both Research Diagnostic Criteria (RDC) and DSM-IV criteria for bipolar I or bipolar II disorder were recruited. All participants were interviewed using the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia (SADS-L) interview with added questions so as to arrive at DSM-IV diagnoses as well. All patients had to be treated with lamotrigine as their main mood stabiliser. Patients recruited for the study were expected to show partial response to long-term treatment with respect to their mood symptoms.

Patients with bipolar disorder partially stabilised on lamotrigine as their main mood stabiliser. Patients continued receiving treatment.

**Exclusion criteria**

Patients meeting any of the following criteria were excluded from the trial: (a) unable to provide informed consent; (b) active substance misuse or dependence or a history of such within the past 2 years; (c) significant unstable physical illness, especially liver and kidney disorders and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G-6-PD) deficiency; (d) previous treatment with methylene blue; (e) pregnant or breastfeeding women; (f) electroconvulsive therapy within the past 2 years; (g) known history of brain injury or loss of consciousness with a duration greater than 10 min; (h) use of concurrent medications known to have cognitive effects, for example beta-blockers.

**Drug formulation and dosage schedule**

Low and high doses of methylene blue were administered in identical-looking capsules containing either 5 mg or 65 mg of methylene blue. Both active drug and placebo were supplied by Star Pharmaceuticals (http://www.starpharm.com/). The study medication was dispensed by hospital pharmacies at each site and given as one capsule three times daily. The titration took place over a period of 1 week, followed by 12 weeks of treatment, 1 week of crossover and another 12 weeks of treatment. The order of treatments (active–subtherapeutic, subtherapeutic–active, each with 50% probability) was chosen at random. The randomisation was done in blocks of six participants, separately for each centre; the medication codes were kept at respective hospital pharmacies and were unavailable to the investigators and patients.

**Study procedures**

All patients were seen at baseline and then in 2-week intervals with additional visits at weeks 13 and 26; at each visit their symptoms were rated and side-effects assessed. The neurocognitive testing was done at baseline and at weeks 13 and 26.

Every effort was made to perform the cognitive testing and symptom ratings in patients who terminated the treatment trial prematurely. We did not specify any rescue medication as this was an add-on study. Furthermore, the target symptoms included residual symptoms and cognitive dysfunction, for which treatment options are currently limited. Patients continued receiving...
all their regular mood-stabilising drugs. In the event of worsening of symptoms, patients were treated using current best practice, and withdrawn from the study. There was no washout period at the beginning of the trial. The switch (crossover) from low to high (or high to low) dose of methylene blue was completed over a 1-week period.

Patients were enrolled in the trial if they were on a stabilised dose of lamotrigine for a minimum of 3 months. Participants treated with additional medications were not excluded as long as their doses remained stable over the course of the trial. Medications, such as beta-blockers or anticholinergic drugs known to cause memory difficulties were not allowed during the study. Use of other additional medications was assessed on a case-by-case basis.

Outcome measures

Primary outcome measures – clinical status

For assessment of specific mood changes we used the following rating scales: the 17-item HDRS and Montgomery–Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) for symptoms of depression and YMRS for assessment of symptoms of mania. The Hamilton Rating Scale for Anxiety (HRS) was used to evaluate changes in severity of anxiety symptoms. For global ratings of psychiatric morbidity we used the Clinical Global Impression Scale modified for use in bipolar disorder (CGI-BP) and the Affective Morbidity Index (AMI).

Secondary outcome measures – cognitive tests

We completed a targeted cognitive assessment based on documented abnormalities in adults with bipolar disorder. Two tasks examined recollection memory, the California Verbal Learning Task (CVLT) and a process-dissociation task. The CVLT is a measure of episodic verbal learning and memory, retroactive recollective memory performance, habit memory and the propensity to guess at correct responses. We have extensive data demonstrating that patients with mood disorders are impaired on the recollection component of this task.

The Trails B task was administered as a test of executive function, chosen because, in previous studies of patients with bipolar disorder, abnormalities on this measure have been reliably demonstrated, and may reflect underlying changes in frontal regions. It is also a well-studied task that is simple, reliable and easy to administer. Two tasks focused on selective attention, negative priming and inhibition of return tasks. The negative priming paradigm and inhibition of return task examine inhibitory components of selective attention, examining both spatial- and feature-based stimulus properties. Finally, a visual backward masking task that has been well-studied in patients with bipolar disorder was also administered: the mechanism and underlying circuit deficits have been described in adult populations with bipolar disorder. The cognitive measures were administered before treatment, before crossover and at the end of the trial.

Safety analysis

The patients were monitored regularly and any adverse events were documented at each visit in a standard clinical trial format.

Statistical analysis

The analyses were all specified prior to commencing the data collection. The clinical outcome and cognitive data were analysed by analysis of variance with two within-participant factors: (a) evaluation period (beginning of each treatment), and (b) methylene blue dose (active and placebo dose), and one between-participant factor (treatment order). Thus, the null hypothesis is that there is no interaction between methylene blue dose and evaluation period at the point of medication crossover (week 13). The baseline value for the second treatment period was the last rating (week 13) of the first treatment period (i.e. this value was duplicated in the ANOVA model). Post hoc comparisons were done by t-tests and non-parametric tests as deemed appropriate. The analyses of all data were repeated twice – first for completers only and second, based on intent to treat using the last-observation-carried-forward (LOCF) method. Given the subtle nature of cognitive impairments in bipolar disorder, we chose not to correct the results of the cognitive tests for multiple comparisons in order to best illuminate what are often small differences in performance in this population. The planned sample size of 40 patients had 87% power to detect an effect size of 0.5 or larger.

Results

Characteristics

In total, 47 patients at the two centres met the inclusion criteria; of these, 37 agreed to participate in the study (Fig. 2). There were 11 men and 26 women, who ranged in age from 22 to 64 years. Further clinical characteristics of the participants are summarised in Table 1. Mood state at the beginning of the trial was characterised as mildly or moderately depressed in 34 participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Completed (n = 27)</th>
<th>Dropped out (n = 10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender, men:women: n</td>
<td>7:20</td>
<td>4:6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years: mean (s.d.) range</td>
<td>48.3 (9.2) 22–63</td>
<td>44.0 (15.2) 23–64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis, bipolar I/bipolar II disorder: n</td>
<td>12:15</td>
<td>5:5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment order, active first:placebo first: n</td>
<td>11:16</td>
<td>6:4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeks in study, mean (s.d.) range</td>
<td>26.0 (0.0) N/A</td>
<td>4.2 (3.4) 0–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery–Åsberg Depression Rating Scale at baseline, mean (s.d.) range</td>
<td>13.0 (7.9) 3–27</td>
<td>11.9 (8.1) 0–24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression at baseline, mean (s.d.) range</td>
<td>7.8 (4.5) 0–15</td>
<td>7.0 (4.9) 0–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young Mania Rating Scale at baseline, mean (s.d.) range</td>
<td>2.9 (3.2) 0–13</td>
<td>2.4 (3.4) 0–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton Rating Scale for Anxiety at baseline, mean (s.d.) range</td>
<td>9.1 (4.4) 3–19</td>
<td>9.5 (4.7) 5–17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamotrigine dose, mg/day: mean (s.d.)</td>
<td>218 (123)</td>
<td>178 (145)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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and as cycling in 3 patients. The initial HRSD and MADRS scores at baseline were 7.6 (s.d. = 4.6) and 12.7 (s.d. = 7.8), respectively. Concomitant medication included lamotrigine in all participants, lithium (n = 17), sodium divalproex (n = 4), gabapentin (n = 3), antipsychotics (n = 20, in 19 participants atypical) and antidepressants (n = 20).

Clinical effects

Depression

On both the HRSD and MADRS, we found a significant effect of treatment. The group starting on an active dose had lower depression ratings at midpoint in comparison with the placebo-first group. These differences were statistically significant among study completers showing as an interaction between medication (active dose v. placebo dose) and assessment point (start v. end of each of the two treatments). The effect size (ES) for the HRSD was 0.71 (95% CI 0.15–1.25), F = 5.01, d.f. = 1,25, P = 0.034) and for the MADRS it was 0.80 (95% CI 0.27–1.30), F = 6.16, d.f. = 1,25, P = 0.020, Fig. 3. When we repeated these analyses for all participants who received at least one dose of study medication (LOCF analysis), the results remained similar (HRSD: F = 4.15,

d.f. = 1.35, P = 0.049, ES = 0.56, 95% CI 0.09–1.02; MADRS: F = 5.99, d.f. = 1.35, P = 0.020, ES = 0.68, 95% CI 0.20–1.14). None of the analyses showed effects of treatment order, medication or assessment point alone.

Mania

Neither the active dose nor the placebo dose of methylene blue had any effect on mania both in study completers and in the LOCF analysis, with both simple effect of dose, treatment order and point of evaluation as well as their interactions showing no significance (Fig. 3). All patients had very low scores on the YMRS throughout the trial.

Anxiety

Symptoms of anxiety were evaluated using the HRSA. The active dose of methylene blue was superior to the placebo dose as indicated by the significant interaction between medication and the assessment point (for study completers: F = 5.48, d.f. = 1,25, P = 0.028, ES = 0.79 (95% CI 0.22–1.33; and for LOCF: F = 6.55, d.f. = 1,35, P = 0.015, ES = 0.72 (95% CI 0.25–1.19)). At the same time, in both analyses, we noticed a significant simple effect of
the point of assessment, indicating an overall decrease of anxiety ratings in the course of the trial (completers: $F = 9.87$, d.f. = 1, 25, $P = 0.0043$; LOCF: $F = 10.02$, d.f. = 1, 35, $P = 0.0032$), Fig. 3. Inspection of the data and post hoc analysis indicate that this effect appears to be primarily as a result of the lasting improvement of anxiety in the active-dose-first group after switching to a placebo dose.

The changes in anxiety were noticeable both on the psychic and somatic dimensions of the HRSA, except for a non-significant effect on somatic anxiety in study completers (psychic anxiety – completers: $F = 7.78$, d.f. = 1, 25, $P = 0.010$; LOCF: $F = 6.47$, d.f. = 1, 35, $P = 0.016$; somatic anxiety – completers: $F = 2.56$, d.f. = 1, 25, $P = 0.123$; LOCF: $F = 4.56$, d.f. = 1, 35, $P = 0.040$).

**CGI-BP and AMI scores**

The CGI-BP includes a total of nine measures (severity of mania, depression and overall bipolar disorder and for each of these it also measures their changes since the previous assessment and from the worst phase of illness). The analyses of the individual items confirmed the positive effects of methylene blue on depression severity, with no effect on mania, and non-significant trends for improvement of severity of bipolar disorder as a whole (online Table DS1). The effects of methylene blue on the AMI were not significant, most likely because of the overall low absolute AMI values.

**Cognitive measures**

There were practically no differences between the low and standard doses of methylene blue on any of the cognitive measures (CVLT, trails B, process-dissociation task, location negative priming, inhibition of return, and visual backward masking tasks). The results are summarised in online Table DS2.

**Subjective effects of treatment, drug tolerability and side-effects**

Most patients felt subjectively improved over the course of the study and six participants decided to continue their treatment after completing the trial. A total of 27 patients out of 37 (73%) completed the 6-month long trial. The reasons for dropping out were worsening of bipolar disorder ($n = 1$), blue urine colour ($n = 1$), increase in anxiety ($n = 1$), fluid retention ($n = 1$), treatment of pre-existing physical condition precluding continuation of the trial in two cases; four participants withdrew their consent.

Methylene blue was generally well tolerated with no severe side-effects. The proportion of participants who reported any side-effects in the placebo-first group changed from 13% at the beginning of the trial to 20% at crossover and to 0% at the end of treatment with the active dose. In the active-dose-first group the proportion of those with side-effects changed from 57% at the beginning of the trial to 0% at the end of treatment with the active dose.
the trial to 29% at crossover and to 0% at the termination of the study. The most commonly reported side-effects were mild and transient. They included urinary tract burning, diarrhea and nausea, and headaches; these side-effects are similar to those reported by Naylor et al.12 We did not observe any changes in the routine laboratory tests performed at baseline, crossover and termination visits. Vital signs (blood pressure, heart rate measured at each visit) remained stable throughout the trial.

Main findings

Our results indicate that methylene blue used as an adjunctive medication significantly improved residual symptoms of depression in patients with bipolar disorder. We did not observe any changes in the level of manic symptoms, but there was a significant improvement in symptoms of anxiety. These findings are consistent with previous reports12 and confirm that methylene blue could be considered a safe and effective add-on treatment for patients with persisting and residual symptoms.

Limitations

In contrast to the effects of methylene blue on mood, the cognitive effects were all non-significant. However, there was also no evidence of any negative cognitive effects of methylene blue. It is notable that cognitive performance at baseline was not included as one of the selection criteria of this study. It is therefore possible that our patients had relatively preserved cognitive functioning with little room for further improvement. This is one limitation of this study. Furthermore, the cognitive functioning could be influenced by severity of mood symptoms, which was relatively low in this study. We also have to consider the possibility that the methylene blue dose (in the order of 0.15 to 0.3 mg/kg) may have had some beneficial effect on residual or cognitive symptoms, however unlikely. The study by Naylor et al14 would suggest that this remains a possibility, although the same group found a significantly better effect of a higher dose in their long-term trial.12 Another limitation is the requirement of lamotrigine as the main mood stabiliser. Although this made the patient group more homogeneous, we cannot necessarily generalise our findings to patients treated with other mood stabilisers. As this was an add-on study and all participants continued their regular medication, we did not require any washout period.

Safety issues

Some authors have raised concerns about the risk of serotonin syndrome in individuals treated with methylene blue,46 possibly as a result of its inhibitory effect on monoamino-oxidase. In 2011 the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued a safety alert and warned against the use of methylene blue in patients treated with serotonergic agents47 updated several months later suggesting that the risk was possibly higher only for some antidepressants and in conjunction with intravenous methylene blue administration.48 In our study, none of the patients showed any signs of serotonin toxicity. Methylene blue was well tolerated with only transient and mild side-effects present both during placebo-dose and active-dose phases of treatment.

In summary, the results from this study provide further support for the use of methylene blue in the treatment of bipolar disorder. Given its intriguing mechanism of action, this drug deserves further attention in both preclinical and clinical applications.
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