## THE DUALITY THEOREM FOR GURVES OF ORDER $n$ IN $\boldsymbol{n}$-SPACE

DOUGLAS DERRY
Let $C_{n}$ be a curve in real projective $n$-space which is a continuous $1-1$ image of either the projective line or one of its closed segments. Consequently its points depend continuously on a real variable $s$ for which $0 \leqslant s \leqslant 1$, with the understanding that $s=0$ and $s=1$ represent the same curve point in the case that $C_{n}$ is the image of the complete projective line. The points of $C_{n}$ will be described by their corresponding real numbers $s$.

We assume
(1) No ( $n-1$ )-dimensional hyperplane $H$ cuts $C_{n}$ in more than $n$ points. An immediate consequence of the above is that any $k+1$ distinct curve points generate a linear $k$-subspace.

We assume
(2) The linear $k$-subspace $L$ generated by $k+1$ curve points always converges to a linear $k$-subspace designated by ( $k, s$ ) as the $k+1$ points all con verge to $s, 0 \leqslant k<n$.

The subspaces ( $k, s$ ) enable us to count multiple intersection points of a linear subspace $L$ with $C_{n}$. A point $s$ is said to be within $L k$-fold if ( $k-1, s$ ) $\subset L,(k, s) \not \subset L$. We now assume that (1) and (2) are both true when the multiple intersection points of both $H$ and $L$ are counted by the above convention.

In 1936 Scherk $^{1}$ gave the first proof that the dual of $C_{n}$ has properties (1) and (2). His proof first derives the result for the case where $C_{n}$ is the map of the whole projective line and then derives the general result by showing that every $C_{n}$ is part of such a curve. In the following an alternative proof is given which applies directly to any $C_{n}$. The methods are elementary. Use is made of the easily established fact that the projection of a $C_{n}$ from one of its points $s^{\prime}$ is a $C_{n-1}$ and each $(k, s)$ of $C_{n}$ projects either into a $(k, s), 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-2$, or into a ( $k-1, s$ ), $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$, for the projected curve according as either $s^{\prime} \neq s$ or $s^{\prime}=s$.

Theorem 1. Where $\bar{s}$ is an interior point of $C_{n}$ let $s^{\mu}{ }_{1}, s^{\mu}{ }_{2}$ be two sequences of real numbers which approach $\bar{s}$ and for which $s^{\mu}{ }_{1} \neq s^{\mu}{ }_{2}$. If $P^{\mu}$ be a convergent sequence of space points selected from the intersection of $\left(n-1, s^{\mu}\right)$ and ( $n-1, s^{\mu_{2}}$ ) then it converges to a point $P$ of $(n-2, \bar{s})$.

For the proof of this result we shall use
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Lemma 1. If $\bar{s}$ is an interior point of $C_{n}$ and $P \in(n-1, \bar{s})$ but $P$ non $\in$ ( $n-2, \bar{s}$ ) then for every sufficiently small curve neighborhood $I(\bar{s})$ a curve neighborhood $J(\bar{s}), J(\bar{s}) \subset I(\bar{s})$, together with a space neighborhood $N(P)$ of $P$ exists with the following properties:
(1) Curve points $s, s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n-2}$ from $J(\bar{s})$ and a point $P^{\prime}$ of $N(P)$ build a hyperplane which cuts $I(\bar{s})$ in exactly one additional point $q(s)$. (Some or all of $s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n-2}$ may coincide.)
(2) As $s$ moves continuously in one direction in $J(\bar{s}), q(s)$ moves continuously in the opposite direction so that $q\left(s^{\prime}\right) \neq q\left(s^{\prime \prime}\right)$ if $s^{\prime} \neq s^{\prime \prime}$.

Proof of Lemma. As the lemma deals with local properties of $C_{n}$ it is sufficient to prove it within an affine $n$-subspace of the projective space which contains $P$ and $\bar{s}$. By hypothesis the linear $n-2$-subspace generated by any $n-1$ curve points will approach $(n-2, \bar{s})$ as these points all approach $\bar{s}$. Therefore and because $P$ non $\in(n-2, \bar{s})$ a curve neighborhood $I(\bar{s})$, i.e. a set of points $s$ containing $\bar{s}$ for which $s_{a}<s<s_{b}$, together with a point $P^{\prime}$ sufficiently close to $P$ will always generate a hyperplane $H$. $H$ converges to ( $n-1, \bar{s}$ ) as $P^{\prime} \rightarrow P$ and $s, s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n-2}$ converge to $\bar{s}$. The endpoints $s_{a}, s_{b}$ of $I(\bar{s})$ will be on the same or opposite sides of $H$ according as they are on the same or opposite sides of ( $n-1, \bar{s}$ ) provided $s, s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n-2}$ are in a sufficiently small neighborhood $I^{\prime}(\bar{s})$ and $P^{\prime}$ in a sufficiently small neighborhood $N^{\prime}$ of $P$. In this event the number of intersection points of $H$ and $I(\bar{s})$ will be odd or even according as $n$ is odd or even. Therefore $H$ cuts $I(\bar{s})$ in a point $q(s)$ in addition to the points $s, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n-2}$ and in no further points because of the order of $C_{n}$ by (1). For fixed $s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n-2}, q(s)$ moves continuously with $s$ because $H$ moves continuously with $s$. As $q(s), s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n-2}$ and $P^{\prime}$ define $H$ completely, two different positions of $s$ cannot define the same $q(s)$ because the order of the curve would exceed $n$ in this case. For the same reason $q(s)$ cannot experience a reversal as $s$ moves continuously in a fixed direction. As $H \rightarrow(n-1, \bar{s}), q(s) \rightarrow \bar{s}$. Hence neighborhoods $J(\bar{s}), N(P)$ with $J(\bar{s}) \subset I^{\prime}(\bar{s})$, $N(P) \subset N^{\prime}$ exist so that if $s, s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n-2} \in J(\bar{s}), P^{\prime} \in N(P)$ then $q(s) \in I^{\prime}(\bar{s})$ Consequently $q(q(s))$ is defined and must be equal to $s$ as $q(s), s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n-2}$ and $P^{\prime}$ define a unique hyperplane. If we project from $s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n-2}, P^{\prime}$ then $C_{n}$ will be projected into a curve of order two on the affine line. Points for which $s=q(s)$ will be projected into the reversal points of such a curve and as there are at most two such points we conclude $q(s) \neq s$ with at most two possible exceptions. Let $s^{\prime} \in J(\bar{s}), q\left(s^{\prime}\right) \neq s^{\prime}$. Then $q\left(s^{\prime}\right) \in I^{\prime}(\bar{s})$. Let $s$ move continuously in a fixed direction in $I^{\prime}(\bar{s})$ from $s^{\prime}$ to $q\left(s^{\prime}\right)$. $q(s)$ will move from $q\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ to $s^{\prime}$ in a fixed direction and remain in $I(\bar{s})$. As $I(\bar{s})$ is not the whole curve $C_{n}$ this can only happen if $q(s)$ moves in the direction opposite to that of $s$. The lemma is now completely proved.

We write $q(s)$ as $q\left(s, s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n-2}\right)$ because it is a function of the $n-1$ variables $s, s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n-2}$. If any one of these variables moves in a fixed direction in $J(\bar{s})$ while all the others remain fixed, $q\left(s, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n-2}\right)$ will move
in the opposite direction. To prove the theorem we note that, as $P$ is the limit of $P^{\mu}, P \in(n-1, \bar{s})$. We assume $P$ non $\in(n-2, \bar{s})$, construct neighborhoods $I(\bar{s}), J(\bar{s}), N(P)$, satisfying the conditions of the lemma and select $s^{\mu}{ }_{1}, s^{\mu}{ }_{2} \in J(\bar{s}), P^{\mu} \in N(P)$. Because $P^{\mu} \in\left(n-1, s^{\mu}\right), q\left(s^{\mu}{ }_{1}, s^{\mu}{ }_{1}, \ldots, s^{\mu_{1}}\right)$ $=s^{\mu}{ }_{1}$. Now if we move each of the variables successively from $s^{\mu}{ }_{1}$ to $s^{\mu_{2}}$ the point $q$ will move in the opposite direction and remain on $I(\bar{s})$ in accordance with the lemma. But as $I(\bar{s})$ is not the whole curve $C_{n}$ and $q\left(s^{\mu}, s^{\mu}, \ldots, s^{\mu}\right)$ $=s^{\mu}{ }_{2}$, this is impossible. Hence $P \in(n-2, \bar{s})$ and the theorem is proved.

Theorem 2. If $s$ belongs to an arc $s_{1}<s<s_{2}$ then not all of ( $n-1, s$ ) can pass through a single point.

Proof. The result is true for a $C_{1}$ as by definition two different values of $s$ define different curve points $(0, s)$. We assume the result true for $C_{n-1}$ and proceed by induction. Should an $\operatorname{arc} s_{1}<s<s_{2}$ of $C_{n}$ exist together with a point $P$ so that all $(n-1, s), s_{1}<s<s_{2}$, pass through $P$ then by Theorem 1 all ( $n-2, s$ ), $s_{1}<s<s_{2}$, must pass through the same point. If we project the curve $C_{n}$ from one of its points the resulting curve is a $C_{n-1}$ for which all ( $n-2, s$ ), $s_{1}<s<s_{2}$ pass through the projection of $P$. This contradicts the induction assumption and thus the theorem is proved.

Definition. A system of linear subspaces $S^{\mu}{ }_{r}$ is defined to converge to a subspace $S_{r}$ if a basis $\mathbf{a}^{\mu_{1}}, \mathbf{a}^{\mu}{ }_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}^{\mu}{ }_{r+1}$ exists for each $S^{\mu}{ }_{r}$, with $\mu \geqslant \mu_{0}$, such that $\mathbf{a}^{\mu}{ }_{k}, 1 \leqslant k \leqslant r+1$, converges to $\mathbf{a}_{k}$ where $\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{2_{k}} \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{r+1}$ is a basis of $S_{r}$.

Lemma 2. $S^{\mu}{ }_{r}$ is a set of linear subspaces of dimension $\geqslant r, 0 \leqslant r<n$, defined for positive integers $\mu$. The limit points of any point set $P^{\mu}, P^{\mu} \in S_{r}^{\mu}$, are all within a linear $r$-subspace $S_{r}$. Then $S^{\mu}{ }_{r}$ converges to $S_{r}$ as $\mu$ approaches infinity.

Proof. Let $T_{n-r-1}$ be any linear ( $n-r-1$ )-subspace such that the projective $n$-space is the direct sum of $T_{n-r-1}$ and $S_{r}$. We choose $\mu_{0}$ so large that $S^{\mu}{ }_{r}$ contains no elements of $T_{n-r-1}$ for $\mu \geqslant \mu_{0}$. This is possible as $T_{n-r-1}$ is a closed compact set which contains no elements of $S_{r}$. If vectors $\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{r+1}$ form a basis of $S_{r}$ each $S^{\mu}, \mu \geqslant \mu_{0}$ will have a basis $\mathbf{a}_{1}+\mathbf{p}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{2}+\mathbf{p}_{2}, \ldots$, $\mathbf{a}_{r+1}+\mathbf{p}_{r+1}$ where the vectors $\mathbf{p}_{1}, \mathbf{p}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_{r+1}$ define points of $T_{n-r-1}$. Hence all these $S^{\mu}{ }_{r}$ will have dimension $r$. All the vectors $\mathbf{p}_{1}, \mathbf{p}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_{r+1}$ must approach the null vector as $\mu$ approaches infinity otherwise we could construct a subsequence which would contradict the hypothesis. Thus the lemma is proved.

We introduce the following multiplicity convention:
A point $P$ is said to be within the space ( $n-1, s$ ) exactly $k$-fold if $P \in$ ( $n-k, s$ ), $P$ non $\in(n-k-1, s), 0<k<n$, and $n$-fold if $P=s$.

Lemma 3. For $n>1, k>1$ an arc $A$ of $C_{n}$ contains points $s_{1}, s_{\mathbf{2}}, \ldots, s_{k}$ with $s_{1} \leqslant s_{2} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant s_{k}$ and all different from one of its endpoints $s_{a} . \quad P$ is a space
point for which $P \neq s_{a}$ and $P \in\left(n-1, s_{i}\right), 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. Then the projection of $P$ from $s_{a}$ will be included within at least $k-1$ spaces $(n-2, s)$ of the projection $C_{n-1}$ of $C_{n}$ for which $s_{1} \leqslant s \leqslant s_{k}$. Multiple inclusions are to be interpreted in accordance with the multiplicity convention.

Proof. For $s$ on the given $\operatorname{arc} A$ of $C_{n}$ let $Q(s)$ be the intersection of $(n-1, s)$ and the line $s_{a} P ; \quad Q(s)$ is uniquely defined except possibly for $s=s_{a}$. It is continuous as ( $n-1, s$ ) is continuous by (2). It cannot cover the full projective line $s_{a} P$ as $Q(s) \neq s_{a}, s \neq s_{a}$, for all $s$ in $A$ including the second endpoint. For $i<k$ let $s_{i}<s_{i+1} ; \quad Q\left(s_{i}\right)=Q\left(s_{i+1}\right)=P$ but $Q(s)$ cannot be equal to $P$ for all $s$ with $s_{i}<s<s_{i+1}$ by Theorem 2 . Hence $Q(s)$ must attain an extremum at a point $s^{\prime}$ for which $s_{i}<s_{i}^{\prime}<s_{i+1}$. Within every curve neighborhood of $s^{\prime}{ }_{i}$ two points separated by $s^{\prime}{ }_{i}$ must exist for which $Q(s)$ attains the same value. Then by Theorem 1 and the continuity of $Q(s)$, $Q(s) \in\left(n-2, s_{i}^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $m$ be the number of different values of $s_{i}$ and let $s_{j}$ run through each of these different values exactly once. Let $n_{j}$ be the number of $s_{i}$ which assume the value $s_{j}$. By hypothesis $\sum_{j} n_{j}=k$. Let $\bar{P}$ be the projection of $P$ from $s_{a}$ and $C_{n-1}$ that of $C_{n}$. As the space ( $n-2, s_{i}^{\prime}$ ) of $C_{n}$ projects into the space $\left(n-2, s_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ of $C_{n-1}, \bar{P} \in\left(n-1-1, s_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. Similarly, if $P \in\left(n-n_{j}, s_{j}\right)$ of $C_{n}$ then $\bar{P} \in\left(n-1-\left(n_{j}-1\right), s_{j}\right)$ of $C_{n-1}$. Hence $\bar{P}$ is contained in at least $m-1+\sum_{j}\left(n_{j}-1\right)=k-1$ spaces $(n-2, s)$ of $C_{n-1}$ for which $s_{1} \leqslant s \leqslant s_{k}$. Thus the lemma is proved.

Theorem 3. No space point $P$ is within more than $n$ spaces $(n-1, s)$ of $C_{n}$.
Proof. This theorem is the statement that the dual of $C_{n}$ has property (1). As $C_{1}$ is self-dual it is true for $C_{1}$. We assume the result for curves $C_{n-1}$ and proceed by induction. If the result is false for a curve $C_{n}$ then an arc of this curve exists with distinct endpoints $s_{a}, s_{b}$ together with $n+1$ points $s_{1}, s_{2}$, $\ldots, s_{n+1}$ with $s_{a} \leqslant s_{1} \leqslant s_{2} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant s_{n+1} \leqslant s_{b}$ so that $P \in\left(n-1, s_{i}\right)$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$. Multiple inclusions are interpreted in accordance with the multiplicity convention. $\quad P$ cannot be the point $s_{a}$ for in this case $P$ would be included in ( $n-1, s_{a}$ ) $n$-fold and by (1) (with the added multiplicity convention) in no other spaces ( $n-1, s$ ). Let $P$ be included in ( $n-1, s_{a}$ ) $k$-fold, $0 \leqslant k<n$ where $k=0$ is to be interpreted as $P$ non $\in\left(n-1, s_{a}\right)$. Then $P$ is contained in $n-k+1$ spaces ( $n-1, s$ ) with $s \neq s_{a}$. If we project from $s_{a}$ then the projection $\bar{P}$ of $P$ will, by Lemma 3 , be contained in at least $n-k$ spaces ( $n-2, s$ ) of the projected curve $C_{n-1}$ in addition to being contained in $\left(n-2, s_{a}\right) k$-fold. In all, $\bar{P}$ is contained in at least $n$ spaces ( $n-2, s$ ) of $C_{n-1}$ in contradiction to the induction assumption. Hence $P$ can be contained in at most $n$ spaces ( $n-1, s$ ) and the theorem is proved.

Theorem 4. Points $s^{\mu}{ }_{1}, s^{\mu}{ }_{2}, \ldots, s^{\mu}{ }_{k+1}$ are defined for $\mu=0,1,2,3, \ldots$, and all converge to $\bar{s}$ as $\mu$ approaches infinity. Then the intersection $S^{\mu}$ of the
spaces $\left.\left(n-1, s^{\mu}\right),\left(n-1, s^{\mu}\right)_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(n-1, s^{\mu}{ }_{k+1}\right), 0 \leqslant k<n$, converges to ( $n-k-1, \bar{s}$ ). The points of $S^{\mu}$ are to be included $h$-fold within any hyperplane which occurs h times in this set.

Proof. The theorem is the statement that the dual of (2) is true for $C_{n}$. For $k=0$ the result is a statement of the continuity of $(n-1, s)$ which we assume by (2). In particular the result is true for $C_{1}$. Therefore let $k>0$. We assume the result for $C_{n-1}$ and proceed by induction. We select a point $P^{\mu}$ from each $S^{\mu}$. As the dimension of $S^{\mu} \geqslant n-k-1$ the truth of the theorem will result from Lemma 2 if we prove that every convergent subsequence $P^{\prime}$ of $P^{\mu}$ has its limit $P$ within ( $n-k-1, \bar{s}$ ). We may assume $s^{\mu}{ }_{1} \leqslant s^{\mu}{ }_{2} \leqslant$ $\ldots \leqslant s^{\mu}{ }_{k+1}$. With the help of Theorem 2 we select an $\operatorname{arc} A$ containing $\bar{s}$ for one of the endpoints $s_{a}$ of which $\bar{s} \neq s_{a}$ and $P$ non $\in\left(n-1, s_{a}\right)$. If we choose $P^{v}$ sufficiently close to $P$, we may assume $P^{v}$ non $\in\left(n-1, s_{a}\right)$ and also, if $s^{v_{1}}, s^{\nu}{ }_{2}, \ldots, s^{v}{ }_{k+1}$ are sufficiently close to $\bar{s}$, that these points will be within $A$ and different from $s_{a}$. Let $\bar{P}$ be the projection of $P$ from $s_{a}, C_{n-1}$ that of $C_{n}$ and $\bar{P}^{v}$ that of $P^{v}$. By Lemma $3, \bar{P}$ will be contained in $k$ spaces $(n-2, s)$ of $C_{n-1}$ with $s_{1} \leqslant s \leqslant s^{\nu}{ }_{k+1}$. $\bar{P}^{\nu}$ will converge to $\bar{P}$ and, by the induction assumption applied to $C_{n-1}, \bar{P} \in(n-1-k, \bar{s})$. Therefore $P$ is contained in the space generated by $s_{a}$ and $(n-k-1, \bar{s})$ of $C_{n}$. If $P$ non $\in(n-k-1, \bar{s})$. then $s_{a}$ will be in the space generated by $P$ and $(n-k-1, \bar{s})$. As $s_{a}$ may be chosen in infinitely many ways this would contradict the assumption (1). Hence $P \in(n-k-1, \bar{s})$. The theorem is then completely proved.
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