Dietary carbohydrate and lipid sources affect differently the oxidative status of European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) juveniles
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Abstract

This study aimed to evaluate the effects of dietary lipid source and carbohydrate content on the oxidative status of European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) juveniles. For that purpose, four diets were formulated with fish oil (FO) and vegetable oils (VO) as the lipid source and with 20 or 0 % gelatinised starch as the carbohydrate source, in a 2×2 factorial design. Liver and intestine antioxidant enzyme activities (catalse (CAT), superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GPX), glutathione reductase (GR), glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD)), hepatic and intestinal lipid peroxidation (LPO), as well as hepatic oxidative stress index (OSI), were measured in fish fed the experimental diets for 73 d (n 9 fish/diet). Carbohydrate-rich diets promoted a decrease in hepatic LPO and OSI, whereas the lipid source induced no changes. Inversely, dietary lipid source, but not dietary carbohydrate concentration, affected LPO in the intestine. Lower intestinal LPO was observed in VO groups. Enzymes responsive to dietary treatments were GR, G6PD and CAT in the liver and GR and GPX in the intestine. Dietary carbohydrate induced GR and G6PD activities and depressed CAT activity in the liver. GPX and GR activities were increased in the intestine of fish fed VO diets. Overall, effects of diet composition on oxidative status were tissue-related: the liver and intestine were strongly responsive to dietary carbohydrates and lipid sources, respectively. Furthermore, different metabolic routes were more active to deal with the oxidative stress in the two organs studied.
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Substitution of fishmeal (FM) and fish oil (FO) in aquafeeds by more readily available and sustainable alternative ingredients such as plant feedstuffs (PF) has become a priority issue in aquaculture(31). However, dietary replacement of fish oil by-products by PF may have negative health-related effects, especially in carnivorous species. For instance, vegetable oils (VO) were reported to induce alterations of the immune response in different fish species(2–7), change the fatty acid profile of immune cells or modify eicosanoid production(5,6,8). Inflammation and pathological morphological modifications in the intestine and steatosis in the liver were also reported in several species fed VO-rich diets(5–13). Inadequate dietary nutrient balance, high fibre or carbohydrate content and the presence of anti-nutritional factors in PF are possible causes for such effects(14,15).

Oxidative stress occurs when balance between the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) exceeds that of ROS removal, thus inducing oxidative damage. ROS are produced at a controlled rate during normal cell metabolism(16). However, under stressful conditions such as nutritional alterations, ROS may dramatically increase and induce oxidative stress. Accordingly, variations in diet macronutrient sources(7–15), dietary protein:non-protein ratios(20–23) or non-protein energy sources(30) have been linked to modifications of fish susceptibility to lipid peroxidation (LPO).

The knowledge about the effects of macronutrients on oxidative stress is still limited and the available data are contradictory. Regarding the effect of dietary lipid source, VO were reported to either decrease(7,18,20,25–27), increase(22) or promote no effects(31) in fish hepatic and/or muscular LPO susceptibility. High dietary protein and/or lipid concentrations were observed to increase muscular LPO susceptibility(20,28), whereas dietary carbohydrates decreased LPO in muscles and the liver(27,28,30).

To prevent oxidative damage, organisms have evolved effective antioxidant systems, consisting of both non-enzymatic (GSH, vitamins and carotenoids, among others) and enzymatic
mechanisms. Enzymes such as catalase (CAT), superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GPX) and glutathione reductase (GR) play key roles in the antioxidant defence mechanisms. Available literature suggests that dietary lipid source has no effect on the liver and muscle activities of SOD, CAT and/or GPX or decreased SOD activity in VO-rich diets in a number of fish species.

Concerning macronutrient modifications, it was reported that in common dentex (Dentex dentex) high dietary lipid concentrations induced an increase in hepatic SOD activity at high dietary protein concentrations, and of GPX, independent of dietary protein concentrations. The authors also observed an interaction between dietary protein and carbohydrate or lipid concentrations in muscular GR, and of GPX, independent of dietary lipid source has no effect on the liver and muscle activities. In yellow catfish (Pelteobagrus fulvidraco), a decrease in CAT and GPX activities as dietary carbohydrate: lipid ratio increased was also observed. In contrast, partial substitution of dietary proteins with carbohydrates induced no major alterations in antioxidant enzyme activities in Senegalese sole (Solea senegalensis) liver.

Overall, current knowledge about the effects of alternative feed ingredients, which may be rich in carbohydrates or VO, on the oxidative status of animals is still very scarce. Thus, in this study, we evaluated the effect of the dietary lipid source and carbohydrate manipulation on oxidative defence mechanisms in the liver and intestine of European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) juveniles.

### Methods

#### Experimental diets

Four diets differing in carbohydrate content (0 and 20 % gelatinised starch, CH– and CH+, respectively) and lipid source (fish oil (FO) or a VO blend, FO and VO, respectively) were formulated (Table 1). The dietary carbohydrate content was increased at the expense of dietary protein. The VO blend was composed of 20 % rapeseed, 50 % linseed and 30 % palm oils and replaced approximately 70 % of dietary lipids, which were provided by cod liver oil and FM. All the ingredients were finely ground, well-mixed and dry-extruded in a laboratory pellet mill (California Pellet Mill), through a 3-mm die. The pellets were air-dried for 24 h and stored in a refrigerator (4°C) until used.

#### Animals, experimental conditions and sampling

This experiment was directed by accredited scientists (following FELASA category C recommendations) and conducted according to the European Union Directive (2010/63/EU) on the protection of animals for scientific purposes. The study was performed at the Marine Zoological Station, University of Porto, Portugal, in a thermo-regulated recirculation water system, equipped with twelve fibre-glass cylindrical tanks of 300 litre water capacity, and supplied with continuous flow of filtered seawater.

After 2 weeks of adaptation to the experimental conditions, twelve groups of twenty European sea bass juveniles (initial body weight 74 ± 0.5 g) were established and randomly distributed into the tanks. The experimental diets were randomly assigned to triplicate groups of fish (n 3 tanks/diet). During the trial, salinity averaged 35 (SD 1) and dissolved oxygen was kept near saturation and water temperature was regulated to 25±4 °C. The photoperiod was the natural one for June–August. The trial lasted 73 d, and during that period fish were hand-fed twice a day, 6 d/week, to apparent visual satiety. Food intake and mortality were recorded daily, and fish in each tank were bulk-weighted at the end of the trial, after 1 d of feed deprivation to empty gut contents. To eliminate handling stress, the fish were continued to be fed for one more week, and subsequently 18 h after the last meal (previous day afternoon meal) three fish per tank (n 9 fish/diet) were killed with a sharp blow to the head; the liver and intestines were removed, immediately frozen in liquid N2 and stored at −80°C until enzymatic analyses.

#### Diet analysis

Chemical analyses of the experimental diets were carried out according to Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) as follows: in brief, DM after drying at 105°C until constant weight;
ash by incineration in a muffle furnace at 450°C for 16 h; protein content (N × 6.25) by the Kjeldahl method after acid digestion using a Kjeltec digestion and distillation units (models 1015 and 1026, respectively; Tecator Systems); starch content according to Beutler et al. (37); gross energy by direct combustion in an adiabatic bomb calorimeter (Parr model 6200; Parr Instruments), and lipid content by petroleum diethyl ether extraction (Soxtec 11 System; Höganäs). Fatty acid methyl esters were prepared by acid-catalysed transmethylation of total lipids using boron trifluoride in methanol (14%) according to Santha & Ackman (38) and were analysed by GC (Varian 3900; Varian) as described by Castro et al. (39).

Enzymatic activity assays

Liver and whole intestine samples were homogenised (dilution 1:4) in ice-cold buffer (100 mM-Tris-HCl, 0.1 mM-EDTA and 0.1% triton X-100 (v/v), pH 7.8). All the procedures were performed on ice. Homogenates were centrifuged at 30 000 g for 30 min at 4°C. After centrifugation, the resultant supernatant was collected, and the aliquots were stored at −80°C until analysis.

All enzyme assays were carried out at 37°C. Changes in absorbance were monitored using a microplate reader (ELx808; BioTek Instruments) to determine enzyme activities. The optimal substrate and protein concentrations for maximal activity measurement of each enzyme were established by preliminary assays. The molar extinction coefficients used for H2O2 and NADPH were 0.039 and 6.22 mm−1 cm−1, respectively.

The specific assay conditions for each enzyme were as follows.

SOD (EC 1.15.1.1) activity was measured at 550 nm by the ferricytochrome C method using xanthine/xanthine oxidase as the source of superoxide radicals (40). The reaction mixture consisted of 50 mM-potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.8), 0.1 mM-EDTA, 0.1 mM-xanthine, 0.012 mM-cytocrome C and 0.025 IU/ml xanthine oxidase. Activity was reported as units per mg of protein. One unit of activity was defined as the amount of enzyme necessary to produce 50% inhibition of ferricytochrome C reduction rate.

CAT (EC 1.11.1.6) activity was determined according to Aebi (41) by monitoring the decrease in H2O2 concentration at 240 nm. The reaction mixture contained 50 mM-potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7) and 10 mM-H2O2 freshly added.

GPX (EC 1.1.1.9) activity was assayed as described by Flohé & Günzler (42). The GSSG generated by GPX was reduced by GR, and NADPH consumption rate was monitored at 340 nm. The reaction mixture consisted of 50 mM-potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.1), 1 mM-EDTA, 3.9 mM-GSH, 3.9 mM-sodium azide, 1 IU/ml GR, 0.2 mM-NADPH and 0.05 mM-H2O2.

GR (EC 1.6.4.2) activity was determined at 340 nm by measuring the oxidation of NADPH as described by Morales et al. (43).

The reaction mixture consisted of 0.1 mM-sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5), 1 mM-EDTA, 0.63 mM-NADPH and 0.16 mM-GSSG.

Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD; EC 1.1.1.49) activity was assayed by measuring the reduction of NADP+ at 340 nm as previously described by Morales et al. (44), using a reaction mixture containing 50 mM-imidazole–HCl buffer (pH 7.4), 5 mM-MgCl2, 2 mM-NADP and 1 mM-glucose-6-phosphate.

Oxidative stress index (OSI) was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{OSI} = 100 \times (2 \times \text{GSSG}/t\text{GSH})
\]

Lipid peroxidation

Concentration of malondialdehyde (MDA) was determined as a marker for LPO following the methodology described by Buege & Aust (45). An aliquot of supernatant from the homogenate (100 μl) was mixed with 500 μl of a previously prepared solution containing 15% (w/v) TCA, 0.575% (w/v) thiobarbituric acid (TBA), 80% (v/v) HCl 0.25% and 0.01% (w/v) butylated hydroxytoluene. The mixture was heated to 100°C for 15 min. After being cooled to room temperature and centrifuged at 1500 g for 10 min, the absorbance was measured at 535 nm in the supernatant. MDA concentration was expressed as nmol MDA per g of tissue, calculated from a calibration curve.

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as mean values and standard deviations. Data were checked for normality and homogeneity of variances.
and when appropriate were normalised (log, inverse and arcsin(√(x/100)) transformations). Statistical evaluation of the data was carried out using a 2 × 2 factorial arrangement of treatments in a completely randomised experimental design (two-way ANOVA), with carbohydrate concentration and lipid source as fixed factors. The significance level of 0.05 was used for rejection of the null hypothesis. All the statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS 21.0 software package (IBM Corp.) for Windows.

Results
The diets presented small differences in the proportions of total SFA, which were slightly higher in the VO diets, and of MUFA, which were higher in the FO diets (Table 2). Within MUFA, higher concentrations of oleic acid (18 : 1n-9) were found in the VO diets, whereas the opposite occurred for palmitoleic acid (16 : 1n-7), eicosenoic acid (20 : 1n-9) and erucic acid (22 : 1n-9). Linoleic acid (LA, 18 : 2n-6), n-6 PUFA, was considerably higher in the VO diets. Regarding n-3 PUFA, VO diets were particularly rich in linolenic acid (LNA, 18 : 3n-3) and poor in EPA (20 : 5n-3) and DHA (22 : 6n-3) as well as n-3 PUFA:n-6 PUFA ratio. Except for ARA concentrations, lower in groups fed the CH+ diets, no other differences were found for hepatic n-6 PUFA concentrations. Regarding n-3 PUFA, groups fed the CH+ diets presented similar LNA concentrations but lower EPA and DHA concentrations compared with those fed CH– diets. Unsaturation index was lower in fish fed the CH+ diets. On the other hand, differences between lipid sources on unsaturation index were only evident in the CH– group. During the trial, no mortality occurred.

Overall, both in the liver and in the intestines, there were no interactions between dietary carbohydrate and lipid source in the activities of oxidative stress enzymes or in LPO values. In the liver, dietary lipid source did not affect the activities of oxidative stress enzymes or LPO values, whereas dietary carbohydrates promoted a decrease in LPO values and in CAT activity and an increase in GR and G6PD activities (Table 4). Moreover, in the liver, SOD and GPX activities were not affected by dietary carbohydrates. In the intestine, the dietary carbohydrate level did not affect the activities of oxidative stress enzymes or LPO values, whereas dietary VO promoted a decrease in LPO and an increase in GPX and GR activities (Table 5). No effects on activities of CAT, SOD or G6PD in relation to diet composition were observed in the intestine.

In the liver, higher GSH content and lower GSSG levels and OSI were observed in the carbohydrate groups (Fig. 1), but no effects of dietary lipid source on glutathione (total, reduced and oxidised) or OSI were observed.

Discussion
In this study, low susceptibility to LPO was observed in the liver of fish fed carbohydrate-rich diets, which is in agreement with previous observations on muscles of this and other fish species(27,28). The beneficial effect of dietary carbohydrates on lipid oxidative damage may be related to the nature of the glucose molecule, which can scavenge ROS by itself(50), or through increased activity of the pentose phosphate pathway(27,28). The beneficial effect of dietary carbohydrates on lipid oxidative damage may be related to the nature of the glucose molecule, which can scavenge ROS by itself(50), or through increased activity of the pentose phosphate pathway(27,28). The pentose phosphate pathway, via G6PD, generates reducing power in the form of NADPH, which is required for the activity of two H2O2-scavenging pathways involving CAT and GPX(8,52). In fact, along with lower hepatic LPO levels, higher G6PD activity was observed in European sea bass fed carbohydrate-rich diets. Furthermore, dietary carbohydrates also promoted an increase in hepatic GR activity, an enzyme that also requires NADPH to regenerate reduced glutathione.

Results of the feeding trial were not the aim of this study and are presented elsewhere(49).

In brief, growth performance and feed efficiency were not affected by dietary treatments. Final body mass averaged 221.7 (SD 5.4) g, feed efficiency (wet weight gain (g)/dry feed intake (g)) averaged 0.8 (SD 0.03) and feed intake (g/kg average body mass per d) averaged 16.6 (SD 0.7). Liver fatty acid composition is summarised in Table 3. Accordingly, liver samples of fish fed VO-based diets were characterised by higher concentrations of LA (18 : 2n-6), γ-LNA (GLA; 18 : 3n-6) and LNA (18 : 3n-3) and by lower concentration of arachidonic acid (ARA; 20 : 4n-6), EPA (20 : 5n-3) and DHA (22 : 6n-3) as well as n-3 PUFA:n-6 PUFA ratio. Except for ARA concentrations, lower in groups fed the CH+ diets, no other differences were found for hepatic n-6 PUFA concentrations. Regarding n-3 PUFA, groups fed the CH+ diets presented similar LNA concentrations but lower EPA and DHA concentrations compared with those fed CH– diets. Unsaturation index was lower in fish fed the CH+ diets. On the other hand, differences between lipid sources on unsaturation index were only evident in the CH– group. During the trial, no mortality occurred.

Overall, both in the liver and in the intestines, there were no interactions between dietary carbohydrate and lipid source in the activities of oxidative stress enzymes or in LPO values. In the liver, dietary lipid source did not affect the activities of oxidative stress enzymes or LPO values, whereas dietary carbohydrates promoted a decrease in LPO values and in CAT activity and an increase in GR and G6PD activities (Table 4). Moreover, in the liver, SOD and GPX activities were not affected by dietary carbohydrates. In the intestine, the dietary carbohydrate level did not affect the activities of oxidative stress enzymes or LPO values, whereas dietary VO promoted a decrease in LPO and an increase in GPX and GR activities (Table 5). No effects on activities of CAT, SOD or G6PD in relation to diet composition were observed in the intestine.

In the liver, higher GSH content and lower GSSG levels and OSI were observed in the carbohydrate groups (Fig. 1), but no effects of dietary lipid source on glutathione (total, reduced and oxidised) or OSI were observed.

Discussion
In this study, low susceptibility to LPO was observed in the liver of fish fed carbohydrate-rich diets, which is in agreement with previous observations on muscles of this and other fish species(27,28). The beneficial effect of dietary carbohydrates on lipid oxidative damage may be related to the nature of the glucose molecule, which can scavenge ROS by itself(50), or through increased activity of the pentose phosphate pathway(27,28). The pentose phosphate pathway, via G6PD, generates reducing power in the form of NADPH, which is required for the activity of two H2O2-scavenging pathways involving CAT and GPX(8,52). In fact, along with lower hepatic LPO levels, higher G6PD activity was observed in European sea bass fed carbohydrate-rich diets. Furthermore, dietary carbohydrates also promoted an increase in hepatic GR activity, an enzyme that also requires NADPH to regenerate reduced glutathione.
### Table 3. Liver fatty acid (FA) profile (% of total FA) of European sea bass fed the experimental diets
(Mean values and standard deviations; n 6 fish)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbohydrates (CH)</th>
<th>Lipid source (LS)</th>
<th>FA</th>
<th>n-6 PUFA</th>
<th>18 : 2n-6</th>
<th>18 : 3n-6</th>
<th>20 : 4n-6</th>
<th>n-3 PUFA</th>
<th>18 : 3n-3</th>
<th>20 : 5n-3</th>
<th>22 : 6n-3</th>
<th>Ratios</th>
<th>Unsaturation index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FO</td>
<td>CH-</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH+</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VO</td>
<td>CH-</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH+</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FO, fish oil; VO, blend of vegetable oils; CH content, 0 % (CH-) or 20 % (CH+) gelatinised maize starch.
* Significant differences at P < 0.05 (two-way ANOVA).

### Table 4. Specific activities of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD), glutathione peroxidase (GPX), glutathione reductase (GR) (mU/mg protein), catalase (CAT), superoxide dismutase (SOD) (U/mg protein) and lipid peroxidation (LPO) (nmol malondialdehyde per g tissue) in the liver of European sea bass fed the experimental diets
(Mean values and standard deviations; n 9 fish)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbohydrates (CH)</th>
<th>Lipid source (LS)</th>
<th>G6PD</th>
<th>GPX</th>
<th>GR</th>
<th>CAT</th>
<th>SOD</th>
<th>LPO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FO</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH-</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH+</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VO</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH-</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH+</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FO, fish oil; VO, blend of vegetable oils; CH content, 0 % (CH-) or 20 % (CH+) gelatinised maize starch.
* Significant differences at P < 0.05 (two-way ANOVA).

### Table 5. Specific activities of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD), glutathione peroxidase (GPX), glutathione reductase (GR) (mU/mg protein), superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) (U/mg protein) and lipid peroxidation (LPO) (nmol malondialdehyde per g tissue) in the intestine of European sea bass fed the experimental diets
(Mean values and standard deviations; n 9 fish)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbohydrates (CH)</th>
<th>Lipid source (LS)</th>
<th>G6PD</th>
<th>GPX</th>
<th>GR</th>
<th>CAT</th>
<th>SOD</th>
<th>LPO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FO</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH+</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VO</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH+</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FO, fish oil; VO, blend of vegetable oils; CH content, 0 % (CH-) or 20 % (CH+) gelatinised maize starch.
* Significant differences at P < 0.05 (two-way ANOVA).
(GSH) to its oxidised form (GSSG). Consistent with this observation, higher concentration of GSH and lower concentration of GSSG were observed in the liver of fish fed carbohydrate-rich diets. GSH is an essential substrate for GPX, but as it is also an effective ROS scavenger it plays an important role by preventing some of the deleterious effects of oxidative stress. Accordingly, liver OSI, a biomarker of the cellular redox state, was also lower in groups fed carbohydrate-rich diets. Studies using OSI to assess the effects of oxidative stress in the liver of European sea bass fed experimental diets. Fish oil (FO), blend of vegetable oils (VO); carbohydrate content: 0% (CH–) or 20% (CH+) gelatinised maize starch. Values are means (n 9 fish), with standard deviations represented by vertical bars. Significant differences at P < 0.05 (two-way ANOVA).

**Fig. 1.** Total glutathione (tGSH), GSH, GSSG and oxidative stress index (OSI) in the liver (L) of European sea bass fed experimental diets. Fish oil (FO), blend of vegetable oils (VO); carbohydrate content: 0% (CH–) or 20% (CH+) gelatinised maize starch. Values are means (n 9 fish), with standard deviations represented by vertical bars. Significant differences at P < 0.05 (two-way ANOVA).

(1589) Oxidative status of European sea bass

Lightolabrax japonicas (L.) is rich in long-chain PUFA (LC-PUFA), whereas VO is rich in PUFA, and it is well established that due to their high degree of unsaturation LC-PUFA are more susceptible to peroxidation than PUFA or MUFA, thus promoting the formation of more free radicals. In the present study, the dietary lipid source affected the intestinal oxidative status in European sea bass fed FO-blend diets are consistent with previously reported values (1.9 to 2.5 in VO groups and 4.3 in FO group) for this species also fed similar VO-blend based diets. FO is rich in long-chain PUFA (LC-PUFA), whereas VO is rich in PUFA, and it is well established that due to their high degree of unsaturation LC-PUFA are more susceptible to peroxidation than PUFA or MUFA, thus promoting the formation of more free radicals. Accordingly, in this study, higher hepatic LC-PUFA content was observed in European sea bass fed the FO-based diets than the VO-rich diets, although liver oxidative status was not affected by dietary lipid source. In fact, although unsaturation index was lower in fish fed the carbohydrate-rich diets, differences related to lipid sources were only evident in the CH– group (lower in VO diet). Similarly, partial replacement of FO by VO did not induce changes in hepatic lipid oxidative damages and antioxidant enzyme activities of Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua) or rockfish (Sebastes schlegelii) or in LPO concentration in Japanese sea bass (L. japonicas).

In contrast to what was observed in the liver, in the present study, the dietary lipid source affected the intestinal oxidative status in European sea bass juveniles. Overall, oxidative lipid stress measured as LPO concentration was higher in fish fed the FO-based diets than the VO-rich diets. Studies addressing the effect of dietary lipid source on fish oxidative status were mainly focused on liver and muscle tissues. To the best of the authors’ knowledge, the impact of dietary lipid source on intestinal oxidative status of fish was only evaluated in Japanese sea bass, and it was limited to the assessment of LPO.
Accordingly, Morais et al. (70,71) suggested that intestinal oxidative status may be affected by changes in antioxidant potential may explain the lower intestinal suscept-

able to oxidative status, and seems to require high activity of antioxidant enzymes.

In conclusion, results of the present study indicate that liver and intestine oxidative status balance were regulated through different metabolic pathways, and that dietary macronutrients affected metabolic pathways differently in each tissue. In addition, the observed LPO values suggest that susceptibility to oxidative stress was more important in the intestine than in the liver. Dietary carbohydrates contributed to reduced oxidative stress only in the liver, whereas the intestine was exclusively responsive to the dietary lipid source, with VO having a positive effect on the reduction of oxidative stress. Nowadays a wide range of PF are already used in commercial aquafeeds, including cereals and peas, which are rich sources of starch, and may therefore have a protective effect on liver oxidative stress. Given the importance of the intestine on whole-body homoeostasis and health, increased attention should be paid to this tissue in future studies evaluating the impact of dietary alternative ingredients on oxidative stress.
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