# Effects of L-carnitine supplementation of diets differing in energy levels on performance, abdominal fat content, and yield and composition of edible meat of broilers

Mahmoud H. Rabie<sup>†</sup> and Mihály Szilágyi<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Biochemistry, Research Institute for Animal Breeding & Nutrition, H-2053 Herceghalom, Hungary

(Received 23 November 1997 – Revised 2 April 1998 – Accepted 23 April 1998)

Responses to supplemental dietary L-carnitine of broilers fed on diets with different levels of metabolizable energy (ME) were investigated using growth performance and some carcass measurements. Three isonitrogenous diets containing 13.5, 12.8 or 12.2 MJ ME/kg were formulated, with or without supplemental L-carnitine (50 mg/kg) and fed *ad libitum* from 18 to 53 d of age. Supplemental L-carnitine increased body-weight gain (BWG) and improved feed conversion (FC) during the first 2 weeks of study. FC was also improved during the fourth week of the experiment. Weights of breast yield and thigh meat yield were significantly increased, whereas quantity and percentage of abdominal fat were reduced by supplemental L-carnitine. A significant interaction between supplemental dietary L-carnitine and dietary energy level was noted for BWG and FC during the second week of study.

# L-Carnitine: Metabolizable energy: Growth: Carcass quality

Excessive fatness is one of the undesirable consequences of selection for increased growth of modern broiler chickens. Accumulation of fat in carcasses of broilers, particularly in abdominal and visceral areas, represents a waste product to consumers who are increasingly concerned about the nutritional and health aspects of their food. Such obese broilers will be unattractive to those consumers, and thus will lead to decreased saleability, which in turn reduces the net returns for the producers. In addition, from the nutritional point of view, the deposition of abdominal fat is a non-profitable conversion of dietary energy. Moreover, broilers containing excessive abdominal fat are less desirable also for the processors, because the partially removed fat will increase the waste-disposal problems during the processing procedures.

Fat deposition in modern broilers depends to a great extent on their voracious appetite. For this reason, nutritionists continuously try to mediate this problem by means of dietary manipulations, in order to achieve the desired characteristics of growth and carcass composition. In addition to optimizing growth rate and feed utilization, there is also an ongoing demand to maximize growth of lean tissue and to minimize the undesirable fat accumulation in broilers at marketing age. Since carcass fat deposition can be altered through modifying the energy intake of the broiler (Summers & Leeson, 1984; Leeson *et al.* 1996*a*,*b*), it seems reasonable that some positive effects may be obtained by reducing the energy level in broiler diets fed during the growing and finishing periods when the birds consume the major portion of their overall feed consumption.

L-Carnitine ( $\beta$ -OH- $\gamma$ -N-trimethylaminobutyric acid) is a small-molecular-weight water-soluble quaternary amine which occurs naturally in micro-organisms, plants and animals (Bremer, 1983). Its concentrations in animals vary according to species (Szilágyi et al. 1992), tissue type (Bremer, 1983; Rinaudo et al. 1991) and nutritional status of the animal (Khan & Bamji, 1979). Dietary lysine and methionine are the exogenous precursors for L-carnitine biosynthesis, in the presence of  $Fe^{2+}$  and a number of vitamins (ascorbate, niacin and pyridoxine) which are required as cofactors for the enzymes involved in the metabolic pathway of L-carnitine (Sándor et al. 1983; Feller & Rudman, 1988; Rebouche, 1991; Leibetseder, 1995). However, little L-carnitine has been reported to be found in cereal grains and their by-products (Baumgartner & Blum, 1993); however, these feed ingredients usually constitute the major portion of poultry diets.

L-Carnitine promotes the mitochondrial  $\beta$ -oxidation of long-chain fatty acids by facilitating their transfer across the inner mitochondrial membrane. It also facilitates the removal from mitochondria of short-chain and medium-chain fatty acids that accumulate as a result of normal and abnormal metabolism (Bremer, 1983; Rebouche, 1992).

Abbreviations: BWG, body-weight gain; FC, feed conversion; ME, metabolizable energy.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Professor Mihály Szilágyi, fax +36 23 319 133.

<sup>†</sup>Present address: Department of Poultry Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, El-Mansoura, Egypt.

Several studies on pigs, fish, quail (Coturnix coturnix), foals and broiler chickens have shown that growth performance was significantly improved by feeding dietary L-carnitine (Santulli & D'Amelio, 1986; Weeden et al. 1991; Lettner et al. 1992; Schuhmacher et al. 1993; Torreele et al. 1993; Hausenblasz et al. 1996; Rabie et al. 1997c,d). With laying hens, supplemental dietary L-carnitine resulted in an improvement in the albumen quality of eggs, measured as albumen height and Haugh unit score, during the early and late stages of laying period (Rabie et al. 1997a,b). Leibetseder (1995) has reported that egg hatchability increased from 83 to 87 % and from 82.4 to 85.3 % when broiler breeders were fed on diets supplemented with L-carnitine at levels of 50 and 100 mg/kg diet respectively. In contrast, some investigators failed to observe any favourable responses to added dietary carnitine (Cartwright, 1986; Barker & Sell, 1994).

In view of the key role of L-carnitine in energy metabolism, we have hypothesized that its incorporation into broiler diets may contribute to a reduction in the degree of adiposity in broiler chickens, particularly when they are fed on diets with different energy contents. Dietary energy level is usually increased by the addition of fat. Thus, if added L-carnitine could enhance the utilization of dietary fat, the other dietary components would be metabolized in favour of protein accretion (deposition). Thus, the purpose of the current study was to investigate the responses to supplemental dietary L-carnitine of broiler chickens fed on diets with different levels of metabolizable energy (ME) during the growing and finishing periods from 18 to 53 d of age. The responses were measured in terms of weekly feed intake, energy intake, body-weight gain (BWG), feed conversion (feed intake : BWG; FC), carcass yield, abdominal fat content and composition of the liver as well as the breast and thigh meat of broilers.

# Materials and methods

# Birds and diets

A total of 180 1-d-old Hybro broiler chicks (HE-ROS Ltd., Ócsa, Hungary) were used in the present study. The chicks were fed on a commercial starter diet from 1-d-old to 18 d of age and then switched to the experimental diets. Three isonitrogenous diets (180 g crude protein (N×6.25)/kg) were formulated to contain 13.5, 12.8 or 12.2 MJ ME/kg (Table 1), with or without supplemental L-carnitine (50 mg/ kg diet), in the form of Carniking® (LONZA Ltd., Basel, Switzerland); thus providing six experimental diets. Except for energy level, these diets were formulated to meet or slightly exceed the nutrient requirements of broilers, as specified by the National Research Council (1984). The diet containing 13.5 MJ ME/kg was considered to serve as a control diet. All diets were offered to the birds in the form of mash. L-Carnitine was incorporated into diets at the expense of maize.

Dietary metabolizable energy levels (MJ/kg)…	13.5	12.8	12.2
Ingredients			
Yellow maize	507.3	613·4	203.2
Soyabean meal (470 g crude protein N×6·25/kg)	241·1	230.2	169.0
Animal-vegetable fat†	110.5	15·1	0.0
Wheat	100.0	100.0	585·4
Limestone	16·2	16·4	15·9
Monocalcium phosphate	12·7	12·2	11.9
DL-Methionine	2.7	2.6	2.9
L-Lysine hydrochloride	1.5	1⋅8	3.7
Total	1000.0	1000.0	1000.0
Calculated analyses			
Diethyl ether extract	74.7	41·2	25.6
Crude fibre	31.0	31.4	32.9
Methionine	5.3	5.3	5.5
Threonine	6.6	6.7	6.0
Metabolizable energy: MJ/kg	13·5	12·8	12·2
kcal/kg	3226.5	3059.2	2915.8
Energy (kcal): protein (g/kg)‡	17.9	17.0	16.2
Determined analyses			
DM	940.5	935.5	950·0
Crude protein	180.2	180.5	179.5
Diethyl ether extract	74·2	41·0	25.9
Crude fibre	31.7	39.7	33.0
Ash	59.5	51·0	<b>50</b> ∙0
Gross energy (MJ/kg DM)	16.3	15.5	14·7

Table 1. Composition and proximate analyses (g/kg) of the basal diets fed to broilers\*

\*All diets contained (g/kg): sodium chloride 3, vitamin and mineral premix 5, protein 180, lysine 10-6, methionine + cystine 8-2, tryptophan 2-1, Ca 9, P 6. The vitamin and mineral premix supplied (/kg diet): retinol 2-36 mg, cholecalciferol 50-2 mg, DL-α-tocopheryl acetate 9-81 mg, menadione 3-8 mg, thiamin 3-52 mg, riboflavin 9 mg, pyridoxine 5-28 mg, vitamin B<sub>12</sub> 0-015 mg, pantothenic acid 25 mg, niacin 35 mg, choline chloride 1750 mg, Ca 8-89 g, P 2-66 g, NaCl 2-45 g, Mn 60 mg, Fe 45 mg, Cu 8 mg, Zn 50 mg, I 3 mg, Se 0-15 mg, ethoxyquin 125 mg.

† Contained 400 g lard and 600 g maize flakes (Favorit-40; Biofilter KFT, Budaõrs, Farkasréti u 94, Hungary). ‡ Based on the calculated values of metabolizable energy and crude protein in the basal diets.

#### Housing and management

During the starter period, chicks were kept in conventional wire-floored brooding batteries (placed in an open-sided growing house equipped with a gas heating system) and provided with feed and water on an *ad libitum* basis.

At 18 d of age, all chicks were wing-banded, weighed individually, randomly allocated to six experimental groups and transferred to three-tier double-sided wire floor rearing batteries equipped with nipple drinkers, with continuous lighting throughout the course of experiment. Each battery consisted of twelve compartments. Each experimental group of ten birds was replicated three times and housed in battery compartments, each measuring 600 mm × 900 mm. Birds had free access to feed and water throughout the experimental period, from 18 to 53 d of age.

# Measurements of responses

*Live performance.* The performance of broiler chickens was evaluated in terms of weekly feed intake, energy intake, BWG and FC, as influenced by dietary L-carnitine supplementation to isonitrogenous diets with different energy levels during the growing and finishing periods.

Individual live body weights of the chicks were recorded at the beginning of experiment (18-d-old) and on a weekly basis thereafter. Weekly records of feed intake for each of the replicate groups for each treatment were also maintained. Data recorded for weekly feed intake were used to calculate mean energy intake and FC (feed intake : BWG).

*Carcass yield and quality.* As with all broiler chickens, birds destined for slaughter had free access to feed and water, in order to avoid a possible effect of feed withdrawal for a period of time before slaughter on the fat content of liver and/or other tissues, which may mask some of the effects of factors in question or confound the interpretation of the results obtained.

In order to examine the effect of L-carnitine on fat deposition, we delayed the time of slaughter beyond the normal age used in common practice (i.e. to 53 d of age). At this stage, we made the following measurements: carcass yield and components (weights and percentages of eviscerated carcass, giblets, total edible parts, breast yield including bones, thigh plus drumstick yield including bones, breast meat yield and thigh plus drumstick meat yield), abdominal fat content (as an absolute weight and as a percentage of body weight) and composition of edible meat of broilers (in terms of DM, crude protein and diethyl ether extract contents of liver, breast meat and thigh plus drumstick meat). Six birds from each treatment, with body weights approximating to the mean value of the representative group, were selected and killed by decapitation. The carcasses were immediately scalded, feather picked and eviscerated, and then chilled overnight in a refrigerator at 4° in order to facilitate the removal of the abdominal fat pad. The dissection of carcasses was performed according to the procedure described by Jensen (1984), and the abdominal fat pad was removed according to the method outlined by Fancher & Jensen (1989). From one side of breast and one thigh plus drumstick of each bird, meat (with skin) was excised and weighed separately. Total meat yields

for both breast and thighs (including drumsticks) were calculated as the amount of meat excised  $\times 2$ . Weights of the dissected parts of the carcasses and of breast and thigh meat were determined to the nearest 1 g, while those of the abdominal fat pad and organs (liver, heart and gizzard) were measured to the nearest 0.1 g. Samples of liver, breast meat and thigh plus drumstick meat were removed and frozen  $(-20^\circ)$  until analysed.

### Laboratory analyses

The frozen samples of liver and breast and thigh meat were dried in a forced-draft oven at 65°, ground and used for chemical analysis. The proximate analyses for both the basal experimental diets and meat samples of breast, thigh and liver were carried out according to the official methods of analysis (Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 1980). The gross energy contents of the basal experimental diets were determined using an adiabatic oxygen bomb calorimeter.

#### Statistical analysis

A completely randomized design in factorial arrangement of treatments, three levels of dietary ME (13.5, 12.8 or 12.2 MJ ME/kg) with or without L-carnitine supplementation (50 mg/kg diet), was used to separate the effect of dietary energy level from that of L-carnitine supplementation. The statistical processing of data was performed using the Statgraphics Program (Statistical Graphics Corporation, 1991) based on a multifactorial ANOVA, with  $P \le 0.05$ considered to be significant. After ANOVA, significantly different means for each variable were separated using Duncan's multiple-range test (Duncan, 1955).

#### Results

Table 2 shows values for feed and energy intakes of broilers fed on L-carnitine-supplemented diets with different energy levels during the growing and finishing periods from 18 to 53 d of age. Neither feed nor energy intakes were affected by dietary L-carnitine supplementation. However, feed intake was significantly increased by decreasing the dietary energy level below 13.5 MJ ME/kg during the period from 18 to 32 d of age and over the experimental feeding period, with or without added dietary L-carnitine. Energy intake of birds fed on the lowest level of dietary energy (12.2 MJ ME/kg diet) was significantly lower than that of birds fed on the highest dietary energy level (13.5 MJ ME/kg diet) in the feeding period 46–53 d of age. In the other feeding periods, dietary energy level had no effect on energy intake, irrespective of L-carnitine supplementation. There was no interaction between dietary energy level and supplemental L-carnitine with respect to feed or energy intakes of broilers throughout the experimental period.

Weekly and overall means for BWG and FC of broilers fed on the experimental diets from 18 to 53 d of age are presented in Table 3. The addition of L-carnitine to grower– finisher diets of broilers resulted in significant increases in BWG and FC, independent of dietary energy level. Higher values for BWG in response to added dietary L-carnitine

Table 2. Effects of dietary L-carniti	ne supplementatio	on with differe	int dietary mei	tabolizable en beriods from 1	ergy (ME) leve 8 to 53 d of ag	e* e*	energy int	akes of bro	iler chickens	s during the	growing and	d finishing
		Ε	ed intake (g/	oird per period	(			Energ	ly intake (M⊾	J/bird per pe	riod)	
Experimental period (d of age) Dietary treatments	. 18–25	25–32	32–39	39–46	46–53	18–53	18–25	25–32	32–39	39–46	46–53	18–53
Energy (MJ/kg) L-Carnitine (mg/	kg)											
13-5 0	440	660	863	1040	1023	4027	5.94	8.91	11.66	14.04	13·82	54.36
13.5 50	450	647	873	1060	1030	4060	6·08	8·73	11.79	14 <sup>.</sup> 31	13·91	54.81
12·8 0	490	687	883	1090	1060	4210	6·27	8·79	11·31	13·95	13·57	53·89
12·8 50	500	730	890	1083	1047	4250	6·40	9.34	11·39	13·87	13·40	54.40
12·2 0	520	750	006	1093	1070	4333	6·34	9.15	10.98	13·34	13·05	52.87
12.2 50	523	740	910	1090	1080	4343	6·38	9.03	11.10	13·30	13·18	52.99
semt	13.4	19.5	23.7	35.5	17.6	77.3	0.17	0.24	0.30	0-44	0.22	0.96
Effect of energy level (MJ/kg):												
13.5	$445^{\circ}$	653°	868	1050	1027	4043°	6·01	8·82	11.72	14 <sup>.</sup> 18	13.86 <sup>a</sup>	54.59
12.8	495 <sup>ab</sup>	708 <sup>ab</sup>	887	1087	1053	4230 <sup>ab</sup>	6·34	9.07	11.35	13·91	13.48 <sup>ab</sup>	54.14
12.2	522 <sup>a</sup>	745 <sup>a</sup>	905	1092	1075	$4338^{a}$	6·36	9·09	11.04	13·32	13.12 <sup>bc</sup>	52.93
SEMT	9.48	13.8	16.7	25.1	12.4	54.7	0·12	0.17	0.22	0.31	0·16	0.68
Effect of L-carnitine level (mg/kg):												
0	483	669	882	1074	1051	4190	6·19	8·95	11·31	13.78	13.48	53·70
50	491 	706	891 20-	1078	1052	4218	6.29	9.03	11-43	13.82	13·49	54·07
SEMT	7.74	11:3	13.7	20.5	10.2	44.6	0.10	0·14	0·18	0.26	0.13	0.56
Source of variation (P values):	0000		0 0055	0.4600	0.0500		91110	0.4066			2010	
LI-Carnitine	0.4983	0.6877	0.6588	0.9115	0.9404	0.6723	0.1110	0.6811	0.6594	0.8979	0.0414	0.6592
Energy $\times$ L-carnitine	0.9597	0.3020	0-9967	0.9201	0.7772	0.9795	0.9548	0·2768	0.9964	0.9095	0.7736	0.9767
<sup>a,b,c</sup> Means in the same collimn with iin	ike sunerscrints lette	are were signific	antly different ()	0.05)								

<sup>a.b.c</sup> Means in the same column with unlike superscripts letters were significantly different (P < 0.05). \* For details of animals and procedures, see pp. 392–393. † Standard error of the differences among (or between) means (n 3).

394

# M. H. Rabie and M. Szilágyi

were observed during the first 2 weeks of the experimental period (18–32 d of age) and over the entire experimental period. Improvements in FC in response to supplemental dietary L-carnitine were observed during the same periods, and also during the feeding period 39–46 d of age.

Regardless of L-carnitine supplementation, dietary energy level had a significant effect on BWG and FC (Table 3). There were significant reductions in BWG over the experimental period when dietary energy level was decreased from 13.5 to 12.2 MJ ME/kg diet, except during the feeding period 46-53 d of age. In most feeding periods studied, the differences observed in BWG between birds fed on the highest level (13.5 MJ ME/kg diet) and those fed on the medium level (12.8 MJ ME/kg diet) of dietary energy were not significant; but significant differences in BWG were observed between birds fed on the medium energy level compared with those fed on the lowest level (12.2 MJ ME/kg diet) of dietary energy. In most feeding periods examined, significant differences in FC values were found among groups of birds fed on the three dietary energy levels, with and without supplemental dietary L-carnitine. Throughout the experiment, the highest values for FC were achieved by birds fed on the highest dietary energy level, followed by those of birds fed on medium level; those birds fed on the lowest level of dietary energy had the lowest FC. There was a significant interaction between added dietary L-carnitine and dietary energy level with respect to BWG and FC during the period from 25 to 32d of age (Table 3). Values for the absolute weights of carcass yield and components at 53 d of age are summarized in Table 4. Also, it should be pointed out that the relative weight of abdominal fat (i.e. as a proportion of total body weight) decreased considerably in response to dietary L-carnitine supplementation and decreasing dietary energy level.

Dietary energy level also had a pronounced effect on some variables of carcass yield and quality, independent of L-carnitine. Decreasing dietary energy level from 13.5 to 12.2 MJ ME/kg in the grower-finisher diets of broilers resulted in significant reductions in 53 d live body weight and concomitant decreases in weights of eviscerated carcass, liver, breast yield (including bones), breast meat yield, thigh yield (thighs plus drumsticks with bones), thigh meat yield (meat excised from thighs plus drumsticks), total edible parts and abdominal fat contents of 53 d-old broiler chickens (Table 4).

All other carcass variables studied were unaffected by either dietary energy level or L-carnitine supplementation. Similarly, the interaction between supplemental dietary L-carnitine and dietary energy level was not significant for all carcass variables investigated. In addition, neither L-carnitine supplementation nor dietary energy level affected the composition of the edible meat (i.e. liver, breast meat, or thigh meat) of 53-d-old broilers, in terms of its contents of DM, crude protein and diethyl ether extract. No interaction was found between supplemental dietary L-carnitine and dietary energy level for any of the proximate analyses used to evaluate the composition of the edible meat of broilers at 53 d of age. As the dietary treatments had no significant effects on the composition of the edible meat, these data are not presented.

# Discussion

#### Effect of supplemental dietary L-carnitine

Theoretically, dietary L-carnitine could play a role in reducing the undesirable fat in carcasses of broiler chickens. Carnitine has a key role in facilitating the transport of long-chain fatty acids across the inner mitochondrial membrane before  $\beta$ -oxidation (Bremer, 1983). Thus, under conditions of L-carnitine insufficiency the transport of longchain fatty acids could be impaired. Diets supplemented with L-carnitine, therefore, should enhance the oxidation of these fatty acids, thereby decreasing their availability for esterification to triacylglycerols and storage in the adipose tissues. The reduced absolute weights of abdominal fat content (Table 4) observed in the present study in response to L-carnitine supplementation may be attributed, at least partly, to an increased rate of fatty acid oxidation within the cell (in mitochondria) induced by L-carnitine. The loss of substrate (fatty acids), in turn, could result in a reduction of hepatic lipogenic capacity, since the liver is considered as a major site of lipogenesis in poultry (Goodridge & Ball, 1967; Brady et al. 1976; Saadoun & Leclercq, 1983); but other factors may also be responsible for the regulation of the rate of fat accumulation in adipose tissues and muscles. In this regard, Ji et al. (1996) provided evidence to explain the mechanism by which dietary L-carnitine may alter some indices of intermediary metabolism by stimulating fatty acid oxidation in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Their results suggested induction of pyruvate carboxylase (EC 6.4.1.1; or a reduction of turnover) and enhanced protein synthesis as the mechanism for carnitineinduced changes in gluconeogenesis and N metabolism.

The improvements in BWG of broilers observed in response to added dietary L-carnitine (Table 3) may be attributable to an improved utilization of dietary N, achieved through more efficient fat oxidation by L-carnitine. The increased fatty acid oxidation induced by L-carnitine may result in decreased availability of long-chain fatty acids for esterification to triacylglycerols, and at the same time can raise the mitochondrial level of acetyl-CoA. Such a situation can affect the activity of pyruvate carboxylase, which is an acetyl-CoA-dependent enzyme that can supply C chains for amino acid biosynthesis (Cyr *et al.* 1991).

The calculated lysine and methionine (the precursors of L-carnitine) levels in the present experimental diets were adequate for broiler chickens, according to the nutrient requirements of poultry outlined by the National Research Council (1984). However, this does not include the existence of variations in the lysine and methionine contents of the experimental diets, formulated under the conditions of the current study, when compared with other diets having the same feed ingredients but grown in different geographical locations or processed by different techniques. It is possible that if the potential contents of lysine and methionine in the present experimental diets were marginally deficient or inadequate, supplementation with L-carnitine could improve the utilization of dietary N, either directly through sparing its precursors for protein biosynthesis and other cellular functions, or indirectly by optimizing the balance between essential and non-essential amino acids within the cell. Such a situation allows for an improvement

	II R/V (u)		Δ	ody-wt gain	(g/bird/period	(			Feed	conversior	ו (g feed:g	gain)	
Experimental period (d of age) Dietary treatment	18	18–25	25–32	32–39	39–46	46–53	18–53	18–25	25–32	32–39	39-46	46–53	18–53
Energy (MJ/kg) L-Carnitine (mg/kg)	1												
13.5 0 13.5 50	264 269	321 363	341 392	396 393	456 478	327 333	1840 1959	1:37 1:24	1·94 1·65	2·18 2·22	2·28 2·22	3.14 3.10	2·19 2·07
12.8 12.6	269 275	301 244	347	379 207	445	325 226	1798	1.63	1.98 202	2.33	2.45	3.27	2.34 2.35
12:2 0 12:2 0	c72 261	344 267	301 313	397 337	403 426	328 300	1893 1643	-1-96 1-96	2.40 2.40	2·24 2·67	2:56 2:56	<u> </u> . 	2.64 2.64
12·2 50 SEM†	270 5·43	287 9.05	311 10-0	345 10·3	433 13-0	299 14·3	1671 40.6	1.83 0.034	2.38 0.029	2.64 0.045	2.51 0.033	3.61 0.064	2·59 0·015
Effect of energy level (MJ/kg): 13.5 12.8 12.2 SEM†	267 272 3.84	342 <sup>a</sup> 323 <sup>b</sup> 277 <sup>c</sup> 6.40	367 <sup>a</sup> 354 <sup>ab</sup> 312 <sup>c</sup> 7.08	395 <sup>a</sup> 388 <sup>ab</sup> 341 <sup>c</sup> 7.30	467 <sup>a</sup> 454 <sup>ab</sup> 429 <sup>bc</sup> 9·21	330 327 299 10.1	1900 <sup>a</sup> 1846 <sup>ab</sup> 1657 <sup>c</sup> 28.7	1.31 <sup>c</sup> 1.54 <sup>b</sup> 1.89 <sup>a</sup> 0.024	1.79° 2.00 <sup>b</sup> 2.39 <sup>a</sup> 0.021	2.20 <sup>bc</sup> 2.29 <sup>b</sup> 2.66 <sup>a</sup> 0.032	2:25° 2:38 <sup>b</sup> 2:54 <sup>a</sup> 0:023	3.12 <sup>bc</sup> 3.23 <sup>b</sup> 3.59 <sup>a</sup> 0.045	2.13 <sup>c</sup> 2.30 <sup>b</sup> 2.62 <sup>a</sup> 0.010
Effect of L-carnitine level (mg/kg): 0 50 SEM†	265 271 3·14	296 <sup>b</sup> 331 <sup>a</sup> 5·23	334 <sup>b</sup> 355 <sup>a</sup> 5.78	371 378 5·96	442 458 7·52	317 320 8·24	1760 <sup>b</sup> 1841 <sup>a</sup> 23.4	1.65 <sup>a</sup> 1.51 <sup>b</sup> 0.019	2.11 <sup>ª</sup> 2.02 <sup>b</sup> 0.017	2.40 2.37 0.026	2.43 <sup>a</sup> 2.35 <sup>b</sup> 0.019	3.32 3.30 0.037	2.39 <sup>a</sup> 2.30 <sup>b</sup> 0.009
Source of variation <i>P</i> values: Energy L-Carnitine Energy ×L-carnitine	0.4714 0.1347 0.9086	< 0.0001 < 0.0001 0.3588	< 0.0001 0.0102 0.0245	< 0.0001 0.3810 0.6264	0-0146 0-1426 0-8337	0-0648 0-8290 0-9674	< 0.0001 0.0163 0.5089	< 0.0001 0.0002 0.7309	< 0.0001 . 0.0031 0.0002	< 0.0001 0.4722 0.3649	< 0.0001 0.0081 0.3264	< 0.0001 • 0.6656 • 0.6496	<ul><li>&lt; 0.0001</li><li>&lt; 0.0001</li><li>&lt; 0.7400</li></ul>
ILBW, initial live body weights of chicks at 1 a.b.c Means in the same column with unlike s * For details of animals and procedures, see †Standard error of the differences among (	18 d of age. superscripts lette e pp. 392–393. or between) mea	rs were signifi ns ( <i>n</i> 3).	cantly different	t ( <i>P</i> < 0·05).									

Table 3. Effects of dietary L-carnitine supplementation with different dietary metabolizable energy (ME) levels on body-weight gain and feed conversion of broiler chickens during the growing and finite the growing and feed conversion of broiler chickens during the growing and feed conversion of broiler chickens during the growing and finite the growing and feed conversion of broiler chickens during the growing and feed conversion of broiler chickens during the growing and feed conversion of broiler chickens during the growing and feed conversion of broiler chickens during the growing and feed conversion of broiler chickens during the growing and feed conversion of broiler chickens during the growing and

M. H. Rabie and M. Szilágyi

				2			5 5 5 5 5 5	2					
Dietar	y treatments												
Energy (MJ/kg)	L-Carnitine (mg/kg)	LBW	EC	Liver	Heart	Gizzard	Giblets	BΥ	BM	Υ	ΤM	TEP	AF
13.5	0	2112	1391	40.17	11.50	28.50	80.17	532	354	509	316	1471	60·83
13.5	50	2170	1465	39.33	11 <sup>.</sup> 83	28.00	79.17	566	378	536	339	1544	49 <sup>.</sup> 67
12.8	0	2082	1391	38·50	11.50	28.33	78·33	529	356	505	328	1469	54.83
12.8	50	2147	1431	36.17	11.83	27.50	75·50	554	369	524	347	1506	43·50
12.2	0	1918	1252	34.83	11.00	28.00	73·83	469	314	466	276	1326	44.50
12.2	50	1982	1262	35.17	11.67	26.67	73·50	498	328	471	316	1335	39.50
sem†		40.6	31.1	1·56	0.56	1·99	2.90	14.5	10.6	13.9	11.9	32.7	2.76
Effect of energy	level (MJ/ka)												
13.5	ò	2141 <sup>a</sup>	1428 <sup>a</sup>	39.75 <sup>a</sup>	11·67	28·25	79.67	$549^{a}$	$366^{a}$	522 <sup>a</sup>	327 <sup>ab</sup>	1507 <sup>a</sup>	55.25 <sup>a</sup>
12.8		2114 <sup>ab</sup>	1411 <sup>ab</sup>	37.33 <sup>ab</sup>	11·67	27.92	76·92	542 <sup>ab</sup>	363 <sup>ab</sup>	515 <sup>ab</sup>	337 <sup>a</sup>	1488 <sup>ab</sup>	49.17 <sup>b</sup>
12.2		$1930^{\circ}$	1257 <sup>c</sup>	35.00 <sup>bc</sup>	11.33	27.33	73·67	$483^{\circ}$	$321^{\circ}$	469 <sup>c</sup>	$296^{\circ}$	1331 <sup>c</sup>	42.00 <sup>c</sup>
semt		28.7	22·0	1.10	0.39	1-41	2·05	10.3	7.50	9.85	8·39	23·1	1.95
Effect of L-carnit	ine level (mg/kg)												
0		2037	1344	37.83	11.33	28·28	77-44	510 <sup>0</sup>	341	493	306 <sup>b</sup>	1422	53.39 <sup>a</sup>
50		2086	1386	36.89	11.77	27·39	76·06	$538^{a}$	358	510	$334^{a}$	1462	44·22 <sup>b</sup>
sem†		23·4	17.9	06.0	0·32	1.15	1.67	8.40	6·12	8·04	6·85	18·9	1.59
Source of variat	ion (P value):												
Energy		< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0176	0.7896	0.8977	0.1348	0.0001	0.0002	0.0010	0.0042	< 0.0001	0.0002
L-Carnitine		0.1503	0.1148	0.4721	0.3473	0.5949	0.5682	0.0194	0.0559	0-1381	0.0082	0.1466	0.0003
Energy × L-cai	nitine	0-8594	0/66.0	8669.0	0.9423	0.9781	CCU8-0	0-94Z1	0968-0	0-7203	0.6379	0.0323	0-4344
LBW, live body we and gizzard); B\ a,b,c Means of the * For details of anii † Standard error of	ight at slaughter; EC, evisc , breast yield; BM, breast ame column with unlike si mals and procedures, see the differences among (o	cerated carcass (c t meat; TY, thigh uperscript letters pp. 392–393. r between) mean	cooled carcass w plus drumstick y, were significant is ( <i>n</i> 6).	eight without ield; TM, thig iy different ( <i>F</i>	neck and abd h plus drums ^ < 0.05).	tominal fat); T tick meat; AF	EP, total edib , abdominal f	e parts (EC pluat.	us giblets; the la	atter includes th	ie edible offal o	f the carcass, i.e	. liver, heart

Table 4. Mean values (g) for carcass yield and components of 53-d-old broiler chickens fed on L-carnitine-supplemented diets with different metabolizable energy (ME) levels during the growing and finishing periods from 18 to 53 d of age\*

Dietary carnitine, energy and broiler growth

in the metabolic efficiency of dietary protein utilization and a reduction in N losses.

We observed positive responses in BWG of broilers to dietary L-carnitine during the first 2 weeks of the experimental period and also over the experimental feeding period. The increased rate of growth observed in the present study during the period from 18 to 32 d of age in response to supplemental dietary L-carnitine may imply that the requirement of broiler chickens for L-carnitine is higher during a period of rapid growth. In human subjects, Feller & Rudman (1988) have concluded that the requirement for L-carnitine is increased by rapid growth. They have also pointed out that the biosynthetic capacity of L-carnitine is reduced by prematurity and is high in infancy. The higher BWG achieved by birds fed on diets supplemented with L-carnitine compared with controls may explain the better FC (Table 3), since feed intakes were approximately similar for the two groups, irrespective of dietary energy level.

ANOVA showed that weights of breast yield and thigh meat yield were significantly increased in response to L-carnitine supplementation, independent of dietary energy level. These responses may be attributable to superior growth in these portions of carcasses of birds fed on L-carnitine-supplemented diets compared with their controls.

Only limited information is available in the literature on the response of avian species, particularly broiler chickens, to supplemental dietary carnitine. In our two previous studies on broiler chickens, supplemental dietary L-carnitine caused significant increases in BWG and improved FC. We also observed significant reductions in abdominal fat contents of broilers, either expressed on an absolute or relative weight basis, in response to dietary L-carnitine supplementation (Rabie *et al.* 1997*c*,*d*). In one of these studies, broilers were fed on three levels of supplemental dietary L-carnitine (50, 100 or 150 mg/kg) from 18 to 46 d of age (Rabie *et al.* 1997*d*). In the second study, broilers were fed on L-carnitine-supplemented (50 mg/kg) diets of different crude protein levels (180, 200 or 220 g/kg from 18 to 53 d of age (Rabie *et al.* 1997*c*).

The results of the present study are in agreement with our previous findings for broiler chickens, and also agree with those reported by other authors for pigs, fish and foals (Weeden et al. 1991; Torreele et al. 1993; Hausenblasz et al. 1996). Weeden et al. (1991) fed L-carnitine to starter pigs at levels of 0 or 1000 mg/kg diet from 0 to 2 weeks after weaning, followed by carnitine concentrations of 0, 250 or 500 mg/kg diet for the next 3 weeks. They noted an improvement in average daily gain of pigs fed on carnitine. They also found a linear improvement in feed efficiency of young pigs with increasing dietary carnitine concentration. Torreele et al. (1993) reported improvements in growth rate and FC and a reduction in body fat of African catfish (Clarias gariepius) fed on diets supplemented with L-carnitine. Hausenblasz et al. (1996) reported that BWG and degree of protein conversion (efficiency of protein utilization) achieved by foals receiving 10 g supplementary dietary L-carnitine/d for 78 d were significantly greater than those of the control group.

In contrast, Cartwright (1986) reported that performance of broilers, in terms of body weight, feed consumption, carcass fat and abdominal fat content, was not affected by feeding diet supplemented with 5000 mg L-carnitine/kg diet from 5 to 7 weeks of age. Likewise, Barker & Sell (1994) observed no effect of added dietary L-carnitine, at levels of 50 or 100 mg/kg diet, on performance or carcass composition of broiler chickens and young turkeys fed on low- or high-fat diets. Leibetseder (1995) investigated the effectiveness of carnitine and its precursors (lysine and methionine) in reducing the formation of abdominal fat in broilers fed on diets supplemented with 0 or 50 g fat/ kg. He found that performance (BWG and FC) and abdominal fat content of broilers were not influenced by dietary carnitine (L or DL form) at a level of 200 mg/kg diet. He also reported that carnitine concentrations in liver, kidney, heart and certain skeletal muscles significantly increased in response to supplemental dietary L-carnitine. These studies may have been conducted under managerial, housing or environmental conditions different from those applied in the present study. It is possible, therefore, that the inconsistency between our findings and those of the previously-mentioned authors may be associated with the use of different protocols and time periods of experimentation. For example, Cartwright (1986) investigated dietary supplementation with L-carnitine for broilers only during the finishing period, i.e. from 5 to 7 weeks of age; in our study, supplemental dietary L-carnitine was evaluated from 18 to 53 d of age. In the study of Barker & Sell (1994), 1-d-old male broiler chicks were fed on L-carnitinesupplemented diets up to 45 d of age, while our chicks were of mixed sex. However, it is well known that sex and age of birds are important factors affecting growth performance and carcass traits. These factors and others can interfere with the responsiveness to dietary treatments.

# Effect of dietary energy level

We observed that feed intake of broilers increased significantly during the first 2 weeks of the experimental period and over the feeding period (Table 2), in response to decreasing dietary energy level below 13.5 MJ ME/kg, both with and without supplemental L-carnitine. This response concurs with the concept that, under ad libitum feeding conditions, birds tend to eat primarily to satisfy their energy requirements. The observation that dietary energy level had no effect on energy intake of broilers throughout the experimental period (Table 2), except during the period 46–53 d of age, may support this theory. During the feeding period 46–53 d of age birds fed on the lowest dietary energy level consumed slightly more feed (not significant) than those fed on the higher energy levels. However, the energy intake of birds fed on the lowest energy level was significantly lower than that of birds fed on the highest dietary energy level. These results agree with those reported by Jackson et al. (1982a), who have found that feed intake of male broilers, reared to 49 d of age, was significantly reduced with increasing dietary energy level above 12.55 MJ (3000 kcal) ME/kg.

In the present study, independent of added dietary L-carnitine, the lower BWG achieved by birds fed on the lowest level of dietary energy compared with the higher energy levels could be attributable to a less efficient utilization of dietary energy, which may be due to a higher level of inclusion of wheat and/or the absence of supplemental fat in their diet (Table 3). Our results agree with those obtained by Jackson *et al.* (1982*a*,*b*), who found that increasing dietary energy level resulted in significant increases in body weight of broilers.

The results presented in Table 3 also demonstrated that FC decreased when dietary energy level increased, independent of supplemental dietary L-carnitine. This finding is in accordance with those reported by Jackson *et al.* (1982*a*,*b*), Deaton & Lott (1985) and Leeson *et al.* (1996*b*).

Data shown in Table 4 suggest that the lower weights of some carcass variables achieved by birds fed on the lowest energy level, in most cases, compared with those of birds fed on the higher energy level, might be related directly to the lower body weight of the former, independent of L-carnitine supplementation. The reduction in abdominal fat content of broilers in the present study agrees with the results reported by Deaton & Lott (1985), who found that abdominal fat contents of broilers were decreased when the energy content of the diet decreased, and that the limit of reduction was dependent on the energy contents of starter and finisher diets as well as sex and age of birds.

#### Dietary L-carnitine × energy interaction

Significant interactions between supplemental dietary L-carnitine and dietary energy level were noted for both BWG and FC of broilers during the second week of the experimental period (Table 3). These interactions may suggest that supplemental L-carnitine was more effective at the highest level of energy (13.5 MJ ME/kg) than at the lower levels (12.8 or 12.2 MJ ME/kg) of dietary energy. These results indicate a synergistic effect for L-carnitine at the higher energy levels in relation to BWG and FC of broilers. Such a response is probably not related to the energy level itself, but may be due to differences in the fatty acid composition of dietary fat; i.e. the results might have been influenced by the composition of dietary fat, since L-carnitine is mainly of importance for the oxidation of long-chain fatty acids.

It is concluded that supplemental dietary L-carnitine has growth-promoting and fat-lowering effects in broiler chickens fed on diets with different energy levels. However, under the conditions of the present study, decreasing the dietary energy level to 12.2 MJ/kg, although beneficial in reducing abdominal fat, was detrimental to growth and carcass yield of broilers.

# Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to LONZA Ltd., Basel, Switzerland, for providing L-carnitine supplement used in this study.

#### References

- Association of Official Analytical Chemists (1980) *Official Methods of Analysis*, 13th ed. Washington, DC: AOAC.
- Barker DL & Sell JL (1994) Dietary carnitine did not influence performance and carcass composition of broiler chickens and young turkeys fed low- or high-fat diets. *Poultry Science* 73, 281–287.

- Baumgartner M & Blum R (1993) L-Carnitine in animal nutrition. In Vitamine und weitere Zusatzstoffe bei Mensch und Tier (Vitamins and Other Supplements for Humans and Animals), pp. 413–418 [G Flachowsky and R Schubert, editors]. Jena, Germany: Friedrich-Schiller Universität.
- Brady L, Romsos DR & Leveille GA (1976) In vivo estimation of fatty acid synthesis in the chicken (*Gallus domesticus*) utilising  ${}^{3}\text{H}_{2}\text{O}$ . *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology* **54**, 403–407.
- Bremer J (1983) Carnitine: metabolism and functions. *Physiological Reviews* **63**, 1421–1480.
- Cartwright AL (1986) Effect of carnitine and dietary energy concentration on body weight and body lipid of growing broilers. *Poultry Science* **65**, Suppl. 1, 21 Abstr.
- Cyr DM, Egan SG, Brini CM & Tremblay GC (1991) On the mechanism of inhibition of gluconeogenesis and ureagenesis by sodium benzoate. *Biochemical Pharmacology* **42**, 645–654.
- Deaton JW & Lott BD (1985) Age and dietary energy effect on broiler abdominal fat deposition. *Poultry Science* 64, 2161–2164.
- Duncan DB (1955) Multiple range and multiple F tests. *Biometrics* **11**, 1–42.
- Fancher BI & Jensen LS (1989) Influence on performance of three to six-week-old broilers of varying dietary protein contents with supplementation of essential amino acid requirements. *Poultry Science* **68**, 113–123.
- Feller AG & Rudman D (1988) Role of carnitine in human nutrition. *Journal of Nutrition* **118**, 541–547.
- Goodridge AG & Ball EG (1967) Lipogenesis in the pigeon: in vivo studies. *American Journal of Physiology* **213**, 245–249.
- Hausenblasz J, Ács M, Petri Á & Mézes M (1996) Effect of L-carnitine on some metabolic parameters of foals. *Állattenyésztés és Takarmányozás* 45, 397–403.
- Jackson S, Summers JD & Leeson S (1982a) Response of male broilers to varying levels of dietary protein and energy. *Nutrition Reports International* 25, 601–612.
- Jackson S, Summers JD & Leeson S (1982*b*) Effects of dietary protein and energy on broiler performance and production costs. *Poultry Science* **61**, 2232–2240.
- Jensen JF (1984) *Methods of Dissection of Broiler Carcasses and Description of Parts*, pp. 32–61. Cambridge, UK: Papworth's Pendragon Press.
- Ji H, Bradley TM & Tremblay GC (1996) Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) fed L-carnitine exhibit altered intermediary metabolism and reduced tissue lipid, but no change in growth rate. *Journal of Nutrition* **126**, 1937–1950.
- Khan L & Bamji MS (1979) Tissue carnitine deficiency due to dietary lysine deficiency. Triglyceride accumulation and concomitant impairment in fatty acid oxidation. *Journal of Nutrition* **109**, 24–31.
- Leeson S, Caston L & Summers JD (1996*a*) Broiler response to energy or energy and protein dilution in the finisher diet. *Poultry Science* **75**, 522–528.
- Leeson S, Caston L & Summers JD (1996b) Broiler response to diet energy. *Poultry Science* 75, 529–535.
- Leibetseder J (1995) Studies of L-carnitine effects in poultry. *Archives of Animal Nutrition* **48**, 97–108.
- Lettner VF, Zollitsch W & Halbmayer E (1992) Use of L-carnitine in the broiler ration. *Bodenkultur* **43**, 161–167.
- National Research Council (1984) Nutrient Requirements of Poultry, 8th revised ed. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Rabie MH, Szilágyi M & Gippert T (1997*a*) Effects of dietary L-carnitine on the performance and egg quality of laying hens from 65 to 73 weeks of age. *British Journal of Nutrition* **78**, 615–623.

- Rabie MH, Szilágyi M & Gippert T (1997b) Influence of supplemental dietary L-carnitine on performance and egg quality of pullets during the early laying period. *Állattenyésztés és Takarmányozás* 46, 457–468.
- Rabie MH, Szilágyi M & Gippert T (1997c) Effects of dietary L-carnitine supplementation and protein level on performance and degree of meatiness and fatness of broilers. *Acta Biologica Hungarica* 48, 221–239.
- Rabie MH, Szilágyi M, Gippert T, Votisky E & Gerendai D (1997*d*) Influence of dietary L-carnitine on performance and carcass quality of broiler chickens. *Acta Biologica Hungarica* 48, 241–252.
- Rebouche CJ (1991) Ascorbic acid and carnitine biosynthesis. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 54, 1147S-1152S.
- Rebouche CJ (1992) Carnitine function and requirements during the life cycle. *FASEB Journal* **6**, 3379–3386.
- Rinaudo MT, Curto M, Bruno R, Piccinini M & Marino C (1991) Acid soluble, short chain esterified and free carnitine in the liver, heart, muscle and brain of pre- and post-hatched chicks. *International Journal of Biochemistry* 23, 59–65.
- Saadoun A & Leclercq B (1983) Comparison of in vivo fatty acid synthesis of the genetically lean and fat chickens. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology* **75**B, 641–644.
- Sándor A, Kispál Gy, Kerner J & Alkonyi I (1983) Combined effect of ascorbic acid deficiency and underfeeding on hepatic carnitine level in guinea-pigs. *Experientia* 39, 512–513.

Santulli A & D'Amelio V (1986) Effects of supplemental dietary

carnitine on growth and lipid metabolism of hatchery-reared sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.). Aquaculture **59**, 177–186.

- Schuhmacher A, Eissner C & Gropp JM (1993) Carnitine in fish, piglets and quail. In Vitamine und weitere Zusatzstoffe bei Mensch und Tier (Vitamins and Other Supplements for Humans and Animals), pp 407–412 [G Flachowsky and R Schubert, editors]. Jena, Germany: Friedrich-Schiller Universität.
- Statistical Graphics Corporation (1991) *Statgraphics, Version 5.0 Reference Manual.* Rockville, MD: Statistical Graphics Corporation.
- Summers JD & Leeson S (1984) Influence of dietary protein and energy level on broiler performance and carcass composition. *Nutrition Reports International* **29**, 757–767.
- Szilágyi M, Lindberg P & Sankari S (1992) Serum L-carnitine concentration in domestic animals. In *Proceedings of the 5th Congress of International Society of Animal Clinical Biochemistry*, pp. 389–391 [A Ubaldi, editor]. Parma, Italy: Boehringer Mannheim.
- Torreele E, van der Sluiszen A & Verreth J (1993) The effect of dietary L-carnitine on the growth performance in fingerlings of the African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) in relation to dietary lipid. *British Journal of Nutrition* **69**, 289–299.
- Weeden TL, Nelssen JL, Hansen JA, Fitzner GE & Goodband RD (1991) The effect of L-carnitine on starter pig performance and carcass composition. *Journal of Animal Science* **69**, Suppl., 105 Abstr.

© Nutrition Society 1998