

## Notes from the Editor

### IN THIS ISSUE<sup>1</sup>

Conflicting clichés about money abound. Everybody “knows” that “Money can’t buy happiness,” but in the 1970s the Swedish pop group ABBA sold millions of records celebrating the eternal sunniness of “the rich man’s world.”

So which is it? In the lead article of this issue of the *Review*, “Does ‘Bettering Our Condition’ Really Make us Better Off? Adam Smith on Progress and Happiness,” Dennis C. Rasmussen highlights the tension between happiness and economic progress in contemporary society. Exploring Adam Smith’s paradoxical endorsement of commercial society and his recognition of the pursuit of wealth as an impediment to happiness, Rasmussen sheds new light on this classic dilemma. Although the tension has been explored by many other thinkers over the years, Rasmussen’s novel take on it makes for fascinating reading.

This issue’s monetary focus continues in John Zumbrennen’s application of political theory to a current social issue in “Fantasy, Irony and Economic Justice in Aristophanes’ *Assemblywomen* and *Wealth*.” Noting the persistence of economic inequality in the U.S. as well as the growth of demands for cultural recognition that often challenge the importance of economic reforms, Zumbrennen contends that Aristophanes’ plays, *Assemblywomen* and *Wealth*, can foster a more sophisticated understanding of the possibilities for redistribution in contemporary democratic politics by compelling a reconsideration of the meaning of “recognition.” Attending to the relationship between fantasy and irony in Aristophanic comedy, Zumbrennen argues, will help resolve the current recognition-redistribution debate by clarifying the structures of sentiment and motivation that could sustain economic justice.

Pondering the proposition that “the rich are different from you and me,” Ernest Hemingway famously pinpointed what he took to be the key distinction: “They have more money.” Something like Hemingway’s point is at the core of Dennis Chong and Dukhong Kim’s “The Experiences and Effects of Economic Status among Racial and Ethnic Minorities.” Insofar as perceptions of equal opportunity and discrimination are concerned, Chong and Kim argue, wealth per se does not matter. Instead, what matters is the interaction of material and social factors, not either element in isolation. This consideration of the socioeconomic determinants of racial consciousness is a worthy addition to prior treatments of racial attitudes and minority politics.

Income and wealth matter not just for individual citizens but for nation-states as well. In “Presidentialism, Electoral Identifiability, and Budget Balances in

Democratic Systems,” José Antonio Cheibub draws on the experiences of 98 democracies during the post-World War II era to determine whether different kinds of democracies spend differently. Cheibub finds that on the whole, presidential systems have produced better fiscal balance than parliamentary democracies. Underlying this difference, he contends, are the higher levels of electoral identifiability and greater personal accountability found in presidential systems.

A key determinant of national differences in wealth is trade policy. In “Optimal Obfuscation: Democracy and Trade Policy Transparency,” Daniel Kono notes that in some areas democracy leads to more liberal trade, but in others, to less liberal trade. Accordingly, the real question is not why democracies practice free trade but rather under what conditions they do so. Kono shows that the influence of democracy varies along with the transparency of protectionist measures. His account based on asymmetric information in trade policy seems destined to emerge as required reading for scholars interested in the effects of regime differences as well as for those more specifically interested in trade policy.

In Stephen Skowronek’s “The Reassociation of Ideas and Purposes: Racism, Liberalism, and the American Political Tradition,” the focus of this issue shifts away from economics to another issue of long standing in the U.S. and around the world: race. Skowronek dissects the evolution of racism and liberalism, fundamental constitutional issues within American political science, in order to raise serious questions about how these ideas have related to the purposes that various political actors pursue. Previous treatments, he contends, have obscured the distinctively “American” character of racism and liberalism by creating a false opposition between the two instead of focusing on how they have intertwined in ways that are “culturally formative.”

James Adams and Samuel Merrill, III follow by inquiring into the effect of third parties in a traditional two-party system. In “Why Small, Centrist Third Parties Motivate Policy Divergence by Major Parties,” Adams and Merrill construct a formal model that supports the intuitively appealing idea that once a new center party has been introduced, the established major parties will gain more leeway to shift toward their preferred right and left positions because the votes they lose as a result will then go to the centrist party instead of to their main rival, as would have happened under two-party competition. Adams and Merrill’s model also suggests that a strategic move by the third party makes both major parties move in tandem in the direction opposite the third party’s move – a non-intuitive result that conveys new insights into both historical occurrences and future possibilities.

Rather than future possibilities, it is past realities that command Erik J. Engstrom’s attention in “Stacking the States, Stacking the House: The Partisan Consequences of Redistricting in the 19th Century.”

<sup>1</sup> Drafted by Editorial Assistant Elizabeth Franker.

Capitalizing on the great variation in redistricting practices in the latter part of the 19th century, Engstrom uses history as a laboratory in which to address contemporary questions about redistricting. His analysis provides valuable knowledge about the development of American democracy in its middle years and potential insights into the current, highly polarized political environment.

The most extreme manifestation of a highly polarized political environment is civil war. Whether by design or not, civilians are often the victims in such conflicts. In "Handling and Manhandling Civilians in Civil War," Macartan Humphreys and Jeremy M. Weinstein set out to determine why the level of suffering visited upon civilian populations varies so markedly from one civil war to the next. Taking Sierra Leone as a case in point, Humphreys and Weinstein find that differential levels of abuse are best explained by the internal structure of the warring factions, with abuses resulting from internal fragmentation and poor enforcement mechanisms. This analysis not only advances our understanding of civil war but also has important implications for theories of collective action and organization.

Whether in civil wars or other conflicts, mediation is a common strategy for conflict resolution. An obvious and recurrent issue in any attempt at mediation is mediator bias, the subject of Andrew H. Kydd's "When Can Mediators Build Trust?" Kydd brings a new level of analytical rigor to this issue by breaking down the concept of bias and identifying impediments to trust-building between conflicting parties. Using a formal model, he demonstrates that mediators who simply want to avoid conflict will encounter serious credibility problems and may therefore be incapable of facilitating peace. The importance of these results resonates beyond civil wars and international conflict, as they lend insight on a more personal level as well.

## INSTRUCTIONS TO CONTRIBUTORS

### General Considerations

The *APSR* strives to publish scholarly research of exceptional merit, focusing on important issues and demonstrating the highest standards of excellence in conceptualization, exposition, methodology, and craftsmanship. Because the *APSR* reaches a diverse audience of scholars and practitioners, authors must demonstrate how their analysis illuminates a significant research problem, or answers an important research question, of general interest in political science. For the same reason, authors must strive for a presentation that will be understandable to as many scholars as possible, consistent with the nature of their material.

The *APSR* publishes original work. Therefore, authors should not submit articles containing tables, figures, or substantial amounts of text that have already been published or are forthcoming in other places, or that have been included in other manuscripts submitted for review to book publishers or periodicals (including on-line journals). In many such cases, subsequent publication of this material would violate the

copyright of the other publisher. The *APSR* also does not consider papers that are currently under review by other journals or duplicate or overlap with parts of larger manuscripts that have been submitted to other publishers (including publishers of both books and periodicals). Submission of manuscripts substantially similar to those submitted or published elsewhere, or as part of a book or other larger work, is also strongly discouraged. If you have any questions about whether these policies apply in your particular case, you should discuss any such publications related to a submission in a cover letter to the Editor. You should also notify the Editor of any related submissions to other publishers, whether for book or periodical publication, that occur while a manuscript is under review by the *APSR* and which would fall within the scope of this policy. The Editor may request copies of related publications.

If your manuscript contains quantitative evidence and analysis, you should describe your procedures in sufficient detail to permit reviewers to understand and evaluate what has been done and, in the event that the article is accepted for publication, to permit other scholars to carry out similar analyses on other data sets. For example, for surveys, at the least, sampling procedures, response rates, and question wordings should be given; you should calculate response rates according to one of the standard formulas given by the American Association for Public Opinion Research, *Standard Definitions: Final Dispositions of Case Codes and Outcome Rates for Surveys* (Ann Arbor, MI: AAPOR, 2000). This document is available on the Internet at <[http://www.aapor.org/default.asp?page=survey\\_methods/standards\\_and\\_best\\_practices/standard\\_definitions](http://www.aapor.org/default.asp?page=survey_methods/standards_and_best_practices/standard_definitions)>. For experiments, provide full descriptions of experimental protocols, methods of subject recruitment and selection, subject payments and debriefing procedures, and so on. Articles should be self-contained, so you should not simply refer readers to other publications for descriptions of these basic research procedures.

Please indicate variables included in statistical analyses by capitalizing the first letter in the variable name and italicizing the entire variable name the first time each is mentioned in the text. You should also use the same names for variables in text and tables and, wherever possible, should avoid the use of acronyms and computer abbreviations when discussing variables in the text. All variables appearing in tables should have been mentioned in the text and the reason for their inclusion discussed.

As part of the review process, you may be asked to submit additional documentation if procedures are not sufficiently clear; the review process works most efficiently if such information is given in the initial submission. If you advise readers that additional information is available, you should submit printed copies of that information with the manuscript. If the amount of this supplementary information is extensive, please inquire about alternate procedures.

The *APSR* uses a double-blind review process. You should follow the guidelines for preparing anonymous copies in the Specific Procedures section below.

Manuscripts that are largely or entirely critiques or commentaries on previously published *APSR* articles will be reviewed using the same general procedures as for other manuscripts, with one exception. In addition to the usual number of reviewers, such manuscripts will also be sent to the scholar(s) whose work is being criticized, in the same anonymous form that they are sent to reviewers. Comments from the original author(s) to the Editor will be invited as a supplement to the advice of reviewers. This notice to the original author(s) is intended (1) to encourage review of the details of analyses or research procedures that might escape the notice of disinterested reviewers; (2) to enable prompt publication of critiques by supplying criticized authors with early notice of their existence and, therefore, more adequate time to reply; and (3) as a courtesy to criticized authors. If you submit such a manuscript, you should therefore send as many additional copies of their manuscripts as will be required for this purpose.

Manuscripts being submitted for publication should be sent to Lee Sigelman, Editor, *American Political Science Review*, Department of Political Science, The George Washington University, Washington, DC 20052. Correspondence concerning manuscripts under review may be sent to the same address or e-mailed to [apsr@gwu.edu](mailto:apsr@gwu.edu).

## Manuscript Formatting

Manuscripts should not be longer than 45 pages including text, all tables and figures, notes, references, and appendices. This page size guideline is based on the U.S. standard 8.5 × 11-inch paper; if you are submitting a manuscript printed on longer paper, you must adjust accordingly. The font size must be at least 11 points for all parts of the paper, including notes and references. The entire paper, including notes and references, must be double-spaced, with the sole exception of tables for which double-spacing would require a second page otherwise not needed. All pages should be numbered in one sequence, and text should be formatted using a normal single column no wider than 6.5 inches, as is typical for manuscripts (rather than the double-column format of the published version of the *APSR*), and printed on one side of the page only. Include an abstract of no more than 150 words. The *APSR* style of embedded citations should be used, and there must be a separate list of references at the end of the manuscript. Do not use notes for simple citations. These specifications are designed to make it easier for reviewers to read and evaluate papers. Papers not adhering to these guidelines are subject to being rejected without review.

For submission and review purposes, you may place footnotes at the bottom of the pages instead of using endnotes, and you may locate tables and figures (on separate pages and only one to a page) approximately where they fall in the text. However, manuscripts accepted for publication must be submitted with endnotes, and with tables and figures on separate pages at the back of the manuscript with standard indications of text placement, e.g., [Table 3 about here]. In deciding

how to format your initial submission, please consider the necessity of making these changes if your paper is accepted. If your paper is accepted for publication, you will also be required to submit camera-ready copy of graphs or other types of figures. Instructions will be provided.

For specific formatting style of citations and references, please refer to articles in the most recent issue of the *APSR*. For unusual style or formatting issues, you should consult the latest edition of *The Chicago Manual of Style*. For review purposes, citations and references need not be in specific *APSR* format, although some generally accepted format should be used, and all citation and reference information should be provided.

## Specific Procedures

Please follow these specific procedures for submission:

1. You are invited to submit a list of scholars who would be appropriate reviewers of your manuscript. The Editor will refer to this list in selecting reviewers, though there obviously can be no guarantee that those you suggest will actually be chosen. Do not list anyone who has already commented on your paper or an earlier version of it, or any of your current or recent collaborators, institutional colleagues, mentors, students, or close friends.
2. Submit five copies of manuscripts *and* a diskette or CD containing a pdf file of the anonymous version of the manuscript. If you cannot save the manuscript as a pdf, just send in the diskette or CD with the word-processed version. Please ensure that the paper and diskette or CD versions you submit are identical; the diskette or CD version should be of the **anonymous** copy (see below). Please review all pages of all copies to make sure that all copies contain all tables, figures, appendices, and bibliography mentioned in the manuscript and that all pages are legible. Label the diskette or CD clearly with the (first) author's name and the title of the manuscript (in abridged form if need be), and identify the word processing program and operating system. If you are unable to create a diskette or CD, please note this in your submission, and you will be asked to e-mail the appropriate file.
3. To comply with the *APSR*'s procedure of double-blind peer reviews, only one of the five copies submitted should be fully identified as to authorship and four should be in anonymous format.
4. For anonymous copies, if it is important to the development of the paper that your previous publications be cited, please do this in a way that does not make the authorship of the submitted paper obvious. This is usually most easily accomplished by referring to yourself in the

third person and including normal references to the work cited in the list of references. In no circumstances should your prior publications be included in the bibliography in their normal alphabetical location but with your name deleted. Assuming that text references to your previous work are in the third person, you should include full citations as usual in the bibliography. Please discuss the use of other procedures to render manuscripts anonymous with the Editor prior to submission. You should not thank colleagues in notes or elsewhere in the body of the paper or mention institution names, web page addresses, or other potentially identifying information. *All acknowledgments must appear on the title page of the identified copy only.* Manuscripts that are judged not anonymous will not be reviewed.

5. The first page of the four anonymous copies should contain only the title and an abstract of no more than 150 words. The first page of the identified copy should contain (a) the name, academic rank, institutional affiliation, and contact information (mailing address, telephone, fax, e-mail address) for all authors; (b) in the case of multiple authors, an indication of the author who will receive correspondence; (c) any relevant citations to your previous work that have been omitted from the anonymous copies; and (d) acknowledgments, including the names of anyone who has provided comments on the manuscript. If the identified copy contains any unique references or is worded differently in any way, please mark this copy with "Contains author citations" at the top of the first page.

No copies of submitted manuscripts can be returned.

### ELECTRONIC ACCESS TO THE *APSR*

Back issues of the *APSR* are available in several electronic formats and through several vendors. Except for the last three years (as an annually "moving wall"), back issues of the *APSR* beginning with Volume 1, Number 1 (November 1906), are available on-line through JSTOR (<http://www.jstor.org/>). At present, JSTOR's complete journal collection is available only via institutional subscription, e.g., through many college and university libraries. For APSA members who do not have access to an institutional subscription to JSTOR, individual subscriptions to its *APSR* content are available. Please contact Member Services at APSA for further information, including annual subscription fees.

Individual members of the American Political Science Association can access recent issues of the *APSR* and *PS* through the APSA website ([www.apsanet.org](http://www.apsanet.org)) with their username and password. Individual non-member access to the online edition will also be available, but only through institutions that hold either a print-plus-electronic subscription or an electronic-only

subscription, provided the institution has registered and activated its online subscription.

Full text access to current issues of both the *APSR* and *PS* is also available on-line by library subscription from a number of database vendors. Currently, these include University Microfilms Inc. (UMI) (via its CD-ROMs General Periodicals Online and Social Science Index and the on-line database ProQuest Direct), Online Computer Library Center (OCLC) (through its on-line database First Search as well as on CD-ROMs and magnetic tape), and the Information Access Company (IAC) (through its products Expanded Academic Index, InfoTrac, and several on-line services [see below]). Others may be added from time to time.

The *APSR* is also available on databases through six online services: Datastar (Datastar), Business Library (Dow Jones), Cognito (IAC), Encarta Online Library (IAC), IAC Business (Dialog), and Newsearch (Dialog).

The editorial office of the *APSR* is not involved in the subscription process to either JSTOR for back issues or the other vendors for current issues. Please contact APSA, your reference librarian, or the database vendor for further information about availability.

### BOOK REVIEWS

The *APSR* no longer contains book reviews. As of 2003, book reviews have moved to *Perspectives on Politics*. All books for review should be sent to the *Perspectives on Politics* Book Review Editor, Jeffrey C. Isaac. The address is Professor Jeffrey C. Isaac, Review Editor, *Perspectives on Politics*, Department of Political Science, Woodburn Hall, 1100 E. 7th St., Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405-7110. E-mail: [isaac@indiana.edu](mailto:isaac@indiana.edu).

If you are the author of a book you wish to be considered for review, please ask your publisher to send a copy to the *Perspectives on Politics* Book Review Editors per the mailing instructions above. If you are interested in reviewing books for *Perspectives on Politics*, please send your vita to the Book Review Editors; you should not ask to review a specific book.

### OTHER CORRESPONDENCE

The American Political Science Association's address, telephone, and fax are 1527 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, (202) 483-2512 (voice), and (202) 483-2657 (fax). E-mail: [apsa@apsanet.org](mailto:apsa@apsanet.org). Please direct correspondence as follows.

Information, including news and notes, for *PS*:

Dr. Robert J-P. Hauck, Editor, *PS*  
E-mail: [rhauck@apsanet.org](mailto:rhauck@apsanet.org)

Circulation and subscription correspondence (domestic claims for nonreceipt of issues must be made within

four months of the month of publication; overseas claims, within eight months):

Sean Twombly,  
Director of Member Services  
E-mail: [membership@apsanet.org](mailto:membership@apsanet.org)

Reprint permissions:  
E-mail: [Rights@cambridge.org](mailto:Rights@cambridge.org)

Advertising information and rates:

Advertising Coordinator,  
Cambridge University Press  
E-mail: [advertising@apsanet.org](mailto:advertising@apsanet.org)

### **EXPEDITING REQUESTS FOR COPYING APSR AND PS ARTICLES FOR CLASS USE AND OTHER PURPOSES**

#### **Class Use**

The Comprehensive Publisher Photocopy Agreement between APSA and the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) permits bookstores and copy centers to receive expedited clearance to copy articles from the *APSR* and *PS* in compliance with the Association's policies and applicable fees. The general fee for articles is 75 cents per copy. However, current Association policy levies no fee for the first 10 copies of a printed article, whether in course packs or on reserve. Smaller classes that rely heavily on articles (i.e., upper-level undergraduate and graduate classes) can take advantage of this provision, and faculty ordering 10 or fewer course packs should bring it to the attention of course pack providers. APSA policy also permits free use of the electronic library reserve, with no limit on the number of students who can access the electronic reserve. Both large and small classes that rely on these articles can take advantage of this provision. The CCC's address, telephone, and fax are 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, (978) 750-8400 (voice), and (978) 750-4474 (fax). This agreement pertains only to the reproduction and distribution of APSA materials as hard copies (e.g., photocopies, microfilm, and microfiche).

The Association of American Publishers (AAP) has created a standardized form for college faculty to submit to a copy center or bookstore to request copyrighted material for course packs. The form is available through the CCC, which will handle copyright permissions.

APSA also has a separate agreement pertaining to CCC's Academic E-Reserve Service. This agreement allows electronic access for students and instructors of a designated class at a designated institution for a specified article or set of articles in electronic format. Access is by password for the duration of a class.

Please contact your librarian, the CCC, or the APSA Reprints Department for further information.

#### **APSR Authors**

If you are the author of an *APSR* article, you may use your article in course packs or other printed materials without payment of royalty fees and you may post it at personal or institutional web sites as long as the APSA copyright notice is included.

#### **Other Uses of APSA-Copyrighted Materials**

For any further copyright issues, please contact the APSA Reprints Department.

#### **INDEXING**

Articles appearing in the *APSR* before June 1953 were indexed in *The Reader's Guide to Periodical Literature*. Current issues are indexed in *ABC Pol Sci; America, History and Life 1954-*; Book Review Index; Current Contents: Social and Behavioral Sciences; EconLit; *Energy Information Abstracts*; Environmental Abstracts; Historical Abstracts; Index of Economic Articles; Information Service Bulletin; International Index; International Political Science Abstracts; the *Journal of Economic Literature*; Periodical Abstracts; Public Affairs; Public Affairs Information Service International Recently Published Articles; Reference Sources; Social Sciences and Humanities Index; Social Sciences Index; Social Work Research and Abstracts; and Writings on American History. Some of these sources may be available in electronic form through local public or educational libraries. Microfilm of the *APSR*, beginning with Volume 1, and the index of the *APSR* through 1969 are available through University Microfilms Inc., 300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106 ([www.umi.com](http://www.umi.com)). The Cumulative Index to the *American Political Science Review*, Volumes 63 to 89: 1969-95, is available through the APSA.