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## 1. Introduction.

Definition 1.1. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ be analytic for $|z|<1$. If $f$ is univalent, we say that $f$ belongs to the class $S$.

Definition 1.2. Let $f \in S, 0 \leqq \alpha<1$. Then $f$ belongs to the class of convex functions of order $\alpha$, denoted by $K_{\alpha}$, provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right]>\alpha \text { for }|z|<1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $\epsilon>0$ is given, there exists $z_{0},\left|z_{0}\right|<1$, such that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left[1+\frac{z_{0} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}\right] \leqq \alpha+\epsilon .
$$

Let $f \in K_{\alpha}$ and consider the Jordan curve $\gamma_{T}=f(|z|=r), 0<r<1$. Let $s(r, \theta)$ measure the arc length along $\gamma_{r}$; and let $\phi(r, \theta)$ measure the angle (in the anti-clockwise sense) that the tangent line to $\gamma_{r}$ at $f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)$ makes with the positive real axis. Then the radius of curvature of $\gamma_{r}$ at $f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)$ is $\rho(r, \theta) \equiv(\partial \phi / \partial s)^{-1}$. It is known [3, p. 359] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(r, \theta)=\frac{r\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|}{\operatorname{Re}\left[1+z f^{\prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)\right]}, \quad z=r e^{i \theta} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Keogh has shown [4] that if $f$ is convex, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\theta} \rho(r, \theta) \leqq \frac{r}{1-r^{2}}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality holding for the function $f(z)=z /(1-z)$.
Our aim in this paper is to determine all functions in $K_{0}$ for which equality holds in (3), and also to give a corresponding result for the class $K_{\alpha}$.
2. Main results. In order to determine which functions of $K_{0}$ yield equality in (3), I include the proof of Keogh's result, which I state as a lemma.

Lemma 2.1. If f is convex, then

$$
\max _{\theta} \rho(r, \theta) \leqq \frac{r}{1-r^{2}} .
$$
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Proof. Since $f$ is convex,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left[1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right]>0 \quad \text { in }|z|<1
$$

Thus, there exists a monotone increasing function $u(t)$ defined on $[0,2 \pi]$ such that $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d u(t)=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1+z e^{-i t}}{1-z e^{-i t}} d u(t) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log f^{\prime}(z)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \left(1-z e^{-i t}\right)^{-2} d u(t) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $\log \left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \left|1-z e^{-i t}\right|^{-2} d u(t)$. Thus,

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|=\exp \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \frac{1}{\Delta^{2}} d u(t)
$$

where $\Delta^{2}=\Delta^{2}(r, t-\theta)=1-2 r \cos (t-\theta)+r^{2}, z=r e^{i \theta}$. From (2) and (4) it follows that

$$
\rho(r, \theta)=r\left[\exp \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \frac{1}{\Delta^{2}} d u(t)\right]\left[\left[\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1-r^{2}}{\Delta^{2}} d u(t)\right]^{-1} .\right.
$$

By the arithmetic geometric mean inequality [1, p. 156] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \frac{1}{\Delta^{2}} d u(t) \leqq \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1}{\Delta^{2}} d u(t) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\rho(r, \theta) \leqq r /\left(1-r^{2}\right)$.
Theorem 2.2. Equality holds in Lemma 2.1 only for the following functions (and rotations) $\dagger$ :
(A) $f(z)=\frac{z}{1-z}$,
(B) $f(z)=\frac{1}{2 i \sin t} \log \left[\frac{1-z e^{-i t}}{1-z e^{i t}}\right], \quad \sin t \neq 0$,
(C) $f(z)=\frac{1}{(1-2 \lambda) 2 i \sin t}\left[\left(\frac{1-z e^{-i t}}{1-z e^{i t}}\right)^{1-2 \lambda}-1\right]$,

$$
\sin t \neq 0, \quad 0<\lambda<1, \lambda \neq \frac{1}{2} .
$$

Proof. By the proof of the lemma, we have equality if and only if equality holds in (6) for some $\theta=\theta(r)$. Now, this occurs only if $\log \left(1 / \Delta^{2}\right)$ is constant, except possibly on a set of $d u$-measure zero [1, p. 156]. By the nature of

$\log \left(1 / \Delta^{2}\right)$, this is the case only if either (a) $u(t)$ has a single jump, or (b) $u(t)$ has exactly two jumps located at points $t_{1}, t_{2}$ such that $\cos \left(t_{1}-\theta(r)\right)=$ $\cos \left(t_{2}-\theta(r)\right)$. It follows from (a) and (b) that equality in (6) for $\theta=\theta(r)$ is independent of $r$; i.e. we have that $\theta(r)$ is a constant, say $\theta(r)=\bar{\theta}$. Note that if case (b) occurs, then we also have equality in (6) for $\theta=\bar{\theta}+\pi$, but not for any other values of $\theta(\bar{\theta} \leqq \theta<\bar{\theta}+2 \pi)$. Now, if $f(|z|=r)$ has its maximum radius of curvature at $f\left(r e^{i \bar{\theta}}\right)$ (i.e., equality in (6) for $\theta=\bar{\theta}$ ), then the function $g(z)=e^{-i \bar{\theta}} f\left(z e^{i \bar{\theta}}\right)$ will have the property that $g(|z|=r)$ has its maximum radius of curvature at $g(r)$. Thus, we need only assume that equality holds in (6) for $\bar{\theta}=0$. Then for each function which yields a sharp result in this case, we must also include its rotations. Let us now examine cases (a) and (b).

Case (a). $u(t)$ has a single jump, say at $t$. From (5) we have

$$
\log f^{\prime}(z)=\log \left(1-z e^{-i t}\right)^{-2}
$$

Hence, $f(z)=z /\left(1-z e^{-i t}\right)$, and so $f(z)$ is a rotation of $z /(1-z)$. This yields part (A) in the statement of the theorem.

Case (b). $u(t)$ has two jumps, located at points $t_{1}, t_{2}$, where $\cos t_{1}=\cos t_{2}$. Let $\lambda$ and $1-\lambda$ denote the lengths of the jumps at $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$, respectively. From (5) we have

$$
\log f^{\prime}(z)=\lambda \log \left(1-z e^{-i t_{1}}\right)^{-2}+(1-\lambda) \log \left(1-z e^{-i t_{2}}\right)^{-2} .
$$

Since $\cos t_{1}=\cos t_{2}, e^{-i t_{2}}=e^{i t_{1}}$. Letting $t_{1}=t$, we have

$$
f(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2 i \sin t} \log \left[\frac{1-z e^{-i t}}{1-z e^{i t}}\right] & \text { if } \lambda=\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{(1-2 \lambda) 2 i \sin t}\left[\left(\frac{1-z e^{-i t}}{1-z e^{i t}}\right)^{1-2 \lambda}-1\right] & \text { if } \lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}\end{cases}
$$

This yields parts (B) and (C) in the statement of the theorem.
Theorem 2.3. If $f \in K_{\alpha}(0<\alpha<1)$, then

$$
\max _{\theta} \rho(r, \theta) \leqq \frac{r}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{1-\alpha}}
$$

with equality holding only for the function (and rotations):

$$
f(z)= \begin{cases}-\log (1-z) & \text { if } \alpha=\frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{1-(1-z)^{2 \alpha-1}}{2 \alpha-1} & \text { if } \alpha \neq \frac{1}{2} .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in K_{\alpha}$. Then $g(z) \equiv \int_{0}^{2}\left[f^{\prime}(t)\right]^{1 /(1-\alpha)} d t \in K_{0}$. By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{g}(r, \theta) \equiv \frac{\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|}{\operatorname{Re}\left[1+z g^{\prime \prime}(z) / g^{\prime}(z)\right]} \leqq \frac{r}{1-r^{2}}, \quad z=r e^{i \theta} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

One easily checks the following relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| & =\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{1 /(1-\alpha)}, \\
\operatorname{Re}\left[1+\frac{z g^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right] & =1+\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right] \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, from (7) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{1 /(1-\alpha)}}{1+(1-\alpha)^{-1} \operatorname{Re}\left[z f^{77}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)\right]} \leqq \frac{r}{1-r^{2}}, \quad z=r e^{i \theta} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that since $f \in K_{\alpha}, \operatorname{Re}\left[z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)\right]>\alpha-1$. Fix $z$ and let

$$
t=\operatorname{Re}\left[z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)\right]
$$

For $t>\alpha-1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{1-\alpha} t \leqq(1+t)^{1 /(1-\alpha)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality holding only when $t=0$. Applying (10) to (9) we have

Hence,

$$
\rho_{f}(r, \theta)=\frac{r\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|}{\operatorname{Re}\left[1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)\right]} \leqq \frac{r}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{1-\alpha}}, \quad z=r e^{\imath \theta} .
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\theta} \rho_{f}(r, \theta) \leqq \frac{r}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{1-\alpha}} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine when equality occurs in (11), we need only, by (7), examine those functions $f \in K_{\alpha}$ such that $g(z)=\int_{0}^{2}\left[f^{\prime}(t)\right]^{1 /(1-\alpha)} d t$ is a function given in (A), (B), or (C) of Theorem 2.2. Suppose first that $g$ has the form (A); i.e., $g$ is a rotation of $z /(1-z)$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $g(z)=z /(1-z)$. It follows that

$$
f(z)= \begin{cases}-\log (1-z) & \text { if } \alpha=\frac{1}{2}  \tag{12}\\ \frac{1-(1-z)^{2 \alpha-1}}{2 \alpha-1} & \text { if } \alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}\end{cases}
$$

Since $g(z)=z /(1-z)$ maps the circle $|z|=r$ onto a circle of radius $r /\left(1-r^{2}\right)$, we have equality in (7) for all $\theta$. Hence, $f(z)$ yields equality in (9) for all $\theta$. By (10) it follows that equality holds in (11), provided for each $r, 0<r<1$, there exists $\theta=\theta(r)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right]=0 \quad \text { for } z=r e^{i \theta(r)} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (12), one sees that (13) holds if $\theta(r)=\operatorname{arc} \cos r$.
Secondly, suppose that $g$ has the form (B) or (C) of Theorem 2.2. We intend to show that $f$, defined implicitly by

$$
g(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left[f^{\prime}(t)\right]^{1 /(1-\alpha)} d t
$$

does not yield equality in (11). Without loss of generality we can assume that $g(z)$ is not a rotated form of (B) or (C). Then, equality in (7) can occur only for real values of $z$. Hence, for equality to hold in (11), by (10) we must have for each $r, 0<r<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right]=0 \quad \text { for } z=r \text { or for } z=-r \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We must show that (14) is impossible. Now,

$$
\frac{z g^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{2 \lambda z e^{-i t}}{1-z e^{-i t}}+\frac{2(1-\lambda) z e^{i t}}{1-z e^{i t}}
$$

From (8) it follows that

$$
2(1-\alpha)\left[\frac{\lambda z e^{-i t}}{1-z e^{-i \tau}}+\frac{(1-\lambda) z e^{i t}}{1-z e^{i t}}\right]=\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}
$$

From this expression we see that (14) cannot occur for each $r, 0<r<1$. The proof of the theorem is complete.

We now give an arc-length result for the class $K_{\alpha}$. Keogh [4] has proved this result, with $\alpha=0$, for functions which are convex.

Theorem 2.4. Let $f \in K_{\alpha}, 0 \leqq \alpha<1$, and let $L_{r}$ be the length of

$$
\gamma_{T}=\left\{f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right): 0 \leqq \theta \leqq 2 \pi\right\} .
$$

Then

$$
L_{r} \leqq r \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d \theta}{\left|1-r e^{i \theta}\right|^{2(1-\alpha)}},
$$

with equality only for the function (and rotations):

$$
f(z)= \begin{cases}-\log (1-z) & \text { if } \alpha=\frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{1-(1-z)^{2 \alpha-1}}{2 \alpha-1} & \text { if } \alpha \neq \frac{1}{2} .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Since $f \in K_{\alpha}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left[(1-\alpha)+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right]>0 \quad \text { in }|z|<1 .
$$

Thus, there exists a monotone increasing function $u(t)$, defined on $[0,2 \pi]$, $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d u(t)=1$,

$$
(1-\alpha)+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=(1-\alpha) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1+z e^{-i t}}{1-z e^{-i l}} d u(t)
$$

Thus, $\log f^{\prime}(z)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \left(1-z e^{-i t}\right)^{-2(1-\alpha)} d u(t)$. Hence, $\log \left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|=$ $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \left|1-z e^{-i t}\right|^{-2(1-\alpha)} d u(t)$. It follows by the arithmetic geometric mean inequality that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|1-z e^{-i t}\right|^{-2(1-\alpha)} d u(t) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $L_{r}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} r\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| d \theta, z=r e^{i \theta}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{\tau} & \leqq r \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|1-z e^{-i t}\right|^{-2(1-\alpha)} d u(t)  \tag{16}\\
& =r \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d u(t) \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|1-r e^{i \theta}\right|^{-2(1-\alpha)} d \theta \\
& =r \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|1-r e^{i \theta}\right|^{-2(1-\alpha)} d \theta .
\end{align*}
$$

By the same method used in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we examine (15) for equality. We then find that equality holds in (16) only for the function $f \in K_{\alpha}$ which is listed in the statement of the theorem. The proof is complete.

Remark. From a result of Hayman [2, p. 280], we have the following growth estimates:

$$
L_{r}= \begin{cases}O\left((1-r)^{2 \alpha-1}\right) & \text { if } 0 \leqq \alpha<\frac{1}{2} \\ O\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right) & \text { if } \alpha=\frac{1}{2} \\ O(1) & \text { if } \frac{1}{2}<\alpha<1\end{cases}
$$
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