NS Proceedings of the Nutrition Society

CrossMark

Irish Section Conference 2022, 15–17 June 2022, Impact of nutrition science to human health: past perspectives and future directions

Enhanced vitamin D content of chicken by UVB bio-enrichment does not influence sensory evaluation

E.J. Rosbotham¹, CIR. Gill¹, E.J. McDonald², W.C. McRoberts³, N. Rainey³, R. Loy³, H.R. Neill¹, U. O'Neill⁴, S. Smyth⁵, A. Burns⁶ and L.K. Pourshahidi¹

¹Nutrition Innovation Centre for Food and Health (NICHE), School of Biomedical Sciences, Ulster University,

Coleraine, Northern Ireland,

²Devenish Nutrition Limited, Belfast, Northern Ireland,

³Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute, Belfast, Northern Ireland,

⁴Karro Food Group, Cookstown, Northern Ireland,

⁵John Thompsons and Sons Limited, Belfast, Northern Ireland, and

⁶Ulster Business School, Department of Hospitality and Tourism Management, Coleraine, Northern Ireland.

Bio-enrichment practices such as animal UVB exposure effectively enhances vitamin D content of meat⁽¹⁾. Consumers may favour vitamin D bio-enriched products owing to the natural appeal of production⁽²⁾, but changes in sensory characteristics should be minimised to ensure consumer acceptability⁽³⁾. This study aimed to 1) investigate daily UVB exposure on vitamin D metabolites in chicken meat, and 2) to determine sensory evaluation of UVB bio-enriched chicken goujons compared to control goujons. Ross 308 broiler chickens were exposed daily to UVB exposure (n = 30) or control (no UVB) (n = 30) for 6 weeks prior to slaughter. Samples of cooked bio-enriched and control chicken meat (50g) were retained for analysis. The remaining meat was minced and used to prepare two batches of goujons (bio-enriched and control) with the addition of salt, garlic and onion powder. Meat was formed and shaped into goujons, coated in batter, breadcrumbs, and fried in rapeseed oil (180°C, 1.4mins). Prepared goujons were frozen prior to sensory evaluation. Consenting adults (n = 50) aged 18–65 years were recruited. Chicken goujons were oven roasted (180°C) until an internal temperature of 75°C was reached. Participants were presented with a trio of goujons (n = 1bio-enriched; n = 2 control) each randomized by a three-digit code. Participants were asked to rate five hedonic sensory parameters; appearance, aroma, taste, texture, and overall liking rated from 1-10 (1, extremely dislike; 10, extremely like) for each goujon. Vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ (µg/kg), were analysed in cooked chicken meat and goujons by LC-MS/MS. Vitamin D activity was defined as: $[v_1 m_1 D_3 + (25(OH)D_3 x 5)]^{(4)}$. Daily UVB exposure of chickens resulted in a significant increase in mean ± SD vitamin D₃ in cooked chicken meat compared to control $(3.0 \pm 0.9 \,\mu\text{g/kg} \text{ vs. } 2.1 \pm 0.6 \,\mu\text{g/kg}, P < 0.001)$. No significant difference was observed for $25(OH)D_3$ and vitamin D activity between groups (both P > 0.05). Bio-enriched chicken goujons demonstrated a significant increase in vitamin D₃ ($1.2 \pm 0.1 \, \mu g/kg$ vs. $0.8 \pm 0.1 \, \mu g/kg$, P < 0.001) and a significant increase in vitamin D activity $(6.8 \pm 0.3 \,\mu\text{g/kg} \text{ vs } 6.4 \pm 0.2 \,\mu\text{g/kg}, P < 0.05)$. There was no difference in 25(OH)D₃ concentrations between the two types of goujons (P = 0.894). Sensory evaluation revealed mean hedonic scores ranged from 6.8-7.8 and observed no difference across all parameters; appearance, aroma, taste, texture, and overall liking between UVB bio-enriched and control goujons (P > 0.05). Ross 308 broiler chickens exposed short-term to UVB light significantly increased vitamin D_3 metabolites in cooked chicken and bio- enriched goujons. UVB bio-enrichment did not impact hedonic sensory analysis in chicken goujons, and similar levels of consumer acceptability indicate this may be a feasible strategy to increase vitamin D dietary sources for consumers. Future qualitative research is warranted to further explore market potential of vitamin D bio-enriched products.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by the Agri-Food Quest Competence Centre (AFQCC), supported by Devenish Nutrition Limited and conducted in collaboration with Agri-Food and Bioscience Institute (AFBI). The first author is in receipt of a Department of Agricultural and Rural Affairs (DAERA) PhD studentship. Ethical approval was granted by Ulster University School of Biomedical Sciences Research Ethics Filter Committee (FCBMS-21-114-A).

References

- Neill HR, et al. (2021) Crit Rev Food, -17.
- Duffy SK, Kelly AK, Rajauria G, et al. (2018) CAB Reviews 13(045), 1-11.
- Buttriss JL & Lanham-New SA (2020) Nutr Bull 45(2) 115-122.
- 4. Cashman K (2012) Food Nutr Res, 56, 1-810.