

## ON A NEW SINGULAR DIRECTION OF ALGEBROID FUNCTIONS

SONGMIN WANG AND ZONGSHENG GAO

In this paper, we prove that for an algebroid function  $w(z)$  with finite lower order, satisfying  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} (T(r, w)/(\log r)^2) = \infty$ , there exists a  $T$  direction dealing with multiple values.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

The  $\nu$ -valued algebroid function  $w = w(z) (z \in \mathbb{C})$  is defined by the irreducible equation (see [5, 6])

$$(1) \quad A_\nu(z)w^\nu + A_{\nu-1}(z)w^{\nu-1} + \dots + A_0(z) = 0,$$

where  $A_\nu(z), \dots, A_0(z)$  are all entire functions without any common zeros. In particular,  $w(z)$  is a meromorphic function when  $\nu = 1$ . In 1930s, Valiron [13], Ullrich [12] and Seleberg [10, 11] generalised the value distribution theory of meromorphic functions (see [4]) to the corresponding theory of algebroid functions. The singular direction for  $w(z)$  is one important objects studied in the theory of value distribution of algebroid functions. Many people, such as Valiron [14], Lü [7], Länd Gu [8] and Gao and Wang [2], have studied the Julia direction and Borel direction of algebroid functions.

Recently, Zheng [16] introduced a new singular direction of meromorphic functions, called the  $T$  direction. Then Guo, Zheng and Ng [3] proved that a meromorphic function  $f(z)$  must have at least one  $T$  direction, provided that  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} (T(r, f)/(\log r)^2) = \infty$ . Thus a natural question is whether there exists a  $T$  direction for an algebroid function  $w(z)$ . In this paper, we investigate the existence of the  $T$  direction dealing with its multiple values for an algebroid function with finite lower order (that is,  $\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} ((\log^+ T(r, w))/\log r) < \infty$ ), which implies that the algebroid function has a  $T$  direction, under the condition  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} (T(r, w)/(\log r)^2) = \infty$ .

Let  $w(z)$  is a  $\nu$ -valued algebroid function, defined by (1). Then the single-valued domain  $\tilde{R}_z$  of its definition is a Riemann surface, which is a  $\nu$ -sheet covering of the  $z$ -plane. A point in  $\tilde{R}_z$  is denoted by  $\tilde{z}$  if its projection in the  $z$ -plane is  $z$ . The open set

---

Received 21st November, 2006

The project is supported by National Basic Research Program of China(973 Program, Grant No. 2005CB321902).

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/07 \$A2.00+0.00.

which lies over  $|z| < r$  is denoted by  $|\tilde{z}| < r$ , and its boundary is denoted by  $|\tilde{z}| = r$ . Let  $n(r, a)$  be the number of  $a$ -points of  $w(z)$  in  $|\tilde{z}| < r$ , counted according to their multiplicities, and  $\bar{n}^{(l)}(r, a)$  be the number of distinct  $a$ -points of  $w(z)$  with multiplicity  $\leq l$  in  $|\tilde{z}| < r$ . In addition,  $\bar{n}(r, a)$  denotes the number of distinct  $a$ -points of  $w(z)$  in  $|\tilde{z}| < r$ , ignoring the multiplicity. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(r, w) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int \int_{|\tilde{z}| < r} \frac{|w'(\tilde{z})|^2}{(1 + |w(\tilde{z})|^2)^2} d\omega = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \int \int_{|z| < r} \frac{|w'_j(z)|^2}{(1 + |w_j(z)|^2)^2} dx dy, \\
 T(r, w) &= \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^r \frac{S(t, w)}{t} dt, \quad N(r, a) = \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^r \frac{n(t, a) - n(0, a)}{t} dt + \frac{n(0, a)}{\nu} \log r, \\
 \bar{N}^{(l)}(r, a) &= \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^r \frac{\bar{n}^{(l)}(t, a) - \bar{n}^{(l)}(0, a)}{t} dt + \frac{\bar{n}^{(l)}(0, a)}{\nu} \log r, \\
 \bar{N}(r, a) &= \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^r \frac{\bar{n}(t, a) - \bar{n}(0, a)}{t} dt + \frac{\bar{n}(0, a)}{\nu} \log r, \\
 m(r, w) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\nu} \int_{|\tilde{z}|=r} \log^+ |w(\tilde{z})| d\theta = \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} m(r, w_j) = \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |w_j(re^{i\theta})| d\theta,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $S(r, w)$  is called the mean covering number of  $|\tilde{z}| \leq r$  into the  $w$ -sphere, and we call  $T(r, w)$  the characteristic function of  $w(z)$ . It is known from [5, pp. 84] that

$$T(r, w) = m(r, w) + N(r, w) + O(1).$$

Let  $n(r, \tilde{R}_z)$  be the number of the branch points of  $\tilde{R}_z$  in  $|\tilde{z}| < r$ , counted with the order of the branch point. Set

$$N(r, \tilde{R}_z) = \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^r \frac{n(t, \tilde{R}_z) - n(0, \tilde{R}_z)}{t} dt + \frac{n(0, \tilde{R}_z)}{\nu} \log r.$$

From [5], we know that

$$(2) \quad N(r, \tilde{R}_z) \leq 2(\nu - 1)T(r, w) + O(1).$$

Next, we define the angle region in the  $z$ -plane,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta(\theta_0, \delta) &= \left\{ z \mid |\arg z - \theta_0| < \delta, 0 \leq \theta_0 < 2\pi, 0 < \delta < \frac{\pi}{2} \right\}, \\
 \bar{\Delta}(\theta_0, \delta) &= \{ z \mid |\arg z - \theta_0| \leq \delta \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$\tilde{\Delta}(\theta_0, \delta)$  and  $\bar{\tilde{\Delta}}(\theta_0, \delta)$  denote the regions where  $\tilde{R}_z$  lies over  $\Delta(\theta_0, \delta)$  and  $\bar{\Delta}(\theta_0, \delta)$ , respectively. Let  $n(r, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta), a)$  be the number of  $a$ -points of  $w(z)$  in  $\{|\tilde{z}| < r\} \cap \tilde{\Delta}(\theta_0, \delta)$ , counted according to their multiplicities, and  $\bar{n}^{(l)}(r, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta), a)$  be the number of distinct  $a$ -points of  $w(z)$  with multiplicity  $\leq l$  in  $\{|\tilde{z}| < r\} \cap \tilde{\Delta}(\theta_0, \delta)$ . Then  $\bar{n}(r, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta), a)$  denotes the number of distinct  $a$ -points of  $w(z)$  in  $\{|\tilde{z}| < r\} \cap \tilde{\Delta}(\theta_0, \delta)$ , ignoring multiplicity. In addition,  $n(r, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta), \tilde{R}_z)$  denotes the number of the branch points in

$\{|\tilde{z}| < r\} \cap \tilde{\Delta}(\theta_0, \delta)$ , counted with the order of branch. Just like the above, we define  $N(r, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta), a)$ ,  $\overline{N}^{(l)}(r, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta), a)$ ,  $\overline{N}(r, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta), a)$  and  $N(r, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta), \tilde{R}_z)$ , respectively.

**DEFINITION 1:** Let  $w(z)$  be a  $\nu$ -valued algebroid function defined by (1). The radial  $\arg z = \theta_0$  is called a  $T$  direction of  $w(z)$ , if for arbitrary  $\delta > 0 (0 < \delta < \pi/2)$ , in the angle region  $\Delta(\theta_0, \delta)$ , given any  $a \in \overline{C}$ , we have

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta), a)}{T(r, w)} > 0,$$

possibly with the exception of at most  $2\nu$  values of  $a$ .

**DEFINITION 2:** Let  $w(z)$  be a  $\nu$ -valued algebroid function defined by (1), and  $l (> 2\nu)$  be a positive integer. For arbitrary  $\delta > 0 (0 < \delta < \pi/2)$ , if

$$(3) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}^{(l)}(r, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta), a)}{T(r, w)} > 0$$

holds for any  $a \in \overline{C}$  except at most  $2\nu$  possible exceptions, then the radial  $\arg z = \theta_0$  is called a  $T$  direction dealing with multiple value of  $w(z)$ .

**REMARK.** From the definitions, it is easy to see that the  $T$  direction dealing with multiple value is more precise, and the  $T$  direction dealing with multiple values must be a  $T$  direction. In addition, if  $l = \infty$ , (3) implies that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}(r, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta), a)}{T(r, w)} > 0.$$

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $w(z)$  be a  $\nu$ -valued algebroid function defined by (1), satisfying

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ T(r, w)}{\log r} = \mu < \infty, \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, w)}{(\log r)^2} = \infty.$$

Then  $w(z)$  must have at least one  $T$  direction dealing with multiple values.

### 2. LEMMAS

In order to prove the theorem, we need the following lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** ([9]) Let  $T(r)$  be a positive and nondecreasing continuous function in  $[0, \infty)$ , satisfying

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ T(r)}{\log r} = \mu < \infty, \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r)}{(\log r)^2} = \infty.$$

Then for any given  $h > 0$ , there must exist sequences  $\{r_n\}$  and  $\{R_n\}$  satisfying

$$R_n^{1-o(1)} \leq r_n \leq R_n (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r_n)}{(\log r_n)^2} = \infty, \quad T(e^h R_n) \leq e^{h\mu} T(R_n)(1 + o(1)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

**LEMMA 2.** ([2]) Suppose that  $w = w(z) (|z| \leq R)$  is a  $\nu$ -valued algebraic function defined by (1), and  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q$  are  $q (\geq 3)$  distinct points in the  $w$ -sphere such that the spherical distance of any two points is no small than  $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$ . Then for any  $r \in (0, R)$ , we have

$$\left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right) S(r, w) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{n}^{(l)}(R, a_j) + \frac{l+1}{l} n(R, \tilde{R}_z) + \frac{CR}{\delta^{10}(R-r)},$$

where  $l \geq 3$  is a positive integer and  $C$  is a constant.

**LEMMA 3.** Suppose that  $w = w(z) (|z| \leq R)$  is a  $\nu$ -valued algebraic function defined by (1) and  $l (> 2\nu)$  is a positive integer. Let  $0 < \delta < \delta_0 < \pi/2, 0 \leq \theta_0 < 2\pi$ ; that is,  $\bar{\Delta}(\theta_0, \delta) \subset \Delta(\theta_0, \delta_0)$ . The part of  $\tilde{R}_z$  which lies over  $\bar{\Delta}(\theta_0, \delta) \cap \{|z| \leq r\}$  is denoted by  $\tilde{\bar{\Delta}}(\theta_0, \delta, r)$ , and

$$S(r, \bar{\Delta}(\theta_0, \delta), w) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \int_{\tilde{\bar{\Delta}}(\theta_0, \delta, r)} \frac{|w'(\tilde{z})|^2}{(1 + |w(\tilde{z})|^2)^2} d\omega.$$

Then for any positive number  $\lambda > 1$ , any positive integer  $\alpha$  and any  $q (\geq 3)$  distinct points  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q$  in the  $w$ -sphere, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right) S(r, \bar{\Delta}(\theta_0, \delta), w) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{n}^{(l)}(\lambda^{2\alpha} r, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta_0), a_j) + \frac{l+1}{l} \left(\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}\right) n(\lambda^{2\alpha} r, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta_0), \tilde{R}_z) \\ &\quad + \left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right) S(\lambda^\alpha, \bar{\Delta}(\theta_0, \delta), w) + \frac{2A}{(1-\kappa) \log \lambda} \log^+ r, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A$  is a constant depending only on  $a_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, q)$  and  $\kappa (0 < \kappa < 1)$  is a constant depending only on  $\delta, \delta_0, \lambda$  and  $\alpha$ .

**PROOF:** Set  $r_\mu = \lambda^{\alpha\mu}, r_{\mu,k} = \lambda^{\alpha\mu+k} (\mu = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 0 \leq k \leq \alpha - 1)$ , where  $r_{\mu,0} = r_\mu, r_{\mu,\alpha} = r_{\mu+1,0}$ . Let  $\Omega_{\mu,k} = \{z \mid r_{\mu,k} \leq |z| \leq r_{\mu,k+1}\} \cap \Delta(\theta_0, \delta_0)$ . For a given positive integer  $n$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\alpha-1} \sum_{\mu=0}^n \Omega_{\mu,k} = \{z \mid 1 = r_0 \leq |z| \leq r_{n+1}\} \cap \Delta(\theta_0, \delta_0),$$

for which the component is non-empty. Without loss of generality, we assume that  $k = 0$  such that

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^n n(\Omega_{\mu,0}, \tilde{R}_z) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} n(r_{n+1}, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta_0), \tilde{R}_z),$$

where  $n(\Omega_{\mu,0}, \tilde{R}_z)$  denotes the number of branch points in  $\tilde{\Omega}_{\mu,0}$ , counted with the order of the branch point. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\mu &= \{z \mid r_{\mu,0} < |z| < r_{\mu+1,1}\} \cap \Delta(\theta_0, \delta_0), \\ \bar{\Delta}_\mu &= \left\{z \mid \frac{r_{\mu,0} + r_{\mu,1}}{2} \leq |z| \leq \frac{r_{\mu+1,0} + r_{\mu+1,1}}{2}\right\} \cap \bar{\Delta}(\theta_0, \delta). \end{aligned}$$

By the Riemann Mapping Theorem, there exists a univalent function  $f(z)$  mapping  $\Delta_\mu$  onto the unit disk  $|\zeta| < 1$ . At the same time, the point

$$z = ((r_{\mu,0} + r_{\mu,1} + r_{\mu+1,0} + r_{\mu+1,1})/4)e^{i\theta_0}$$

can be mapped to  $\zeta = 0$ , and  $\bar{\Delta}_\mu$  can be mapped into  $|\zeta| \leq \kappa$ , where  $\kappa(0 < \kappa < 1)$  is a constant depending only on  $\delta, \delta_0$  and  $\lambda^\alpha$ , independent of  $\nu$ . Then from the fact that

$$S(\bar{\Delta}_\mu, w) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \int_{\bar{\Delta}_\mu} \frac{|w'(\tilde{z})|^2}{(1 + |w(\tilde{z})|^2)^2} d\omega$$

is a conformal invariant and Lemma 2, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right) S(\bar{\Delta}_\mu, w) &\leq \left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right) S(\kappa, w(f^{-1})) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{n}^{(j)}(|\zeta| \leq 1, a_j) + \frac{l+1}{l} n(|\zeta| \leq 1, \tilde{R}_z) + \frac{A}{1-\kappa} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{n}^{(j)}(\Delta_\mu, a_j) + \frac{l+1}{l} n(\Delta_\mu, \tilde{R}_z) + \frac{A}{1-\kappa}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(4) \quad \left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right) \sum_{\mu=1}^{n-1} S(\bar{\Delta}_\mu, w) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{\mu=1}^{n-1} \bar{n}^{(j)}(\Delta_\mu, a_j) + \frac{l+1}{l} \sum_{\mu=1}^{n-1} n(\Delta_\mu, \tilde{R}_z) + \frac{nA}{1-\kappa}.$$

In addition, it is easy to see that

$$(5) \quad \sum_{\mu=1}^{n-1} S(\bar{\Delta}_\mu, w) \geq S(r_n, \bar{\Delta}(\theta_0, \delta), w) - S(r_1, \bar{\Delta}(\theta_0, \delta), w).$$

Since  $\{\Delta_\mu\}_{\mu=0}^n$  overlays  $\{\Omega_{\mu,0}\}_{\mu=0}^n$  twice at most, we have

$$(6) \quad \sum_{\mu=1}^{n-1} \bar{n}^{(j)}(\Delta_\mu, a_j) \leq 2\bar{n}^{(j)}(r_{n+1}, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta_0), a_j),$$

$$(7) \quad \sum_{\mu=1}^{n-1} n(\Delta_\mu, \tilde{R}_z) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) n(r_{n+1}, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta_0), \tilde{R}_z),$$

where  $n(\Delta_\mu, \tilde{R}_z)$  denotes the number of branch points in  $\tilde{\Delta}_\mu$ , counted with the order of the branch point. And it follows  $n = (\log r_n)/(\alpha \log \lambda)$  from  $r_n = \lambda^{\alpha n}$ . Then by (4)-(7), we obtain

$$(8) \quad \left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right)S(r_n, \overline{\Delta}(\theta_0, \delta), w) \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{n}^{(j)}(r_{n+1}, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta_0), a_j) + \frac{l+1}{l} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)n(r_{n+1}, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta_0), \tilde{R}_z) + \left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right)S(r_1, \overline{\Delta}(\theta_0, \delta), w) + \frac{A}{\alpha(1-\kappa) \log \lambda} \log^+ r_n.$$

If  $r \geq r_1 = \lambda^\alpha$ , there must exist a  $n > 1$  such that  $r_{n-1} \leq r < r_n$ . Thus we have  $r_{n+1} = \lambda^{2\alpha} r_{n-1} \leq \lambda^{2\alpha} r$  and  $r_n \leq \lambda^\alpha r \leq r^2$ . Then (8) becomes

$$\left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right)S(r, \overline{\Delta}(\theta_0, \delta), w) \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{n}^{(j)}(\lambda^{2\alpha} r, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta_0), a_j) + \frac{l+1}{l} \left(\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}\right)n(\lambda^{2\alpha} r, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta_0), \tilde{R}_z) + \left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right)S(\lambda^\alpha, \overline{\Delta}(\theta_0, \delta), w) + \frac{2A}{(1-\kappa) \log \lambda} \log^+ r.$$

If  $r < r_1$ , the above inequality is obviously true. The Lemma is complete. □

**LEMMA 4.** Suppose that  $w = w(z) (|z| \leq R)$ , defined by (1), is a  $\nu$ -valued algebroid function with finite lower order  $\mu$ , and satisfies

$$(9) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, w)}{(\log r)^2} = \infty,$$

Let  $l (> 2\nu)$ ,  $m (> 1)$  be both positive integers, and

$$\eta_0 = 0, \eta_1 = \frac{2\pi}{m}, \dots, \eta_{m-1} = (m-1) \frac{2\pi}{m}, \eta_m = 0.$$

Then there must exist a  $\Delta(\eta_i, (2\pi/m))$  in  $\left\{ \Delta(\eta_i, (2\pi/m)) \right\}_{i=0}^{m-1}$  such that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}^{(l)}(r, \Delta(\eta_i, (2\pi/m)), a)}{T(r, w)} > 0$$

holds for any  $a \in \overline{C}$  except at most  $2\nu$  possible exceptions.

**PROOF:** Suppose that the conclusion is false. Then for any  $\Delta(\eta_i, (2\pi/m)) (0 \leq i \leq m-1)$ , there exists  $q = 2\nu + 1$  exceptional values  $\{\alpha_i^j\} (j = 1, \dots, 2\nu + 1)$  such that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}^{(l)}(r, \Delta(\eta_i, (2\pi/m)), \alpha_i^j)}{T(r, w)} = 0.$$

Thus there exists  $R_0$ , when  $r > R_0$ ,

$$(10) \quad \overline{N}^{(l)}\left(r, \Delta\left(\eta_i, \frac{2\pi}{m}, \alpha_i^j\right)\right) = o(T(r, w))$$

holds for  $i, j$  uniformly.

Let  $\alpha$  is any positive integer. Put  $\eta_{i,k} = (2\pi i/m) + (2\pi k)/(\alpha m) (0 \leq k \leq \alpha - 1)$ . Given any constant  $\lambda (> 1)$  and  $r > R_0$ , let  $\Delta_{i,k} = \{z \mid |z| < \lambda^{2\alpha}r, \eta_{i,k} \leq \arg z < \eta_{i,k+1}\}$ . Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \Delta_{i,k} = \{|z| < \lambda^{2\alpha}r\},$$

for which the component is non-empty. Without loss of generality, we assume that  $k = 0$  such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} n(\Delta_{i,0}, \tilde{R}_z) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} n(\lambda^{2\alpha}r, \tilde{R}_z).$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\Delta}_i &= \{z \mid (\eta_{i,0} + \eta_{i,1})/2 \leq \arg z \leq (\eta_{i+1,0} + \eta_{i+1,1})/2\}, \\ \Delta_i &= \{z \mid \eta_{i,0} < \arg z < \eta_{i+1,1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{\Delta_{i,j}\}_{i=0}^{m-1}$  overlays  $\{\Delta_{i,0}\}_{i=0}^{m-1}$  only twice, we have

$$(11) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} n(\lambda^{2\alpha}r, \Delta_{i,0}, \tilde{R}_z) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) n(\lambda^{2\alpha}r, \tilde{R}_z).$$

For  $\overline{\Delta}_i \subset \Delta_i$ , applying Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right) S(r, \overline{\Delta}_i, w) &\leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}^{(l)}(\lambda^{2\alpha}r, \Delta_i, a_j) + \frac{l+1}{l} \left(\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}\right) n(\lambda^{2\alpha}r, \Delta_i, \tilde{R}_z) \\ &\quad + \left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right) S(\lambda^\alpha, \overline{\Delta}_i, w) + \frac{2A_i}{(1-\kappa) \log \lambda} \log^+ r, \end{aligned}$$

Adding from  $i = 0$  to  $m-1$ , dividing both sides of this inequality by  $r$  and then integrating both sides from 0 to  $r$ , we obtain the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right) T(r, w) &\leq 2 \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}^{(l)}(\lambda^{2\alpha}r, \Delta_i, a_j) + \frac{l+1}{l} \left(\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^2 N(\lambda^{2\alpha}r, \tilde{R}_z) \\ &\quad + \left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right) T(\lambda^\alpha, w) + O[(\log r)^2]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that by (2) and (9)–(11)

$$(12) \quad \left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right) T(r, w) \leq 2(\nu - 1) \frac{l+1}{l} \left(\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^2 T(\lambda^{2\alpha}r, w) + o(T(r, w)).$$

By Lemma 1, there exists sequence  $\{r_n\}$  and  $\{R_n\}$  satisfying  $R_n^{1-o(1)} \leq r_n \leq R_n$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r_n, w)}{(\log r_n)^2} = \infty, \quad T(\lambda^{2\alpha} R_n, w) \leq \lambda^{2\alpha\mu} T(R_n, w)(1 + o(1)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Thus we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(R_n, w)}{(\log R_n)^2} = \infty.$$

Replacing  $r$  by  $R_n$  and dividing both side by  $T(R_n, w)$  in (12), we obtain

$$q - 2 - \frac{2}{l} \leq 2(\nu - 1) \frac{l+1}{l} \left(\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^2 \lambda^{2\alpha\mu},$$

when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Letting  $\lambda \rightarrow 1, \alpha \rightarrow \infty$ , respectively, we deduce  $l \leq 2\nu$  from  $q = 2\nu + 1$ . This contradicts  $l > 2\nu$ . □

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

By Lemma 4, for any given positive integer  $m$ , there exists an angle region

$$\Delta\left(\theta_m, \frac{2\pi}{m}\right) = \left\{ z \mid \left| \arg z - \theta_m \right| < \frac{2\pi}{m} \right\},$$

such that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}^{(l)}(r, \Delta(\theta_m, (2\pi/m), a))}{T(r, w)} > 0$$

holds for any  $a \in \overline{C}$  with at most  $2\nu$  exceptions.

Let  $E = \{\theta_m = \arg z_m \mid 0 \leq \theta_m < 2\pi, m = 1, 2, \dots\}$ , then there exists at least one accumulation point  $\theta_0 (0 \leq \theta_0 < 2\pi)$  in  $E$ . Without loss generation, we assume  $\theta_m \rightarrow \theta_0 (m \rightarrow \infty)$ . Then  $J : \arg z = \theta_0$  is a  $T$  direction dealing with multiple value of  $w(z)$ . Otherwise there exists a  $\delta (0 < \delta < \pi/2)$  and  $2\nu + 1$  exceptional values  $a \in \Delta(\theta_0, \delta)$ , such that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}^{(l)}(r, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta), a)}{T(r, w)} = 0.$$

For sufficiently large  $m$ , we have  $\Delta(\theta_m, (2\pi/m)) \subset \Delta(\theta_0, \delta)$ . Then

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}^{(l)}(r, \Delta(\theta_m, 2\pi/m), a)}{T(r, w)} = 0.$$

This contradicts the choice of  $\{\theta_m\}$ . This completes the Theorem.

**REMARK 1.** If  $w(z)$  has finite positive order  $\rho$ , that is,  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} (\log^+ T(r, w))/\log r = \rho (0 < \rho < \infty)$ , then its Borel direction of the largest type (see [1]) is also the  $T$  direction. Thus there is a sequence filling disks in any  $T$  direction (dealing with multiple value)(see [1, 15]).



REMARK 2. It remains open, whether the  $T$  direction (dealing with multiple value) of  $w(z)$  exists, when the lower order of  $w(z)$  is infinite; that is,

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ T(r, w)}{\log r} = \infty.$$

REMARK 3. If  $a(z)$  is a function such that  $T(r, a) = o(T(r, w))$ , is it true that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta), a)}{T(r, w)} > 0,$$

with the possible exception of at most  $2\nu$  small functions  $a(z)$ ?

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Z.S. Gao and D.S. Sun, 'On the Borel direction of the largest type of algebroid functions', (in Chinese), *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A* **6** (1997), 701–710.
- [2] Z.S. Gao and F.Z. Wang, 'Theorems of the covering surfaces and multiple values of the algebroid functions', (in Chinese), *Acta. Math. Sinica* **44** (2001), 805–814.
- [3] H. Guo, J.H. Zheng and T. Ng, 'On a new singular direction of meromorphic functions', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **69** (2004), 277–287.
- [4] W.K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964).
- [5] Y.Z. He and X.Z. Xiao, *Algebroid functions and ordinary differential equations*, (in Chinese) (Science Press, Beijing, 1988).
- [6] K. Katajamäki, 'Algebroid solutions of binomial and linear differential equations', *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **90** (1993).
- [7] Y.N. Lü, 'On the Julia direction of meromorphic functions and meromorphic algebroid functions', (in Chinese), *Acta Math. Sinica* **27** (1984), 368–373.
- [8] Y.N. Lü and Y.X. Gu, 'On the existence of Borel direction for algebroid function', (in Chinese), *Chinese Sci. Bull.* **28** (1983), 264–266.
- [9] Y.N. Lü and G.H. Zhang, 'On the Nevanlinna direction of meromorphic functions', *Sci. China Ser. A* **3** (1983), 215–224.
- [10] H.L. Selberg, 'Über eine Eigenschaft der logarithmischen Ableitung einer meromorphen oder algebroiden Funktion endlicher Ordnung', *Avh. Norske Vid. Akad. Oslo I* **14** (1929).
- [11] H.L. Selberg, 'Über die Wertverteilung der algebroiden funktionen', *Math. Z.* **31** (1930), 709–728.
- [12] E. Ullrich, 'Über den Einfluss der Verzweigkeit einer algebroiden auf ihre Wertverteilung', *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **167** (1931), 198–220.
- [13] G. Valiron, 'Sur les fonctions algébroides méromorphes du second degré', *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **189** (1929), 623–625.
- [14] G. Valiron, 'Sur les directions de Borel des fonctions algébroides méromorphes d'ordre infini', *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **206** (1938), 735–737.
- [15] Z.X. Xuan and Z.S. Gao, 'The Borel direction of the largest type of algebroid functions dealing with multiple values', *Kodai Math. J.* **30** (2007), 97–110.

- [16] J.H. Zheng, 'On transcendental meromorphic functions with radially distributed values', *Sci. China Ser. A.* **47** (2004), 401–416.

LMIB & Department of Mathematics  
Beihang University  
Beijing, 100083  
People's Republic of China  
e-mail: wsmin@ss.buaa.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics  
Beihang University  
Beijing, 100083  
People's Republic of China  
e-mail: zshgao@buaa.edu.cn