New geological framework for Western Amazonia (Brazil) and implications for biogeography and evolution
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Abstract

Although many of the current hypotheses to explain the origin and distribution of the Amazon biodiversity has been based directly or indirectly on geological data, the reconstruction of the geological history of the Amazon region is still inadequate to analyze its relationship with the biodiversity. This work has the main goal to characterize the sedimentary successions formed in the Brazilian Amazon in the Neogene-Quaternary discussing the evolution of the depositional systems through time and analyzing their main controlling mechanisms in order to fill up this gap. Radar image interpretation, sedimentological studies, and radiocarbon dating allowed the mapping of Plio-Pleistocene to Holocene units along the Solimões-Amazonas River, Brazil. This integrated work led to the characterization of five sedimentary successions overlying Miocene deposits of the Solimões/Pebas Formation, which include the following: Icôa Formation (Plio-Pleistocene), deposits Q1 (37,400–43,700 14C yr B.P.), deposits Q2 (27,200 14C yr B.P.), deposits Q3 (6730–2480 14C yr B.P.), and deposits Q4 (280–130 14C yr B.P.). These deposits occur mostly to the west of Manaus, forming NW–SE elongated belts that are progressively younger from SW to NE, indicating a subsiding basin with a depocenter that migrated to the NE. The reconstruction of the depositional history is consistent with significant changes in the landscapes. Hence, the closure of a large lake system at the end of the Miocene gave rise to the development of a Plio-Pleistocene fluvial system. This was yet very distinct from the modern drainage, with shallow, energetic, highly migrating, braided to anastomosed channels having an overall northeast outlet. This fluvial system formed probably under climatic conditions relatively drier than today’s. During the early Pleistocene, there was pronounced erosion, followed by a renewed depositional phase ca. 40,000 14C yr B.P., with the development of prograding lobes and/or crevasse splays associated with a lake system (i.e., fan-delta) and/or fluvial flood plain areas. After a period of erosion, a fluvial system with eastward draining channels started to develop at around 27,000 14C yr B.P. The fluvial channels were overflooded in mid-Holocene time. This flooding is attributed to an increased period of humidity, with a peak between 5000 and 2500 14C yr B.P. The data presented herein support that, rather than being a monotonous area, the Amazonia was a place with frequent changes in landscape throughout the Neogene-Quaternary, probably as a result of climatic and tectonic factors. We hypothesize that these changes in the physical environment stressed the biota, resulting in speciation and thus had a great impact on modern biodiversity.
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Introduction

Amazonia is in urgent need of new geological data to provide models of landscape evolution that can serve as a foundation for discussing the origin, evolution, and the mechanisms controlling its modern biodiversity. This approach is of paramount interest to formulate strategies for conservation of this unique ecosystem, as species differentiation is motivated mostly by environmental stresses (Renaud and Dam, 2002; Sheldon, 1996) caused by the complex interplay of climate, sedimentary processes, tectonics, and sea level. The overall lack of geological data in the Amazonia is due to its immense size, dense vegetation cover, and difficult access. In particular, there have been few
systematic sedimentologic and stratigraphic studies of the deposits formed from the late Tertiary to Holocene, the time span that witnessed the evolution of the modern biodiversity. As a result, many of the available discussions of species distribution and evolution based on geology are speculative (e.g., Bates, 2001; Patton and Silva, 2001; Patton et al., 2000; Räsänen et al., 1987, 1990, 1995; Rüegg and Rosenzweig, 1949; Van der Hammen and Hooghiemstra, 2000; Webb, 1995).

Resolving the problem with lack of geological data in Amazonia is a long-term commitment, but basic mapping and adequate characterization of the geological units are crucial to motivate this process. In the particular case of Western Brazilian Amazonia, there is a huge bias because the available geological maps oversimplifies the post-Miocene history with the inclusion of many sedimentary units (equivalent to 1,000,000 km²) in the Içá Formation of Pli-Pleistocene age, with few areas of Holocene fluvial terraces.

To fill this gap, we mapped and characterized the sedimentary units overlying the Miocene deposits of the Solimões and Barreiras formations with basis on an integrated study combining analysis of radar images, field and laboratory sedimentologic data, and C¹⁴ dating. The area emphasized in this work includes a 300-m-wide belt on both sides of the Amazon-Solimões River that extends from the vicinity of Tabatinga, near the Colombia border, to Gurupá, in the State of Pará (Fig. 1). The results of this approach provide new elements for the reconstruction of the Amazon geological history, and so for discussion of the validity of the currently accepted hypothesis concerning to biome evolution and species distribution (Ayres and Clutton-Brock, 1992; Patton and Silva, 2001; Patton et al., 2000; Peres et al., 1996; Räsänen et al., 1990; Van der Hammen and Hooghiemstra, 2000).

**Methods**

The morphological and topographic characterizations of the study area were made with basis on SRTM-1 and SRTM-3 radar images provided by National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), National Imagery and Mapping Agency (NIMA), DLR (German Space Agency), and ASIA (Italian Space Agency).

The fieldwork included facies and stratigraphic descriptions of exposures along riverbanks. This study was combined with sedimentologic characterization of the deposits based on the analysis of heavy, light, and clay minerals, which helped to distinguish the stratigraphic units and allowed discussions on the climate dominant during deposition, respectively. Sands and disaggregated sandstone samples were wet sieved to obtain the 63- to 125-μm size fraction. The heavy minerals (specific gravity 2.9 or more) were separated from light minerals by means of gravity settling using bromoform and mounted on glass slides for microscopic studies. The proportion of heavy minerals were determined from a count of at least 100 grains per slide, excluding opaques and micas to avoid dubious interpretation. The light minerals were studied under a binocular, with qualitative estimations of proportions to help classify the lithologies better. The unstable/stable ratio was calculated using the sum of amphibole, pyroxene, and epidote divided by the sum of zircon, tourmaline, and rutile. The ZTR indicates the sum of zircon, tourmaline, and rutile.

For clay mineral analysis, grain <63 μm in diameter from 24 samples were treated with H₂O₂ for removal of organic matter and mounted in glass slides, forming oriented films that were analyzed in the X-ray diffractometer. Three analyses were run for each sample using normal-oriented, ethylene glycol-solvated and -heated (550°C) modes.

![Figure 1. New geological map for Western and Central Amazonia along the Solimões-Amazon River. Discrimination among Plio-Pleistocene to Holocene sedimentary units was possible with basis on the integration of radar images, sedimentological data, and radiocarbon dating.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yqres.2004.10.001 Published online by Cambridge University Press)
Relative proportions of clay minerals were estimated with basis on the measurement of peak intensity.

A total of 14 samples were dated at the Beta Analytic Radiocarbon Dating Laboratory, using accelerator mass spectrometer (AMS) for small size samples. The samples were pretreated with acid to remove carbonates and weaken organic bonds, washed with alkali to remove secondary organic acids, and then combined with acid again to provide more accurate dating. Conventional $^{14}$C ages were calibrated to calendar years using the Pretoria Calibration Procedure program, based on tree-ring data as calibration curves (Talma and Vogel, 1993).

Characterization of the depositional units

The Brazilian Amazonia to the east of Manaus is mostly floored by Cretaceous rocks of the Alter do Chão Formation, which forms a W–E-oriented belt overlying Paleozoic rocks of the Guianas and Central Brazilian shields (Fig. 1). After the late Cretaceous, this area showed scarce deposition, represented by spotty occurrences of fluvial Miocene deposits known as the Barreiras Formation (Albuquerque, 1922; Oliveira and Leonardo, 1943), as well as a few unnamed late Pleistocene–Holocene alluvial deposits formed along river banks. A comparison between the Miocene deposits from this area and the Cenozoic units exposed in Northeastern Amazonia (Góes et al., 1990; Rossetti, 2000, 2001; Rossetti et al., 1989, 1990) suggests that the Barreiras Formation is actually restricted to few occurrences of poor-sorted, medium- to coarse-grained, usually iron-cemented, quartz sandstones formed mostly in fluvial channels. The remaining deposits are lithologically similar to the post-Barreiras sediments formed during the Pliocene/Pleistocene, as described below.

In contrast, the area located to the west of Manaus remained as a depositional site throughout the late Cenozoic, as revealed by a variety of sedimentary units that overlies the lacustrine to transitional marine Miocene Solimões/Pebas Formation (e.g., Hoorn, 1994; Hoorn et al., 1995; Monsh, 1998; Nuttall, 1990; Räsänen et al., 1995; Vonhof et al., 1998; Wesselingh et al., 2001). Our mapping (Fig. 1) shows that the post-Miocene deposits, represented by the Icã Formation, are much more restricted than previously thought (e.g., Maia et al., 1977), with an exposure of only 300,000 km$^2$. In contrast, 700,000 km$^2$ of Pleistocene–Holocene deposits are exposed. These are referred to herein as deposits Q1 (base) to Q4 (top). Table 1 summarizes the main characteristics of these deposits and Table 2 shows a list with the corresponding $^{14}$C ages.

Sedimentology

Icã formation

This unit (Fig. 2) lies unconformably on the early to middle Miocene Solimões Formation (Latrubesse et al.,

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sedimentary unit</th>
<th>Altitude (m)</th>
<th>Morphology/drainage pattern</th>
<th>Sedimentological characteristics</th>
<th>Age $^{14}$C yr B.P.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deposits Q4 (3–7 m thick)</td>
<td>35–45</td>
<td>Planar with abundant meandering channels</td>
<td>Coarsening and fining-upward successions consisting of dark gray to black, very fine to fine-grained, mostly cross-laminated sands interbedded with dark gray to black, parallel laminated muds.</td>
<td>240–130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits Q3 (7 m thick)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Planar with very low density of meandering channels and abandoned loops; planar areas without channels.</td>
<td>Coarsening-upward successions 0.3–1 m thick, formed by brown, parallel laminated sands that grade-upward into light-gray to brown, fine- to medium-grained, parallel or climbing ripple cross stratification.</td>
<td>27,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits Q2 (6 m thick)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Planar with low density, subdendritic channels</td>
<td>Either isolated or amalgamated lobate bodies of yellowish, fine- to medium-grained, sands 1.5 m thick and 15–20 m long. Medium-size cross stratification and climbing ripple cross lamination. Coarsening/thickening-upward successions and minor fining-upward successions bounded at the base by erosive surfaces with a lag of mud intraclasts.</td>
<td>43,700–37,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Icã Fm. (25 m thick)</td>
<td>100–140</td>
<td>Smooth hills with dense, dendritic to subdendritic channels</td>
<td>A fossiliferous, white to light reddish feldspathic, fine- to coarse-grained, incipiently cross-stratified feldspathic sandstones and sub-arkoses and argillites. Fining/thinning-upward successions with planar erosional surfaces mantled by intraformational conglomerates.</td>
<td>Plio-Pleistocene</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table 1](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yqres.2004.10.001 Published online by Cambridge University Press)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory sample no.</th>
<th>Deposit unit</th>
<th>Type of material</th>
<th>14C yr B.P.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beta-168589</td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>37,700 (±540)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-184364</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>37,410</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-184365</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>39,650</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-184366</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>40,010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-184377</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>43,730</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-184362</td>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>27,130 ± 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-184361</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>5030 ± 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-184359</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>2480 ± 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-168590</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>4620 (±60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-184376</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>6730 ± 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-184360</td>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>140 ± 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-184371</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>240 ± 80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-184369</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>130 ± 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-184370</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>220 ± 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
List of 14C dating of samples collected from deposits Q1 to Q4

1994; Maia et al., 1977), cropping out in an N/NW–SE elongated belt in the west of the study area. It occurs at altitudes between 100 and 140 m and forms a land surface displaying smooth hills and dense dendritic to subdendritic drainage patterns. Exposures of this unit along riverbanks are up to 25 m thick, but total thickness may be as much as 80 m (Maia et al., 1977). The Içã Formation is characterized by a fossiliferous, white to light reddish feldspathic, fine- to coarse-grained, poorly cross-bedded sandstones and sub-arkoses (73% monocrystalline quartz; 25% feldspar; 2% muscovite), and secondarily argillites. The sand grains are, in general, angular to very angular and moderately sorted. The heavy mineral assemblage is very altered, being constituted by dominantly stable minerals, with a ZTR = 55 and the unstable/stable ratio = 0.31 (Table 3; Figs. 3A and B).

The deposits are arranged into fining/thinning-upward successions with planar erosional surfaces mantled by intraformational conglomerates. Given the absence of fossils, the Içã Formation could not be dated, but its position overlaying an upper Miocene unconformity and underlying deposits dated to the late Pleistocene provides an estimated Plio-Pleistocene age.

Post-Barreiras sediments

These deposits occur at two topographic levels, each with distinctive morphological and topographic characteristics. One stands ca. 200 m above the modern sea level and is located between the Xingu and Tapajós rivers and on the left margin of the latter, and along the right margin of the Madeiras River, close to the confluence with the Amazon River; these deposits are deeply incised by V-shaped river valleys arranged into a trellised pattern. The other deposits are located near the town of Óbidos and occur at altitudes between 45 and 60 m. They are characterized by a dense drainage with denticrite and less commonly subparallel channel patterns.

At the outcrop scale, two corresponding sedimentary successions were also recognized: a lower one (post-Barreiras 1), consisting of light red, fine- to coarse-grained, massive sands; and an upper one (post-Barreiras 2), represented by typically yellow, fine-grained sands. Both sands consist of mostly monocrystalline, subangular to subrounded quartz grains. The base of these deposits is an unconformity with erosional relief of up to 6 m at the outcrop scale, which is mantled by a lag of reworked laterite concretions, as well as by quartz and ferruginous sandstone pebbles. These deposits present distinctive assemblages of heavy minerals (Table 3; Figs. 3A–C). Hence, the post-Barreiras 1 is characterized by highly stable species, as indicated by the ZTR = 80, and unstable/stable ratio = 0.12. In contrast, the post-Barreiras 2 shows ZTR = 55, but the unstable/stable ratio = 0.05 still points to a very stable mineral assemblage. In this case, the lower ZTR relative to the post-Barreiras 1 is due to the high andaluzite content (31%).

Deposits Q1

These deposits (Fig. 2) are only 10 m thick at the outcrop scale, occurring along a wide belt parallelling the Içã Formation, as well as in a few isolated areas southeast of Manaus and on the right margin of Negro River. Between 85 and 100 m above present sea level, this succession forms a plateau that is distinguished from the Içã Formation by the planar morphology with less dense drainage arranged in a trellised to rectangular pattern. Deposits Q1 consist of yellowish–white, fine- to medium-grained, moderately sorted and mostly angular sands (70% monocrystalline and polycrystalline quartz; 30% muscovite, biotite, and chlorite). These deposits occur as lobes averaging 1.5 m in thickness and 15–20 m in length. The sand lobes are either amalgamated or separated by thin mud layers and internally display medium-sized (thickness averaging 0.4 cm) tabular and cross stratification and abundant climbing-ripple cross laminations. The strata are organized into coarsening/thickening-upward successions; locally, there are fining-upward deposits bounded at the base by erosive surfaces and a lag of mud intraclasts. Organic plant debris preserved in the mud layers provided ages between 37,400 and 43,700 14C yr B.P. (Table 1).

The Q1 heavy mineral assemblage is composed of very altered but predominantly unstable minerals (mostly epidote, amphibole, and augite), as indicated by the ZTR = 5 and the unstable/stable = 14 (Table 3; Figs. 3A–C).

Deposits Q2

These deposits (Fig. 2) are volumetrically best represented in a large area (i.e., nearly 12,000 km^2) between the Solimões and Negro rivers, with minor occurrences forming narrow belts along the Solimões River near Colombia and in the confluences of the Içã, Jutaí, Japura, and Juruá rivers. This sedimentary unit stands at a mean altitude of 70 m and forms a planar area characterized by a low-density drainage system with incipient subdendritic channels. One interesting characteristic of these deposits is the ragged, “half-moon”
morphology of their margins, denoting strong erosion by younger channel migration.

The facies of Q2 are distinct from Q1, consisting of coarsening-upward successions 0.3–1 m thick. They consist of dark gray, parallel-laminated muds that grade upward into light gray to brown, fine- to medium-grained, moderately to poorly sorted, angular to subangular, sands (85–90% polycrystalline, monocrystalline quartz, and chert; 5% feldspar; 5–10% muscovite, biotite, and chlorite). Internally, they display parallel or climbing-ripple cross lamination. Fining-upward sequences are locally present, and the sandy component displays medium- to small-scale sets (<0.4 cm thick) of trough cross stratification. Plant remains dispersed in the muds were dated at 27,160 ¹⁴C yr B.P.

The assemblage of heavy mineral in deposits Q2 is relatively more unstable than in Q1, as shown by the unstable/stable ratio = 20 and the ΣZTR = 4.4 (Table 3; Figs. 3A–C). The minerals are well preserved and repre-
sent, in order of importance, by epidote, amphibole, augite, and hypersthene.

**Deposits Q3**

These deposits (Fig. 2) are really much more restricted than Q1 and Q2, occurring discontinuously around the riverbanks throughout the study area, reaching as far east as the locality of Santarém, from where they become spottier. These deposits form a flat area at mean altitude of 55 m, with a very low density of meandering channels that cut into flood-plain areas having abundant abandoned loops. As with deposits Q2, these deposits display ragged margins with “half-moon” morphology. Planar areas without any channels are common.

Deposits Q3 are up to 7 m thick at the outcrop scale and consist of fining- and coarsening-upward successions 0.2–1.8 m thick. They are formed by light gray to brownish massive muds that grade into light gray to brownish, moderately sorted, mostly angular, siltic- to fine-grained, sands (60% muscovite, chlorite, and biotite; 40% poly- crystalline quartz). The clay layers frequently display plant remains, which locally form peats that are up to 0.6 m thick dated at 6700 to 2480 14C yr BP. The heavy mineral assemblage in deposits Q3 is relatively more unstable than in Q2, as indicated by lower ZTR of 1.9 and much higher unstable/stable ratios (Un/S) are also included in the two last columns to the right (Afb = amphibole; Hip = hypersthene; Aug = augite; Epi = epidote; And = andalusite; Cian = kyanite; Est = staurolite; Gra = garnet; Tur = tourmaline; Zir = zircon; Rut = rutile; Ats = anatase; Olv = olivine; Sil = sillimanite; Apa = apatite; Sep = serpentine).

**Clay mineral analysis**

X-ray analyses of the studied deposits revealed a mixture of clay minerals consisting of smectite, kaolinite, chlorite, and illite. While these minerals occur in most of the analyzed samples, their relative proportion may change significantly, allowing inferences on the main climatic regimes that prevailed during deposition. The Ícã formation was not considered for this analysis because this unit shows a high degree of alteration, indicated by the red to white color. In addition, these deposits contain a high volume of feldspar grains, whose alteration led to an increase in the volume of kaolinite.

In particular, the smectite/kaolinite ratio varies significantly among the deposits, corresponding to 2.7, 1.54, 0.42, and 0.52 in deposits Q1, Q2, Q3, and Q4, respectively (Fig. 3D). In order to compare the climate during the formation of these deposits with the modern climate, X-ray analyses were also run for modern muds, which indicated smectite/kaolinite ratio of 0.31.

**Reconstruction of the geological evolution**

The morphological and sedimentological characteristics of the stratigraphic units described above suggest that the study area experienced several environmental changes during the Neogene-Quaternary. The heavy mineral assemblage, in particular the unstable/stable ratios and the ZTR, differentiated the sedimentary units, indicating a progressive increase in unstable minerals in younger deposits. The
increase in the frequency of unstable minerals can be attributed to a lower degree of alteration in younger deposits. The accompanying decrease in ΣZTR suggests differences in source rocks between the Plio-Pleistocene and the late Pleistocene to Holocene deposits Q1–Q4. In addition, the Icã Formation displays a mixture of heavy minerals derived both from basic igneous Andean rocks, indicated by the presence of amphibole, augite and hypersthene, and acid igneous and metamorphic rocks derived from the Amazonian Craton, indicated by the presence of zircon, tourmaline, staurolite, kyanite, and andalusite. In as much, the post-Barreiras sediments display an assemblage with dominance of sedimentary rocks from the Cretaceous Alter do Chão Formation, and secondarily, igneous and metamorphic rocks from the craton. Considering that these deposits are probably correlatable, we take this as an indication for the absence of eastward flowing drainage to the Atlantic during the Plio-Pleistocene, which is also supported by other sedimentological evidence, as discussed in the following.

The distribution of the sedimentary units as belts progressively younger from southwest to northeast suggests that Western Amazonia behaved as a subsiding basin, with the depocenter migrating to the northeast in the late Tertiary–Holocene. This basin is bounded to the east by Cretaceous rocks of the Alter do Chão Formation, as well as older Paleozoic and Precambrian rocks. In addition, our sedimentological record indicates a huge area located to the west of Manaus that underwent to several phases of subsidence and stability since the early/middle Miocene (Fig. 4A). The initial deposits in this basin, represented by the Solimôes Formation, record a huge lake system that might have been punctuated by marine transgressions (Wesselingh et al., 2001). Marine transgressions also affected sedimentation to the east of Gurupa (Gões et al., 1990; Rossetti, 2000, 2001; Rossetti et al., 1989, 1990, 2004), but the data presented herein do not support a W–E marine connection through central Amazonia, where Miocene strata (i.e., Barreiras Formation) was alluvial in nature. Tectonic studies suggest that the area between Manaus and Santarém was under compression (Costa et al., 1996), favoring the development of an eastward-flowing fluvial system (Fig. 4A).

The unconformity at the top of the Solimôes Formation records a time of erosion and/or nondeposition. This unconformity is correlatable with the unconformity at the top of the chronologically equivalent Barreiras Formation exposed in the Eastern Amazonia, where it is associated with widespread lateritic paleosol formed during tectonic stability combined with a fall in sea level (Rossetti, 2000; Rossetti and Gões, 2001; Rossetti et al., 2004). During the Plio-Pleistocene, fault reactivation, probably associated with transgression (Bezerra, 2003), caused subsidence of the area west of Manaus, where the northwest-flowing river system reached the Atlantic Ocean through the Essequibo River in the Demerara plain. As a consequence, widespread fluvial deposits were formed, as recorded by the Icã Formation.

Figure 3. Heavy mineral assemblages in the Plio-Pleistocene to Holocene deposits exposed along the Solimões-Amazonas River. (A) Comparative distribution among main heavy minerals (Afb = amphibole; Aug = augite; Epi = epidote; And = andalusite; Cian = kyanite; Est = staurolite; Gra = garnet; Zi = zircon; Tur = tourmaline; Rut = rutile; Ats = anatase; Sil = sillimanite). (B) Curve with the relative proportion among unstable/stable heavy minerals (unstable = amphibole + pyroxene + epidote; stable = zircon + tourmaline + rutile). (C) Curve with the ΣZTR (zircon + tourmaline + rutile). (D) Smectite/kaolinite ratio obtained from X-ray diffractograms of the stratigraphic units formed during the Pleistocene to Holocene in Western and Central Amazonia (Q1 = deposits Q1; Q2 = deposits Q2; Q3 = deposits Q3; Q4 = deposits Q4).
An area near Manaus was still topographically high, preventing the rivers from reaching much farther eastward, as demonstrated by the thinning and disappearance of the Icã Formation near Manaus. The abundance of fining-upward, cross-stratified sandstones with basal erosive surfaces mantled by conglomerates are consistent with channelized flows. The small thickness of the successions, the planar basal surfaces, and the dominance of fine- to coarse-grained sands all suggest a fluvial system different from the modern Amazon River. These characteristics support shallow, energetic, migrating, braided to anastomosed channels. The abundance of feldspars is attributed to a drier climate than today. The abundance of feldspar also suggests a granitic source area, as proposed previously on the basis of heavy mineral assemblage.

After deposition of the Icã Formation, the Western Amazonia was again stable. Sediment supply was reduced and erosion prevailed, producing an unconformity at the top of this unit. This situation remained until the late Pleistocene, but between 43,730 and 37,400 \(^{14}\)C yr B.P. resumed (deposits Q1; Fig. 4D). It is possible that Q1 includes older Pleistocene deposits, considering that some of the \(^{14}\)C dates (Table 3) are close to the limit of the method. The dominance of sand lobes displaying climbing cross lamination suggests rapid deposition with high suspension flows, as occurs in deltaic or fluvial crevasse splay environments. Within this context, the fining-upward sandstones with basal surface of intraformational lags are attributed to either interdistributary or fluvial channels. Considering the first interpretation, a standing water body must be considered to have existed northeast between Solimães and Branco Rivers. This area might have acted as a basin where lobes prograded, forming either a fan-delta setting or a type of fluvial system with high-density crevasse splay deposition. Accommodation space was then reduced, culminating with the basin infill by prograding lobes, and ultimately returning to deposition by northeast-oriented channels.

After 27,160 \(^{14}\)C yr B.P., there was a renewed phase of deposition, which resulted in formation of deposits Q2 (Fig. 4C). An area near Manaus was still topographically high, preventing the rivers from reaching much farther eastward, as demonstrated by the thinning and disappearance of the Icã Formation near Manaus. The abundance of fining-upward, cross-stratified sandstones with basal erosive surfaces mantled by conglomerates are consistent with channelized flows. The small thickness of the successions, the planar basal surfaces, and the dominance of fine- to coarse-grained sands all suggest a fluvial system different from the modern Amazon River. These characteristics support shallow, energetic, migrating, braided to anastomosed channels. The abundance of feldspars is attributed to a drier climate than today. The abundance of feldspar also suggests a granitic source area, as proposed previously on the basis of heavy mineral assemblage.
further northeastward, between Solimões and Negro Rivers (Fig. 4E). We argue that this time correlates with the formation of a rhombic basin caused by W–E strike-slip motion and NW–SE extension, as suggested by Bezerra (2003), a process that might have caused the reorientation of the drainage to the east, forming the modern Amazon River. Subsidence promoted flooding of large areas, with a renewed phase of lobe/fluvial crevasse splay progradation as recorded by the coarsening-upward successions of Q2. However, flow energy was lower than during the formation of Q1, producing smaller finer-grained sand bodies that prograded either into a wide, shallow lake, or several lakes within extensive fluvial floodplains. In both hypotheses, areas with associated either lacustrine or channel deposits remains to be recorded. There is no surface record of deposits correlatable to Q1 east of Manaus. Exceptions are deposits with ages between 15,290 and 11,340 14C yr B.P. near Itaituba, where they contain a megafauna of Haplomastodon waringi and Eremotherium laurillardi, attributed to arboreal-like savannas (Rossetti et al., 2004).

A flooding event between 6700 and 2480 14C yr B.P. resulted in widespread development of fluvial terraces along all the extension of the Amazon drainage basin, a phase recorded by deposits Q3 (Fig. 4F). The abundance of peat is consistent with this flooding. This mid-Holocene Amazon flooding, also suggested by many other authors (e.g., Baker et al., 2001; Behling and Costa, 2000; Behling and Hooghiemstra, 1998, 1999, 2000; Turcq et al., 1998), coincides with an increased period of humidity as recorded in several other places, as well as with an eustatic rise associated with orbital forces (Martin et al., 1997; Mörner, 1996). This phenomenon would have interfered in the position of the Intertropical Convergence Zone, promoting increased humidity in Amazonia (Mayle et al., 2000), with the consequent amplification of the rainforest. In fact, the relative decrease in the proportion between smectite and kaolinite in the studied deposits is consistent with increased humidity since the late Pleistocene. Noteworthy is a subtle increase in kaolinite to values closer to modern muds (smectite/kaolinite = 0.31) in deposits Q3, consistent with increased humidity between 6730 and 2480 14C yr B.P.

**Implication of geological factors in the evolution of the Amazon biodiversity**

Analysis of the factors controlling the distribution of a group of organisms depends largely on the knowledge of its geographic range, population structure, and prevailing environmental controlling conditions, as climate, soil, and physiographic setting. Attributing the high modern biodiversity of the modern Amazonia to a single mechanism is impossible, as the alpha and beta diversities vary at different rates (Patton and Silva, 2001; Tuomisto et al., 2003). Researchers working with speciation events in Amazonia support the idea of sympatric speciation (e.g., Endler, 1977). Therefore, diversification in tropical environments seems to be a rather very complex process, with the main factors varying among different taxonomic groups. Regardless, we believe that geological processes may have played an important role in species diversification. This is because, in general, stable geological periods may favor generalist species with gradual evolution, whereas periods characterized by constant changes motivate speciation, increasing the interspecific competition. This creates conditions that are favorable for morphological differentiation due to the adaptation of the organisms to the new environmental conditions (Reinand and Dam, 2002; Sheldon, 1996).

Many theories attempting to explain the distribution of the Amazon biodiversity have been based directly or indirectly on geological information (e.g., Bates, 2001; Patton and Silva, 2001; Patton et al., 2000; Räsänen et al., 1987, 1990, 1995; Ruegg and Rosenzweig, 1949; Webb, 1995). Testing these models depends on the presentation of new geological information including paleontology, sedimentology, stratigraphy, paleoecology, and tectonics, which can serve as a solid basis for definition of those factors that may have had the greatest potential in controlling the origin and evolution of species. In addition to the spotty nature, the available geological information used to formulate hypotheses of evolution and distribution of the Amazon biodiversity represents, in most cases, indirect interpretations rather than primary geological data.

A good example of extrapolation and/or improper utilization of secondary geological data to understand species distribution is the assumption that tectonic arches constitute main geographic barriers (Räsänen et al., 1987; 1990). Although still accepted by many authors (e.g., Patton and Silva, 2001; Patton et al., 2000), the application of structural arches as vicariant limits for biodiversity distribution and speciation (e.g., Patton and Silva, 2001; Patton et al., 2000; Räsänen et al., 1987; 1990) should not be further considered, because most of these are Paleozoic or Mesozoic structures buried under a mantle of Cretaceous and Cenozoic deposits (e.g., Caputo, 1991), thus no longer representing elevated elements of the terrain. For instance, the Purus Arch located to the west of Manaus, considered to be an important vicariance feature, is a geologic structure that occurs >1000 m of depth under Cretaceous rocks of the Alter do Chão Formation (Wanderley Filho, 1991).

The concept that marine transgression formed islands that could motivate speciation is another theory that overinterprets geological data (e.g., Bates, 2001; Frailey et al., 1988; Ruegg and Rosenzweig, 1949; Webb, 1995). Several authors support marine transgression in Amazonia (e.g., Campbell, 1990; Frailey et al., 1988; Hoorn, 1994; Hoorn et al., 1995; Irion, 1984; Irion et al., 1995). This hypothesis became particularly attractive after publications that recorded marine transgression in Western Amazonia during the late Miocene, with the establishment of an interior seaway derived from the Pacific and/or Caribbean sea (Räsänen et al., 1995). Despite episodic marine incursions in
the early/middle Miocene, there is no further support of transgressive events affecting Western Amazonia thereafter (Hoorn, 1993, 1994; Lundbergh et al., 1998; Monsh, 1998; Nuttall, 1990; Vonhof et al., 1998). In particular, the sedimentological data provided herein are more consistent with the dominance of continental processes in Western Amazonia since at least the Pliocene.

Despite the dominance of continental conditions, tectonics are revealed to be an important factor controlling sedimentation patterns, and consequently landscape evolution, during the Neogene-Quaternary, when seismological zones were still active in several places throughout Amazonia (Costa and Hasui, 1997). Therefore, tectonics may have also affected the modern ecosystem, via the development of drainage, relief, soil, and the distribution of the depositional environments.

Molecular studies focusing on some vertebrate groups have led to the inference that many modern species might have had their origin during the Pliocene (Patton and Silva, 2001). This period of the geologic history was marked by the uplift of the significant worldwide changes in environmental conditions (Crowley and North, 1991), with the dominance of continental processes in Western Amazonia (Patton and Silva, 2001). Therefore, tectonics may have also affected the modern ecosystem, via the development of drainage, relief, soil, and the distribution of the depositional environments.
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