Effects of green tea on insulin sensitivity, lipid profile and expression of PPARα and PPARγ and their target genes in obese dogs
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As in man, canine obesity is associated with insulin resistance, dyslipidaemia and other chronic diseases. This study was designed to examine the effects of a nutritional supplement (green tea) on insulin sensitivity and plasma lipid concentrations in an obese insulin-resistant dog model. We also determined mRNA expression of two transcription factors, PPARγ and PPARα, and some of their target genes, including GLUT4, lipoprotein lipase (LPL) and adiponectin. Obese dogs were divided into two groups: a green tea group (n = 6); a control group (n = 4). Dogs in the green tea group were given green tea extract (80 mg/kg per d) orally, just before their single daily meal, for 12 weeks. Insulin sensitivity (using a euglycaemic–hyperinsulinaemic clamp) was assessed at weeks 4, 8 and 12 in the green tea group, compared with baseline. Insulin sensitivity index was 60% (SEM 11%) higher (P < 0.005) and TAG concentration 50% (SEM 10%) lower (P < 0.001), than baseline. PPARγ, GLUT4, LPL and adiponectin expression were significantly higher in adipose tissues, whilst PPARα and LPL expression were significantly higher in skeletal muscle, compared with baseline. These findings show that nutritional doses of green tea extract may improve insulin sensitivity and lipid profile and alter the expression of genes involved in glucose and lipid homeostasis.

Abbreviations: EGCG, epigallocatechin gallate; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model of insulin resistance; LPL, lipoprotein lipase; TC, total cholesterol.
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Materials and methods

Animals and diet

The ten obese and insulin-resistant beagle dogs (neutered females) were all healthy adults (mean age 4 years) with a mean body weight of 14·2 (SEM 0·4) kg (ideal body weight 10·1 (SEM 0·2) kg). All had a body condition score of 7 or 8 on the 9-point scale\(^{25}\). They were housed at the National Veterinary School of Nantes according to the regulations for animal welfare of the French Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries. The experimental protocol adhered to European Union guidelines and was approved by the local Animal Use and Care Advisory Committee.

Before the study for a period of 6 months, the dogs were fed a single hyperenergetic meal each day comprising 28 g/kg of a dry commercially available and nutritionally complete diet (18 MJ metabolisable energy/kg, 32 % protein, 20 % fat) to induce obesity. The dogs continued to receive these rations throughout the 12-week study.

Obese and insulin-resistant dogs were randomly divided into two groups: a green tea group (n 6), a control group (n 4). For 12 weeks, the green tea group received a capsule of green tea extract (80 mg/kg per d: a nutritionally relevant, non-pharmacological dose) orally just before the daily meal, whereas the control group received an empty capsule. The freeze-dried green tea extract (Vitamin Shoppe, North Bergen, NJ, USA) contained: epicatechin 35·7 mg/g; epicatechin gallate 64·8 mg/g; epigallocatechin 20·2 mg/g; ECGG 153·1 mg/g. Body weight and food intake were monitored twice weekly.

Lipid profile

Jugular vein blood samples were collected once weekly (into EDTA) following 24 h fast, for measurement of plasma TAG, total cholesterol (TC) and NEFA concentrations. Blood was immediately centrifuged (at 4°C, 2124 g for 10 min) and plasma deuterium concentration assessed (using Fourier transform IR spectroscopy; Bruker SA, Wissembourg, France).

Euglycaemic–hyperinsulinaemic clamp

In vivo insulin sensitivity was determined using a 3 h euglycaemic–hyperinsulinaemic clamp, performed following a 24 h fast both before and after 12 weeks’ supplementation, as previously described\(^{21}\). Briefly, hyperinsulinaemia was induced by infusing human insulin (Actrapid; Novo Nordisk, Bagsvaerd, Denmark) via a catheter placed into the cephalic vein (4 mU/kg for 1 min and 2 mU/kg per min for the duration of the experiment). Glucose (Glucose 20 %; Laboratoire Aguetтant, Lyon, France) was clamped at basal level by adjustment of the glucose infusion rate in the cephalic vein. Blood samples were drawn from a jugular catheter every 5 min from 0 to 60 min and every 10 min from 60 to 180 min. These samples were placed in ice-cold heparinized tubes and centrifuged (at 4°C, 2124 g for 10 min) and stored (at −80°C) until insulin analysis. Insulin sensitivity index was defined as the mean glucose infusion rate (mg/kg per min) divided by the mean plasma insulin (µU/ml) of the last 60 min of the clamp\(^{26}\).

Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance index

Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) yields a formula for insulin resistance and derives from fasting insulin (I₀ (mIU/l)) and glucose (G₀ (mmol/l)) concentrations. This index is defined by:

\[
\text{HOMA-IR} = \frac{I₀ × G₀}{22.5}.
\]

Chemical analysis

Rapid determination of blood glucose during clamping was achieved using the glucose oxidase method (Glucotrend® Plus; Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). Plasma insulin concentrations were measured using a commercial RIA kit (RIA Insik-5; Diasorin, Saluggia, Italy). TAG, TC and NEFA were analysed in plasma using enzymatic methods (Triglycérides enzymatiques PAP 150; BioMérieux, Marcy-l’Etoile, France, Cholesterol RTU; Biomérieux, Marcy-l’Etoile, France and NEFA C; WAKO, Oxoid, Dardilly, France).

RNA extraction

Visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue and skeletal muscle and liver biopsies were obtained intraoperatively under anaesthesia, following a 24 h fast both before and after 12 weeks’
supplementation. Skeletal muscle biopsies were removed from the tibialis anterior. Approximately 100 mg each tissue was cleaned in saline. TRIzol reagent (1 ml; Gibco BRL, Grand Island, NY, USA) was added and the tissue immediately frozen in liquid N. RNA was extracted from frozen tissues using TRIzol reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Total RNA concentration was quantified by spectrophotometric absorbance at 260 nm.

Reverse transcription and real-time PCR analysis
Total RNA (1 μg) was reverse-transcribed in a 20 μl reaction volume using random primers (Pharmacia, Saclay, Orsay Cedex, France) and Superscript II Moloney leukaemia virus RT (according to the manufacturer’s instructions; Life Technologies, Cergy Pontoise, France).

Quantitative real-time PCR was conducted with a rotorgene 2000 (Ozyme, Saint-Quentin en Yvelines, France) in a 20 μl mixture containing 1X SYBR Green (Roche Diagnostic, Meylan, France), 0·25 mM-dNTP, each primer (0·5 mM), 2U Taq Titanium DNA polymerase (Ozyme) and 2 μl cDNA mixture of each sample. Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase was used as a reference for initial RNA loading. The sense/antisense primers (Genosys, Pampisford, UK) were designed using GeneJockey Software (Biosoft, Ferguson, MO, USA). The specificity of PCR primers and the annealing temperature were tested under normal PCR conditions with temperature gradient (55°C to 70°C) as annealing (Table 1). The real-time PCR conditions were 95°C for 30 s (to activate the hot start enzyme) followed by: (1) thirty-five cycles of 95°C for 5 s, 63°C for 10 s, 72°C for 10 s and 83°C for 15 s for PPARγ; (2) thirty-five cycles of 95°C for 5 s, 62°C for 15 s, 72°C for 15 s and 82°C for 15 s for PPARα; (3) forty cycles of 95°C for 5 s, 62°C for 15 s, 72°C for 15 s and 86°C for 15 s for the LPL; (4) forty-five cycles of 95°C for 5 s, 70°C for 15 s, 72°C for 15 s and 85°C for 15 s for GLUT4; (5) forty cycles of 95°C for 5 s, 69°C for 15 s, 72°C for 15 s and 86°C for 15 s for adiponectin; (6) thirty cycles of 95°C for 5 s, 69°C for 15 s, 72°C for 15 s and 86°C for 15 s for the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase. The fluorescence cycle threshold (Ct) was calculated to quantify the relative amount of gene expression. Results are expressed according to the 2−ΔΔCt method. The level of expression before green tea supplementation was arbitrarily set at 100%.

Statistical analysis
Data are expressed as means with their standard errors of the mean. The statistical analysis used Stat View 5.0 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Comparison among means was performed by Student’s t test for paired values. A P value < 0.05 was considered to be significant.

Results
Body weight, food intake and body composition
There was no significant difference from baseline in either group in terms of body weight or food intake at any time point. The mean values of the food intake during the 12 weeks of treatment was 578 (SEM 29) kJ/kg0·75 in the green tea group and 578 (SEM 25) kJ/kg0·75 in the control group. At 12 weeks (after treatment), there was no difference from baseline (week 0, before treatment) in body fat mass in either group (Table 2).

Insulin sensitivity
The euglycaemic–hyperinsulinaemic clamp data (fasting glucose and insulin, plateau of insulin level, glucose infusion rate and insulin sensitivity index) in normal-weight and obese dogs before and after treatment in the green tea group and in the control group are shown in Table 3.

Table 1. Sense/antisense primers used for glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), PPARα, PPARγ, adiponectin, lipoprotein lipase (LPL) and GLUT4 relative quantification and annealing temperatures determined for each PCR primer*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primers sense/antisense</th>
<th>Annealing T°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>5′-ACAGTCAGGCTGAGACCG-3′</td>
<td>69°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5′-CCACCACTACTCCAGACCG-3′</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPARα</td>
<td>5′-TTATACAGACACCGCTTCAGC-3′</td>
<td>62°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5′-GTGACTCCCTAGATGTACC-3′</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPARγ</td>
<td>5′-CATTACAGTGAGCTGCTCC-3′</td>
<td>63°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5′-CTTCACTGAAATAATGACGCC-3′</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adiponectin</td>
<td>5′-CCAGGCTGTGTGTGCTCTAAGG-3′</td>
<td>69°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5′-ACACTGTAATGCCAAGCGG-3′</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPL</td>
<td>5′-GAAGAAATAACAGTAAAGGC-3′</td>
<td>62°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5′-ACATTTTGCTCGTCTTTTGCC-3′</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLUT4</td>
<td>5′-GACAGAAGCCTCTCATAGCCG-3′</td>
<td>70°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5′-AGGAAGGTTGAAGTGGAAAGG-3′</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For details of procedures, see Materials and methods.
Diet-induced obesity increased plasma TAG and NEFA by respectively 71 (SEM 19) % (P<0.001) and 44 (SEM 10) % (P<0.05) in the green tea group and by 95 (SEM 23) % (P<0.05) and 43 (SEM 15) % (P<0.05) in the control group. TC concentrations did not change when dogs became obese in either group.

Plasma TAG (~48 (SEM 8) %) compared with baseline (before treatment; P<0.01) and VLDL-TAG were reduced by green tea supplementation, whereas no change was observed in the control group. There was no significant difference from baseline (before treatment) in plasma NEFA, TC, LDL-cholesterol and HDL-cholesterol concentrations in either group. However, plasma NEFA tended to decrease after green tea treatment, whereas plasma NEFA tended to increase in the control group.

mRNA expression of PPARγ, lipoprotein lipase, adiponectin and GLUT4 in visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue

The expression of the reference gene did not significantly change in visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue between the beginning (week 0) and the end (week 12) of the treatment (data not shown).

Compared with baseline, expression of PPARγ, LPL, adiponectin and GLUT4 mRNA in visceral adipose tissue (n 6) was dramatically elevated after 12 weeks of green tea supplementation. Expression was approximately 2-fold greater for PPARγ (P<0.05) and LPL (P<0.05) and 4-fold greater for adiponectin (P<0.05) and GLUT4 (P<0.05), whereas no change was seen in the expression of any of these genes in the control group (n 4) (Fig. 1). Interestingly, in the subcutaneous adipose tissue of green tea-supplemented dogs (n 6), PPARγ, LPL, adiponectin and GLUT4 mRNA expression was increased by a similarly great extent (3-fold, 10-fold, 6-fold and 3-fold, respectively, P<0.05; Fig. 2) compared with baseline.

mRNA expression of PPARα, lipoprotein lipase and GLUT4 in skeletal muscle

The expression of the reference gene did not significantly change in skeletal muscle tissue between the beginning (week 0) and the end (week 12) of the treatment (data not shown).

In skeletal muscle, green tea supplementation markedly increased the expression of PPARα (by 100 (SEM 18) %, P<0.01) and LPL (by 270 (SEM 43) %, P<0.01), but not GLUT4, compared with baseline (Fig. 4).

**Discussion**

In the present study, we have shown that 12 weeks’ green tea supplementation in obese insulin-resistant dogs increases insulin sensitivity, decreases plasma TAG concentrations and increases expression of PPARα, PPARγ and their target genes LPL, GLUT4 and adiponectin. Body weight and fat mass were not affected.

Many previous human studies used high concentrations of catechins (20,28) that may not reflect typical consumption. A 2.5 % (w/v) tea concentration in 227 ml water represents the typical strength of brews consumed by human individuals and may contain approximately 340 mg catechins (29). Thus, based on metabolic body weight (BW0.75), the consumption of green tea catechins by the dogs in the current study was nutritionally relevant and non-pharmacological, being equivalent to three cups of tea in human individuals. Dogs readily absorb EGCG (approximately 20 % of the dose) after oral administration (30), it is then distributed widely to a variety of epithelial tissues.

Very few studies have been reported regarding the **in vivo** effects of green tea or its catechins on insulin sensitivity (11,20,31). Moreover, these studies used glucose tolerance tests rather than the gold standard for assessing insulin sensitivity (32). In the present study, euglycaemic hyperinsulinaemic clamps showed a strong effect of green tea extract in improving insulin sensitivity in obese, insulin-resistant dogs. Fasting insulin concentrations have tended to increase when the dogs became obese and to decrease after green tea treatment. However, basal insulin and glucose concentrations did not significantly change. We have compared our clamp results with the data of HOMA-IR index, a simpler method to measure insulin sensitivity usually used in clinical

**Table 2.** Body weight and body composition of the 12 weeks’ green tea-supplemented and control obese dogs*

(Values are presented as means with their standard errors)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Green tea (n 4)</th>
<th>Control (n 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Week 0</td>
<td>Week 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>13·62</td>
<td>0·55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat mass (kg)</td>
<td>5·80</td>
<td>0·48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was no significant difference between week 0 (before treatment) and week 12 (after treatment) of the protocol in either group.

* For details of animals and procedures, see Materials and methods.
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Table 3. Fasting insulin and glucose concentrations, plateau of insulin level, glucose infusion rate (Ginf) and insulin sensitivity index (IIS) during the clamp in green tea group and control group at the normal weight state and at the obese state before and after treatment‡

(Values are presented as means with their standard errors)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Green tea group (n 6)</th>
<th>Control group (n 4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal weight</td>
<td>Before treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SEM)</td>
<td>Mean (SEM)</td>
<td>Mean (SEM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal glycaemia (g/l)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal insulinaemia (µU/ml)</td>
<td>16.2 (2.9)</td>
<td>21.5 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plateau of insulin level (µU/ml)</td>
<td>148.7 (18.2)</td>
<td>184.5 (12.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginf (mg/kg per min)</td>
<td>22.0 (0.8)</td>
<td>11.7††† (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIS (mg/ml per kg per min</td>
<td>0.158 (0.016)</td>
<td>0.065††† (0.007)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P < 0.05; †P < 0.01 v. before treatment.
†P < 0.05; ††P < 0.001 v. normal weight state.
‡ For details of animals and procedures, see Materials and methods.
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from the small sample size.
metabolism, particularly apoB100 and LPL activity, would be required to explain the hypotriacylglycerolaemic effects of green tea.

PPAR are transcription factors for the genes involved in glucose and lipid homeostasis, including LPL, adiponectin and GLUT4. Three isotypes of PPAR have been identified (α, γ, β/δ). The amino acid sequence of the ligand binding domain of PPARα in the dog was found to be 97% identical to that of man. PPARα is predominantly expressed in tissues with a high rate of fat catabolism (e.g. liver and muscle). The expression of PPARγ is high in adipose tissue, where it triggers adipocyte differentiation and induces the expression of genes critical for adipogenesis (for review see (24)). We have shown that green tea increases PPARα expression in skeletal muscle (but not in liver) and increases PPARγ expression in visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissues.

Tea catechins activated PPARα in cell culture (19) and increased PPARα and PPARγ expression in hamster liver (20). The use of fenofibrate (43) and other PPARα activators (44) showed that the induction of PPARα mRNA might be a property shared by all members of this class of chemical. Moreover, Davies et al. (45) showed that the ability of troglitazone, a thiazolidinedione, to up regulate PPARγ could be due to its antioxidant properties. Green tea catechins are potent antioxidants (via intracellular redox state) could regulate the transcription factors, NF-κB and activator protein-1 (46), and PPARγ could

**Table 4.** Fasting plasma TAG, total cholesterol (TC), NEFA, VLDL-TAG, LDL-cholesterol (LDL-C) and HDL-cholesterol (HDL-C) in green tea group and control group at the normal weight state and at the obese state before and after treatment‡

(Values are presented as means with their standard errors)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Green tea group (n 6)</th>
<th>Control group (n 4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal weight</td>
<td>Before treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC (mmol/l)</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEFA (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLDL-TG (mmol/l)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mmol/l)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mmol/l)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5.374</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA, not available.

**P<0.01 v. before treatment.
†P<0.05; †††P<0.001 v. normal weight state.
‡ For details of animals and procedures, see Materials and methods.

**Fig. 1.** Relative expression of (a) PPARγ, (b) lipoprotein lipase (LPL), (c) adiponectin and (d) GLUT4 mRNA in visceral adipose tissue of 12 weeks’ green tea-supplemented (●; n 6) and control (○; n 4) obese dogs. Values are presented as means with their standard errors. *P<0.05 v. baseline (week 0). For details of animals and procedures, see Materials and methods.
be regulated by these transcription factors. Given the increase in the expression of PPARα and PPARγ and their target genes in their specific tissues, we hypothesize that tea catechins might represent new PPAR activators.

Clinical studies have shown that body weight and fat mass of human subjects and animals given green tea catechins decreased significantly (28, 48). In the present study, body weight and body composition were not affected by green tea supplementation. During treatment, our dogs were fed approximately according to the National Research Council recommendation for maintenance, which could explain why body weight remains stable in the green tea and control groups. An elevation of the expression of PPARγ, LPL and GLUT4 in adipose tissue, without any effect on plasma NEFA concentration, would enhance fat depots – similar to thiazolidinediones (49). An increase in uncoupling protein activity in adipose tissue might regulate the energy balance by uncoupling mitochondrial respiration – as has been shown with thiazolidinediones (50). Some reports suggested that green tea catechins not only promote energy expenditure but also stimulate the oxidation of lipids by the known inhibitory effect of catechins and notably EGCG on catechol-O-methyltransferase, an enzyme that degrades noradrenaline (51).

Taken together, we can hypothesize that green tea catechins enhance lipid catabolism, which could counteract the PPARγ agonist-induced lipogenesis. This could explain the absence of change in body composition after green tea treatment. Green tea catechins could trigger a shift of fat distribution from visceral to subcutaneous adipose depots as has been shown with a PPARγ agonist, the pioglitazone (52). It is well known that visceral adipocytes are lipolytically more active than subcutaneous fat cells and removal of visceral fat enhances insulin sensitivity (53).

In conclusion, the present results demonstrate that extended nutritional supplementation with green tea may reverse obesity-related metabolic disturbances by improving insulin sensitivity and decreasing VLDL-TAG concentrations. We also
observed an increase in the expression of PPARα and PPARγ, important transcription factors involved in lipid and glucose homeostasis. The mRNA expression of their target genes (LPL, adiponectin and GLUT4) was increased and could explain the beneficial effects of green tea in obese, insulin-resistant dogs. According to previous studies, these results suggest that green tea (or its catechins) may be new natural PPAR activators. The obese, insulin-resistant dog model can thus be used, in further studies, to assess the in vivo effects of EGCG, which is the principal – and probably the most active – catechin in green tea.
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